



Amazing Race

Amazing race by nature crowned
Under attack by jew TV
I once was lost but now have found
The name of my enemy.

This race who's end could now be near
Despite all it has achieved
Our countries that we love so dear
Flooded by the demon seed.

Through many dangers, toils and snares
We have already come;
'Twas race that brought us safe thus far,
And race will lead us home.

This Race-War of two thousand years
Its last battle has begun
The morning dawns and the fog clears
Now victory must be won.

< music >

We are still looking for musicians to record the songs on this site.

I read the short proof of Fermat's last theorem by the Russian that we heard about recently. Here is what he says (I think! :the english translation I found was terrible).

Can you find any fault in his proof?I have not payed too much attention to developments but even if it turns out to be wrong it shows nice reasoning.

We are looking for a solution of $X^n + Y^n = Z^n$ where all variables are integers and n is more than 2. We have $X^2 + Y^2 = R^2$.

Clearly Z is not equal to R for the same X, Y .

Clearly Z is always less than R .

$$R^3 = (X^2 + Y^2)R = RX^2 + RY^2$$

$$X = R \sin A \quad Y = R \cos A$$

$$Z^n = X^n + Y^n = R^n (\sin^n A + \cos^n A)$$

$$Z = R (\sin A + \cos A)$$

Z is always less than R

$$\text{so } \sin A + \cos A < 1$$

Therefore A is between 60° and 90°

$$60^\circ < B < 90^\circ \text{ as well}$$

$$Z^2 = X^2 + Y^2 - 2XY \cos B$$

and remember $60^\circ < B < 90^\circ$ so $\cos B$ not whole

and Z is for whole values of X and Y

so QED.

Science must be respected and the Jews cannot be allowed to set their religion ,disguised as the holocaust or racial equality ,above our science.I saw a muslim broadcast in which a mullah says that Islam has superceded all other religions.This is the problem with religions based on Judaism. They claim their insanity is the center of the universe. Western paganism was a tolerant religion and was poetic and did not claim the extraordinary perogatives that the jew based religions claim.The capital memes of a society show what it is ,whether ,as in the past ,this set of ideas is called a religion or ,as today,an ideology .Well western science and philosophy supercede jewish nonsense and we demand respect and we will not tolerate Jews pushing superstition under whatever disguise.George Orwell identifies the oriental memes but sadly in his own life he never figured things out.Savitri Devi verbalised the same phenemenon in a different way.This solipsism is an oriental trait and needs to be pushed firmly from the west. That is what the Renaissance was about.People understand that civilisation depends on doing this but shirk their duty to face down the shreeking evil Jew .What made the dark ages dark were the oriental ideas .In future we should refer to things in these memetic terms . The oriental age between the fall of Rome until the Renaissance.The internet has allowed a mini renaissance. Are there enough people out there to fan the flame?Everyone of you needs to join in this cultural war.

"Have you ever seen those bones, Winston? Of course not. Nineteenth-century biologists invented them. Before man there was nothing. After man, if he could come to an end, there would be nothing. Outside man there is nothing.'

'But the whole universe is outside us. Look at the stars ! Some of them are a million light-years away. They are out of our reach for ever.' 'What are the stars?' said O'Brien indifferently. 'They are bits of fire a few kilometres away. We could reach them if we wanted to. Or we could blot them out. The earth is the centre of the universe. The sun and the stars go round it.' Winston made another convulsive movement. This time he did not say anything. O'Brien continued as though answering a spoken objection: 'For certain purposes, of course, that is not true. When we navigate the ocean, or when we predict an eclipse, we often find it convenient to assume that the earth goes round the sun and that the stars are millions upon millions of kilometres away. But what of it? Do you suppose it is beyond us to produce a dual system of astronomy?

The stars can be near or distant, according as we need them. Do you suppose our mathematicians are unequal to that? Have you forgotten doublethink?' Winston shrank back upon the bed. Whatever he said, the swift answer crushed him like a bludgeon. And yet he knew, he knew, that he was in the right. The belief that nothing exists outside your own mind -- surely there must be some way of demonstrating that it was false? Had it not been exposed long ago as a fallacy? There was even a name for it, which he had forgotten. A faint smile twitched the corners of O'Brien's mouth as he looked down at him. 'I told you, Winston,' he said, 'that metaphysics is not your strong point. The word you are trying to think of is solipsism. But you are mistaken. This is not solipsism. Collective solipsism, if you like. But that is a different thing: in fact, the opposite thing. All this is a digression,' he added in a different tone. 'The real power, the power we have to fight for night and day, is not power over things, but over men.' He paused, and for a moment assumed again his air of a schoolmaster questioning a promising pupil: 'How does one man assert his power over another, Winston?' Winston thought. 'By making him suffer,' he said. 'Exactly. By making him suffer. Obedience is not enough. Unless he is suffering, how can you be sure that he is obeying your will and not his own? Power is in inflicting pain and humiliation. Power is in tearing human minds to pieces and putting them together again in new shapes of your own choosing.' From 1984



"There the green lawn where she had lain sunbathing and idly watched the SS man tipping Zyklon B into the rooftop hatch of the Death Block." - Kitty Hart quoted by Philip Purser, Sunday Telegraph, 11th November 1979.

IF YOU BELIEVE JEWISH 'HOLOCAUST' STORIES - YOU'LL BELIEVE ANYTHING!

Below an example of how atheists are still taken in by Yahweh's liars no matter how smart they think they are : "ATHEIST FOUNDATION OF AUSTRALIA INC

THE MISTRANSLATION OF 'VIRGIN'

This is the extract from The Selfish Gene by Richard Dawkins

"Several distressed correspondents have queried the mistranslation of 'young woman' into 'virgin' in the biblical prophecy, and have demanded a reply from me. Hurting religious sensibilities is a perilous business these days so I had better oblige. Actually, it is a pleasure, for scientists can't often get satisfyingly dusty in the library indulging in a real academic foot-note. The point is in fact well known to biblical scholars, and not disputed by them. The Hebrew word in Isaiah is (almah), which undisputedly means 'young woman', with no implication of virginity. If 'virgin' had been intended (bethulah) could have been used instead (the ambiguous English word 'maiden' illustrates how easy it can be to slide between the two meanings). The 'mutation' occurred when the pre-Christian Greek translation known as the Septuagint rendered almah into (parthenos), which really does usually mean virgin. Matthew (not, of course, the Apostle and contemporary of Jesus, but the gospel-maker writing long afterwards), quoted Isaiah in what seems to be a derivative of the Septuagint version (all but two of the fifteen Greek words are identical) when he said Now all this was done, that it might be fulfilled which was spoken of the Lord by the prophet, saying, 'Behold, a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a son, and they shall call his name Emmanuel' (Authorised English translation). It is widely accepted among Christian scholars that the story of the virgin birth of Jesus was a late interpolation, put in presumably by Greek-speaking disciples in order that the (mistranslated) prophecy should be seen to be fulfilled. Modern versions such as the New English Bible correctly give 'young woman' in Isaiah. They equally correctly leave 'virgin' in Matthew, since there they are translating from the Greek."

ISAIAH' S REFERENCE: (Septuagint Version)

Behold a virgin shall conceive and bear a son, Isaiah 7:14 has been interpreted to mean the person called Jesus Christ.

The facts are that, at the time when the Jewish nation was divided into Judah and Israel, the king of Syria joined with the king of Israel to make war against Ahaz, king of Judah. He and his people became alarmed.

Isaiah assures him in the 'name of the Lord' (the cant phrase of prophets) that the two kings will not succeed against him and delivers the words quoted above. In verse 16 he specifies the timing before this child shall know to refuse evil and choose the good, the land that thou abhorrest (Syria and Israel) shall be forsaken of both her kings. Perhaps Isaiah had someone already in mind for in the next chapter verse 2 he says I took unto me faithful witnesses to record, Uriah the priest and Zechariah the son Jebereshiah and I went unto the prophetess and she conceived and bare a son.

Isaiah was proved false and Ahaz was defeated as related in the 28th chapter of Chronicles II."

Dawkins who claims to be an atheist and a defender of science and who attacks religious education is revealed as a crypto yahweh worshipper because he has been taken in by the crafty lies of the rabbis on this point .I don't know if he has heard of revisionism and have never met the man .The world is so polluted with Jewish memes that he probably has not gotten a chance to have the facts presented to him.The most important falsities of our day go unrecognised as such because the likes of Dawkins instinctively stop themselves from even questioning them .The false morality memes behind early christianity evolved and disguised themselves. I wonder if he is up to a memetic study of liberalism. I use the word meme that he popularised and but for his stupidity on the virgin point the Selfish Gene is an excellent book. I sense that if one of us got to talking to the man we could make a better sceptic out of him.He should be more careful when commenting on the bible because he is not an expert.The fact that bible translations have been changed is a result of the decline in respect for truth rather than an improvement as he niavely thinks. I have yet to see a properly translated bible. If we manage to found a western cultural institute somewhere there needs to be a properly translated and annotated one made.Elohim should be translated as Gods not God etc. Compare to Revilo Oliver:

"Theological Claptrap

I continually have to marvel at the rarity of common sense in our people generally and particularly in Christians, including, of course, the Marxist and "Liberal" sects. The latest example is the Christian News for 1 December 1986.

Christian News, by far the best single source of information about all developments in the salvation-business, is the one Christian publication which I respect for its editor's sincerity and self-sacrificing devotion to principle. Although I cannot understand how he can believe that the Bible is the "infallible word of God," I recognize the integrity of a publication that is free of the oleaginous equivocation and sneaking evasions that are the stock in trade of Christian dervishes today.

The greater part of this issue of the Christian News is devoted to defending the reading in the King James version of the Jew-Book, Isaiah (Hēsaiās), 7.14: "The Lord himself will give you a sign: Behold, a virgin shall conceive and bear a son." The operative word in the Hebrew text is 'LMH, now usually vocalized as 'almah or 'alēmāh, which is rendered as "virgin," where most modern translations, following Jewish authorities, translate, "a young woman shall conceive."

Note that the only point at issue here is the meaning of the word in the context in which it occurs. All of the vexed and alembicated controversies centered about that text by theologians and scholars are irrelevant to that one point. It does not matter who wrote the ravings attributed to "Isaiah" (probably three, possibly four, forgers), when the book was written (probably around 400 B.C., possibly later), why it contains statements about Cyrus the Great as the only goy whom the Jews called a christ (45.1, covered up in the King James version, but honestly translated in the Vulgate), or to whom the pur-ported "prophecy" was intended to apply. The one point to be decided is the meaning of the passage.

A moment of logical thought suffices to make the meaning obvious to anyone who has not put his common sense in cold storage. According to the text, old Yahweh himself is promising a (probably fictitious) king named Ahaz or Achaz that he, Yahweh, will produce a miracle to convince him that he should obey his god. Now hundreds of young women become pregnant every hour of the day and approximately half of them will bear male offspring. There is nothing more commonplace and unremarkable than a pregnant woman, and if the word means 'young woman,' the promise is a bad joke, and Yahweh is a jackass as well as a four-flusher – and surely the pious author of the story cannot have intended that. If the meaning is 'virgin,' Yahweh is promising a real miracle, something contrary to nature and therefore necessarily the work of a supernatural power. Now that is something that should impress Ahaz, and Yahweh thereby will prove that he's got as much divine power as the hundred other gods and demigods throughout the world who make virgins pregnant with godly offspring. That is precisely the meaning that a priest peddling holiness would want to convey, so there can be no possible doubt about the meaning which the author intended when he wrote 'LMH.

In the foregoing paragraph I have labored the obvious and wasted space on explaining what anyone with a modicum of common sense would perceive at once as a datum about which there can be no question. But shiploads of paper and hogsheads of printer's ink have been wasted on that nugatory question, as well as, in the aggregate, decades of scholarly effort that could have been devoted to useful tasks. Christians can be erudite, but that does not stop them from having Faith and trying to rake the moon out of a pond.1

But let's waive common sense on the first try and try again. The meaning of 'LMH is made obvious by the Septuagint, which translates the word by parthenos, and that word in Greek indubitably means 'virgin.'2 Now the Septuagint is so called because, as is certified by a prefatory letter written by Aristeas, a Greek official at the court of Ptolemy Philadelphus, who ruled from 285 to 247 B.C., seventy-two (septuaginta duo.) learned rabbis were immured in separate cells with copies of Holy Writ, all of which each translated into Greek, and when the seventy-two independent versions were

compared, they were found to be identical, with no jot or tittle of difference. That proves that old Yahweh was supervising the work and the translation parthenos must be really his; and we have to suppose that Yahweh knew what he was talking about and was proficient in at least koine Greek.³ That's as good a story as any in Holy Writ, and I don't know why Christians who want to exercise their ability to stop thinking and have Faith now disbelieve it.

To be sure, everyone knows that the letter of Aristeas is just a crude forgery, like "Anne Frank's Diary," and that the whole story about the LXXII rabbis is just a characteristic Yiddish hoax, like the Holohoax that venal "educators" are ramming into the minds of Aryan children today in the boob-hatcheries that we are taxed to support so lavishly. And that racial characteristic should make reasonable men doubt other incredible hoaxes in the Jew-Book, such as the tales about Joseph in Egypt, and about an armed invasion and military conquest of Canaan. But although the story about the divinely inspired septuaginta duo Yids is just a hoax, the reading in the Septuagint is conclusive proof of what the Jews in the first century B.C. thought the verse in Isaiah meant. Whence it follows that in attributing another meaning to it in the Third Century, when they were trying to differentiate themselves from their auxiliary for goyim, they were just perpetrating another hoax, in keeping with their racial instincts. The evidence of the Septuagint fixes the meaning in Isaiah for anyone whose common sense has not been muzzled, and there should be no more ado about it.

But let's try for another simple solution. The appendix to the Jew-Book called the "New Testament" consists of a few selected gospels about a christ named Jesus. Now if these gospels are veracious and infallible, the question is summarily settled by the quotation from Isaiah in the gospel attributed to Matthew, 1.24, where the translation is again parthenos. If these gospels are not veracious, and that passage is just a folk-tale or an outright lie, nothing in the gospels warrants belief. Except for other gospels (many of which flatly contradict them), the gospels included in the "New Testament" are our only evidence that the Jesus who appears in them ever existed, since we have no valid historical evidence about him. In pseudo-historical fiction, such as Forester's well-known novels about Midshipman, later Admiral, Homblower, the historical record enables us to distinguish between historical and imaginary events, but when we consider the stories about Sherlock Holmes, for whom Sir Arthur Conan Doyle is our only authority, the recognition that one character or incident is fictitious creates a presumption that none of the events reported actually occurred. If the "New Testament" is part fact and part fiction, we have no means of distinguishing one from the other, and the only reasonable and safe attitude is to accept no part of the story as factual. But that again is irrelevant to the question at issue. The text of "Matthew" is incontrovertible proof of what the author of that gospel thought the passage meant, and he was presumably a literate Jew, probably of the Second Century, making a statement he thought his contemporaries would accept. So here, for the third time, a simple criterion and common sense suffices to settle the question. But Christians have to keep their common sense in abeyance.

Theologians, proud of their immunity to common sense,⁴ have squandered paper and ink on all sorts of intricate figments of their imagination. Some, for example, have contended that the author of that part of Isaiah, whoever he was, meant 'LMH to designate his own wife! That would logically mean that he was trying to put over a hoax, and make him comparable to the eunuch, mentioned by Josephus, who tried to get into the christ-business by claiming that his pregnant wife was a virgin, whose fetus must have a superhuman father. There is no evidence of that, but it is possible, of course, and would make the scribbler a scoundrel and swindler. What is almost as incredible is that the theologians who believe it also claim that they take Christianity seriously and think it more than a collection of vulgar impostures.

After so much theological ado about nothing, the pages of the Christian News are perforce filled with idle discourse. They include, however, a reduced but still legible reprinting of a scholarly article by Dr. John E. Steinmueller, who examines philologically all occurrences of 'LMH in the Bible, and a comparable article by the late Dr. William F. Beck, printed, it seems, for the first time. They, by the

way, convinced me that the Hebrew word 'LMH had the specific meaning 'virgin,' and that I was wrong in my "Postscript" in February 1986, in which, apropos of the story of Jesus ben Pandera, who claimed to be bom of a virgin in fulfillment of the "prophecy" in Isaiah, I suggested that the Jews tampered with the Hebrew text some two centuries after they had endorsed the Septuagint as divinely infallible. What they did was change the meaning of the word when they wanted to make the Roman government discriminate between them and their Christian dupes.

Incidentally, since the Fathers of the Church made much of the virgin birth, which, of course, is a prerequisite for Saviours, I have always thought they blundered when they did not include in their collection a gospel by James commonly called the Genesis Mariae. (An early papyrus of this gospel is now in the Bibliotheca Bodmeriana and was published in volume five of that library's papyrological series.) According to the Apostle James, shortly after the birth of Jesus, Salome refused to believe that Mary was still a virgin. So she insisted on thrusting her finger into Mary's vagina to ascertain whether or not the hymenal membrane was intact. It was, but the residue of divine energy burned Salome's finger to a crisp, and she was in quite a fix until an angel popped into the cave and told her to touch the divine babe; she did, whereupon her finger became every bit as good as new. That gospel, you see, would have settled the matter once for all – unless some wicked person perversely insisted on using his common sense. But only nasty sceptics would do that, so the Fathers would have had an ace in the hole when they played theological poker."



Beware the strange gods of the new world order



James Connolly was for the Boers .

"WHEREAS a country that thus keeps down subject populations by the use of the hangman, the bullet or the sword, has no right to preach to another about its duties towards its population; THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED that this meeting denounces the interference of the British capitalist government in the internal affairs of the Transvaal Republic as an act of criminal aggression, wishes long life to the Republic, and trusts that our fellow-countrymen will, if need be, take up arms in defence of their adopted country. This meeting was held by the Irish Socialist Republican Party, and was their first public meeting held in Ireland to express sympathy with the Boers." Workers' Republic, 30 June, 1900.


He was opposed to imperialism. Mandela serves ZOG imperialism. Jews have gotten very juicy jobs in the new South Africa. Gerry you are the enemy of the values of the 1916 heroes. Only rather simple folk who have not had a chance to hear the other side are taken in by you. That's why your thugs oppose free speech across Ireland. At least the Fianna failures and the money parties have to pretend to behave, your lot openly act as a mafia and have mafia values. Bertie and Gerry compete to be satraps over the Irish serving the Jews. We here on this site are real nationalists and seek actual independence. Sinn Féin means "ourselves alone" and alone they are. The vast majority of the Irish people oppose third world invasion while Gerry and his mafiosi support it. They know no doubt that the media boys from Zion would crush them like a bug if they didn't behave. What do you think Bobby Sands would think of Ireland today? Even your own Shinners, who I have talked to, don't believe it's the right thing for Ireland, filling it with dusky dunces. The Jew is like AIDS, AIDS indeed is nature's echo, feedback. All the secondary parasites, the Gerry Adams, the Protestant bible bashers, the Pedlers of Homopathic "medicine" and all those who live by lies exist only because of the damage to our civilisation's immune system caused by the Jew. Our society is inverted. In a healthy society Gerry would occupy a place similar to that he started out in, that of a barman. That is something like his proper place. In a society turned on its head and dying such people get perks for towing the line. The Jews have no working class. Even in Israel most people work for the state and are living as parasites off the people of the world especially the Germans and Americans. Jews are like cancer. They are a cancer in the body of our civilisation. Just as a cancer has no need of muscle cells or liver cells etc the Jews have one speciality-being Jews-exactly like cancer. If cancer cells could talk they would talk like Jews. Oh 6 million cells, chemotherapy never again, etc. Destruction is their only business and now like in a dying patient we see secondary infections. The Jews can't destroy enough young people so they invite in the negroids, turks and the bizarre assortment of completely useless garbage bred for this purpose by the likes of the World Health Organisation. One of my friends was spat in the face by an Arab recently. Yet she still isn't ready to react. What to people have to suffer before they are willing to reassert themselves one wonders? The millions of subhuman garbage here are pushing back a spring that one day will recoil on them. Awakening a sleeping giant. Ireland is a subset of western civilisation just as multiples of 4 are a subset of the set of even numbers. Gerry you betray the west when you say the things you say and you betray Ireland automatically. If you don't belong to the set how can you belong to the subset. Talk all you like in Gaeilge, your just a king Herod, a collaborator with a foreign imperium.

I listen to the goyfire broadcasts at www.govnn.com and think they are excellent. Recently they mentioned how the Jews had staged a paedophilia podcast to deliberately stretch tolerance for free speech beyond the breaking point on one particular podcasting service. This gimmick was used to conflate western speech with oriental speech, solely to silence the western speech. Free speech is a western meme. Blonde hair is a recessive gene and is driven out by the dominant gene for black hair. This is how whites separate themselves as a species from the other species of hominid on the earth. When you take memes into account and add them to the genes in the geography of difference you see that the Jews are the most different from us. Although mapping memes in terms of racial grouping is something science hasn't attempted because our universities are overrun by the enemy. The bad drives out the good and so free speech can't last if you pretend you can have it universally amongst Aryans and Jews living under one government. It's only a meme of whites and never has any meaning outside western civilisation. Free speech is a meme compatible with the rest of the set of western memes and incompatible with oriental memes. If you admit oriental genes you create an oriental society in which free speech can't survive. Our memes are also recessive and map to our

genes. People often find it easier to defend secondary identities because they are embedded in their identities by instinct and they are aware of them at that level if rarely intellectually. But when you defend free speech you defend the values of the white race, its memes which radiate from its genes. Sunlight in relation to the sun. Memes are genetic radiation. The Romans didn't have enough science and applied their universalism too far. They lost the ownership of the earth. Even one foreign meme embedded into a society and with authority to persecute people behind it can block the development of a people and prevent them from being themselves. We see this with the notion of a flat earth. The Greeks knew the earth was round but western civilisation was held back by the church enforced Jewish ideas from the bible. Christianity is still full of oriental memes which need to be driven from it. There has been a tug of war over Christianity with Aryans pushing out the oriental ideas and Jews putting them back. Bible "interpretations" vary etc. The Renaissance was about pushing out oriental ideas. The Renaissance was a cultural war against Jewish ideas. The enlightenment was supposed to have been but it was misdirected. WW2 was just a battle in a much longer race war. The Romans fought the Carthaginians for centuries and were nearly beaten by them. Hannibal, Freud's hero, marched all over Italy. Many defected to his side. There are striking parallels to today. We are at our lowest ebb. The Jews have gained world power by the result of WW2 and are trying to eliminate the last few independent states like Iran to free their hands so that they can unleash a reign of terror across the globe such as they unleashed in Russia after 1917. The Jews are at the peak of their power but things are starting to fall apart. The planet itself is rebelling. Gaia herself is a Nazi. The bible stresses the theme that the gods punish their negligent worshippers and how punished we have been for abandoning our ancient pagan gods for the false god of our enemies. In the end after terrible sacrifice and bloodshed Carthage was destroyed and so one day will the ZOG. The Romans were tough and noble and our present western whites are the softest and stupidest generation ever seen, yet they will have to fight the battle for the planet.

You know the song "By the rivers of Babylon", anyone out there want to change the lyrics and send us in a full song based on it? Something like "Before the Talmud of Babylon we knelt down
Oh how we wept
Under the occupation government of Zion"

I think that would make catchy resistance music if someone out there wants to finish writing it and will record it.



Back

Make payments with PayPal - it's fast, free and secure!

Dear Eric,

Latest offering.

Not much news here.

Will have to find a
role somewhere as the
present lifestyle can't
continue.

I am thinking of opening
a bookshop somewhere
if I can find

backers,

Brian