

Number 200

October, 2007

Spirit Of Herouville

Somewhere along the way **The Rest of Canada (TROC)** abandoned ancient Anglo-Saxon traditions of individual rights, and permitted the heavy yoke of group rights to be spot-welded around *Anglais* necks. Even odder, the venerable tradition of individual rights would take root in the soil of *la belle province*. The classic case played out between a Hasidic boys school and the **YWCA**: If Hasidic boys are distracted by the sight of spandex-clad women exercising, the expectation is that the "Y" must frost IIS windows because the complaining party wishes to maintain the ambience of a 19th century *schtetl*. The "Y" gulped and went shopping for glaziers. Then there was the matter of *halal* French Canadian pea soup, *kirpans* in the schools, a half dozen suspected terror sleepers, the prohibition against Christmas and cultural skirmishes without end. Little Herouville published a declaration of community standards and the Quebec government called for province-wide hearings on this matter of reasonable accommodation -- more than **TROC** has ever been granted. Premier **Jean Charest** kicked off proceedings with a profound insight -- "*Our charters have always aimed to protect minorities against abuses by the majority. They were never designed to allow the contrary.*" And "until now there has been little opportunity for Canadians to discuss these issues in public. To do so risked being labelled politically incorrect at best and racist at worst. The **Bouchard-Taylor** [reasonable accommodation] **Commission**, however, has a mandate to encourage an open and frank examination of just how far Quebecers should be expected to go in accommodating the requirements of newcomers. And it is getting an earful. Quebecers have not been shy about expressing their concerns over what they consider to be unreasonable expectations on the part of some immigrants and groups. Nor should they be shy. Quebec society and that of Canada in general are among the most inclusive and tolerant in the world and want to stay that way. This does not, however, mean that we do not have a sense of national identity and traditions that we consider worth preserving. The citizens of other countries -- including those from which most of our immigrants come -- certainly have an idea of who they are. To dismiss such feelings on the part of Quebecers and Canadians in general as xenophobic and racist is, therefore, completely unjustified. **David Lam**, the distinguished former lieutenant-governor of British Columbia (who happens to be an immigrant from Hong Kong) summed the situation up well when he stated that '*When a Canadian is concerned about his own way of living, this concern is not racism.*' [Good for him. Even our current minority female teleprompter reader/governor general, **Michaëlle Jean**, "believes the entire country would benefit from Quebec-style hearings ... Sometimes, of course, there are disturbing things that come out from that dialogue. But I think it is worth having it instead of pretending that it's all fine." (**Maclean's**, October 22, 2007)]

Questions about what constitutes reasonable accommodation of immigrants' demands and multiculturalism policy in general cannot, moreover, be discussed without examining the premises of our immigration policy. Without our large-scale immigration (the largest per capita in the world) these issues would not have arisen and it will be necessary, therefore, to look at how much and what kind of immigration is best for Quebec and for Canada. Until now political parties have framed their policies on immigration largely around what is likely to attract the support of newcomers -- *regardless of whether or not such policies are in the best interests of Canadians*

in general. Parties have been able to do this on the fairly safe assumption that, while members of the general population might have some reservations about where immigration policies are taking us, such concerns do not usually come into play when they decide how to vote at an election. Thanks in large measure to the forthright if somewhat colourful declaration by the citizens of the small town of Hérouville, however, this might be about to change. Political parties should take note." (**Martin Collacott**, **Ottawa Citizen**, November 2, 2007)

The "R" Word

Now that all racial epithets have been scrubbed from the common lexicon, let's examine what purpose such terms served. They told the recipients to shut up, that no nonsense would be tolerated from the likes of them, to toe the line, behave themselves, *or else*. Fast forward to a day when Whites are silenced every day with hisses of "Racist." And of course, it is only ever Whites who are so maligned. The term is, in fact, a racial slur in itself and it serves the same purpose it always has. You shut up. We don't put up with nonsense from your kind. We must complain just as bitterly when we are slapped across the mouth with the only acceptable racist term still in circulation -- "Racist."

Put That On My Visa

"In reaction to a flood of asylum seekers from the Czech Republic, mainly Romanies [Gypsies], Ottawa re-introduced visas in 1997 on the grounds that Czechs were abusing the Canadian welfare system. ... Canadian ambassador to Prague **Michael Calcott** explains [the implications of lifting the visa requirement]: 'We will monitor any abuses of the system but basically our criteria, as far as refugee claimants goes, is that if a country's nationals make up more than two percent of the overall refugee claims made in Canada we would then look to re-imposing the visas.'" (**Radio Praha**, November 1, 2007) Really? Last year democratic Mexico was our overwhelming source of refugee claimants -- 3,419 of 16,525 applications, according to **CIC**. We make that 20.69 per cent. In New Math, is 20.69 per more than two per cent, Mr. Calcott?

Wealth Of Nations

In a recent edition of the **National Post** (July 20, 2007) readers were treated to **PJ O'Rourke's** top ten excerpts from **Adam Smith's Wealth of Nations**. Consider "#7 Human capital -- it ain't just PhDs. In addition to expanded markets, economic growth depends upon labour's use of capital, or 'stock.' At the beginning of book two of **Wealth**, Smith lists different kinds of stock including 'the acquired and useful abilities of all the inhabitants or members of the society.' By categorizing 'useful abilities' as capital, Smith was returning to a principle put forth in the consideration of the division of labour in book one of **Wealth**. Every man should be given the respect accorded to an eminent specialist, even the 'common ploughman ... regarded as the pattern of stupidity and ignorance.' Every man is a specialist in what he needs and wants. And the most stupid and ignorant man is more than that. Just a few years ago the 'common ploughman' of China was thought to possess no human capital at all. Today he's reckoned to be the most potent economic force in the world. It's not because a billion Chinese peasants got **MBAs**." Only the ones that emigrated to Canada, right?

Nice Country, Shame About The People

According to data obtained by immigration lawyer **Richard Kurland**, the number of immigration applications to Canada from London is on the rise, but don't be thinking they're from Nigel, Malcolm and

Cuthbert: "According to the 2006-2007 planning report for London, 62 per cent of applicants being processed in London originated in Gulf region countries and more than 55 per cent of visas issued went to Gulf residents." (CTV, October 18, 2006) "No longer is Montreal the Jewish city. Muslims, predominantly Arab, now outnumber Jews by more than 11,000." (Macleans, May 26, 2006) "On Feb 13, the CBC published and aired the results of an Environics poll, which on their website was billed as 'Glad to be Canadian, Muslims say.' Apparently 'more than 80% of Canada's roughly 700,000 Muslims are broadly satisfied with their lives here.' [And as CBC crows, that means Moslems are significantly happier 'than the 61 per cent of Canadians who generally feel their lives are on the right track.'] Waaaay down in the on-line CBC story about this poll is the news that when 'asked about the arrests last summer of the 18 Muslim men and boys who were allegedly plotting terrorist attacks in southern Ontario, 73% of Muslim respondents said these attacks were not at all justified.' That portion of the poll ended there. No more details. Why? The Environics website made no mention about this portion of the poll either. However, on CBC's **The National** television programme on the same day, this part of the poll was fleshed-out and the results are alarming. Fully 12% of Muslim Canadians polled by Environics said the alleged terrorist plot -- that included the planned kidnapping and beheading the prime minister and blowing up Parliament and the CBC -- was justified. Predictably, the CBC managed to find a talking head -- in this case **York University** sociology professor **Haideh Moghissi** -- who dismissed this disturbing revelation. 'It's really negligible that 12 percent feel that the attacks would be justified,' said Moghissi. 'I don't think it even warrants attention.' Clearly, other news agencies and those who put the poll results on the CBC website agree with Moghissi. [And if you blinked, you will have missed this non-starter of a story altogether.] But just how 'negligible' is 12% of 700,000 people. Well, if Moghissi knew arithmetic like she knows denial, she'd know if this poll is accurate, 84,000 Canadian Muslims think it's justifiable to behead our democratically elected prime minister and blow up the very symbol and centre of our democracy! The Environics poll ... is said to be accurate within 4.4 percentage points with regard to the Muslim respondents ... 19 times out of 20. So, let's err on the side of caution here. Let's subtract the margin of error -- 4.4% -- from 12%. That comes to 7.6%, so let's say, just to be really non-alarmist, we round that down to 7%. That still means 49,000 Canadian Muslims believe conducting a terrorist attack on their own country -- Canada -- is justified. Is it just me, or does this not strike anyone else as the opposite of 'negligible?' Isn't this significant news?" (Licia Corbella, Calgary Sun, February 18, 2007) Resoundingly, no.

The first sentence of the CBC story notes of Moslems, "only a very small percentage -- 17 per cent -- feel that many or most Canadians are hostile toward their religion." Canadians, "broadly satisfied with their lives" at catastrophically lower rates than Moslems in Canada, were evidently not even asked whether they felt that others (who might be actively plotting terror) were "hostile toward their religion." The Sun story goes on to note: "This poll was published on the same day it was learned *al-Qaida* ... was urging its followers to target all oil fields, including Canada's. ... 'We should strike petroleum interests in all areas which supply the United States and not only in the Middle East, because the target is to stop its imports or decrease it by all means,' it states. That threat was made on an *al-Qaida* on-line magazine called Sawt al-Jihad (Voice of Holy War). ... In other words, the Environics poll indicates anywhere between 49,000 to 84,000 Muslim Canadians likely would view attacks on our oil sands development justifiable, and if that's the case, it's safe to assume some portion of those tens of thousands of people might be prone to carrying out such an attack. We already know calls to martyrdom and

jihad have been made from Canadian mosques, including one in B.C. and the one in Ontario the 18 alleged wanna-be beheaders attended. It's safe to assume there are more. [Oh, surely not the wisecracking sophisticates from -- and whatever happened to -- Little Mosque on the Prairie?] But, hey, this is Canada, where in the interest of political correctness and fear of offending, the lead on these kinds of stories gets buried and our heads remain planted where there is no illumination and therefore, no truth." (Calgary Sun, *ibid*) Finally, "many Canadians would probably be stupefied if they discovered how many of their Muslim fellow citizens would like to see Canada's liberal-democratic political and social order replaced by Islamic *sharia* law. Polls in England and Germany containing this highly enlightening question have already disclosed that almost a third of the Muslims living in these countries would like to see Sharia become the law of their lands. [Those rates nearly double in Canada: The Environics poll found the proportion of Moslems in Canada endorsing *sharia* running at 53 per cent.] In the end, it is these Muslims who represent a greater threat to Western civilization than the *jihadists* themselves. One of their tactics is to bring about the disappearance of our liberal-democratic order through legal, peaceful means, destroying its foundations bit by bit. And as the left has likewise so gradually, and successfully, transformed society since the 1960s, the march towards a *sharia*-dominated state will also not be an overnight revolution. But in forty years many may be forced to admit that a revolution it has been." (Front Page Magazine, March 1, 2007) Like everything else imposed on Canadians in recent years, their dhimmitude will be met with unhappiness but little or no resistance. In reality, Canadian "human rights" law already functions as the *sharia* state's ubiquitous ministry to promote virtue and prevent vice.

Our Growing Irrelevance

"If it's true, as Churchill remarked of the Balkans, that some places produce more history than they consume, Canada would be the opposite, a black hole that imports trends, culture, politics, histories from elsewhere -- from Scotland, England, France, the United States and, lately, the West Indies and South Asia -- and emits no perceptible light. [The Washington Post is just the latest major US newspaper to shut up shop in Canada] The Post follows The New York Times, Wall Street Journal, Chicago Tribune and Los Angeles Times in ending full-time coverage of this country's northern neighbour. By this summer ... no U.S. newspaper will have a staff correspondent in Canada." (Miami Herald, April 17, 2007) But isn't the CBC always telling us that we are the self-righteous beacon to which the rest of the world looks for instruction on everything from the miracle of multiculturalism to a peace keeper military? Surely if we had anything of genuine interest to offer the world, the world's stringers would be trampling each other in the rush for office space in Toronto.

Pulmonary Rage

Without real standards to guide us, people increasingly confuse personal preference with some form of religious observance: obsessing over what the other guy's smoking, drinking, after shave or peanut butter and jelly sandwich is doing to *"my"* health. But an intriguing study deserves wider circulation before the door is violently slammed in its face. Congenitally indignant reformed smokers beware! New research has "found that young adults with short fuses have impaired lung function. 'What's really remarkable [is that] some of the associations were greater than being a current smoker,' says lead author and psychologist **Benita Jackson**, of **Smith College** in Northampton, Mass. In a study of more than 4,600 18- to 30-year-olds, Jackson's team found the more hostile, frustrated and mean-spirited the personality, the lower the pulmonary function. ... Researchers measured and tested several times how much each person could blow out in one second with his or her nose clipped after taking

the deepest breath possible. Next, participants filled out a 50-item questionnaire that tapped into hostile attitudes. It also measured just how cynical people are, and how quick to anger. Each incremental increase in hostility was associated with a small but statistically significant reduction in lung function for African-American women, Caucasian women and African-American men. ... In an earlier study of older Caucasian men, Jackson's team found that hostility appeared to accelerate natural ageing of the lungs. The more rapid the decline in lung function, the sooner people die. ... Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ... is a major cause of death in Canada and throughout the world. Smoking, air pollution at work or in the environment, infections in childhood and family history are all known risk factors, but they don't explain the differences in who develops **COPD**, Jackson says. ... Adjusting for asthma and smoking didn't alter findings [and] even being a current smoker didn't show the same association with lung function." (Vancouver Sun, June 4, 2007)

If The Shoebox Fits

What intellectual giant of the Canadian political scene said: "What you see is what you get -- sometimes you have to break eggs to make an omelette"? If you guessed former immigration minister **Denis Coderre**, you win an extra dip in the pork barrel. "Conservatives have been circulating archive footage from **Radio-Canada**, in which a then 15-year-old Mr. Coderre claims to have seen a **UFO**. The clip shows the young Denis, a fan of astrology, describing how he'd seen something 'phenomenal' in the sky, accompanied by drawings of the object that looks suspiciously like something from a 1950s B-movie. 'It turns out it was a weather balloon. But you have to admire the confidence of the young man,' Mr. Coderre [fondly says of his then self. Did we mention that this **UFO** spotter is current Defence critic?]

Mr. Coderre says his passion for politics was formed when he became president of his *kindergarten class* at age six. [Wow, that must have been a tough election, but then, he was older than the other kids.] His route into elected politics was a bumpy one. He ran for office three times before getting elected, finally, in 1997. ... As **Sun Media** columnist **Greg Weston** detailed in Reign of Error, his book on **John Turner's** troubled leadership, Mr. Coderre was already a force during the 1986 leadership review. He was a pro-Turner youth organizer responsible for ensuring students made the trip from Quebec to Ottawa to support Mr. Turner. Mr. Coderre admitted in the book that 'private local sponsors' were found to pay the expenses of the youth delegates. Mr. Weston also details an incident in which one organizer received a gift-wrapped shoebox full of cash to help recruit delegates. Mr. Coderre denies he was the anonymous figure who entered Liberal folklore as '**Shoebox Boy**.' Between 1993 and 1996, [he] was deputy executive director for the Quebec wing of the **Liberal Party**. His involvement meant Mr. Coderre was called as witness at the **Gomery Inquiry** into the sponsorship scandal and his name popped up frequently during testimony. Before getting elected in 1997, Mr. Coderre was hired to do public relations by **Groupe Polygone**, a firm that went on to receive \$40-million in government sponsorship funds, including \$330,000 for a non-existent hunting and fishing show. [The high point of his career came when he was vaulted from a lightweight portfolio, secretary of state for amateur sport, into the consequential immigration posting following the September 11 attacks -- a calculated mismatch **Boss Chretien** must have found endlessly amusing. Interestingly, Coderre's tenure would prove no more disaster prone than any of the other disappointments we've endured in recent years, but he would be swept out by the new broom] 'his presence was not overly missed,' said one Martinite. [Finally,] during the 2006 election, he created a storm by accusing **Shane Doan** of trash-talking a francophone linesman at a game in Montreal. [Coderre did what he could to submerge Doan's chances at the **Turin Olympics**, but] Mr. Doan was cleared by the **NHL** and subsequently launched a lawsuit against Mr. Coderre for defamation. Mr. Coderre

launched a countersuit, while decrying the historical treatment of francophones in the NHL as second-class citizens." (**National Post**, June 9, 2007) But you expected that, didn't you?

Policies Get Us Noticed

Serious and influential, **The Economist** (September 13, 2007) identifies Canada as "a haven for villains. "Despite government denials, all kinds of undesirables are getting into Canada under the country's dysfunctional 'refugee' system. While doing little to save genuine refugees in camps abroad, it has opened the door to queue-jumping economic migrants, big-time crooks and terrorists, as documented in numerous reports (notably from the federal government's security service and auditor-general) over more than 20 years. In 1999, the Americans nabbed [**Ahmed Ressam**] an Algerian asylum-seeker as he tried to cross the border from Canada with explosives intended to blow up **Los Angeles International Airport**. Canada is the easiest country in the developed world in which to obtain refugee status. Most countries accept no more than around 15% of all applicants, whereas Canada accepts more than half. Attracted by an entitlement to the same legal rights and social benefits as for Canadian citizens, some 25,000 asylum-seekers make their way to Canada every year. Many come from safe, but less generous, third countries, often paying people-smugglers up to \$50,000 each for false passports and airline tickets. Once in Canada, they know they will be able to stay—and work—there for at least four years, while pursuing their appeals through the courts. Even if their claims are ultimately rejected, there is a good chance they will never actually be deported; the backlog of unexecuted removal orders is around 50,000. [Wow, the exact same number as ten years ago !] Many end up as citizens."

Rules Are For Other People

"A majority of Canadians believe immigrants who are in the country illegally or after their visas expire should be deported, even if they have family ties in the country, a newly released government poll shows. Conducted for **Citizenship and Immigration Canada**, the national poll revealed that respondents didn't make a distinction between '*undocumented workers*' and '*workers without the proper work permits*,' with nearly two-thirds of Canadians coming down hard on illegal immigrants because they did not follow the rules. 'Half say that they feel the same way about immigrants who have studied in Canada and have the potential to contribute to this country but who are now here illegally because their visas have run out,' said the poll summary. As well, a slight majority said immigrants who did not go through the proper application process should be deported despite the existence of family members already in the country. Prof. **Peter Showler**, director of the **Refugee Forum** at the **University of Ottawa**, said it is a 'very high Canadian value' to oppose queue-jumping by anyone in the country, including immigrants. 'We are a very civil society and we like the idea that people should play by the rules, and we don't like it when there are rule-breakers.' [Sorry, did we laugh? It is one of the tragedies of multiculturalism that we are supposed to pretend that a member of the **Dutch Reformed Church**, a subcontinental worshipper of Kali, a fundamentalist Moslem, a Chinese atheist and a Rastafarian can or will ever agree on the rules. Or more importantly, on which rules are "fair" enough to command respect. And with Canada so pathetically weak on enforcement, it really does come down to what immigrants themselves think of "our" rules. Resorting to the carefully memorized script] pro-immigration aspects were identified as giving a boost to the workforce by bringing in more labourers and highly skilled people while increasing cultural diversity. [But note how distressing Canadians find diversity in practice.] 'Negative aspects identified include the perception that there is a lack of integration in that immigrants are imposing their culture instead of adapting to ours, the

desire of immigrants to have Canada accommodate them, the impact that immigration has on Canadian culture and the notion that immigrants groups tend to stay together and not mix with the rest of society,' said the poll report. ... The survey also found that despite Canadians' limited understanding of biometrics, three of five support their use once the effectiveness against the fraudulent use of identity documents is explained. 'They say that the federal government's main priority... should be maintaining security rather than protecting privacy.' [The survey was based on] 1,200 telephone interviews with adult Canadians ... it was the first time the government had asked questions about deporting illegal immigrants in Canada. The poll cost about \$40,000." (**National Post**, October 20, 2007) A lot of money to tell us that we feel uneasy about our gargantuan, shambolic immigration programme.

Alas, Arar

Foolish Kamloops practically bent itself into a pretzel to welcome **Maher Arar** and family. In repayment for this outpouring of generosity, he laid down rubber once the pay out came through: "\$10.5 million in a legal settlement ... plus coverage of his legal bills, which his lawyer said will amount to \$1 million. Arar said no amount of money can make up for his ordeal, loss of a career as a respected engineer and loss of self-esteem." (**National Post**, January 27, 2007) Well, really? While Arar's pay out was considerably less than the \$400-million he'd initially demanded for his US-Syria engineered 1-year ordeal, he did rather better out of Canadian taxpayers than **David Milgaard**, wrongly imprisoned in Canada for 23 (!) years and fobbed off with a mere ten-million for a lost lifetime.

Son Of Immigrant Investor Scam

The immigrant investor scam has been pronounced DOA and revived more often than **Keith Richards**. For a look at its latest metamorphosis, it is evidently necessary to go outside Canada. According to the **Financial Times of India** (November 1, 2007), Canada's misnamed "investor" programme is "an easy passage to that country. ... Visas granted under this category were 4 in 2004, 15 in 2005, 17 in 2006 and 53 in 2007 so far. ... In fact, the Canadian programme is far more flexible than investor programmes of other countries. Under the Canadian programme, the immigrant investor lends the government C\$400,000 for five years, and then gets the money back. It's a secure loan but not an investment. Investors may borrow the money from approved Canadian financial institutions if they don't have cash. If they borrow, the cost of the permanent residence status is about \$125,000. The programme is considered safe and offered only to people who have business experience and meet the net worth [\$800,000] conditions. While not many countries have immigration options for investors, some like the US require immigrants to play an active role in setting up and managing a business. Besides, the benchmark of these programmes is also sometimes very high when compared to the Canadian investor programme. ... 'Today the C\$400,000 which will initially be invested can later be used to buy a single family detached home in the greater Toronto area, a city where the majority of immigrants from India tend to settle,' says **Atul Ahuja**, former director of marketing & communications at the **Indo-Canadian Chamber of Commerce (ICCC)** and CEO of the **Canadian Cricket Association**. Canada is also popular among Indian immigrants because of the right to free education and medical services for the entire family. [Just how easy is it? According to programme shill] **Marc Audet**, vice-president, immigrant investor programme at **Desjardines Bank** in Canada ... 'It is unconditional and *does not require that the investor remain active in any business in Canada. There are no conditions attached to it with regards to age or language proficiency and educational*

qualification requirements in many other immigration categories in Canada. 'Many people from Delhi and Mumbai and others from the states of Punjab and Gujarat are considering this as a good option to settle down anywhere in Canada and also because the visa processing is much faster than other categories,' he adds. The Desjardines group has a programme under which it offers pre-approved financing to immigrant investors which helps them meet the investment requirement. The loan from the bank can go up to even 70% of the required investment. The advantage for the investor is that they can then put *their own money* in higher-return investments. Besides Canada's Federal investor category, the other investor programme that is popular with Indians is the **Quebec investor category**. Under the Quebec investor programme, an applicant has to have management experience in top positions in an organisation and the source of funds should be self-generated. The federal programme, on the other hand, allows applicants to show all legally acquired funds which could even be *inherited or received as a gift*. [Does that include 'temporary gifts'?] 'For both the investor programmes, the age factor is an important advantage. These programmes are suitable for applicants who want to *retire* from their business or professional services and settle down in Canada." Medicare at OUR expense for THEIR old age!

CRIME WATCH

News From Manitoba's Niche Industry

"**Thang Tu Nguyen** was arrested Jan. 27, 2006, after Mounties raided his home [outside] Winnipeg, and seized about 750 pot plants and a small amount of crack. Nguyen was convicted of drug possession and trafficking and last June was handed a two-year jail sentence. [He was released from jail Jan 3.] But instead of enjoying his freedom, Nguyen was immediately handed over to officers from the **Canada Border Services Agency**. ... **Lisa White**, a spokeswoman for the **CBSA**, said ... his deportation is permanent. 'We just want people to know that we don't want criminals in Canada and that our role is to remove them,' said White, who said the agency has decided to start making these deportations public. [Just *making them* is a big improvement on past performance!] In Nguyen's case his deportation came four days after his release from prison." (**Winnipeg Sun**, February 8, 2007) In contrast, "a Chinese immigrant who claims he was duped into working on a massive dope farm was sentenced yesterday to one day time served. **Fai Ng**, 46, pleaded guilty to one count of marijuana production. Ng was one of 28 suspects arrested in October 2005 after police seized nearly \$20 million worth of weed from a farm near Sundown, a small south-eastern Manitoba community near the Canada-U.S. border. ... Judge **Mary Kate Harvie** said she was satisfied Ng didn't know what he was getting into when he was lured to the remote farm property. ... Ng spent one month in jail before being released on bail. All 28 suspects are Chinese immigrants, and all but one were living in Toronto before their arrest at the farm. Police raided the property Oct. 7 and found the 28 workers sleeping in a small farmhouse 'stacked like cordwood' [alongside] four massive greenhouses containing \$10.5 million worth of marijuana plants and 2,700 pounds of harvested bud. Court heard Ng had just been laid off from a restaurant in Toronto's Chinatown when he was offered a job on a farm paying \$500 a day. ... Ng is the second suspect to be convicted in the drug operation. In June, 31-year-old **Keung Kwok Leung** was sentenced to 18 months time served. Leung, an illegal immigrant, was denied bail following his arrest and remained in jail until his sentencing. He has since been deported to Hong Kong. The 26 suspects still before the courts remain free on bail. The alleged mastermind behind the operation, **Kyhong Wong**, is the subject of a Canada-wide warrant and is