

C-FAR NEWSLETTER

CITIZENS FOR FOREIGN AID REFORM INC.

POST OFFICE BOX 332, STN. B, ETOBICOKE, ONTARIO M9W 5L3

TEL. (905) 897-7221 FAX (905) 277-3914 ISDS NO. 0711-572

C-FAR Webpage - <http://www.populist.org> e-mail: cfar@canadafirst.net

The C-Far Newsletter is published monthly. Subscription is \$20.00, associate fee is \$50.00 per annum (G.S.T. included).

C-FAR #402

December, 2004

Rag And Bones City

Once Canada's most go-ahead, dynamic city, Toronto today is distinguished as North American capital of the cast-off clothing trade. The municipal equivalent of the old rag and bones man with his collecting cart, the rag-pickers (or *schmuta*) industry in Toronto is running full throttle thanks to a surreal confluence of depressing factors. A massive Third World presence in the once Queen City means that one of the stranger immigrant entrepreneur niche industries is the scooping up of used *American* clothing with a front-end loader to ship back for sale in the souks and street stalls of the very countries whose sweat shops are churning out even more tat destined for U.S. markets. "See you in five years!" the happy-go-lucky sweat shop employee waves as the 1,000th **Gap** tee-shirt slides off the sewing machine assembly line. "Of the Toronto area's five million people, about 45% are immigrants -- one of the highest percentages of any city in the world. The newcomers -- from Asia, Africa and the Caribbean -- provide the entrepreneurial energy, the abundant low-wage labour and, maybe most important, the contacts in the Third World to run clothing-sorting businesses. [Not to appear ungrateful, but is this really the manifestation of entrepreneurial energy Canadians had bargained for?] More than 15 sorting companies have sprung up in the suburb of Scarborough alone [but over all, there are] 50 such businesses in the **Greater Toronto Area** employing an estimated 5,000 to 10,000 workers. According to U.S. government trade figures, used-clothing exports to Canada soared more than 600% over the past decade to 86-million kilograms in 2003 as *Americans* -- enjoying steadily falling prices on new imported clothes -- buy ever greater quantities. [Apparently bargain shopping therapeutics south of the border offset] the loss of 2.5 million U.S. manufacturing jobs since the early 1990s to low-wage countries that can produce goods more cheaply. ... New industries have emerged not only in Canada but in South America, Africa and elsewhere, devoted simply to sorting, grading and repackaging millions of used American garments for resale in merchant stalls. The amount is so enormous that Mexico, Paraguay, Venezuela, Nigeria, Sudan and Zimbabwe, among others have banned imports of U.S. used clothing or imposed tariffs to protect their textile-manufacturing industries. [Nice to think somebody has standards; we wouldn't expect Ottawa to protect Canada's vanishing apparel industry] Last year, 97% of the clothes sold in U.S. stores were made abroad, up from about 50% in 1991. One-quarter came from China and Mexico. And more is to come when global apparel quotas disappear next month. ... **Boston College** sociology professor **Juliet B. Schor** ... estimates women bought 32 garment items a year in 1991. By 2002, according to her analysis of census data, they were buying about 50% more: 50 pieces. The gain was nearly as high for men." (**National Post**, December 28, 2004) Never quite admitted in the breathless, gee whiz tenor of the article, is the dismal fact that Toronto is but one of many hubs in the thoroughly Third World business of scavenging through America's cast offs.

'Year of the Rooster' in Canada

We all know our nation's state religion is Multiculturalism, especially those of us who live in urban centres. And in case any of us should forget this fact, the government never misses an opportunity to remind us through subtle and not so subtle means. The other day, as I was waiting in a painfully long line at the post office, staffed as usual with non-White employees, I picked up a magazine outlining the newest designs for Canadian stamps. I was dismayed, to say the least, after seeing the front cover which proudly featured the special stamp

of the year. Before I describe it, I want to ask if any of you were aware that this is apparently the 'Year of the Rooster' for the Chinese? Well, our government is aware and for some reason wants to spread the good news and have us all celebrate this auspicious occasion. The government of Canada has seen to it that **Canada Post** provideS us with a specially designed stamp depicting a rooster with words in Chinese.

Flipping through the publication I noticed also the official 'Wisdom of Diversity' stamp which marks **Expo Japan 2005**. The article explains how Canada will take this unique opportunity to celebrate our nation's diversity, blah, blah, blah.



The final page of the booklet again advertises the Rooster stamp and announces that the **Royal Canadian Mint** is coming out with a coin "honouring the Year of the Rooster. If you or someone you love has the good fortune to be born under this Chinese Lunar New Year sign, here's a great way to celebrate." My question is: To whom are they directing this advertisement? Chinese immigrants? Or is it to pathetic, deracinated Whites who are bereft of their own culture (or who hate their culture) and have to adopt an exotic and exciting one? I think the government would be worried if citizens started to show that they had blood in their veins and were fiercely proud of their own culture and heritage. As they say in Britain, yet another "cock-up" by our treasonous government! -- **Gloria Buckland**

Refugee Tsunami Sweeping Toward Canada

We just can't win for losing. A cynic warned me Boxing Day afternoon, when the death toll of the tsunami that slammed into a dozen Asian and African countries stood at just 3,000: "Watch, they soon will be flooding here as refugees." No, I thought. Crazy and treacherous as our immigration authorities are, surely that won't happen. Aid for natural disasters is one of the few legitimate foreign aid expenditures, certainly, but bringing masses of Asians to Canada is no solution. They may be temporarily displaced a few miles from their shattered homes. By all means, send medicine, food, sanitary supplies, water and water purification equipment. Help them rebuild and get back on their feet. The day after the tsunami, Canada pledged a million dollars. Tuesday that was upped to \$4-million. "Ottawa announced it would contribute \$40-million in aid to countries devastated by this week's catastrophic tsunamis as the global community stepped up efforts to cope with a death toll that threatened to hit 100,000. The move, a tenfold increase in what had originally been pledged, came yesterday." (**Globe and Mail**, December 30, 2004) Within a few weeks, the figure had soared to \$425-million, wildly out of whack with what Canadians, after unprecedented and intense publicity, thought the cause was worth -- roughly \$70-million. Our army is starved for funds. We need a **CANADA FIRST** policy. Support Canadian farmers, build up a strong military. Let the Third World take care of itself. A modest donation for disaster relief -- okay, but \$425-million is nuts. If **Paul Martin** is so charitable, let him get his sons to make a big donation from **Canada Steamship**

Lines. The Canadian beef industry is in desperate straights as several more examples of **Mad Cow Disease** have been discovered. Alberta's **Premier Ralph Klein** estimated that it would cost \$325-million to recompense Canadian beef farmers for killing every cow over 60 months of age. Five years ago, the feeding of cattle with parts of other animals' bodies was outlawed. Such feeding is thought to be the way **Mad Cow Disease** is transmitted. A **Canada First** policy would have protected the Canadian beef industry before lavishing aid on the South Asian victims of the tsunami.

How can a natural disaster in Asia be solved by bringing hordes of the homeless here? Nevertheless, that's the current plan. At least 5,000 people who can claim relatives in Canada to sponsor them will soon be heading here. As "family class" they'll have only to pass a medical and police check, but one suspects that their "expedited" entry may skip even those. Family class sponsorship imposes an obligation on the sponsor to look after the person sponsored for a period of time. However, large numbers simply renege on their obligations and let the taxpayers take care of them on welfare. Naturally, documentation will be skimpy. Many would-be newcomers may not be able to prove their identity. Their documents were swept out to sea, they'll claim, and, in some cases, it will be the truth. I predict a brisk trade in sponsorships of persons who become sudden relatives, in exchange for some thousands of dollars being paid to their new "relative" sponsors. Some may say that Ottawa just can't get it right. No! This idiotic policy is entirely consistent with the long range goals of Canada's immigration architects – the replacement of the European founder/settler population. The tsunami just provides a good excuse to bring in hordes more for "humanitarian" reasons, of course. Sadly, a good number of Euro-Canadians, their brains addled by multicult propaganda poison, may actually believe by snatching these Asians from their culture and home that we're actually doing them such a favour.

If memory serves, there was no suggestion over the past two decades, when flood or hurricanes left people homeless in the Dakotas or in Florida or the Gulf Coast, that we should bring in the American homeless, who might have relatives here. No, this is another backdoor method of pouring even more people and Liberal voters into our already overcrowded magnet cities – Toronto, Vancouver and Montreal.

"We think it will be most used in Sri Lanka, only because there are many Canadians [of] Sri Lankan descent living here," [an immigration spokesman] predicted. 'There is no discussion of a cap on the numbers of relatives who may be sponsored. Things are so fluid, we really don't know how many to expect.'" (**Globe and Mail**, December 30, 2004) There are a couple of timebombs buried here. In Toronto alone, there are over 6,000 people with **Tamil Tiger** terrorist training. With minimal screening, we may be getting a passel of terrorists to add to the already violent and considerable criminal Tamil element here. Oh, and the sheer breathless excitement of it all! The spokeshingy doesn't know how many to expect. Well, it won't be 5,000; it could well be 50,000. As former **Immigration Department** executive and whistle blower **Kim Abbott** predicted a generation ago of the 1979 flood of Vietnamese boat people – originally promised to number only a few thousand – they would end up sponsoring 35 or more each and we'd end up with entire villages. We did and it may soon look as if we're host to half of Sri Lanka.

Oh, yes, with 7.8% unemployment and these people having no proven skills but a relationship real or, I predict, purchased to some Tamil in Canada, we can look to a further strain on our welfare and social services (ESL, job training, daycare) budgets.

It's important to have your bullshit metre finally tuned. "**Liberal MP Jim Karygiannis**, who has been urging Ottawa to assist those left homeless and destitute, estimated that more than 5,000 Asians will come to Canada. 'It's a wonderful thing,' said Mr. Karygiannis. (**Globe and Mail**, December 30, 2004)" Now Karygiannis virtually runs an immigration agency out of his offices as an **MP**. Assist the homeless and destitute. Yes, of course, we should through foreign aid and, particularly, their countrymen who are here should be making big sacrifices and sending over megabucks. The take from one Buddhist temple the day after the tsunami was an unimpressive \$5,000 – most donations apparently \$20 notes, according to a newspaper photo.

Finally, while emergency foreign aid may be in order, we must insist on accountability. We don't want local thieves running off and selling Canadian food aid. Also, nations like Thailand, Indonesia and India have large militaries; India has nuclear weapons and, like Red China, plans within a decade to involve itself in space travel. They are the primary custodians of their own people's welfare. Finally, it must be noted that Thailand bears a great deal responsibility for the carnage there. It had advanced warning of the tsunami and chose not to warn its coastal areas for fear of lawsuits from Western tourists. Many of these tourists were in flesh-spots (local underage whores of both sexes widely available) like the aptly named Phuket.

The evidence of the Thais' greed and bad judgement, not apparently widely reported in North America, comes from the Swedish newspaper **Expressen** (December 28, 2004): Just minutes after the earthquake in the Indian Ocean on Sunday morning, Thailand's foremost meteorological experts were sitting together in a crisis meeting. But they decided not to warn about the tsunami "out of courtesy to the tourist industry," writes the Thailand daily newspaper **The Nation**. The experts got the news around 8:00 am on Sunday morning local time. An hour later, the first massive wave struck. But the experts started to discuss the economic impacts when they discussed if a tsunami warning should be issued. The primary argument against such a warning was that there had not been any floods in 300 years. Also, the experts believed the Indonesian island Sumatra would be a 'cushion' for the southern coast of Thailand. The experts also had bad information; they thought the tremor was 8.1. A similar earthquake occurred in the same area in 2002 with no flooding at all. ... 'We finally decided not to do anything because the tourist season was in full swing,' the source said. 'The hotels were 100 percent booked. What if we issued a warning, which would have led to an evacuation, and nothing had happened. What would be the outcome? The tourist industry would be immediately hurt. Our department would not be able to endure a lawsuit.'" -- **Paul Fromm**

Feeling Poor?

Feeling poor? There's a reason. According to a report released [January 18] by the **Toronto-Dominion Bank**, Canadians have been on an income treadmill for the past 15 years -- running hard, but getting nowhere. Although real per-capita **GDP** rose 25.5% between 1989 and 2004, after tax income rose just 3.5%. So where did all the extra money go? The government. Income, payroll, sales, gasoline, environmental and entertainment taxes, among other levies, have all risen substantially in the past decade-and-a-half, while the cost of paying the interest on the national debt each year now eats up two and a half times as much government revenue as it did in the 1970s and 1980s. Federal programme spending alone has increased 50% since 1989. Factor in interest on the debt, and Ottawa is spending nearly 80% more than it did when **Brian Mulroney** began his second term." (**Globe and Mail**, January 20, 2005)