

*δύστανε, μίρας ὄσον παροίχει*

# Instauration®

VOL. 24, NO. 8

JULY 1999

## A Comparison



# The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

In *Safety Valve* (May 1999) a correspondent erroneously reported there was not a single Negro in the film, *Payback*. Actually there was one—a corrupt cop. It's more accurate to say there were no Negro role models in the film.

752

Your essay "Shame" (May 1999) was right on the mark. I can't read anything like that in my daily paper.

334

More in sorrow than in anger Dick Gephardt complained that the tie vote on House support of the air war shows how vile Republicans are. How about the 26 House Democrats who also voted "no?" Well, er, that was a vote of conscience!

111

Great articles on journalist Pfaff and the "Greatest Generation" swindle. It's amazing how in a world of controlled information the truth does occasionally slip through. The reverse think of the media should tell the average individual something, but it doesn't. I assure you that until Joe Blow understands that WWII was not a "Good War" he isn't going to understand anything.

472

When our African embassies were bombed, Clinton responded by blasting an aspirin factory in Sudan and a garbage dump in Afghanistan. A stray missile landed in Pakistan. When we blew up

the Chinese embassy in Belgrade, we were annoyed when we weren't instantly forgiven.

577

In accepting Soviet Jews under the Lautenberg Amendment, the U.S. sometimes fails to distinguish between refugees and fugitives. In some instances individuals who say they fear persecution in their homeland may actually fear prosecution for a crime. How else account for the considerable number of Soviet Jews in the so-called Russian Mafia in the U.S.?

814

A young woman friend of the two dead Colorado school killers said, "Eric and Dylan were sweet kids who made a bad choice. People now are going to hate them and that's just wrong." I wonder if the families of the murdered students agree?

732

During Clinton's impeachment trial, Senator Dale Bumpers (D-AR) reasoned that the President only had two years left in the White House. So "how much harm could he do?" We're finding that out with every passing day!

800

Ethnic cleansing may inconvenience certain groups, but ethnic muddying destroys an entire culture.

941

Ever notice how many Kosovars are handsome, even blond? I don't mind if 20,000 of them come here. But then could we refuse an equal number of Sudanese or Hutus?

933

Bad news for Tipper Gore, whose interests have switched from obscene rap music to mental health. I hear Clinton is tutoring Al Gore on how to loosen up and have fun. Wonder if that includes cigar lessons?

996

The stupidity of the American people is—well—breathtaking! Had someone told me six months ago that not only would Clinton escape impeachment with his popularity intact but would start a protracted war half a world away already costing \$20 billion, I would have laughed out loud. The whole thing is just scary. I only wonder if the country can

survive the next 18 months without this psychopath starting a nuclear war.

835

It would be interesting to find out how many Jews died fighting in America's wars. Someone once stated that when war comes Jews run to the bank, not to the front.

303

This mad war against Serbia will generate oceans of fun for those who like to see Europeans kill each other.

Swiss subscriber

The many and varied Jewish demands for reparations all come with the pious disclaimer, "It's not about money."

915

Clinton dislikes tough questions. Angered by accusations of lying to the people, he retorted, "Hundreds and hundreds of times I told the truth." That's like saying to a judge, "Sure, I robbed that bank, Your Honor, but hundreds and hundreds of times I just cashed checks there." Or, "I admit I raped her, Your Honor, but there are hundreds of women I didn't rape."

606

On CNN, CIA Director George Tenet said he recently fired an employee for an "unauthorized relationship with Israel." If it takes to the end of time, he'll live to regret that grievous faux pas.

066

## CONTENTS

Churchill and Hitler. . . . .	4
Aping the Negro. . . . .	8
De-Blonding of Southern California	10
Schools Into Shooting Galleries. . .	12
Keeping the Record Straight. . . . .	13
Uninspiring Brothers. . . . .	14
Ecumenical Racism. . . . .	15
Go, Pat, Go!. . . . .	15
Philadelphia As It Was. . . . .	16
Backtalk. . . . .	17
The Hawaiian Model. . . . .	18
Cultural Catacombs. . . . .	19
Inklings. . . . .	20
Primate Watch. . . . .	21
Talking Numbers. . . . .	22
Satcom Sam. . . . .	23
Waspishly Yours. . . . .	24
Elsewhere. . . . .	26

## Instauration

is published 12 times a year by  
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.  
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

### Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)  
\$45 (first class)  
\$48 Canada  
\$50 foreign (surface)  
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid  
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.  
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.  
Advise change of address well in advance.  
ISSN 0277-2302

©1999 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

## The Safety Valve



□ What threatens America more: Chinese nuclear missiles or teen-wielded guns? Clinton/Gore are convinced it's the latter.

566

□ Albania is now a U.S. protectorate. The Americans won't leave until the CIA is finished with the country.

113

□ They don't come any more PC establishmentarian than Charlie Rose. In two recent back-to-back full hours with maverick, partly Jewish Vanity Fair writer, Christopher Hitchens, and erstwhile Clinton left-hand man, George Stephanopoulos, Rose was taken aback as both men criticized the President mercilessly. He's vile, they insisted. So he was and and so he is.

911

□ I notice when Serbia didn't crumble in a few days or a week that Madeleine Albright's visage was seen less frequently on TV. She reappeared in all her majesty when after two solid months of bombing Milosevic finally asked for "peace talks." Then she happily announced that the bombing would continue all through the diplomatic pourparlers. Hell hath no fury like a Jewish Secretary of State who has been made to look foolish.

329

□ Why with all the liberal use of the popular "motherf" don't we ever hear "fatherf?"

022

□ Perhaps the strangest facet of the Jesse Ventura phenomenon is the silence of Ross Perot. Since Ventura was the first Reform Party candidate to be elected to high office, one would think Perot would be out there congratulating him and basking in the reflected glory of the new Minnesota governor. Is Perot jealous because Ventura stole his thunder? Or could it be the Reform Party was originally set up not to win elections but to serve some other agenda?

572

□ The U.S. has fought the mother country twice, Germany twice and eleven of our own states. We have become famous for fighting our friends. The war against "little Serbia," a sovereign nation which we called "valiant" in 1914, is insane, no matter what we think of Milosevic. Nei-

ther the U.S. nor NATO was ever intended to make war on one of Europe's historic defenders against Islam. The Democratic administration and some Republicans, like mischievous retardates, are lighting American matches all over the powder keg of Europe.

926

□ The U.S. is obviously going to continue to meddle in the Balkans. If it cannot wring some kind of success out of this latest endeavor, it should resign from the imperialist camp once and for all. The goals are certainly murky enough—at least the ones we hear about.

785

□ Every American should read *My Awakening*. David Duke's book is an encyclopedia of everything we've wanted to know but were afraid to ask. It's comprehensive, readable, well-documented, indispensable. I suggest author Duke have the manuscript professionally and meticulously re-edited for future printings. Perhaps the title of the book should be harder hitting to give it the apocalyptic flavor it deserves.

984

□ It is difficult to account for our humanitarian concern over the plight of Kosovo refugees. Where was our humanitarian concern in 1945-46 when 10 to 15 million Germans were ethnically cleansed by the victorious Allies? Where was our humanitarian concern when Israel turned a huge number of Palestinians into refugees.

200

□ Before signing on coach-choking Latrelle Sprewell, the Knicks quizzed him on his unconventional moral code: five kids with three moms; reluctance to put down his pit bull when it partially chewed off a daughter's ear. The criminal records of players in the Big League and the records of inmates of the nearest pen often read much the same. But all concerned have to pretend the former are good guys gone just a teeny bit wrong.

300

□ Hillary and Bill are like two people on a teeter-totter. As he sinks further into the mire of vice and vulgarity, she soars ever higher on clouds of saintly self-sacrifice. Commenting on Hillary's predicted run for New York senator, a pundit noted, "She's had the toughest job in

America—married to Clinton." Nothing in politics beats being a victim.

449

□ Clinton tried to convince Americans that legally he was never alone with Monica, never had sex with her! Can he also convince them that an endless stream of refugees constitutes victory in the Balkans?

805

□ Life isn't too pleasant for Americans who live near the Mexican border and are forced to serve as unwilling hosts to transient illegals. Our government is more concerned about protecting the Kosovars.

330

□ From his days as Arkansas governor, when Clinton gets into hot water, people die (Vincent Foster), sometimes thousands of people (Kosovo). Nevertheless his supporters forgive him and demand that we "move on."

114

□ The political influence of Jews did not originate with the Holocaust. Holocaust propaganda did, however, strengthen the Zionist grip on the American and European jugular.

324

□ Of some 700 FBI requests for wire-taps, Janet Reno rejected only two, both involving suspected Chinese espionage. Her indignant explanation: "It was to protect the constitutional rights of the suspects."

033

□ "We were better friends before we kissed. I think I scared her. Maybe I gave her a little too much tongue." Selma Blair, film actress, discussing kissing Sarah Michelle Gellar in the movie, *Cruel Intentions*.

100

□ What's the difference between an outrage and an amusing hyperbole? Depends on whether you're a Democrat. Spike Lee demands confiscation of all guns. As to how to get rid of NRA President Charlton Heston, Spike has the answer: "Shoot him with a .44 magnum!"

300

□ Jews keep demanding more money for losses they allegedly suffered in WWII. Jews call it reparations. Unhousebroken Gentiles call it blackmail.

900

# Churchill and Hitler—A Balance Sheet

## Churchill

Having followed the occasional comments on Churchill and Hitler in our favorite magazine, I have finally decided to put in my two cents. As an American of British descent, my views on both men are a bit different from those expressed to date.

As to Churchill, it seems to me that there is a surprising consistency in the history of his family. The Churchills were routinely dishonorable, unreliable and wrong. They were jump-started into history by John Churchill, the first Duke of Marlborough. Born into a lower aristocratic or upper gentry family, the young Churchill was definitely a man on the make. Extraordinarily handsome, he gained entrée to the high aristocracy by becoming a male prostitute at the court of Charles II.


Eventually he was able to worm (whore?) his way into the confidence of James II, the last Catholic King of England and Scotland, and parlayed his newfound status into a marriage with a blue-blooded woman who was the best friend of Princess Anne, the King's daughter. The connection was to prove priceless later when the bovine, brainless Anne became queen. By shamelessly supporting the unconstitutional usurpations of the papist James II, he conned a commission of high command in the military.

When the homosexual William of Orange was brought over by the Whigs and Puritans to topple James II in the Glorious Revolution, Churchill saw which way the wind was blowing and instantly switched sides, betraying his Catholic benefactor without so much as blinking an eye.

Through this double-dealing, Churchill became the commander of the British armed forces in the subsequent wars with the French. It must be confessed that he was an able soldier and earned the dukedom given him by the new monarchs. His military services to William, Mary and Anne, however, did not prevent him from continuing his intrigue with the exiled monarch. He was covering his bets in case he needed to switch masters again.

It took a long time for the next prominent Churchill to emerge. He was Winston's father, Randolph, younger brother of the Duke of Marlborough. It is interesting to

note that Randolph and Winston were at loggerheads with the Chamberlain family, whose origins and character were at strong variance with those of the Churchills. The Cham-



berlains had no aristocratic origins. They were self-made men who acquired their fortune by hard work and honest industry in the industrial revolution. They championed protection, at that time for British agriculture but later for British industry. They were opposed to "free trade," which over the years has proved so corrosive to the British economy.

Randolph Churchill and later his feckless son, Winston, shamelessly pandered to the shortsighted greed of the working men and the middle classes in opposing and ultimately thwarting the efforts of the Chamberlains to strengthen the British Empire and place it on a permanent foundation by building an economic union

with the dominions and the colonies.

The so-called aristocratic Churchills (my grandmother would have called them "jumped-up white trash") vehemently denounced Joseph Chamberlain's protectionist proposals and bought votes by promising short-term economic goodies and cheap food for the working and middle classes at the expense of the long-range health of the farmers, the nation and the empire.

The Churchills were just as successful in buying votes as their founding ancestor had been in cashing in on his good looks. Randolph, however, wasn't able to rest on his laurels long. Shortly after his victory over Joseph Chamberlain he died of venereal disease. Both generations of Churchills defeated both generations of Chamberlains, Joseph and Neville, which was a catastrophe for Anglo-Saxons both in the Motherland and in the Diaspora in America, Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

Given his family background, is it any wonder that Winston Churchill, a feckless wastrel, drunkard son of a degenerate aristocratic family, lacked not only the character of the Chamberlains but also lacked their abilities? Is it surprising that Winston's "leadership" was so disastrous? Instaurators and Americans of British descent should never be misled by the claims made for Churchill of his

supposed "patriotism." A patriot he was not!

Immediately prior to WWI, Churchill was conspicuous in his opposition to the Loyalists of Ulster. He connived with the Irish Republicans to put the Loyalists under Irish rule. He sought to disarm the Protestants so as to leave them helpless at the hands of their enemies. He did this because he and his Liberal Party political cronies needed the votes of Irish members of Parliament to stay in office and feast at the political banquet table. The ultimate loss that this would have entailed to the country and sovereign he had sworn to serve did not trouble him one whit. All that counted was his remaining in office. Only the cleverness of the Irish Protestants in raising money from, among others, the Presbyterians of the Southern states in America with which to purchase guns illegally from the Germans thwarted Churchill's schemes, which would have culminated in the betrayal of Ulster in 1914 instead of 1998.

Almost on the heels of Churchill's failed betrayal of loyal Britons to buy votes from aliens, the Loyalists paid with their blood for their right to claim the support of their British brethren. For one thing, they suffered startlingly higher casualties in WWI. On the first day of the Battle of the Somme, 50,000 Irish Loyalists died leading the assaults on the German positions. That's 50,000 out of a total Irish Protestant population of only about 1.5 million. Scarcely a family was not touched. No wonder the anniversary of the Battle of the Somme is still commemorated in Northern Ireland as a day of mourning.

The Loyalists charged the German lines as their bands played the tune of the most famous Orange song, *The Sash My Father Wore*. The first verse runs as follows:

*Here am I a loyal Ulsterman  
From Erin's Isle I came,  
To see my British brethren  
All of honor and of fame.  
And to tell them of my forefathers,  
Who fought in days of yore,  
That I might have the right to wear,  
The sash my father wore.*

A real British patriot would know that the Irish Loyalists by virtue of the blood they shed on behalf of the British Crown hold an IOU on a debt which honor demands must be paid. The Orangemen, as the lyrics of *The Sash* say, have a right to remind their British brethren of their ancestors' battle deaths.

The unselfish patriotic blood sacrifice of the Ulstermen made not the slightest impression on Churchill. WWII found him scheming with the Irish Republicans, offering to turn the Loyalists of Northern Ireland over to Irish rule at gunpoint, if Eire would help him in his war with Hitler. Presumably this would have been done after his government had cynically availed itself of the services of the soldiers, sailors and airmen of Ulster in the war against the Germans.

If these are the acts of a "patriot", who needs turn-

coats? Even if Winston's character had been animated by patriotic sentiments, given his lack of any experience in the world of work and industry, he probably could not have had the brains to grasp the economic realities.

Surprisingly no British rightist to my knowledge has ever commented on one highly revealing incident in the history of American Lend/Lease in WWII. I had to read a book published by the left-wing Penguin USA entitled, *Post War Britain*, to discover that within one month of Pearl Harbor, Roosevelt issued an order changing Lend/Lease. He mandated that from 1942 on, the British were to get no machine tools, no petroleum drilling or refining equipment and other vital war materiel. The Tommies were only to get guns and ammunition with which to do the fighting and the dying for their American "friends."

The long-range results of this cynical move by Roosevelt and his megarich pals (the very same "malefactors of great wealth" he pretended to oppose) would be clear to anyone with the IQ of a pygmy.

The schedule would be (and was) as follows: Britain would exhaust herself in WWII by doing the dirty and bloody work on the battlefield, while her industry and economy would be ruined. By contrast, by the war's end, her "good ally," America, would be economically unrivaled, primed and ready to strip Britain of all its markets. Britain, the foremost industrial power in the world at the death of Queen Victoria, would become an economic cripple.

That wasn't all Churchill's good buddy had in mind. Roosevelt had attached a price to Lend/Lease from the very beginning: Imperial Protections (to the extent they remained partly as a result of the political services of the Chamberlains) would have to be dismantled by the British so as to strip Britain and all her possessions and dominions of any protection against the upcoming, ruthless economic rapists of America.

David Irving has revealed that Churchill, when asked by some Briton who still had a brain and a backbone, how the costs of the war were going to be paid, crassly and cynically replied that this problem would be a matter his successor would have to deal with. It is inconceivable that any of the Chamberlains, with their background as shrewd, tough Manchester merchants/manufacturers, could have been so inane on economic policy or so devoid of any true, responsible patriotism.

Churchill becoming Prime Minister of Britain at this crucial juncture of world history, just after the fall of France to the Germans, is one of history's cruelest jokes. Not merely the Germans but also the Anglo-Celtic British people and all kindred European peoples (including Americans) have paid and paid dearly for his "leadership."

It has certainly been an unlucky draw of the cards that at so many critical times Britain and America have had the misfortune of having the likes of Wilson, Roosevelt and Churchill positioned so as to do maximum harm to our own prospects and the prospects of our greater European race.



## Hitler

As a result of the ideological issues of WWII, many rightists and racialists in the English-speaking world both in Mother England and America have developed an excessive inferiority complex. This complex is sharpened and strengthened by the shame thoughtful Americans and Britains feel as a result of the atrocities committed against the Germans in the war—the inhuman civilian bombing of Dresden and other German cities among others—as well as the unchivalrous mistreatment of our defeated enemies after the war. No amount of harping on the misdeeds of Germans—real, exaggerated or imagined—can distract or excuse intellectually honest and morally introspective Anglo-Saxons from the awful reality of their own guilt.

While there certainly were racial overtones and issues in WWII, while we certainly have much from which to repent and for which to ask forgiveness, Anglo-Celts must never forget that no other member of our European family of peoples has matched us in our accomplishments for the white race. Far more than the Germans or any other of our European kindred we have been the ones who have spread our race over the entire globe.

During much of that expansion our English-speaking countries adhered to racial views and customs which were fully in accord with racist thought. The de jure criminalization of our ideas in Britain and de facto criminalization of those ideas here in America is a very recent phenomenon.

Having laid to rest any basis for an Anglo-Saxon inferiority complex, what then are we to make of Hitler? Perhaps it is best to start with *Mein Kampf*. When I was a youth of about 17, a very liberal teacher remarked to our class in tones of regret and horror, "If only we had read *Mein Kampf*," implying that this was an especially salacious tome, unusually rich in horrifying and forbidden thoughts. Later I discovered that the county library had a copy of this book which I eagerly checked out, thinking that it might prove as appealing as the copy of *Lady Chatterley's Lover* I had sneaked into my bedroom and hidden when my mother wasn't looking.

I proceeded to read the wicked book only to find it devoid of all the naughty things I had anticipated. Instead, as H. L. Mencken said in his favorable review before it became impossible and impermissible to speak freely on such matters, I found it "damned sensible."

The chapters on Hitler's life in Vienna and on the bru-

tal conditions of working people there were memorable, but I was also impressed by his telling comments on propaganda and on the subject of society's most untouchable sacred cow.

However, since I was already something of a racist, I was genuinely surprised by Hitler's foolish and—to my mind—erroneous attitude towards the Russians and other Slavs. My family had had a lot of White Russian emigré friends who were, to say the least, very distinguished and cultivated.

Rather than seeing Imperial Russia as a bulwark and *cordon sanitaire* against the Yellow Peril, Hitler myopically supported the Japanese in the Russo-Japanese War. (Ironically, his enthusiasm for the rice-eaters was shared by Jacob Schiff and the world Jewish community as well



as by Mahatma Gandhi. The latter's biography reveals his joy at what he and the colored races of the world saw as the first nonwhite victory over the white man in centuries. Hitler foolishly shared that joy. It's all in *Mein Kampf*—how Hitler and his classmates followed the triumphs of the yellow, nonwhite armies over the Russians and cheered at every white defeat.

Hitler obviously is someone who could speak to the soul of his nation in a way that few historic figures could. Some of the power of his words and the brilliance of his insights comes through to a reader even in English translation. However his insights into his own nation and his ability to speak to them were in

strong contrast to his inability to understand other nations. His narrow German parochialism was to prove fatal to his own country and perhaps ultimately to the entire European race.

As an Anglo-Saxon I must, alas, confess that he was correct when in his Last Will and Testament he stated that his greatest mistake was to underestimate the extent to which Jews dominated Britain and the U.S.

He made other mistakes which are astonishing when one considers how extraordinarily astute and brilliant he must have been to rise to the leadership of Germany, despite having been only a corporal in WWI and despite his lack of formal learning in a country which so esteems edu-

cation and credentials.

Most of us have seen the movies of the German troops being warmly greeted by Ukrainians and Russians as they liberated Soviet territory. Hitler, the frank, guileless German, could not restrain himself. He had to send this negative message to all those friendly Slavs: Put the flowers away. Forget the bread and salt. You need to understand. If we win this war, you are going to be *Untermenschen*. We're only going to teach your children to count to 10.

If only the Germans had learned a little of the Anglo-Saxon guile of us sons of Perfidious Albion! Then they would have done what tricky Wilson and Lloyd George did in WWII. Hitler had our example before him. Why did he not imitate us? Wilson and Lloyd George cleverly conned the gullible Germans with the 14 Points. "Lay down your arms," they said, "and we'll tuck you into a clean bed with a hot toddy!"

Once the Germans had laid down their arms, Wilson and Lloyd George kicked the hell out of them and imposed a brutal, cruel peace. (Among other accomplishments which we Anglo-Saxons don't hear about in our establishment history books, the food blockade was continued for a year after the poor suckers had thrown down their arms, with the result that we succeeded in starving 250,000 of their children to death. If poor unlucky Hitler had done something like that, you can bet your life we would be hearing about it and clucking over it with all the Anglo-Saxon hypocrisy for which we are so deservedly famous. As proud as I am to be an Anglo-Saxon, I must confess that one has to hope that our Puritan ancestors were wrong and that there is not a just God in the heavens. If there is, what kind of punishment must He have in store for someone who starves to death the child of a defeated soldier? But now I am fostering the very guilt trip I started out to oppose.)

Whatever the moralities of the matter may be, the German soldier paid dearly for Hitler's honesty in making clear to all the Slavic pals of the Germans what he had in store for them if the Soviet Union lost the war.

Hitler's second gross mistake was declaring war on the U.S. when the Japanese broke their treaty commitment to him and attacked the U.S. instead of the Soviet Union as planned. What would the bellicose President in the White House have done if Hitler, instead of riding down to the Reichstag to declare war on America, had instead declared war on the Japanese? After all, if Hitler, as we have been told by our politicians, pundits, professors, newspapers and clergymen, was a fanatic, true-believing, card-carrying white racist, wouldn't it have made sense for him to make the following statement in asking the Reichstag to declare war on Japan?

The Yellow Peril has struck a cowardly and dastardly blow at our white brothers and sisters in America. In this their hour of peril and need, the hearts, hands and prayers of every German go out to our American friends whom we will do our utmost to help.

What then in summary are we as at least English-speaking if not entirely Anglo-Saxon racialists to think of Hitler? Was he the great racialist leader as a few have contended? Was he the evil disaster that the world has been taught he was?

It is clear. He was neither God nor Satan.

### Balance Sheet

As a man Hitler was clearly superior to Churchill, who was born blessed with the best possible family connections. His success was virtually guaranteed at birth. Given his head start in life, his financial failures and other reverses reflect poorly on him and his successes are not as impressive as they would have been had they been achieved by someone not to the manor born.

Hitler is remarkable for having started with virtually nothing and made his way forward against almost impossible odds. Measured in terms of what each man did with the resources he had available, a fair-minded person would be forced to concede that Hitler was far and away the better man. Der Führer was a brilliant and inspired nationalist leader of his people. But his vision blurred and failed at his nation's borders.

303

### Nice

Only be nice, You said,  
and we tried —  
'til at the hands  
of the not-nice  
our best had died.

Forgive them that trespass  
against. . .? You spoke,  
we heard — until  
the unforgiving  
left us broke.

Only believe, You said,  
and we did. But why  
believe in nice when  
it didn't help You?  
Nice won't do.

*Peter J. Lorden*

# Aping the Negro

*[White youths] are early impressed with infamous and destructive ideas, and become extremely vitiated in their manners; they contract a negroish kind of accent, pronunciation and dialect, as well as ridiculous kind of behavior.*

*(Josiah Quincy, 1773)*

When I was wet behind the ears, I attended a school not unlike that portrayed in the film, *The Dead Poets Society*. The world of the underclass was far removed from the daily grind of academic achievement and upward striving, yet I could see there were people in this world whose responsibilities were minimal—or at least appeared to be—people whose lives were much simpler than mine. On weekends, when there was no dress code, everyone wanted to look like a hood, though none of us had the slightest acquaintance with any real juvenile delinquents.

Another form of release was Negro music, the kind more likely to be performed by whites than blacks. The Beatles and other British bands did their own versions of songs originally made famous by black rockers and rhythm and blues singers. The ones who came closest to the originals were the scruffier, lower-class groups like the Rolling Stones and the Animals. This made perfect sense, for in the minds of our parents negritude and lower-class behavior were almost synonymous.

Hard as it may be for Instauration readers to believe, as a teenager, I haunted inner-city record stores, seeking out Negro recordings that were impossible to find in suburbia. Whatever one might say about such music, it was indeed the real thing. None of that mass-market Motown music or white-boy jive for me. Needless to say, my flirtation with this type of music eventually ran out of steam. Sooner or later, one realizes that artistically, it is the musical equivalent of finger-painting. As a form of artistic expression, it is severely limited. At best, it can be categorized as a guilty pleasure.

I didn't realize it then, but I was participating in a great American tradition. The Negro, a bad influence, has been a force in American life since that first slave ship unloaded its cargo in Jamestown in 1617. The unasked question is *why* has Negro behavior been so attractive to the white youth of America? Imitating the Negro remains an abiding pastime. How to account for such staying power? Sure, the young are often enamored of self-destructive notions: fast cars, booze, drugs, kicks of all kinds. Even in a mono-racial society, there is always a Falstaff willing to introduce Prince Hal to the joys of slackerdom. Some succumb. Some grow out of it. Is wigger (white you-know-what) behavior just more of the same or can we come up

with other explanations?

How about a variation on the noble savage concept? The literature of the 19th century is replete with tales of travelers throughout the South commenting on the child-like simplicity of the slave. Often there is a wistful tone to such words, as if the writer wishes that he too could be as happy and carefree as the Negro. The slave, of course, was literally carefree since he wasn't even responsible for himself, much less a family or a state or a nation. In later years, big government has assumed the role of master and, thanks to liberal sociologist apologists, the Negro is still excused from personal responsibility. We used to call it the white man's burden. Now it's the white man's fault.

You won't find anyone voicing "happy darky" sentiments any more, yet the Negro's impulsiveness and lack of inhibition are no less in evidence today than a century or two ago. To the white teenager or young adult who is continually admonished to grow up and make something of himself and find a direction in life, the allure of negritude's arrested development is strong. What better way for a white youth to assume the role of underachiever than to imitate the characteristics of the most underachieving race on the planet? The frustrated white parent wonders if the protracted adolescence of his children will ever end. Similar sentiments are felt, though rarely expressed for public consumption, by white racial realists vis-à-vis the Negro. The American Negro, using the legacy of slavery for his inability to mature as a race, should be subject to a statute of limitations. We've seen "economic miracles" all over the planet since the manumission of American slaves. How much longer before we see an African-American economic miracle?

The excuse has always been that the white man runs the show. What passes for popular culture in America today is almost unimaginable without Negro input. Jazz, bebop, boogie-woogie, swing, rock and roll, rap—a big chunk of the music that has appealed to the youth of the country for generations has been Negro-based. Of course, we would be remiss if we did not mention that said music has long been promoted by Jews.

Strangely, the tribe that has extolled blacks the most is the one that has almost nothing in common with them. Remember when Norman Mailer, the Harvard Chosenite from Brooklyn, was extolling the Negro as an existentialist hero for the 50s and 60s? Mailer had a lot of help from his co-religionists—the more "intellectual" ones, that is. It may well be that the Jew's love affair with the Negro is a form of escapism from the pressure to perform academically and professionally. Note that the Jewish mother's love is conditional (you must make mama proud of you to



earn her love). The black mother's love is unconditional. How many times have you seen a news story about some atrocity perpetrated by a YBM (young black male) whose mother insists, "My boy is a good boy. My boy wouldn't do that!"—DNA, fingerprints and security videotapes notwithstanding.

The criminal urban Negro is a stereotype of the 20th century. Same goes for the athletic Negro. The musical Negro antedates both and also links up with another persistent stereotype, that of the carefree Negro. We might call this the zippety doo-dah syndrome, (or ZDD for short). The ZDD Negro doesn't worry about the prime interest rate, agonize over the situation in Kosovo, lose any sleep over the national debt, beat his breast about the ozone layer or gnash his teeth contemplating the trade deficit. If the Negro doesn't experience the white man's angst, it's not because of any pseudo-Buddhist serenity. It's due to an inability to grasp subtleties and nuances—a failure of imagination. As a white man, you have the ability to envision gathering storm clouds beyond the horizon and plan accordingly. The Negro doesn't act until he feels the raindrops—and even then not always.

The Negro (and the lower class) ethos is largely one of impulsive behavior with little thought given to the future. It was this concept—that the poor are their own worst enemy—that got sociologist Edward Banfield in big trouble in academia a generation ago. The middle-class ethos is one of restraint and anticipation. To the directionless teenager, the eternal question is, "What about your future?" In this respect, the white teenager, surrounded by advantages his ancestors could not have imagined, is at a disadvantage because he is expected to have a future—and that can be a daunting prospect. "To whom much is given, much is expected" is not just a saying; it borders on natural law. Very little is expected of the Negro. His stress is minimal. The drawback is that he has no future—and isn't that what the sociologists who study gangs say is wrong with those kids?

Lucky for us, white youths almost always grow out of their fascination with negritude. They have to. If longevity is the goal—as an individual or a society—Negro behavior must be abandoned. It gradually dawns on the maturing white man that sloth, indolence and revelry, no matter how enjoyable in the short run, spell doom in the long run. If everyone behaved like the Negro, civilization would collapse. At some point, the white youth realizes that his "rebellion" really isn't in his best interest. After a certain age, his peers think him "cool." Indeed, if he persists in such behavior, he is merely one less competitor in the rat race.

For the Negro prone to impulsiveness, the white world is repressive. The Negro's world is largely a world of sensation rather than cerebration, a world of kicks rather than cognition, of the more primitive recesses of the brain rather than the cerebral cortex. The Negro does not have the synaptic intricacy to transform his primal sensory impressions into sublime poetry or painting. All he can do is let it

all hang out.

In its many forms of expression, the white world is a realm of structure—small wonder architecture never advanced beyond the kindergarten stages of black Africa. Even when the white man's activities overlap with those of the Negro, the emphasis is different. At an early age, the athletic white boy discovers that his team sports are highly organized. If his parents can afford it, they will seek out the best instructors and summer camps to hone his skills—even though he will probably never earn a dime from his sport(s) of choice. The Negro, however, learns to play in the streets and on the playgrounds. His play is unstructured and untutored. It is raw physicality. He is living in the moment and for the moment. The endless analysis and non-stop chatter of the white play-by-play announcer and his world of what-ifs and what-mights is far removed from the Negro psyche.

Now let's admit that being able to forget oneself, let go and simply exist in the moment can confer great psychological benefits. We've all known people who are too rigid for their own good. Taken to an extreme, they fall victim to obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)—a mental malady that doesn't seem to afflict the Negro. It takes an instinct for structure and organization to be susceptible. This doesn't mean the Negro can't find his niche in a white-run organization, whether it is a plantation or a sports team. It is much less likely that he will create or run an organization.

In any multiracial society, there will always be another group with which to compare one's behavior. This will always make raising children more difficult, as the alien influences will be greater. Since backsliding is the path of least resistance, the ways of the lesser race will always be attractive to the more vulnerable members of the greater race. Such "recruiting" can have only baleful effects. As we well know, a society beset with a sizable Negro minority labors under a heavy burden. With the added weight of wiggers, it cannot help but collapse.

Granted, the white middle-class adult world doesn't always look good to the young—hell, most of the time I'm not that crazy about it myself and I've been living in it more than half my life. Ambivalence is perhaps the prevailing attitude white people have towards this world they've conceived and brought about. Yes, it does supply us with a lot of goodies, though it frequently drains us with its demands. The urge to pack it in and go native is always with us and it gets stronger the more stressful daily life becomes. If you've ever seen how civilized "up-tight" white folks behave when they've got a few drinks under their belts at a tropical resort, you know how easy it can be. If I was a Jamaican, I daydreamed, I might hang out in this tropical paradise for the rest of my life! Trust me, my daydream wouldn't last long. Squalor doesn't wear well. You want to sit in a drunken stupor on a tropical beach for a week? Fine! But bear in mind that the people who do so habitually fall into the "nasty, brutish and short lifestyles" category. If all of us—nay, if even a significant number of

us—adopt the ways of the Negro not just during youth but into adulthood, the Western world will be on its deathbed. No social engineering “miracle drugs” would ever be able to restore us to health.

It is natural that the appeal of the Negro is largely limited to youth. That is the time when one is at the peak of one’s physical and sexual powers, and the Negro appears to epitomize both. Once the Negro’s physical skills erode, however, he doesn’t have much left to offer the world. This is why the very idea of a Negro having a mid-life crisis doesn’t compute. The white man, faced with the erosion of his physical skills, still has a white man’s brain. Physically he is not the man he was, yet he still has a lot

to offer the world. Hence my crisis—in which direction should I go now? The Negro has nowhere to go once his youth is spent. Hence no crisis. Easy come, easy go.

The middle-aged white man has enough problems without agonizing over the wiggerish behavior of his offspring. Perhaps he could take some comfort in the fact that the problem is not his alone and not even peculiar to his generation. Josiah Quincy, the distinguished Revolutionary War hero quoted at the outset of this article, need not have worried. His son grew up to be a distinguished conservative statesman. It must also be noted, however, that his grandson was an ardent abolitionist.

JUDSON HAMMOND

---

## The De-Blonding of Southern California

**W**hen my aunt passed away in Los Angeles in February, it involved a bit more than just another death in the family. As executor of her estate, I had to arrange for cremation, clean out her apartment and set up probate, among other things. My sojourn in the City of Angels was necessarily hectic, but I did have a little time to pause and reflect.

My aunt moved to Southern California in the early 50s when I was still a toddler. I didn’t see a lot of her in those days, although our family album had plenty of snapshots of her in her adopted state. In the pictures she looks as confident as any young Nordic woman (English and German stock) who had her adult life ahead of her. Whether on the beach or on the town, she appeared to be the classic California blonde, tan and free-spirited. By way of contrast my grandmother, born in the 19th century, never held a job and never drove a car. She couldn’t understand why her daughter didn’t want to remain in Philadelphia. Sure, lots of Philadelphians were moving to the suburbs to get away from the you-know-whats—but California? Well, Philadelphia may have once been the cradle of liberty, but the spirit of freedom had long since moved west.

From her late 20s till retirement, my aunt worked for a major airline at various offices in Southern California. She never got rich, but her income was sufficient for a comfortable life and for accumulating a respectable estate. Al-

ways the independent type, she never married, though she had had her share of beaux. By remaining single she was able to take full advantage of the free travel that came with her job. Palm Springs, Vegas, Lake Tahoe and Arizo-



Los Angeles urban sprawl

na were regular destinations. She dutifully made her annual visits to Philadelphia, but always seemed glad when she left the east coast behind.

Before my first trip to California in 1975, my impressions of Southern California were typical mass media images of traffic, air pollution, earthquakes, mudslides and brushfires tempered by palm trees, scenic vistas, balmy weather and picturesque beaches. The intangible attraction, however, was the feeling of limitless possibilities and personal freedom. The population density back then was much smaller than in east coast cities. The dress code was more casual. No bundling up in the winter. Boulevards and highways seemingly went on forever. Such freedom

may have been illusory, but it was a feeling worth experiencing. The narrow, dead-end streets (and narrow, dead-end lives) of eastern cities were a continent away.

On the flip side the sense of limitless horizons led to many disappointments and broken lives in California. Big dreams, little dreams, pipe dreams—all were as hard to make come true in L.A. as anywhere else. Small wonder the city was famed for weirdos and kooks. There was plenty of room for both. For better or worse, the town was wide open. “Suburban sprawl” sneered the east coast intellectuals. Seventy-two suburbs in search of a city sniffed Dorothy Parker (née Rothschild). That L.A. wasn’t a city in the conventional sense was what made it such an attractive place for so many transplants and visitors. To me, if not to the eastern urbanites, it was intriguing.

I made a number of repeat trips to L.A. from the late 1970s through the late 1980s, exploring the area’s plethora of attractions, renowned or otherwise. Sometimes my aunt accompanied me, sometimes not. Minorities who couldn’t speak English (and the state’s coddling of same) had become her pet peeve—and L.A. was now overflowing with them. It wasn’t healthy for her to get too worked up about the subject for she had developed a persistent cough thanks to her lifelong smoking habit.

After a decade-long hiatus, I returned to Southern California late last year. My aunt, now retired and in her early 70s, was largely confined to her apartment. She suffered from congestive heart failure and emphysema. Her hair was still blond but clearly it was no longer her natural color. In respect to looks, she had plenty of company in Los Angeles. The elderly there do not aspire to gray eminence. Angelenos of all ages are not loath to remodel their bodies by plastic surgery, exercise and cosmetics. The results are often frightful. A formidable contingent of aging bottle blondes who dress in costumes that appear to have been borrowed from the *Star Trek* wardrobe room. Floozies in Capri pants look like they got lost on the way to a Marilyn Monroe look-alike contest. The fad of minorities dying their hair platinum blond is evident even among Asians and Negroes who crowd the streets. Real blonds—real Nordics—are rare.

Of course there are still some natural blonds in California—not surprisingly, the more affluent the neighborhood, the more they are in evidence. By and large, the best part of L.A. is the west side—Westwood, Pacific Palisades, Brentwood and Bel Air, as well as nearby Malibu, Beverly Hills and Santa Monica, which are separate. Finding a Gentile lawyer to handle my aunt’s estate proved impossible in the limited time I had at my disposal. The best I could do was a Jewish lawyer who was recommended by a Gentile attorney.

There are lots of dark-complexioned Armenoid types in L.A., but whether they are Jews, Turks, Armenians or fugitives from some obscure republic in the erstwhile Soviet Union, I am not savvy enough to know. In a local publication, I read that there had been a big influx of wealthy Iranian Jews (Farsi is now the second language at Beverly

Hills High), so some of those swarthy souls may belong to that tribe. Incidentally a lot of the people counted as white in L.A. are white only by default. There is no other category for them. Anglo-Saxons, they ain’t!

It’s not surprising that WASPs are becoming scarcer and scarcer in Los Angeles. European Americans of every ethnicity are moving away in droves. Not so the duski denizens. Around town, one sees plenty of blacks, plenty of Asians and scads of Mexicans. The maintenance crew at my aunt’s apartment complex were all Latinos, all very much interested in buying the contents of her apartment at fire-sale prices. One dolt with a gold-toothed grin was the spitting image of the “we don’t need no stinking badges” bandido in *Treasure of the Sierra Madre*. Thanks to *la gringa muerta*, he walked away with more goodies than the winner on a TV game show. For all the grumbling one hears about second-class citizenship for minorities, it is rarely mentioned that second-class citizenship in the U.S. beats first-class citizenship in any Third World country.

As L.A. is being “enriched” by more and more Third World immigrants, the intelligentsia has found more to like about it, despite it being much dirtier than in decades past and its sprawl reaching farther than ever. When L.A. was overwhelmingly white, the elitists pooh-poohed it. Even worse than L.A. was Orange County to the south, long a bastion of John Birch-style conservatism. Instaurationists may have read of Loretta Sanchez, the Hispanic female who barely ousted “conservative” Orange County Congressman Bob Dornan in 1996 amid allegations of voter fraud, and handily defeated him in 1998. What Instaurationists may not know is that Orange County is now more than 50% nonwhite. No more Bob Dornans will be representing that district. It’s tough to run as a conservative when there’s nothing left to conserve!

The future of blondness in Southern California (or anywhere else) can be predicted by the number of blond children in the neighborhood—a simple notion that occurred to me as I came across some childhood photos of my aunt and mother. Today the number of towheaded toddlers in Los Angeles is minimal. The high cost of living, particularly in the more civilized westside of L.A., severely restricts the reproductive rate of white middle-class folks. Even those postwar tract homes in the San Fernando Valley once so affordable for ex-servicemen now bring a pretty penny. For people of average means the choice is simple. Do you want to own a home or do you want a family? Even in the most affluent areas, you won’t find many fair-haired youngsters careening around the public school playgrounds during recess. At some point in the coming century, blonds will be as rare in Los Angeles as they are in Nairobi.

Long before this final paragraph, the reader probably figured out that I was using my aunt as a metaphor for the fate of Southern California. The big difference is that she is now out of her misery, while the tarnishing of the Golden State continues.

JUDSON HAMMOND

# Schools Into Shooting Galleries

Let us briefly examine the arguments expounded by the myriads of experts who have been pontificating on the school murders.

## Guns

If there were no guns or if guns were not so easily accessible, there would certainly be less killings both in the schools and among adults. But analyzing the number of guns per 100,000 population of today's America as compared with the number in George Washington's or Abraham Lincoln's time, we find that a much greater percentage of the population, including boys as young as eight and nine, carried guns to hunt with their fathers or defend their family and home. Yet the juvenile crime rate then was not a tenth of what it is today. Furthermore there is ample evidence that those American cities that have instituted stricter gun control laws have not substantially reduced the rate of violent crime. What has reduced the crime rate are the much heavier penalties handed out by the more conservative criminal judges.

## Family Values

The wise have been silent while the foolish have rushed into the quagmire of popular fallacies and fantasies. Some blame the single-parent family. Others claim the problem is caused by lack of parental love. Still others stress that if we just had some religious teaching in our schools all would be well.

The question that we must ask is whose morality should we teach? In contemporary America, where multiculturalism has become a new religion, shall we teach Hindu, Hebrew, Muslim, Buddhist or Christian ethics? The choice is easy for each advocate of his or her own faith. But therein lies the rub. The potpourri of races and cultures diametrically violates natural laws. Birds of a feather flock together, etc.

## School Discipline

If advocates of "Spare the rod and spoil the child" were to take over, the babble of lily-livered liberal sophistry would clog the electronic highways and byways.

In today's society the slightest disapproval of the way things are going may drag a person into court for damages to his children's overly sensitive psyches. You have heard of children suing their parents, have you not?

Both discipline of the mind and the body are extremely important for the proper maturity of our youth. Surely these are sorely lacking both in the educational and home environment. At home, parents are either not there and, if there, seldom discipline the child for fear of instilling a

permanent inferiority complex. They may be saying "no" too many times.

Children are free to choose academic subjects willy-nilly without any emphasis on the lasting value of the three Rs. As a result many graduate from school without being able to read. There are more psychiatrists and administrative assistants than qualified teachers.

## Television

The boob tube, the innate, omnivorous, audiovisual dinosaur erectus, is a greater threat to the mental health of our youth than all the guns in the world. The pen is still mightier than the sword. But this new pen is a double-edged sword, because it can both elevate the soul and deflate the spirit.

Where does freedom of speech end and licentious behavior begin? Programs for children should not involve violence. But then how do we prepare children for the realities of a violent world?

What motivates violence? Greed, jealousy and hate. But what motivates these emotions? Money, money and more money. Money is the fundamental pillar of our civilization! You are not expected to be honest, but you are expected to be rich and avaricious. If we could only reorient our appetites towards the fine art of a gracious and simple lifestyle rather than towards fostering a jungle mentality.

## Genes

Do you pick apples from an orange tree and oranges from an apple tree? No you say! You reap what you sow. "It's in the genes, stupid. A wolf does not beget a lamb." Before you make the final plunge into the pitfalls of marital bliss, study the behavioral characteristics of your future mother-in-law. Your bride will not differ greatly from her in temper and character.

We are impressed with the cosmetic effects and trappings of education, but not its substance. We must learn from the lessons of history or from the experience of our elders. As a consequence we become the victims of history. As an old Italian fisherman once said, "The fish stinks from its head." Just take a look at the man who is leading this nation. Would any self-respecting person be proud to have a Clinton as a son or son-in-law? Yet a majority of women voted for him. The hand that rocks the cradle rules the world. Mothers have a much greater influence in building good character than do fathers. But as long as mothers are more interested in pursuing a career than in child-rearing, there is little hope.

951

# Keeping the Record Straight

It seems futile to try to set the record straight on what Instauration stands for when the magazine will continue to be misunderstood no matter what we write. In an attempt to clarify our position for our readers, however, we will answer some of the more venomous whoppers pinned on us by various pundits.

1. We do not say the Holocaust did not occur. We are just plain skeptical that six million Jews were gassed in a deliberately organized and obscenely systematic campaign of genocide. All we wish is a public debate on the subject. If the exterminationists make sense and reasonably rebut the inconsistencies and exaggerations pointed out by revisionists, then we will not hesitate to join the rest of the world and become true believers. We are not afraid of facts. We are afraid, however, of what can be done to truth if a debate on one particular historical event is forbidden, if anyone who even tries to debate the subject is arrested, as is the case in Canada, Germany and Britain.

2. We are not anti-Christian. We are, however, strongly critical of those aspects of social Christianity which fuel the flames of minority racism here and abroad. The Christians who are unlawfully giving sanctuary to illegal Central American immigrants, the fundamentalists whose rabid support of Zionism has been edging us into Middle Eastern wars, the Catholic priests and Bible Belt ministers whose raucous stand against birth control is guaranteeing starvation or lifelong malnutrition for millions yet to be born, while the white birthrate sinks well below the replacement level—all such Christians are threatening in one way or another the survival of Americans of Northern European descent.

3. We do not hate other races. We simply dislike the presence in our midst of alien population groups which attempt to force their own cultures down our throats and attempt to criminalize us when we object. We refuse to accept the double standard which excoriates and demeans our people in books, newspapers and films, yet prevents us from giving back a small measure of the abuse we are forced to take. Neither do we appreciate being discriminated against in job and educational opportunities because our skin happens to be white. Instead of being grateful to the descendants of the founders of the country they find so attractive and profitable, the Unassimilable Minorities shaft us at every turn.

4. Instauration's solution for the country's deteriorating social order is very straightforward: We propose the separation of races by the compensated repatriation of some Unassimilable Minorities and the allocation of U.S. territory to others. Let us do peacefully what is bound to be done

belligerently if old-stock Americans are not to disappear entirely from the map of history. Racial separation is usually accomplished by war. Who says it cannot be accomplished by more enlightened and peaceful means? The contemporary racial watchword for all men and women of goodwill should be, "Leave us alone and we'll leave you alone."

5. Like many publications, we have a letters section where our readers express their different views. Like many publications, we let our correspondents have their say even though some of their statements may sound offensive to certain ears and in no way correspond to the magazine's overall editorial policy. To take certain letters and certain sentences within the letters and pretend, as some critics have done, that they are representative of the thinking of both the editor and the readership is the grossest form of literary shysterism.

6. We have learned to our sorrow that the more rights given to the Unassimilable Minorities, the greater the political corruption. Prior to the time of racial separation, we believe that a state of emergency should be declared and habitual criminals sentenced to death by summary courts until the rate of violent crime becomes tolerable and citizens of every age and pigmentation consider it safe to walk city streets again.

7. We believe that one reason for the sad plight of our race derives from our obsessive materialism and our obsessive altruism which make us sitting ducks for minority racists, who both consciously and subconsciously act out the deeply ingrained instincts of minorities to overthrow majorities.

8. We know that the significant mental and physical differences among races produce salient differences in performance, especially when races are thrown together in one geographical area. In such conditions, equal opportunity produces the greatest inequality in achievement, which in turn increases racial enmity. Let competition, one of the most important mechanisms of evolution, remain intraracial by allowing each race or subrace or distinct culture to have its own territory. The professional liberal will call this Apartheid, though he is all for Zionism, which if it were not established on the misery and dispossession of a totally innocent people, the Palestinians, would be the most effective way to solve the eternal Jewish problem. The racial separation that is good enough for Zionists should be good enough for the world's non-Zionists.

9. We are not Nazis or Germans, even though a significant segment of the American Majority is of German origin. We are Majority Americans, which means that many

of us are blond, fair-skinned, light-eyed and long-headed, or at least partially so. Few of us are pure Nordics, but the Nordic is our aesthetic ideal. Our culture is Indo-European, Teutonic and Anglo-Saxon in that ascending order, in the sense that all peoples worthy of the name have a cultural as well as a racial core. Because the colonies and the nation that grew out of them were founded and largely developed by Anglo-Saxons, our cultural core or what is left of it is British.

10. We are the *crème de la crème* of Northern Europeans as a result of the courage, willpower and creativity of our pioneering ancestors. But the wealth we amassed with the help of Mother Nature spoiled us, and our oversupply of tolerance inspired some later-arriving immigrants to take advantage of us and play off our individualism and lonerism against their inherited collectivist tendencies. Many too many of us could not resist their instinctive urge to make us over in their image. They could not change our genotype but our wounded morale, the guilt they heaped upon us, the exotic and alien artistic standards they imposed on us, transformed our phenotype to the point that we have half adopted components of a culture that was not our own. In connection with the charge of Nazism, it is interesting to note that although some of *Instauration's* most brilliant contributors fought the Nazis for four years in WWII, we are called Hitler lov-

ers by Jews, blacks and liberals whose presence, for one reason or another, was rather limited or practically nonexistent in Old World and Asian battlefields.

For our critics, we ask: "What do you think a civilization is—a *tabula rasa*, a blank blackboard on which to write slanders, a social order whose only function is to let minorities worm their way into power? Civilizations and cultures are healthy only so long as they have a monoracial core. When the core disintegrates, when other races move in to get a piece of the action, the center, as Yeats so neatly put it, no longer holds. Multiracialism is a mirage. It can live quite sumptuously off the capital accumulated during centuries of monoracial creativity. But only for a short time. The maggots are proliferating as fast as the "deals" that push an undeserving few into the wildest heights of unearned wealth and unmerited power. The play goes on and the production becomes ever more lavish, as the termites underneath the stage become busier and busier.

Today those of us whose ears are sharp enough to hear the termites at work and who try to warn our people of what is happening are branded hatemongers and criminals. Tomorrow, if there is a tomorrow, we will be called prophets.

*The above article, slightly modified, was run some years ago in Instauration.*

## Uninspiring Brothers

It's been said that people don't change. They just intensify.

I remembered this truism while reading *The Marx Bros. Scrapbook* by Richard Anobile (Darien House, 1973). It turned out that Anobile was sued by Groucho for



Groucho Marx (right) and brother Harpo on the set of *Duck Soup*

\$15 million, when their biographer dared to print the verbatim texts of their Q&A sessions.

Admitting to his preoccupation with money, Groucho described himself in his vaudeville days as being "very horny." Brother Chico, however, was even worse.

He was always


getting chased from town to town by some father with a gun. Because he was always [seducing] all the daughters, at least all he could get hold of. All he had to do was start playing the piano. . . .Chico would get girls for all of us! [p. 75]

After a few kind words for Margaret Dumont, their foil in many films, Groucho gloatingly describes what they did to her:

Once we took off all her clothes on a train we were traveling on. You could hear her screaming all the way from the drawing room where she was, to where the engineer was blowing the train's whistle. She screamed and screamed but she loved it just the same. We took all her dignity away, both on and off the stage. [p. 119]

Harpo was the real prizewinner. On location at Occidental College, while filming the movie, *Horse Feathers*, Groucho describes how

A little girl would come by each day to watch us work. She was usually with her father or mother. Harpo wasn't married at the



Shirley was not for sale

time but he was crazy about this little girl. So crazy about her that he offered her parents \$50,000 for her. But of course her parents didn't accept. It turned out that the little girl was Shirley Temple before she got into movies. [p. 221]



---

## Ecumenical Racism

What kind of a world do Instaurationists want? A world of ethnostates to be sure, but also a world that promotes the overarching idea that all of us—Anglo-Saxons, Irish, French, Germans, Slavs and the like—are more than merely members of our own nations, as important as they are to us. We are also members of a larger community, a greater family, a broader common civilization.

This concept existed in antiquity among the Greeks in their pan-Hellenic views that Athenian and Spartan, different as they were, still shared a common and distinctive Greekness.

When the Persians invaded Greece, the Spartans were concerned that the Athenians might betray them to the Persians by reason of the long-standing hostility between the two leading Greek states.

The Athenians, who would not collaborate with the Persian aliens even against hated Greek rivals, mollified the Spartans with the memorable quote:

For there are many and powerful considerations that forbid us to do so [side with the Persians] even if we were inclined. First and chief, the images and dwellings of the gods, burnt and laid ruins: this we must avenge to the utmost of our power, rather than make terms with the man who has perpetrated such deeds. Secondly, the Grecian race being of the same blood and the same language, and the temples of the gods and the sacrifices in common; and our similar customs; for the Athenians to become betrayers of these would not be well.

This same essential concept existed before the Reformation in the idea of Christendom. Erasmus was a proud Fleming. However he was also a member of the greater Christian civilization, at home with other Europeans with whom he could converse in Latin. His Flemishness did not eradicate but complemented his Europeaness.

It is a concept that still exists in a

primitive, subconscious way in the folkways of our people. It is exemplified in the statement of the American naval officer in the early 19th century, a time when there was still lingering ill will between the Americans and the British inherited from the War for Independence and the War of 1812. He is supposed to have coined the phrase, "Blood is thicker than water," when he went to the rescue of Britons who were in danger of being massacred by Chinese.

It is a concept that was hinted at by Brezhnev in his references to a common European home. It is a concept alien to the mind of a money-grubbing, capitalist robber baron like Franklin Roosevelt or a liberal ethno-masochist or a Marxist leveler, but it is a concept which we as Instaurationists and as civilized whites should find appealing and to which we should devote the best and most determined efforts we can muster.

303

---

## Go, Pat, Go!

It hurts me to concede that Pat Buchanan's chances of victory in next year's



presidential race are between slim and zilch. So why do I fervently support him?

The reason is that Pat is the only nationalist candidate. He brings to the forefront issues that other White House wannabes are too cowardly to voice.

Pat is for the European contingent in this country and against the immigration laws that are tearing apart Western culture. Concerned about the rights of working men, he deplores "free trade" which ships jobs overseas to the Third World. He rightly contends that we throw our trade doors open to nations that will not buy products from us. Under these circumstances it's obvious that free trade is not really "free."

Buchanan is the only nationally known politician who champions the cause of middle Americans in the ongoing Culture War that liberal and corporate elites have been waging against us for decades. We say amen to his words when he declared for the presidency:

It is our calling to recapture the indepen-

dence and lost sovereignty of the American Republic, to clean up all that pollutes our culture and to heal the soul of America.

In regard to the open borders our liberaloid masters created in their 1965 immigration law, Pat says, "America is not some polyglot boarding house for the world; this land is our land, this home is our home."

Pat sensibly calls for a five-year moratorium on all immigration, to be followed by stringent limits on future immigrants. This may sound less than altruistic, but the concept of letting in "the wretched refuse of the world" no longer computes.

The Democrats love our present policies as they are quite aware that the new millions of blacks and browns are going to vote for the Democrats. In 1996 they hurried and herded hundreds of thousands of newcomers into citizenship and from thereon to the polling booths. The new "citizens" duly voted for the Demo-

---

crats. For these immigrant voters the Donkey Party rewards them with giveaway social programs, which in turn are paid for by the productive people, a majority of whom are Republicans.

The Republicans, aptly named the Stupid Party, are deluding themselves into thinking they can win the Hispanic vote because both the Bush boys (Jeb and George W.) are married to Hispanics.

As Pat said in a recent column,

A hard look, however, at a N.Y. Times breakout of the 1998 returns into 115 demographic groups reveals that the Nixon-Reagan New Majority coalition may be literally dying out. While congressional Republicans swept white America 57-43 and

white Protestants, our largest majority, 65-35, they lost Asians 44-56, Hispanics 37-63, and black Americans 11-89.

Pat realizes:

If the G.O.P. wishes to begin to build a new majority, it must bite the bullet, write an end to racial preferences that come at the expense of its base and impose a moratorium on immigration. . . . While the media would howl, this would energize a party base that is 90% behind both propositions.

Painful as it may be, Buchanan may be hurting his already slim chances by not being radical enough. As columnist Sam Francis put it:

The blunt truth is there can be no serious national campaigns of the populist right without David Duke supporters, militia members and other inhabitants of the margins of national politics, and it is not possible to organize a real campaign without them. . . . The Buchanan failure (in 1996) was a failure to follow through on the radicalism his campaign had originally promised. . . .

Pat may not win, but he has forced many other pols to look at important issues they would prefer not to face. As such he deserves the support of the productive people of America.

323

---

## Philadelphia As It Was

I love white people. I was raised in the suburbs of a city, Philadelphia, that held minorities in little regard. In population terms, blacks were pretty much of a footnote prior to the late 1940s when the Negro invasion began, eventually sweeping the city into distress. In the days before the migration, Philadelphia was controlled by an Anglo establishment that regularly nominated Irish Republicans to run City Hall. Philadelphia, long in the economic shadow of New York, had slumbered through decades of comfortable torpor before its rude racial awakening. Negroes, who jammed into tight pockets of row-house developments in the southwest section near the present-day international airport, were more tolerated than welcomed, and quickly gained a reputation for their love of cheap wine, easy sex and casual crime. Their contrast to the city's immigrant population—Irish, Germans, Italians and Poles—was startling and upsetting.

Ironically the city's political elite, led by reformists Joseph Clark and Richardson Dilworth, embraced the Negro cause and advocated massive public welfare as a way of "breaking the Negro cycle of poverty." Because their racial promises came wrapped in a platform of reform (very much the kind that propelled John Kennedy to national success in 1960), the Clark-Dilworth ticket was accepted—with

a good measure of skepticism.

All throughout the 50s and 60s the City of Brotherly Love built public housing and subsidized minority incomes. But the promised shift in minority behavior failed to materialize. As the city's complexion dipped darkly toward Africanism, large areas (Germantown, Mount Airy) became dangerously off-limits to whites. Downtown Philadelphia, home to department stores, banks, insurance companies and fashionable women's shops, collapsed. Save for one, the ethnic neighborhoods succumbed as well.

South Philadelphia, a bastion of tough-minded Sicilian culture, stubbornly refused to bend. This "neighborhood of music" (home to crooner Russ Columbo of the 20s, who died before his time, singer Arthur Tracy of the 30s and Mario Lanza of the 40s and 50s) held tenaciously to the old racial values. Blacks who dared violate the color line found themselves in trouble. South Philadelphia survived and its culture lived on.


My favorite South Philadelphian was Sicilian Armand Destefano, a beloved, aged municipal celebrity when I met him in the 70s. He hosted a popular Saturday afternoon radio program, *Twentieth Century Limited*, that wove Armand's personal remembrances of the opera greats into a musical retrospective that held a large, loyal audience spellbound for a solid hour.



As a youth working in his father's Victor record shop, Armand had met these famous artists, dined with them and learned to love them. In those days Victor records were produced in nearby Camden and the opera stars, after finishing up long recording studio sessions, would head for the Destefano home for a succulent Italian dinner and lively confabs. Names like Tito Schipa, Vladimir Horowitz, Clara Butt, Beniamino Gigli, Rosa Ponselle and Giuseppe De Luca were as familiar to Armand as Jimmy Foxx and the Philadelphia Athletics were to an Anglo kid. Armand's passing was a great loss to Majority members and immigrants alike.

Those willing to drive 15 blocks south on Broad Street from City Hall can experience Armand's love of music at the Victor Cafe where his vast collection of opera records still delights the ear. Just like in the old days.

IVAN HILD



### More on Lindbergh

Recently I attended a lecture at the Atlanta History Center. The guest speaker was A. Scott Berg, author of the new Lindbergh biography. Berg is an excellent speaker. What he said was very interesting to people who had never studied Lindbergh's life. In his opening remarks, Berg called Lindbergh's father, a onetime congressman, "a political nut." He at first praised Lindbergh, though he later admitted the erstwhile American superhero ended up as a quasi-villain.

In describing Lindbergh's flight, Berg said the plane spun around a few times, which was not true. Other than that he gave him full credit for his achievement.

Berg pointed out that Lindbergh was in Germany as an American military spy rather than as a Nazi collaborator. Berg's biography has a picture of the medal given Lindbergh by the Germans.

A Jew, Berg was fairly fair about Lindbergh's part in the America First Committee. Many prominent Americans were members. He was in error when he said Lindbergh wanted Russia and Germany to fight it out and let the rest of the world go about its business.

When the floor was opened to questions, one knowledgeable person quoted from Lindbergh's wartime journals: "I believe that either Germany or Russia will dominate Europe. It is far better that Germany dominate and as soon as America enters the war, it will be an automatic victory for Russia."

Berg discussed Lindbergh's accusation that Roosevelt, the British and the Jews were trying to inveigle us into the war. Lindbergh said that Jews were international citizens first and American and German citizens second.

303

### In Re Lorden's Latest Screed

The *Zwangsarbeiten* (slave laborers) mentioned by Lorden (May 1999), who were found with bullets in their heads, were most likely the tragic victims of WWII's final days. It is known, for instance, that Russian workers at the Dora-Nordhausen plant were executed when they were found urinating into the guidance systems of V2 rockets they were working on. This was serious stuff. The Germans, who desperately needed workers, were not likely to kill any of them off unless they were really guilty of sabotage.

In regard to the David Irving quote about Hitler's secret admiration for the Bolshevik subjugation of Slavic "subhumans" and how he wanted to subject his own folk to a similar "elite leadership," I have a small confession. I openly admire Jewish accomplishment. Owing to a unique occupation in Manhattan, I've been able to tell every class of my enslaved race how sick I am of their stupidity and gutlessness. Only by emulating the tactics of the Jews will they ever be free of their control.

Does that make me a Zionist? I would impose a generation of "elite leadership" on my gullible people, in order to counteract the century of lies, degradation, and Jewish intellectualism they've experienced. Does that make me a Bolshevik?

Hitler's admiration for the success of Jewish Bolsheviks in subjugating the fatalistic Russian peasantry pales in comparison to my admiration for what the Jews have done in North America. When I think of the Joe Six-Packs and soulless yuppies who have risen to the Jews' bait and fallen for their lies, I have sometimes reacted by considering the term "subhuman" to describe them. Does that kind of comment lead anyone to think that I'm no longer devoted to the survival of my people?

Residents of western Germany were once fond of saying that each German from Silesia had one Polish grandmother. During WWII many Germanized Poles fought and died in the *Wehrmacht*. Childless German couples adopted Polish war orphans who were racially Nordic. There were four different kinds of *Ostvolk* decorations awarded to Russians, Cossacks and one regiment of Siberians. There were Bosnian Muslims in the Waffen SS.

None of the above was consistent with the idea that every ethnic Slav was subhuman. Did the Germans consider themselves superior? You bet they did. But so did everyone else. In wartime we animalize our enemies in order to increase our distance from them. During America's campaign in the Pacific, widely circulated popular illustrations depicted the Japanese as monkeys.


As someone with a Polish surname, I have always understood that Hitler was engaged in a life and death struggle with an Asiatic empire. After the war he had planned to create a Pan-Germanic confederation of Nordic countries. Would the Alpine racial majority within Germany (to which Hitler belonged) have been excluded from such an arrangement? Of course not. It also seems likely that closely related Celtic and Slavic groups bordering Germany would also have been included in his European union. Since ethnic, national and even regional particularisms had contributed to fratricidal wars for centuries, Hitler wanted the political map redrawn. The new boundaries would have served to more closely approximate the natural boundaries of race.

But I'm getting tired of explaining all this. Why doesn't Lorden just go away?

113

### Disenfranchise Women?

Interesting article on "good feminist" Rebecca Latimer Felton (April 99). It is easy to understand why she has gone down the memory hole. However I remain convinced that women are unfit for the vote. It is worth re-



membering that most of the opposition to giving women the ballot came from women themselves. The late Gertrude Bell, the Englishwoman who helped draw the map of the modern Near East, was adamantly opposed to giving females the vote. She regarded her own sex as blithering idiots.

742

### Allies Betrayed

It was once wisely said and accepted that states have no permanent friends or allies, only permanent interests. It is almost axiomatic that modern Italy has never ended a war on the same side on which it began. Or, as the Germans said about their Italian allies in WWII: "It is only fair that we have the Italians on our side this time, the Allies had them in the First World War." Since WWII the U.S. has always hastened to reassure its citizens that our "allies" support our military adventures, as if their interests were identical to our own. Nothing could be further from the truth. At times their national interests differ from our

own and they are unable to go along with us.

Nor has the U.S. always remained loyal to its allies. In the Korean War the U.S. quietly exiled Syngman Rhee to Hawaii when his views differed from our own. In the Vietnam War the Diem family had to be removed because their views on the conduct of the conflict differed from our own. Later, the time came for the U.S. to dump our long-standing ally, Ferdinand Marcos, in the Philippines, even accusing him and his wife of stealing from their people. The dumping of the Shah of Iran, Reza Pahlavi, was even more unworthy of an ally. We refused to grant him asylum or even treat his cancer in our country for fear of further irritating the revolting fundamentalists—his and our antagonists.

200

### The Difficulty of Rape

The ruling by the Italian Supreme Court on tight pants was anything but idiotic. The court reasoned, correctly, that the alleged rape victims' pants were so tight that it would have been impossible for the rapist to remove them unless the victim cooperated. That takes care of the consent problem. This common sense ruling reinforces a point I made a long time ago. Women are not weak, helpless creatures. It can be quite a task to violate them.

250

## The Hawaiian Model


As Americans of European ancestry find themselves slowly slipping into minority status in their own country, they would do well to absorb a lesson from the Hawaiian people. When in the course of the 19th century more and more U.S. mainland whites began to settle in the Islands, Hawaiian elders began to fear for their people's future. Prudently and wisely they began to take measures to ensure that their progeny would not be deprived of their Hawaiian birthright by the more dynamic newcomers.

With the approval of the U.S. government, a Hawaiian was defined as

any descendent of the aboriginal people inhabiting the Hawaiian Islands which exercised sovereignty and subsisted in the Hawaiian Islands in 1778, and which people thereafter have continued to reside in Hawaii.

Racially a Hawaiian was defined as "any descendent of not less than one-half part of the races inhabiting the Hawaiian Islands previous to 1778." The Annexation Act of 1908 by which Hawaii became a U.S. territory honored these concepts. In 1920, again with U.S. approval,

the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act was even able to set aside 200,000 acres of public lands for leasing at nominal rents to "any descendent of not less than one-half part of the blood of races inhabiting the Hawaiian Islands previous to 1778."



In the 1920s Congress also passed an immigration law that ensured that the essentially European racial composition of the mainland U.S. would remain con-

stant. When, with the 1959 Admission Act, Hawaii officially became a state, its special protective privileges continued to be recognized. As recently as 1978, Hawaii established the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, whose duty is to hold certain designated properties in trust exclusively for native Hawaiians.

As the result of the new 1965 immigration law passed by a liberal Congress and of subsequent domestic affirmative action laws giving preferential treatment to non-Europeans, Americans of European ancestry are now headed for minority status. Today it is generally accepted that in about 40 to 50 years whites will no longer be the majority in the U.S.

While Congress has enforced the laws granting preferential treatment to mestizos and blacks, it remains to be seen whether whites, when in the minority, will be granted preferential treatment by non-whites who will inexorably sit in the cat bird's seat. Will the native Hawaiians prove to have had more foresight and intelligence in insisting on preserving their own unique culture and people on their own islands than Americans of European ancestry will have on the mainland?

200



## Pornography 101

Under the "guidance" of Hope Weissman, professor at Wesleyan University, students are entitled, even encouraged, to take one or more courses in pornography. As their term project her acolytes are asked to create their own smutty films, even star in them. One diligent student submitted a short flick that focuses on a man's eyes while he masturbates. Another depicted a female student in scenes of sexual bondage. Weissman, who describes herself as a feminist, says that neither students nor parents have ever complained about her courses.

## Misidentification

It is now recognized by most courts that eyewitness accounts of criminal acts are often unreliable. It is not unusual for accounts of the same incident by two different people to differ widely. Cross-racial recognition, the attempted identification of a member of another racial group involved in a crime, is singularly difficult and unreliable. A member of one race often cannot discern individual features in members of another. "They all look alike to me" is a common remark by persons who have been asked to identify a criminal suspect of another race.

## Self-Destructive Binge

The present administration's domestic policies will forever be associated with the President's juvenile sexcapades, the Waco and Weaver murders, shady fundraising operations, lack of immigration controls, national security sloppiness, decrease in educational standards, promotion of multiracialism and affirmative action, appointment of ever more Jews to high office—on top of all this was and is Clinton's lamentable foreign policy. NATO was hijacked from its designated mission and its reputation dirtied. International law was violated by the attack on Yugoslavia. The Chinese Embassy in Belgrade was blasted "inadvertently." The Kosovo refugee problem was exacerbated by the rain of bombs, cruise missiles and other explosive devices.

The U.S. Armed Forces have been misused and turned into a kind of Salvation Army by engaging them in absolutely meaningless and fruitless conflicts in Somalia, Iraq, Yugoslavia, Haiti and elsewhere. China's nuclear and missile capabilities have been advanced many years because of lax U.S. security. Economic

blockades have been imposed on countries American minorities disapprove of. As a result of these inane forays in international affairs by a draft-dodging President, American prestige has gone into free fall.

## Dangerous Astronomy

When asked by his teacher to improvise a story about a planet, Brad Herman, a Philadelphia eighth-grader, dreamed up a "Planet Jewpiter," which had an atmosphere that smelled like sausages and whose inhabitants danced to bar mitzvah music. For his literary pains Brad was given one week's suspension and thoroughly chewed out in front of his class by a school official. Brad's father is now suing the school for violating his son's right to free speech. Brad, by the way, is not a Jew. If he was, he might not have been suspended.

## Egghead Evolution

The American intellectual has grown to believe that he is an end in himself, that world events are important only insofar as they concern him and the areas of his interest, however petty they may be. The mass media glorifies weak, inferior types of the prototype of a higher man. Consequently the modern American intellectual has been reduced to the category of mere observer. America started out as a collection of colonies, then a republic, then a democracy, then a judeocracy.

911

## Gutsy Prof

An academic with guts! Such a rara avis is Clayde Whitney, professor of psychology at Florida State University. It's hard to believe that he had the courage to write a friendly and illuminating introduction to David Duke's autobiography, *My Awakening*. Whitney strays far from the liberal-minority-equalitarian line. Ergo he is dangerous. Ergo he is a racist. Ergo his Preface should never have seen the light of day.

When the thought police at Florida State found out about Whitney's intro, they went flat out demonizing Whitney. Some double-domed colleagues demanded he be fired. Others wanted what amounted to a public burning.

The treatment accorded Professor Whitney is typical of the suffocating minority racism that darkens U.S. college campuses. Until students and their teachers can

speak and write fearlessly, they will never act fearlessly.

## Jewish Blacks

DNA "fingerprints" reveal that the Bantu-speaking Lemba people of southern Africa are probably of Jewish ancestry. Lemba males carry a DNA sequence that is distinctive to the Cohanim, a hereditary set of Jewish priests. Because the designation "Cohanim" originates in the Semitic language group, variants of the "chn" tri-consonant cluster appear in Jewish names in many forms—i.e., Cohen, Kogan, Kohane and Kahn.


## Suicidal Words

Hear ye, hear ye. Hear the Majority death rattle in the words of Republican California State Senator Jim Brulte:

My leadership PAC will give no more money to Anglo males in Republican primaries. Every dollar I can raise is going to nominate Latinos and Asian Americans and women. We have to expand our outreach.

## White Hoopster

"I hate to say it, but he's [Jason Williams] a little white guy and that helps. Everywhere we go on the road, you hear, 'White chocolate! White chocolate!' They ain't used to seeing a white guy play like that. That's what he brings to the table and he's reaping the benefits." So writes Vernon Maxwell of the Sacramento Kings.



The above bilge, entitled *Self-Portrait as a Heel, Part 2*, by the late Negro "artist," Jean-Michel Basquiat, sold for \$772,000 at a recent Christie's auction in New York.

Western culture is not only sick. It's terminal.




### Just a Fluke?

Make of it what you will. Senator James Inhofe (R-OK), one of Clinton's sharpest critics, was flying his own plane to inspect tornado damage in Oklahoma City when the propeller fell off. An experienced pilot, Inhofe managed to glide the plane safely to a small airport. Inhofe's press secretary, Gary Hoisma, says the FBI is looking into this most unusual incident.

### Vengeance Is Thine

The Jewish adage of "never forgive and never forget" came into play with a bang in May when the Justice Dept. reopened its case against 79-year-old John Demjanjuk. The newest charge against him is that he was a guard in a Nazi concentration camp. An auto worker in the Cleveland area for more than two decades, Demjanjuk, a U.S. citizen, was arrested for "war crimes" in 1977 and shipped off to Israel



They want Demjanjuk's blood

where he was locked up, tried, found guilty and sentenced to death. At almost the last moment it was discovered that the whole case was based on mistaken identity. Demjanjuk was not the hated Ivan the Terrible. Having made a sham-ble of his declining years, the Justice Dept. reluctantly allowed Demjanjuk to return to his family in the U.S. Obeying its master's voice, Justice decided to try to haul Demjanjuk into court again, take away his citizenship and deport him to some country that would take him. Obviously not many would.

### Indian Origins

DNA tests indicate that the Indian population in the New World originated in Central and South Asia. The Redskins came to the New World across the Bering Straits, not piecemeal but in one huge migration some 30,000 years ago. DNA further reveals that Indians in North, Central

and South America are all related. But this doesn't mean that the Indians were the "first Americans." The 35,000-year-old bones of a female on an island off the California coast testify to Polynesian genes. Similarly aged remains found on the east coast hint of a European origin of *Homo Americanus*.

### Censored Comments

Henry Kissinger's third volume of memoirs has now been published. In it he omitted some interesting questions posed by Chairman Mao at a meeting in Beijing that included then U.S. Ambassador to China, George Bush. The Chinese leader said that he understood the N.Y. Times was owned by a Jewish family. He then asked about the ownership of the Washington Post. After some whispered talk with Bush, Kissinger admitted that the Post was also owned by Jews. What was the reason Mao brought this matter up? Whatever it was, Kissinger thought it wise not to mention it in his book.

### School Killers on Drugs

Thomas Solomon, 15, the boy involved in the Conyers (GA) school shooting incident on May 20, 1999, was taking Ritalin for depression. Eric Harris, the 18-year-old involved in the Littleton (CO) bloodbath on April 20, 1999, was taking Luvox for depression. Kipland Kinkel, 15, the teenager who pulled the trigger in the Springfield (OH) school shootings on May 21, 1999, was gulping down Ritalin and Prozac.

The number of students routinely prescribed drugs as medication for a variety of alleged causes (depression, attention deficit disorder, hyperactivity) is already in the hundreds of thousands. Consequently it's about time to review the practice. Dispensing pills is America's treatment of preference for most behavioral disorders, mainly because it's easier and cheaper than other treatments that get at the root causes of what the Pope calls a "death culture."

School curricula with their emphasis on sports, sex and fun are enough to depress any young man with high aspirations. There should be separate high schools for most boys and girls, mainly because they mature at different rates and in different ways. Romance for girls of high school age generally means boys. For boys romance can mean—aside from dreaming about girls—climbing mountains, excelling in sports, hiking and hunt-

ing, all sorts of adventures, inventing, hero worship and the desire to become a hero himself. High school age boys are simply not ready to handle love affairs.

Boys and young men in America today have little opportunity to escape the female world. They go from mom, to schoolmarm, to girlfriend, to lady supervisor and then to wife. Even the armed forces have—for purely political reasons—become feminized. As men, they are not even permitted to have male-only clubs. No wonder so many of them are depressed.

### Clinton's Semitic Hang-Up

Clinton has a peculiar habit of surrounding himself with Jews—Semites like Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, National Security Adviser Samuel Berger, Defense Secretary William Cohen and Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin. The latter, now resigning and returning to Wall Street, will probably be replaced by Larry Summers, also a Tribesman. Many of these high muckety-mucks have deputies who are also Jewish. Summers was Rubin's deputy. Howard Schloss is Summer's aide. James Steinberg is Berger's deputy.

Though strict constitutionalists may deny it, the Federal Reserve is also part and parcel of the U.S. government. Fed Chairman is Alan Greenspan. Vice Chairman is Alice Rivlin. It's hardly an exaggeration to say that Clinton heads an overwhelmingly Jewish team.

### Change of Story

As in so many cases in the past, it has come to pass that the illegal Haitian immigrant, Abner Louima, who was brutalized by New York City cops in a station house bathroom, has now acknowledged inconsistencies in his previous testimony given under oath. Louima, who is now suing Zoo City for \$155 million, says that he was under "medication" when he made previous statements and that his memory wasn't as clear as it should have been. Louima is being defended by the legal "dream team" of Johnnie Cochran, Barry Scheck and Peter Neufeld—the same gang of shysters that got O.J. Simpson off.

### Birds of a Feather

Spotted at this year's White House Correspondents Association Dinner: Larry Flynt (see Primate Watch), who recently escaped jail time on an obscenity charge, and Susan McDougal, ex-jailbird and Clinton protectress. McDougal was seen sitting on Flynt's lap doing something that resembled a lap dance.





**Federal Appeals Court Judge John Minor Wisdom** died in April, having spent a lifetime on the Bench boosting minority racism. Known as an Episcopalian, Wisdom, in a rare, revelatory moment, boasted he had "a grandfather of French-Jewish background." Among many of what he called his major accomplishments was getting blacks into integrated classrooms and onto juries. Wisdom claimed to have been the judge who wrote the majority opinion in a case that "really started affirmative action."

**Neil Herman**, a Jewish snoop who served 17 years as an expert in terrorism for the FBI, has moved over and taken a similar job with the ADL.

Having put the once respected Wall St. firm of Kidder, Peabody & Co. out of business by his imaginary bookkeeping, Negro **Joseph Jett** is now "telling all" in a new book. He blames part of his troubles on white racism.

Buying Caesar's Palace for \$3 billion, gambling magnate **Arthur Goldberg** will soon control 109,000 rooms in Las Vegas, making him more powerful than the town's previous top tycoon, fellow Jew, **Steve Wynn**.

NOW had its third summit in Washington (DC) in April. **Patricia Ireland**, the organization's head, guesses that "maybe half" of the 500,000 members are lesbians. She herself is not a pure queer. While keeping a female "partner" tucked away in the nation's capital, she maintains some kind of relationship with her husband in Florida.

**Frank Bedell**, driver of the Mississippi-bound bus that careened off Highway 610 killing 22 passengers, tested positive for marijuana. It may or may not be pertinent that Bedell, a black, had been fired twice previously for breaking company rules.

The dean of the Harvard Divinity School, **Ronald Thiemann**, was forced to resign after his computer was found to be loaded with porn.

To Jews and other categories of liberals, **Richard M. Scaife** has been the Great Satan for his lavish financial support of conservative causes. To remove this blot from his escutcheon, Scaife gave \$150,000 to the **United Jewish Federation** of Pitts-

burgh for its 1999 community campaign. Jews are not known for their forgiveness, but every shekel helps.

**Donald Hendrickson**, who has confessed to molesting 30 girls in the last 26 years, was let out of prison and returned to his home in Olalla (PA). His average victim was a blonde, four to seven years old.

Caught driving a car in May loaded with bomb-making ingredients, four guns and 1,000 rounds of ammunition, **Jack Modig** explained that he was planning to "incinerate" Colorado's largest mosque.

The parents of Secretary of State **Madeleine Albright**, America's loudest warmonger, converted to Roman Catholicism during or after WWII. Madeleine herself claims to be an Episcopalian. In a 1930 speech in Moscow, **Joseph Stalin** went out of his way to praise **Martin Kerbel**, Madeleine's grandfather, for his "important role in the success of the Bolshevik Revolution." Stalin also called Kerbel his "right arm."

These ladies and gentlemen have their physiognomies plastered over the latest U.S. savings bond: **Chief Joseph**, a Red-skin warrior; **Dr. Helen Garcia**, fighter for Mexican-American rights; **Rev. M.L. King**; **Albert Einstein**; **Marian Anderson**, Negro chanteuse, and **George Marshall**. One WASP, five minorityites.

**Dolores Hill**, black headmistress of a private elementary school in Brooklyn, was well respected for her stern stance against drug dealing and for operating a food program for the poor. In late April, Ms. Hill was arrested for selling crack cocaine to an undercover agent.

When **General David Hale** received his slap on the wrist for disgracing his uniform by pleasuring himself with the wives of subordinates, it was not unexpected. RHIP (rank has its privileges). At his trial when he wept openly and begged for forgiveness, he demonstrated the measure of his manliness.

For a failed peacemaker, **Richard Holbrooke** did pretty well after he left the State Dept., banking \$205,000 in speaking fees, an advance of \$166,000 on a new book and \$1.153 million from his stipend from Credit Suisse. His appointment as UN Ambassador has been held

up because in collecting all this money he apparently skirted some State Dept. rules forbidding working for private clients within one year of quitting his government job.

In many public appearances dealing with moral issues, **Clinton** has the much hyped and rather fishy "witness to the Holocaust" **Elie Wiesel** at his side. He is hardly an improved version of **Billy Graham**. The excellent press relations enjoyed by Wiesel by our synchronized media is another proof of the equation PR = BS.

**Mumia Abu-Jamal**, the Negro cop killer, has a worldwide lobby dedicated to saving him from the hot seat. Notables who have recently come to console him in his Philadelphia jail include **Ed Asner**, **Dick Gregory**, **Rev. Jesse Jackson** and last, but not least, **Danielle Mitterrand**, wife of the late French President. She was not accompanied by Mitterrand's mistress, who remained in France.

For some inexplicable reason, probably the result of a perverse political deal, all obscenity charges brought against pornocrat **Larry Flynt** have been dropped. He could have faced 20 years for peddling his filth to minors. All he has to do is pay a \$10,000 fine and promise not to sell smutty videos in his store in the environs of Cincinnati. For spilling the sexual beans on Bob Livingston, Flynt managed to keep him from becoming Speaker of the House. He would have made a better leader than the so-so **Dennis Hastert**, who now holds the post.

**Rabbi Jacob Lustig** was one of five Jews who pled guilty to stealing \$1.5 million from a bingo operation in three Ohio counties. The missing money was intended to help the poor in his congregation.

**Harminder Singh Virk** of Kent (WA) pumped seven bullets into his 18-year-old daughter for giving him a dirty look when Dad chastised her for falling asleep while boning up for her driver's license test.

Sixty people watched **two black 18-year-old crack addicts** rape a 28-year-old Negress in an Atlanta public housing project. The show went on for two hours.

Laotian-born **Khoua Her**, who now lives in St. Paul, confessed she strangled her six children, ages five to 11. Her lawyer said she had been motivated by "suicidal distress." She could be paroled in 33 years.

## Talking Numbers

1.83 71 12 100.013 .03% 43 31425 7

Something to think about. A 1992 study by the Dept. of Defense found Jews, who represent slightly more than 2.3% of the population, accounted for only 0.46% of the Vietnam KIAs (killed in action).

Among the 130 underwriters participating in the \$3-billion stock offering by Goldman Sachs, in New Deal times one of the biggest crooks in Wall St., were 17 minority and 3 female firms. The IPO hucksters will receive \$150 million for their overpaid services.

In May the INS announced that more than 50,000 illegal Haitians will not be deported and will have 9 months in which to apply for permanent residence in the U.S., which means most of them will inevitably graduate into citizens.

Ruben Ortega, Latino police chief of Salt Lake City, admitted that almost 75% of the drug dealers in his city are illegal Mexicans.

In Arizona about 200,000 out of 750,000 public school students speak a primary language other than English. By far the largest alien group are Spanish-speakers (85%), followed by Navajo-speakers (6.1%) and Asian-speakers (3.6%). Years after the American people petitioned their congressmen to stop illegal immigration the influx of wetbacks into Arizona is now at its highest level ever.

Scotland has 10% of the British population but 12.5% of the M.P.s.

The 1921 race riot in Tulsa probably resulted in several times as many deaths as occurred in Germany's *Kristallnacht*.

According to the German census of May 17, 1939 (including at that time the annexed Austrian state and the Sudeten areas), 330,000 racially classified Jews were still living in Germany. Persons of mixed Jewish descent in Germany included 72,738 persons with two Jewish grandparents and 42,811 with one Chosen grandparent.

When asked about their reading habits, 31% of the respondents to an Ogilvy poll said they read less than 25% of the articles in their favorite magazine.

In April a platinum necklace encrusted with 235 diamonds and 18 emeralds brought \$277,500 at a New York auction.

The owner, one of the many affluent Holocaust survivors, claimed he cemented it under a kitchen tile in his apartment shortly before he and his wife were arrested and carted off to the camps. When the war ended and he was free, he rushed back to where he had concealed the necklace and found it in shipshape order. His wife, however, died in captivity.

70% of local TV news stories are 30 seconds or less in length.

A survey of 3,100 high-achieving high school students found that 45% said affirmative action would prevent them from going to the colleges of their choice.

Britain and America dropped 315 times more bombs and explosives on Germany in WWII than Germans dropped on Britain. As it did in WWI, the U.S. emerged bomb-free.

45% of Icelanders are on the Internet; 35% of Americans; .005% of Chinese.

There are some 6,000 Saudi princes, each of whom get a monthly allowance of \$20,000 or more.

New York City will fork over \$2.75 million to a Negro, Harold Dusenbury, who was arrested and roughly handled because he fitted the profile of a "black male in T-shirt and jeans," that the cops were looking for. They made the wrong arrest.

24 supposedly black descendants of Thomas Jefferson were invited for the first time to attend a Jefferson Family Reunion. Pending further investigation of their lineage, however, none was invited to join the Jefferson Family Association. Nor are they entitled to a grave in the Monticello grounds. The man most responsible for this racial intrusion was a WASP proditor with the fancy name of Lucian Truscott IV, who claims Jefferson as an ancestor. Being a writer for liberal publications, Truscott knows how to earn his keep.

There are 2 million Smiths in America; nearly 600,000 in Britain. No Smith appeared in a mid-90s survey of Britain's Richest. All the names were either Jewish or Asian.

The Aga Khan decided his \$25 million Gulfstream IV jet was getting too old after 11 years of service. So he traded it in for

a \$36-million Canadian-built Global Express jet that can zoom through the skyways as fast as 580 mph.

The division of the African and non-African population, formerly believed to have taken place around 125,000 years ago, has been upped to 200,000 years ago. Since modern man is supposed to have evolved before the split, then he is much older than anyone had previously thought. Rutgers University researchers have now identified a specific genetic mutant in the non-African population.

The bones of an ancient woman found in the Channel Islands off southern California are now believed to be 13,000 years old. Her remains fit the new theory that the first Americans were Polynesians or southern Asians. Ancient remains recently discovered on the east coast of America exhibit some European and Asian traits. The more such evidence piles up, the more "Native Americans" (Indians) go on the racial warpath.

A "sense of the House vote" produced 219 ballots for sending U.S. ground troops to the Balkans as part of a NATO peace-keeping force. The 197 ballots against the move indicate there is still a sizable core of America Firsters—not sizable enough, unfortunately, to keep the U.S. Air Force from plastering little Serbia.

"During the last two years nearly 14,000 people have been prosecuted for expressing illegal opinions: imprisoned, fined, or otherwise penalised. This is around 200 more political prisoners than China, and more than in the closing years of the infamous Communist regime of East Germany." (David Irving's Action Report Online)

New York City will give \$11 million to the Museum of Jewish Heritage. Since Jewish heritage is inseparable from Judaism, and since Judaism is a religion, so much for church/state separation in Zoo City.

Of the 1,184 people arrested by state troopers on the New Jersey Turnpike between May 1997 and March 1998, 717 were blacks. 26% of Jerseyites are minority members.

10% of black males in the U.S. are married to white women. In Britain some 30% of young West Indian males and females have "white partners." 60% of American blacks are marrying someone of a different race. The racial stew keeps stewing more than ever.

Howard Stern, the stentorian mouthpiece of backward evolution, sunk to new depths with his comments about the mini-massacre that took place in Columbine High School in Littleton (CO):

There were some really good-looking girls running out with their hands over their heads. Did [Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold] try to have sex with any of the good-looking girls? They didn't even do that? At least if you're going to kill yourself and kill all the kids, why wouldn't you have some sex? If I was going to kill some people, I'd take them out with sex.

Fifty radio stations carry Stern's radio broadcasts. CBS produces this electronic excrement. Some of the nation's foremost corporations sponsor the repellent throwback Howie.


Sixteen primetime TV shows now have built-in homo, lesbian, bisexual or transgender characters. NBC 6; CBS 2; ABC 3; Warner Bros. 1; Fox 2; Showtime 2. Five primetime programs were offered by PBS in April in honor of Holocaust Remembrance Month. But isn't every month Holocaust Remembrance Month?

Call him Mr. Buttinsky. On Feb. 23 Charlie Rose, garrulous late-night truckler, interrupted his guests 23 times: March 2, 74 times; March 3, 60; March 4, 62; March 11, 57. (Brill's Content, May 1999, p. 31)

TV vulgarian Roseanne has three daughters: Brandi, 27, Jessica, 24, Jennifer, 22. All are Jewish. All are single. All say they want to marry Jews. In an attempt to fulfill their wishes, Rabbi Shmuel Boteach, author of *Kosher Sex* and incipient matchmaker, has arranged to have two Jewish marital candidates flown in from Britain at Roseanne's expense. Stay tuned.

I watched *The Unicorn Killer* for two successive nights on NBC. I just had to see if Ira Einhorn was identified as a Jew. Blue-eyed, brown-haired Nordish Kevin Anderson played Einhorn, who in real life is a swarthy Chosenite with a hippie-styled hairdo. Einhorn, who split his blonde girlfriend's skull in 12 places and hid her body in a steamer trunk in his apartment for 18 months, was depicted as Jewish, but in a roundabout way. His girlfriend's dad was alleged to have flaunted a Nazi flag in his home and Einhorn passed on the lie that his girlfriend's father had been a member of the Nazi Party. One sordid snatch of dialogue: A Jewish friend of Einhorn tells him he will go to jail. "You're a hippie Jew who's killed a blonde shiksa cheerleader! Get real, Ira!" I was surprised that the show's producers let it be known that Einhorn was bankrolled in his bail-jumping flight to Europe by the wife of the head of the Canadian branch of the Bronfman booze empire.

Bryant Gumbel, a highly touted affirmative action talking head, felt no compunction in pocketing his annual \$5 million fee from CBS, even though *In the Public Eye*, the nighttime show that lured him from NBC's morning perch, was a miserable flop. In the hope of rescuing something from its "investment," CBS is devising a new morning show



for Gumbel to air in November. The question is how such a mediocre talent as Gumbel ever made it to the big time. Was it the color of his epidermis? Meanwhile he struts about town with his faux blonde wife and two kids and his faux blonde mistress, with whom he just took a holiday to Italy. Steve Friedman, who presided over the TV edition of *USA Today*, one of the worst bombs in TV history, will be producing Gumbel's new venture. The more junk the Friedmans foist on TV, the more they seem to be rewarded. To keep the shekels flowing in, Gumbel relies on his skin color; Friedman on his nasal contour.

*From Ivan Hild.* Did you know? (1) The white man is a devil, animal, Satan and worse; (2) The white man was driven from Africa some 6,000 years ago by the black man who was then his master; (3) The white man was then forever barred from reentering Africa, and consequently forced to remain in his new northern European home on pain of death; (4) The white man then proceeded to take up residence in caves with dogs who in turn had sexual relations with the white man's woman; (5) The white man walked on all fours long after the black man, proud and pure, had grown accustomed to prancing about on his fine two feet.

What, you may ask, have I been smoking? Such words, would you believe, were heard on a popular AM radio station in the heart of Washington (DC) on the very Saturday afternoon that Clinton's NATO leaders were conclaveing. Broadcast over Cathy Hughes' (black) radio station (WOL-AM) by a representative of Rev. Louis Farrakan, this analysis of social history indicts the white race as the most deceptive of all races, whose aim is obviously to rule the other racial tribes by the process of divide and conquer.

The Farrakan rep's speech could have been heard on the car radios of the collected illuminati of NATO as they were being driven about in their fat Cadillac Northstar limousines from celebrity point to celebrity point. Having heard these antiwhite polemics, NATO movers and shakers might have reflected on what is in store for them when the European whites exhaust their economic and military resources fighting one another.



## Waspishly Yours

Thank God for Bill Clinton. Why is everyone so mad at the man? He has solved the riddle of the century. Well, if not solved, exactly, at least he has helped to unravel a riddle wrapped in an enigma rolled inside a tasty tobacco leaf.

Bill Clinton has solved the enigma of Adolf Hitler. Well, not Hitler, exactly, but the puzzle of the American people. Why do Slick Willie's poll numbers soar, despite everything, even the trenchant (i.e., biting) trench-mouth accusations of that broad (not to say, woman), Juanita Broaddrick? "It's the economy, stupid!" Remember *that* battle cry?

I don't understand it—any more than anybody else. The American people (including Jews) still puzzle me. Why are they complaining about Slick Willie Clinton? He has done us an enormous favor. Not Monica Lewinsky, not Juanita Broaddrick, not Dolly Kyle Browning, but this will be his legacy: He has solved (at least helped us to understand) the enigma of the century. Maybe now we can inhale.

What enigma?

The real riddle that everyone is obsessed about—because it involves Jews, of course—is why did the Germans follow Hitler? And how could they have committed the Holocaust? Granted it happened.

Of course there is this awkward fact, which most people most conveniently have chosen to forget, if they ever knew it, Hitler never received a majority vote of the German people. His accession was a compromise, essentially a creeping *coup d'état*, reluctantly acceded to by Hindenburg, et al.

Come to think of it, Clinton also got less than a majority of the eligible voters. So he and Hitler really have that much in common. However, although Hitler also did a great deal of finger wagging, there's no semen-stained dress in *his* closet and he never weaseled about "is" and "alone." What he wanted, he took. Just like the Jews do.

People don't understand how the Germans followed Hitler, even if the Third Reich was a police state, even if U.S. Senator Carl Schurz once declared, "Our country, right or wrong!" If Toni Morrison loves Clinton because his background is "black," i.e., dysfunctional, she should *adore* Hitler, a man who lived from hand to mouth and called flophouses home for years, who spent much of his youth talking politics in bull sessions with "free spirits" like himself, who supported himself (more or less) by hawking in the streets the "postcards" he had painted of various scenes in old Vienna. How much more dysfunctional could Toni Morrison, artiste, want?

Ms. Morrison should hate Rev. Martin King for being too white, if she loves Willie for being too black. And, while we're at it, if we admire Abe Lincoln for coming up from nowhere, we should just love Hitler for the same reason. In spades. Humble as his beginnings were, however, by the time Lincoln came to anything like national prominence, he was solidly pillowed in the middle class, with a lucrative practice as a lawyer in Springfield, Illinois. The rail-splitter had become a hair-splitter for the railroads, had become a corporation lawyer tied to the railroads by split ties. For whatever it's worth, Hitler never really sought money. Unfortunately for the nation he commanded, he was "Bohemian" to the core. Clinton plays the sax and doesn't call oral sex. Hitler was a mesmerizing, compelling orator. Clinton splits pubic hairs and parses the meaning of "is" and "alone."

Why did the Germans follow Adolf? Ah sweet mystery!

Didn't Jesus also promise pie in the sky, just like Adolf? Didn't Christians holocost their enemies, as well as one another? Didn't Jesus make slaves "feel good about themselves?" Didn't Jesus also bring a "morning in America" and an escape from the mourning which had been brought by Nebuchadnezzar out of Iraq into Israel? And, finally, didn't Christians revenge themselves upon the pagans—Greeks, Romans and especially those German barbarians beyond the river Rhine—who had persecuted *them*?

Incidentally for all the pious hypocrites who are troubled by the 21 years it took Juanita Broaddrick to come forward with her story, and the 11 years it took for Anita Hill to bring her charges against Long Dong Silver, just remember this:

Mark, the earliest of the gospels, was probably written around A.D. 70, perhaps in "response" to the Roman destruction of Jerusalem. But once the Hebrew heretics (a.k.a. Christians) were being scattered, a coherent narrative was necessary to preserve the myth. So Mark must have been written about 40 years after the death of Christ.

Luke came later, as late as A.D. 85-90. Matthew probably dates from around A.D. 90-95. So these writers were reporting events 60 to 70 years after they supposedly occurred. Other than the gospels, there is so little historical evidence that some irrepressible skeptics and agnostics even deny that Jesus ever existed. And yet tens of millions of people believe. Why? And believe devoutly enough to justify burning unbelievers (after they had turned the other cheek, of course).

Therefore why so much skepticism about Juanita's story, only 21 years later and corroborated by four people who were told at the time the alleged rape occurred? If you can believe the witnesses for Jesus, 40 to 70 years later, why not believe Juanita? And if you worship a heretic and a revolutionary like Jesus, is it so hard to understand why the Germans could believe in Adolf Hitler? Jesus fed 5,000 from a few loaves and fishes. Adolf, the apostate, fed millions by curing a sick economy and calling a dead nation back to life.

Ah but Hitler wasn't perfect. Indeed in many ways he was a fool. After all, he lost. (So did the South. Longstreet begged Lee not to pointlessly propel Pickett into that pitiless thicket of canon fire.) His high command advised Hitler not to divide his forces in the drive to Moscow and begged him to pull von Paulus out of Stalingrad. Foolishly he wouldn't. So he lost. Get over it and get on with it. There are new battles to be fought and won, but not by losing perspective over the lost picket charges of the past. Learn and progress.

Hitler was a disaster for Nazi Germany, just as Stalin was for the Soviets. (However, the Germans seem to be getting their economic act together, while Russia, dominated by a new crop of Jews, is still very much an open question.) But if Hitler was bad, how good has Jesus been for the world, especially for our Hebrew brethren? Indeed the Nazis burned books they considered Hebrewish and decadent; Christians burned heretics as well as books. The Soviets devoured their own (Trotsky, Kamenev, Zinoviev, Radek, Bela Kun (all Chosen) and gloried in glorious show trials. Christians burned Joan of Arc and Giordano Bruno, and house-arrested Galileo after a show trial. And after crucifying Jesus, the Jews also crucified Sabra and Shatila the very same way,



i.e., by letting somebody else do the blood-letting, the actual dirty work.

Christians died for Jesus. How many true believers also died for Adolf, Stalin and the gory gorilla, Ben-Gurion? Vis-à-vis the Holaccost, didn't Hitler actually kill more Aryans than he killed Jews? So what makes a kosher butcher like Menaphegm Begin such a saint?

Hitler certainly was no saint, unlike Arik Sharon. But didn't things also turn sour for Christianity, or what was Luther and the Reformation all about? And why did Moses Mendelssohn "reform" Judaism in the 19th century?

Put away your pikes and spears. Drop your bell, book and candles. Don't have a hissy fit. I'm not comparing Adolf to Jesus. I am talking about the psychology of belief, the hysteria of mobs, the psychosis of crowds. (What made the Japanese regard their emperor as divine and be willing to die for him?) Christians burned heretics. Nazis hanged heretics. Hitler was cremated. Didn't ancient Hebrews also stone heretics and banish foreigners as a "final solution?" Didn't modern Jews expel upwards of a million Palestinians from *their* land? Who *didn't* crucify and excommunicate?

What happened to non-believers in Salem? So what would you expect to happen to unbelievers—Christians and Jews—in Nazi Germany? Where's the mystery? Solve one and understand the other. Otherwise don't make a mystery of Germany and forgive the others, especially Jews who expelled Palestinians from a land they had owned for 13 centuries, a land which the Jews had lost over 2,000 years ago. Believe it or not, there are Israelis today who deny Deir Yassin and Sabra and Shatila.

How could these holy Chosenites have committed these atrocities? And then deny them, as some do the Holaccost? So why could some Germans have committed Auschwitz and others not know it—in a dictatorship where the press was controlled, to say the least? So in a country like America, where we are deluged with information by a "free press," how can one *not* know? And how can one not care? Why do Clinton's poll numbers still soar, after Lewinsky, Broaddrick and all the rest? Once again, "it's the economy, stupid!"

But didn't Hitler build the autobahn? (During the great depression in the U.S. did anybody care whether Roosevelt's efforts to cure the economy were constitutional?) Didn't Hitler return Germany to full employment and give the people the bread and work they were crying for? (Building tanks and Volkswagens, you say.) But what would happen to employment in the U.S., if the arms industry went kaput? Ask Charlton Heston and the NRA, and Messrs. Colt, Smith & Wesson, not to mention Boeing, Electric Boat and Raytheon.

After Jimmy Carter filled the country with "malaise," didn't that airhead from Hollywood bring us "morning in America" and make us "feel good about ourselves" again? Even if the Jews were allowing our Marines to be blown up in Lebanon, even as we were funding a war in Central America from the sale of arms to Iran and Iraq through Israeli middlemen who skimmed their share from the top. Anyway under Reagan we weren't still bombing Vietnam any further back into the Stone Age.

Didn't Hitler make the Germans feel good again, restore their sense of pride, which the Allies had destroyed at Versailles, be-

cause they couldn't crush that Hunnish pride on the battlefield? What they couldn't do at Verdun they did at Versailles. So why shouldn't the Germans feel "morning in America" again, when Adolf gave them back their self-respect as a nation?

Despite all that, why did the Germans follow Adolf to the bitter end, at least until Dresden, Stalingrad and von Stauffenberg's failed coup? Afterwards why did they also just want to "get it all behind them?"

Despite Flowers, Willey, Browning, Jones, Lewinsky, et al., why did Clinton's poll ratings sing in the stratosphere? "It's the economy, stupid!" Or so they say. Are Americans raving about the autobahn, or the way Adolf cured a crippling inflation which required, literally, a wheelbarrow full of marks to buy just a loaf of bread? If Americans have it so good that they "don't want to rock the boat" over a silly thing like impeachment, why should the Germans have objected to their good economic times? Why should the Romans have objected to bread and circuses? Who cared about our Nero's shenanigans up at the White House, so long as we soak up our Schlitzes and soak our souls in Sammy Sosa? Or follow the bread-and-circuses Super Bowl in the Colosseum?

Did the Germans follow Adolf because they didn't care about his private life, so long as he was rolling up Europe like a blintz in an unleavened blitzkrieg?

How could the land of Beethoven, Kant and Goethe have followed Hitler from Berlin to Sobibor without getting back from Stalingrad?

How could the land of Hillel cluster-bomb West Beirut around the clock for months without remembering Maimonides and his *Guide for the Perplexed*?

How could the land of John Locke, Jonathan Edwards and George Washington have followed Clinton right into the arms of Juanita Broaddrick?

Answer that, and maybe it'll help you to understand the "enigma" of the Germans under Hitler. At the very least, it might make Americans a little less self-righteous.

Despite Duweima, Khan Yunis and the *U.S.S. Liberty*, there's little hope for the Jews, I'm afraid. They're chosen. They'll never learn, except from the Nazis. Who taught them blitzkrieg? Who translated the Nuremberg laws into Hebrew in the land of Zionide? (For example, if an Arab changes his name in order to "pass," in order to marry a Jew, he or she is liable to imprisonment.) Who was a Jew in Nazi Germany? Who is a Jew in Israel? Isn't that the burning question in the Holy Land now, although "races" have been abolished and "Aryan" does not exist and Germans should not be deterred from marrying "imported" Turks in nasty, racist Germany? Bitter *bitte*.

So those of you who hate Bill Clinton had better get over it. He has helped us to understand nothing less than the triumph of Christianity over paganism and the destruction of the Jews in Germany. *This* is his legacy. The rest is nothing more than smoke from a Cuban cigar. Hitler rose from the dregs of Vienna to rule the greatest European nation of his time. What greater legacy could there possibly be for a lad from a dysfunctional family in Arkansas, our first black President?

V.S. STINGER



**Slaughterhouse Serbia.** Clinton tried to skirt the Constitution by refusing to call his high-altitude, high-tech war by its right name. He didn't call it a war because of that bothersome little item in the Constitution that says only Congress can declare war (Section 8).

In one sense Clinton was right. Strictly speaking, war involves at least two opponents duking it out. Actually the President's war was more of a slaughter—a punching bag with one side doing all the punching and the other getting all the punches. Goliath with no David.

The U.S. is emerging as the world's most contemptible bully, one that goes all out to lose friends and intimidate people. If Clinton doesn't watch out, he may revive the Cold War. Russians were not pleased by being shut out of the peace negotiations. They showed their displeasure by beating NATO forces to the Pristina airport. U.S. relations with China were not improved by American missiles and bombs crashing into the Chinese Embassy in Belgrade (four dead, 20 wounded).

You shoot your enemy, but he can't shoot you because you are out of range in the skyways. This is the kind of conflict quite attractive to bullies. Someday Americans may be cowering in foxholes and basements as the Serbs did for 78 days. Bullying can spill just as much blood as Tomahawk missiles.

Slaughterhouse Serbia was a shameful military exercise, arguably the most shameful the U.S. has ever engaged in. As we will eventually learn to our regret, its most significant accomplishment was to set the stage for more slaughter to come.

America's moral IQ is dropping at the very moment we need desperately to raise it. There are dark days ahead.

Elie Wiesel (igNobel Peace Prize winner) is greatly disturbed by the treatment handed out to the Kosovars by the Serbs. But he is very careful to point out that this war crime in no way detracts from the unique, sacred status of the Holocaust. That must remain unduplicated and unduplicatable. Come to think of it, Wiesel, despite his effusive humanitarianism, has never said one word against Israeli terrorism.

The pathetic reality of American foreign policy under the guidance of the vaudeville soft-shoe trio of Albright, Berger and Cohen came crashing into the world's consciousness with the arrival of

American bombers over Serbia. U.S. warplanes were sent out to destroy Orthodox Christian hegemony over a Muslim minority.

The entire tone of Clinton's escapade with the Serbs is much in keeping with his underlying purpose of diminishing the legitimacy of nation states. Consider how thoroughly Clinton and his predatory predecessors destroyed white South Africa to the benefit of that nation's black majority. Fitting neatly into the New World Order is the smashing of yet another white Christian nation.

One of the most highly touted reasons for NATO's flattening of Serbia was the so-called ethnic cleansing of 1 million or so Kosovars at the hands of Slobodan Milosevic. If Clinton and the American media are so upset about such cleansing, why not bomb Israel, which over the years has "cleansed" 3,753,000 Palestinians from their homeland (Washington



Milosevic, devil incarnate

Post, May 22, 1999)? Instead of punishing the Zionist conquerors, we have given them some \$100 billion since 1948.

The Yugoslav adventure by NATO forces, orchestrated by the Anglo-American Establishment, represents the first overt military assault by supranational agencies against a sovereign national state. The very concept of free nation states with freely elected national leaders governing their own country has been scathingly attacked by forces of the New World Order. That the demands of international oligarchs co-opt and override national interests is evidenced by the fact that even though all the countries in-

involved in the NATO aggression, including the U.S., currently have socialist-tinged governments, none would have independently attacked Yugoslavia. Yet they all fell meekly into line in support of this egregious violation of international law.

If the people of this country or the people of any other NATO member state had been asked by their elected officials to declare war against Yugoslavia through their respective parliaments or congresses, they all would have refused.

Another disgusting aspect of this lamentable enterprise has been the sight of such prominent internationalists as Clinton, Blair, Schröder, Jospin et al.—all of whom, either outrightly refused or were extremely reluctant to use force against Communist aggression in the past—suddenly becoming war hawks.

*Cui bono?* First of all, the forces of internationalism benefitted by overriding national objections and demonstrating their superior firepower. One can only surmise whether the Serbs were reluctant to permit oil pipelines to run through their country without control over them or whether it is now payback time for the Serb-Russian Pan-Slavism that has been such an irritant to Europe in the past. Since the neocons and the Jewish community in general have been so uniformly bullish about the bombardment of Yugoslavia, it must be assumed that Israel too benefits, perhaps by involving all of Christian Europe in the Islamic-Jewish mess. Secondly, this stupid and cowardly international adventure has resulted in bringing China and Russia more closely together. Thirdly, it has subverted NATO, which was said by the U.S. to have been established as a defense force, into worldwide disrepute. Lastly, it has severely eroded America's reputation among peace-loving peoples.

If Clinton is concerned about justice and fair play, why is he not concerned about the Turkish destruction of over a thousand Kurdish villages? The inhumanity of the Turks far surpasses that of the Serbs. Further, while the Kurds are the native inhabitants of Eastern Turkey, the Albanians are not the natives of Kosovo. They are the turncoats left over from the Ottoman Turks who massacred 1.5 million Armenians in Turkey.

When in the year 2030 the Mexican population of California (30 million strong) becomes the majority in California, suppose the wetbacks decide to declare their independence? Suppose further that China supports the Mexicans' irredentism and sends missiles and bombs





eastward over the Pacific. How would we respond?

If you begin to cogitate upon that possibility, then you may be on the verge of becoming rational and reasonable. Until then, you and I, and all who support President Clinton's personal war of absolutism, are nothing but duped serfs who are afraid to question the wisdom of guarding the borders of every nation but their own. While our armed forces are safeguarding foreign territories, our own frontiers are open to mobs of barefooted invaders.

The fall of Rome was not achieved by Attila the Hun, but by the moral collapse of Rome's citizens and leaders. While Roman armies were protecting the far-flung territories of the empire, slaves flooded into its heart, procreating furiously, while the cream of Roman womanhood boasted that "only non-Romans have children."

How congruent are America's foreign and domestic policies to that of ancient Rome? Let readers judge. But before judging, recall the words of Edgar Allan Poe, "Little Knowledge is dangerous. Drink deep or taste not the Pierian Spring."

On May 9, Sam Donaldson asked NATO commander General Wesley Clark if the Kosovars are better off huddled in refugee camps than they were before we went to their aid? His reply: "We are degrading Serbian armed forces and their command and control centers." I don't know how good a soldier the partly Jewish Clark is, but he's learned the fundamental lesson of politics. Never answer the question asked, but the question you would prefer to have been asked. Since this ploy doesn't work without the pundit's complicity, Sam didn't complain that Clark's reply was a non sequitur.

**Canada.** From a subscriber. Conrad Black, Canada's almighty press tycoon, has now taken over the North Shore News, the courageous little three-a-week paper that had the audacity to carry the columns of Doug Collins. Doug has retired, but Black, who just happens to be married to a Jewess and just happens to own the Jerusalem Post, has a peculiar slant on freedom of the press. He says he's all for it, but won't let his papers carry anything that has the Collins' touch. Silence, Black must know, is the death of free speech.

Collins has now been fined \$2,000 (Canadian) for writing a few controversial columns several years ago. The B.C. Hu-

man Rights Tribunal could not reach a verdict the first time he was brought before it. When he was found guilty on his second appearance before the Tribunal, the Canadian Jewish Congress jumped for joy, along with Harry Abrams, the Jewish inquisitor who brought the complaint. Collins' doughty lawyer, Doug Christie, has applied for a judicial review, since the Tribunal has no mechanism for handling appeals. As expected, the plaintiffs are doing whatever they can to quash the review.

**Britain.** The 1999 *Burke's Peerage* is out (\$472 for two volumes). It is the first edition that includes information on the illegitimate offspring of bluebloods.

**France.** In recent months the Front National has been on a political roller coaster. Its #2 man, Bruno Mégret, broke with Jean-Marie Le Pen and tried to take away the organization's membership, its money and most of its other accouterments. Mégret's plan was to establish a rump Front National. After much confusion a French court came to Le Pen's rescue and ordered the return of all the Party's property, its treasury and various documents.

Le Pen got a surprising lift when Charles de Gaulle, eldest grandson of the General, came out swinging for the Front National and its leader. Hardcore French rightists consider the asymmetrical General de Gaulle a traitor for skipping off to Britain in WWII and leaving the French people to the tender mercies of the Nazi occupiers. After WWII, President de Gaulle distinguished himself by helping to give away the French empire in Africa. Nevertheless the General's name can only give a boost to a party that suffers painfully from a lack of respectability.

In Germany, Le Pen lost a round when in early June a Bavarian court found him guilty of "inciting racial hatred" for calling the Holocaust a "detail in history." This was a repeat of an old charge that has been stirring up the French media for years.

Le Pen lost another round when his party only won five seats in the European Parliament elections. It previously held 11 seats. Considering the way the FN was treated by the media and considering that the Party's money had been locked up by court order until just before the election, it's a wonder the FN got any seats. To show how France is going, the Green Par-

ty, headed by Daniel Cohn-Bendit, the French-German-Jewish gadfly, won nine seats. Cohn-Bendit is a French version of the late Abbie Hoffman.

Megacreep Ira Einhorn, Jewish murderer of the Texas blonde whose body he stuffed in a trunk in his apartment closet, may finally be brought to justice. France's highest court has turned down his appeal against extradition. All that is needed now is the signature of Prime Minister Lionel Jospin on the extradition papers and Einhorn will be heading for the U.S. in handcuffs.

**Germany.** Gerhart Lauck, a Nebraskan jailed for four years in Germany for passing out "racist literature," has now been released and has taken up residence in the Chicago area. The State Dept. made no meaningful effort to get Lauck freed from this illegal imprisonment. Since he was described as a "pro-Nazi" in the media, no politico with any clout dared come to his defense.

Two guards at the Israeli Consulate in Berlin shot and killed four Kurdish demonstrators back in mid-February. It has now been determined there will be no investigation of the multiple murders. The guards were granted diplomatic immunity, a decision which did not impress the families of the dead Kurds.

Germany's Bundestag has passed a bill to nullify the present citizenship law based on *jus sanguinis* (i.e., citizenship limited to individuals of German heritage). The new law is based on *jus soli* (i.e., citizenship granted to long-term residents regardless of ancestry). *Deutschland* now has more than 7 million foreign residents, mostly Turks, whose children will benefit from the new law, when and if it is approved. Meanwhile the *Blut und Boden* concept of citizenship still holds fast in Israel.

**Poland.** Polish police removed 300 crosses at Auschwitz commemorating the deaths of 152 Poles at the hands of Nazis in 1941. Only one cross was allowed to remain—a huge 26-footer to mark the visit of the Pope some years ago. As usual, Jews got their way. It probably won't be long before even the remaining cross is removed and replaced by a giant Star of David.

Danusz Ratajczak, a reputable history professor, has come out with a new book, *Dangerous Themes*, which asserts that the Holocaust was a figment of the imagina-



tion of Jewish agitproppers. Arrested and charged with defaming the dead, Ratajczak, if convicted, faces three years in jail. Incidentally, Poland these days is classified as a democracy.

**Egypt.** The death of 25 children in an orphanage in Cairo has caused tongues to wag. Some cynics say the children were killed for their organs which were later sold on the black market.

**South Africa.** The two founders, Nthato Motlanda and Jonty Sandler, of New Africa Investments Ltd., the country's biggest "black empowerment" group, have resigned their executive posts following their involvement in a stock option scandal.

Morris Isaacson High School in Soweto was considered by liberals to be one of the best black schools in South Africa. Its students were in the forefront of the revolution against apartheid. Today, having been "liberated" under a black government, the school is a total disaster. Its students are rowdy and unruly on the few days they deign to attend classes. Fewer blacks graduate from high school today than when whites were in charge in South Africa.

The Spring 1999 issue of the scholarly *Wilson Quarterly* analyzes, "Mandela's South Africa and After," noting that, "The one conspicuous failure during the Mandela years has been in bringing about economic revolution." Why should anyone expect a black government ever would? Chart the decline in the number of the white population in South Africa and you could use the same chart to demonstrate the deterioration of the economy.

**The Mexican Border.** *From a subscriber.* For days the South Texas media rhapsodized about the Mexican origins of two of the three G.I.s who had lost their way and were caught by the Serbs. Local Hispanic columnists, racist to a man, were all atwitter over "those beautiful brown faces being flashed around the world as they represented the United States."

I wonder if anyone, white or brown, ever thought about the true significance of this. With such disoriented soldiers, is it any wonder Mexico lost over half its territory between 1836 (Texas Revolution) and 1845 (Mexican War)? Any wonder

that 187 men in the Alamo, almost all of Northern European descent, held off over 5,000 enemy troops for 13 days? General Taylor was able to dictate terms in the very capital of Mexico only a few weeks after he headed south. Today, owing to the debilitating effects of triumphant liberalism, Mexicans are able to swarm into the U.S. in countless millions and push whites out of areas where they've been since the mid-1800s. As the Majority's racial feelings are proscribed by law, the media, entertainment industry, academia and other craven sellouts heat up minority racism to the boiling point. How much more of this can Majority America endure?

*From a subscriber.* Clinton clung desperately to the hope that former President Carlos Salinas would head the World Trade Organization, almost up to the moment when Salinas had to flee the country. He was given time to make his getaway because the Mexicans didn't want to believe that their president could be guilty of corruption, murder and drug trafficking. Clinton profited from the same syndrome. Most Americans simply don't want to believe their President is a corrupt rapist.

**Argentina.** Steven Spielberg made millions upon millions out of his movie, *Schindler's List*. He gave Emilie, Schindler's wife, \$50,000 of the loot. Not enough, said Emilie. She wants 6% of all the box office receipts. She declares it was she, not her husband, who signed the papers that saved the lives of a thousand or so Jews by putting them to work in her husband's factory. Mrs. Schindler lamented in an interview published in the *Drudge Report* (June 1, 1999) that the family used to be rich until husband Oskar started gallivanting around with fast women. That's why he left her with no money. In her parting shot to the interviewer, she announced, "There was never a Schindler's List. It was drawn up by a man called Goldman."

Anti-Semitism, always a factor in the Argentine social order, got a big play in May when three banks all went bust at the same time. All were owned by Jews.

**China.** Sandy Berger was once a paid lobbyist for China. Later he moved to the White House where he became Clinton's Deputy National Security Adviser. Later,

"Deputy" was dropped from his title. Although he inexplicably delayed telling Clinton about China's wholesale stealing of nuclear secrets, Berger still keeps his job. It's dangerous politically to fire a Harvard Chosenite, no matter how poorly he performs.

The connection between Chinese money pouring into Democratic Party campaign coffers and Chinese espionage may never be fully known. Whatever it was, it may one day be revealed by nuclear-tipped missiles sailing eastward over the Pacific, each with 150 times the explosive power of the Hiroshima bomb.

#### AR AUDIO & VIDEO TAPES

For those who missed Jared Taylor's 1998 American Renaissance Conference in Washington D.C. last year, speeches of the participants are available on audio and video tapes.

##### ON VIDEO:

98-01 Dr. Samuel Francis, "Race and the Real U.S. Constitution" and Prof. Glayde Whitney, "The Biological Reality of Race."

98-02 Prof. Paul Gottfried, "The Decline of WASPdom" and Jared Taylor, "What We Believe and Why We Fight."

98-03 Prof. J. Philippe Rushton, "Ethnic Nationalism and Genetic-Similarity Theory" and Frank Borzellieri, "A (real) Conservative in New York City Politics."

98-04 Michael Walker, "Nationalist Movements in Europe" and Sam Dickson, "Secular Benediction."

98-05 Steven Barry, "The Army's Equal Opportunity Commissariat" and Prof. Michael Levin, "Is There a Superior Race?"

\$29.95 each, postpaid; 5 for \$125, postpaid.

##### ON AUDIO:

T028 Dr. Samuel Francis, "Race and the Real U.S. Constitution."

T029 Prof. Glayde Whitney, "The Biological Reality of Race."

T030 Prof. Paul Gottfried, "The Decline of WASPdom."

T031 Jared Taylor, "What We Believe and Why We Fight."

T032 Prof. J. Philippe Rushton, "Ethnic Nationalism and Genetic-Similarity Theory."

T033 Michael Walker, "Nationalist Movements in Europe."

T034 Frank Borzellieri, "A (real) Conservative in New York City Politics."

T035 Steven Barry, "The Army's Equal Opportunity Commissariat."

T036 Prof. Michael Levin, "Is There a Superior Race?"

T037 Sam Dickson, "Secular Benediction."  
\$7.50 each, postpaid; 10 for \$60, postpaid.

SEND Orders to: Renaissance Audio-Visual, P.O. Box 1543, Marietta, GA 30061.