


illic heu miseri traducimur!
Juvenal

Instauration®

VOL. 8 NO. 2

JANUARY 1983



MAJORITY RENEGADE OF THE YEAR

The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

☐ As far as fundamentalist Christians are concerned, Israel is the Holy Land. As far as I am concerned, Nordic Lebensraum is the Holy Land.

103

☐ In your mention of George Wallace's election as Alabama's governor, you neglected to say that his Republican opponent, Emory Folmar, a tough law-and-order man, was portrayed by the state ACLU leaders as a Nazi. The liberals, blacks and "New Southerners" therefore had a Hobson's Choice. They either had to vote for a vegetable or for someone the press called the "May-ortollah." You can well imagine where their votes went.

301

☐ The other day on Phil Donahue's show a professional black racist made the statement that "One of the most serious problems we face in this country is that white people vote in a bloc." Needless to note, no one commented on the absolute idiocy of the contention. When asked about the dozen or so blacks sitting in one section of the audience, Donahue admitted that they had been brought in by the show's management "so the guests wouldn't feel all alone up there in a sea of white faces."

783

☐ Minority comics like Richard Pryor are allowed maximum flexibility to the point of license, even libel, when speaking about racial matters. Why can't we have just one Majority funnyman who can say "whatever he wants" in the American tradition of free speech and the English tradition of "poetic license"?

361

☐ I am a pre-Enlightenment, non-Christian conservative. I am going to write to the FBI asking for whatever information they may have on me. I would not be surprised if there is an "Honor Roll" list of Majority members guilty of thought crimes.

770

☐ Perhaps some readers saw Buckley's waste-of-air-time "Firing Line" (Sept. 12). Rev. Jesse Jackson, his guest, claimed that 65 million Negroes died in the crossing of the Atlantic on slave ships. Even Buckley had to dispute this incredible whale-tale by saying he found Jackson's "mathematics" a little unbelievable. Buckley added that only about 650,000 slaves had ever been brought over from Africa. He challenged Jackson to name "one reputable black historian" to substantiate such a figure. Jackson cited the black red, W.E.B. Dubois, and said that he was as inclined to believe black historians as Buckley was inclined to believe his white sources.

095

☐ While the cold-blooded Jewish slaughter of little children in Lebanon may not clear many liberal heads, it will turn some liberal stomachs.
Canadian subscriber

☐ I have decided that conservatives are insane and liberals are just con men. *Instauration* has been taken in by the liberal con men merely by treating the black IQ debate seriously. Even the dumbest black knows blacks are just plain stupid.

208

☐ Now I know why "E.T." is so popular. We're being worked on psychologically to accept, albeit in a roundabout way, that even ugly aliens from outer space can be cute.

902

☐ As a result of those most interesting notes on the French Revolution ("Notes from the Sceptred Isle, Sept. 1982), I reread parts of Nesta Webster's *The French Revolution* and think her account of the Veto worthy of mention:

There came a critical point for the leaders of the French Revolution when it became evident that the tide was turning irresistibly against them. The pretext they needed for creating fresh dissensions was provided by the debate on the "royal sanction." Should the King be allowed to retain the right of the Veto? If so, should the Veto be "absolute" or "suspensive", i.e., should the King be able absolutely to veto the promulgation of a law or merely to suspend its promulgation until a later date? A panic on the subject of the Veto spread through Paris. The fact that the Latin word conveyed no meaning whatsoever to many people made the work of the agitators much easier. On the street corners, they cried, "Do you know what the Veto is? Listen then. You go home and your wife has prepared your dinner. The King says 'Veto!' and you get nothing to eat." One of the peasants thought the "suspensive Veto" was the right to suspend, i.e., to hang anyone he pleased. Some people believed the Veto to be alive: "What is he, this Veto? What has he done, this brigand, Veto?" Thus was the mob driven into a frenzy and the tide reversed.

774

☐ "Magnet schools" are schools with high minority enrollment which boast special, highly attractive courses (say, computer programming) to attract bright Majority students. The ploy is unalloyed blackmail and arm-twisting, and often a blanket permit to commit mayhem on the Majority youngster.

329

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$25 regular (sent third class)
\$15 student (sent third class)
Add \$10.50 for first class mail
\$12.50 Canada and foreign
Add \$17.00 for overseas air
Single copy price \$2, plus 75¢ postage

Wilmot Robertson, Editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen

Third class mail is not forwardable.
Please advise us of any change of address
well in advance.

© 1983 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
All Rights Reserved

CONTENTS

Majority Renegade of the Year	6
Two Kinds of Apostasy.....	7
America's Spotlight	9
Screaming Numbers	10
Darwin Was More Moral and a Better Christian Than Many Present-day Creationists.....	12
Cultural Catacombs.....	16
Inklings	18
Cholly Bilderberger	20
Notes from the Sceptred Isle	22
Primate Watch	23
Talking Numbers	24
Channel XX	26
Elsewhere.....	27
Stirrings.....	31

☐ Cholly B. is correct in his basic thesis that any institution that formally chooses to ignore race is bogus. Unfortunately, there is no other kind these days and some of us need to "work" for our padded welfare checks. I am sure Cholly is getting much \$ from dividends and interest from equal opportunity employers. If Cholly thinks congresspersons are wimps, he should meet federal careerists. Non-wimps are a security risk in a whimprocacy.

403

☐ Speakers on French government-owned radio and TV stations have been heard to declare, "A Jew cannot be a French citizen as well." Imagine all this, less than a century after the canonization of Dreyfus.

French subscriber

☐ Perhaps we're lucky that there is a state of Israel, if only because Jews don't give their hearts and our atomic secrets to their former ideological motherland, Russia.

200

☐ Journalists are like lawyers. Just like any good defense counsel, they know what to ask, and more important, what not to ask. Just like any good prosecutor, they know how to turn the heat on, often in the most subtle ways, not by screaming and ranting, but by skillfully manipulating the witness for the accused.

508

☐ Paul Harvey has noted that Israeli drivers are the absolute worst, having the highest per-capita accident rate in the world. Israel has lost more lives in highway accidents than in all its wars put together.

317

☐ The whole world is obliged to witness how the U.S. is in Jewish hands. One day America is going to have to pay a terrible price for this.

Belgian subscriber

☐ The standard argument against "protectionism" is that high tariffs and other trade barriers simply won't work, that they caused the depression of 1929, that it'd hurt more than help our domestic economy and balance of trade. I'm no economist, but anyone must question these arguments if he has happened to notice that the Japanese get away with it, and are doing quite nicely for themselves.

198

☐ Navy Publication P-485, "Afloat Supply Procedures," lists Israel as a "NATO country."

629

☐ I can think of nothing which more clearly shows how far whites have fallen than the long search by sports writers for a "Great White Hope." The Great White Hope is here, as he always has been and as he always will be -- as long as there's a white race. In his rarest and most elite form, he is the tool-maker; in his more common form, he is the tool-user. It is absurd to propose or to believe that in order to demonstrate his superiority the white must descend to the level of the boxing ring and defeat a black at the black's own level on the black's own terms.

293

☐ Cholly's Sutter Lang is magnificent, period. I myself have a pretty well-developed tendency to start the same kind of dialogue/dialectic as he does. But I have never been dragged into a brawl because of my Langesque verbalizing. I always see the other chap a tiny bit shocked, embarrassed, ill at ease -- then the nervous agreement. Females are the only ones who differ. The more petite the Nordic, the more niggardly her agreement. Big, tall, beefy Nordic tootsies, old-stock jewels with beautiful Illinois river-town twangy accents, never disagree.

579

☐ What Marx wrote is all absurd, but is much loved in academia as a justification of a dictatorship of professors, not of proles.

581

☐ I grew up in a little German-American mid-western town. Unlike almost everyone else, I was tall, narrow and had black hair and dark eyes. I was thought to be mostly French -- which I mostly am. I was a runner-up in a beauty contest, did some modeling and was in a movie (a bit part) before I married at 19. Though I was considered to be pretty or sometimes "beautiful," I was not considered exceptionally intelligent, though my teachers gave me A's in art and music and sometimes in literature. My main interests were daydreaming and dating. After I was married and a mother and my son was seven or eight, he asked questions about his grandfather -- my father, who'd been killed in Germany on the front lines. Unable to answer all of them, I began to be curious about my roots. So I wrote letters. After I had gone back to college I received a letter from a distant cousin telling me that she thought our great-grandmother must have been Jewish because she had black hair and dark eyes and very white skin. I reported this to my professors. Now I started to get A's not only in art, but also in math and philosophy and almost everything. Several times my professors remarked about the high intelligence of my Jewish ancestors. I graduated "summa." Shortly after graduation I got two letters, both of them informing me that my great-grandmother was probably not Jewish. Now comes the big question. Would my many Jewish professors have given me such high marks if they had known the truth? It's a horrible thought, but having come face to face with their intense racism for several years, I wonder.

935

☐ In a full-page ad for Westinghouse weapons systems, Genghis Khan was portrayed as a mad 13th-century conqueror who poured molten silver into the ears of his victims. To make the story of Genghis's cruelty even more convincing, the Mongoloid warlord was given blue eyes.

107

☐ It takes a lot of gall for a black to write an article for *Instauration* (Oct. 1982). To answer Mr. Curtis, I would conjecture that the ghetto black and his lifestyle may be here long after the Anglo-Saxons and the Afro-Saxons are gone.

852

☐ We're off to Crete, having finished dragging off the poor bastards from Beirut into exile. What am I doing in this Convoy of Tears? I'm just following orders.

Seafaring subscriber

☐ Cholly is right about our first duty being to bring down the present power structure. The ridiculous public education system and a venal legal system are two obviously weak points. Why are people surprised that the laws are so ridiculously complex? If the building trades dominated legislatures, would people be surprised if building codes required triple wiring and quadruple plumbing?

612

☐ It was shocking to hear that Archbishop Trifa, the Romanian accused of having "incited a riot in 1941" in Budapest in which 300 "Christians and Jews" were supposedly killed, is to be deported. How in the hell can an American court order a man deported on the basis of unproven and unprovable allegations about a "crime" which took place 41 years ago halfway around the world? And now, where will this old man find asylum? Perhaps Nazi-hunter Simon Wiesenthal has plans for him. Perhaps the Israelis will kidnap him and whisk him away to the "democratic" racial paradise for a "fair trial."

191

☐ Pollsters claim their "margin of error" is 5%. If it's 5%, how is it that two different polls in the same area can differ by much more than 5%?

466

☐ The October issue was very good, especially "Conservatism and Racism." We're becoming more and more confident. It took us quite a while to assert European values over Christian ones, and now we're ready to separate race from conservatism.

209



The Safety Valve

☐ Strictly from a racial standpoint, isn't it obvious that a Palestinian state cannot in all logic be placed on the occupied West Bank? I have deep sympathy for that horribly put-upon people. But I find, too, that I can't raise much personal ire at the behavior of the Israeli-born Jews. They're only operating under the influence of innate primate/sociobiological principles. No, my anger is directed at the U.S. and other diaspora Jewish groups in the West who've vehemently advocated integration for decades. Surely this is one of the most crass examples of hypocrisy and double-think in this century.

606

☐ I don't see how any amount of prayers, public or private, are going to solve our country's real problems. Even a devout believer must realize that real, down-to-earth action is the only thing that can save anyone, whether they think they're going to some pie-in-the-sky paradise or not.

555

☐ Taking a leaf from the book of the most artful of all revisionists, those who authored the World War II myth of the six million, Japanese historians have now described their prolonged orgy of looting, arson, gang rape and wholesale slaughter of unarmed civilians in China and Korea as a series of "advances." Euphemistic marches on -- from the bombing of the Iraqi nuclear power plant through the months of carnage in Lebanon. Why all that was just Israeli "defensive measures"! And did you hear about the writing on one of the few remaining walls in Shatila? "Kil-Goy was here!"

602

☐ There are two great political parties in America today, and they sure aren't the Democrats and the Republicans -- nor are they the left wing and the right wing. They are the Dispossessed Majority -- whose life-and-death interests far transcend all petty matters of "left" and "right" or of Democrat and Republican -- and the Dispossessing Minorities, a broad coalition which includes not only those racial groups which are gradually preempting white America, but also millions of individual white Americans whom the system rewards for selling out their people.

The modern American system is designed so that white, and usually Anglo-Saxon or Irish individuals -- with names like Reagan, Carter, Ford, Johnson, Kennedy, Jackson, Bush -- get to "lead" as figureheads in most major departments of life, but only on the one condition that they do nothing to reverse, or even to slow down, the accelerating cultural and biological destruction of their people. They are permitted (for now) to retain a few advisers who are cut from the same ethnic cloth -- men with names like Powell, Jordan, Baker and Meese. Just past the glare of maximum visibility, however, comes the vast and murky sea of minority humanity -- not only in law, the media and the bureaucracies, but increasingly in the total human composition of the nation's urban areas. This spreading sea effectively isolates and insulates the handful of Howard Bakers and James A. Bakers from all the steadily retreating white Bakers of the hinterland.

223

☐ In *Natural History* (October 1982) Stephen Jay Gould again strikes out against "racists" and takes an extreme egalitarian position. (Could he have read the *Instauration* article?) He actually comes out and says that a steatopygous, misshapen Hottentot woman, exhibited in a cage throughout Europe, was the equal or the superior of her Caucasian spectators and that they should have been in the cage and she outside! He says this, literally!

821

☐ Listening to a sympathetic treatment of the 1967 "Summer of Love" in San Francisco on National Public Radio's "All Things Considered" ("turn on, tune in, drop out"), I was really appalled. One of the biggest exploiters of this horrible romanticizing of the whole Haight-Ashbury scene, was of course the electronic media. They, along with sociologists, celebrities and scores of others brought America's most revolting phenomenon, the hippie anti-culture, right out front. NPR spent fully half an hour on these Stone-age throwbacks and the presentation was written by Chris Koch. There was one little gem, a message from Art Linkletter, whose daughter committed suicide while on LSD -- the only logical outcome of this lifestyle, which has neither life nor style. He tried to counsel other parents that this "thrill-seeking" was the result of children who were "searching for discipline, for love, and for caring" and that if they didn't get it from their parents, they'd look elsewhere.

031

☐ *Instauration* (October 1982) calls routine circumcision of male infants "brutal sexual mutilation." This is an exaggeration. True, routine circumcision is unnecessary and practiced far too often in America. Telling a large proportion of your readers that they are brutally sexually mutilated, however, is not very tactful, especially when such a statement is incorrect. At any rate, why not dull the edge of your denunciation? The millions of circumcized Majority men can't reverse the procedure, but they can still pass on the genetic code for foreskins. So all is not lost.

347

☐ I am not too impressed with our rightist intellectuals. Most of them are economic incompetents, unable to demonstrate enough financial acumen to acquire two cents to rub together. They try to compensate for the inferiority they know they have by ridiculing anyone who either succeeds or tries to succeed financially. This phenomenon is seen by me very clearly as the idea that only a pauper can benefit the white race. That idea requires no refutation.

173

☐ Ariel Sharon, responding to Labor party charges of involvement in the Beirut massacre, replied that back in 1976, while Labor was in power, the Israeli army actively cooperated with the Phalangists when they massacred Palestinian refugees in the Tel Zataar camp after a long and bloody siege. Sharon apparently subscribes to the theory that one good massacre deserves another.

217

☐ There was a certain magical period when PBS allowed something strange and uncanny, not in the form of fiction, but through the words of white men with dark thoughts. And this wasn't brought to the viewer by some Rod Steiger or by a former star of "Rosemary's Baby," but by President Johnson's favorite journalist, a boy from Texas with a pleasant drawl, Bill Moyers on "Bill Moyers' Journal."

For me, liberal Moyers was "the enemy." But as Rilke once asked in a poem, "Don't you ever long for the enemy? He is the one who breaks down the walls." With shades of guilt and secret joy I watched Moyers interview Hugh Trevor-Roper. For the first time I heard someone say what I'd secretly believed but had not thought anyone brave enough to say -- Hitler was not insane. Hitler, Trevor-Roper declaimed, had an extremely powerful mind and unbelievable will power! In another Moyers interview, in shock and deep curiosity, I watched a man with an ugly mask over his head pretending to be the industrial revolution gobbling up young men. That was Robert Bly, the poet who teaches that the Church has done much damage to the dark, unconscious part of our mind by calling it "Satan" and that, just as the sea falls back into itself, we can fall into ourselves and that the great, dark unconscious will hold us up. But for real terror and absolute enchantment, the prize goes to Moyers' interview with Joseph Campbell who, like some ancient soul guide, patiently explained that we have to study other people's myths so that our own myths can come through. At the end of each interview, of course, came the obligatory hand-wringing. Trevor-Roper set his profoundly dark thoughts aside to praise the Goddess Reason, Robert Bly sought the approval of hippies turned therapists. Joseph Campbell turned his praise to Buddha. What myths belong to us?

And yet, thinking had begun in the mind of this viewer. New worlds had opened up and walls of light or concrete had fallen away. My enemies, if only for a few minutes, had become my friends. There was a momentary union of white with white. Who is this dark god who spoke through Trevor-Roper, Robert Bly and Joseph Campbell to me?

462

☐ Tell Cholly that the picture is less glum than it seems. I like to think of myself as well read, having had my nose more or less continuously in some book or other for a long procession of years. Yet I have never even heard of 95% of the authors, playwrights, television celebrities and radio commentators (assuming that's what they are) he mentioned in his long list of no-no's. Who is Neil Simon or Elliott Gould? One avoids such people by instinct. I wouldn't know Walter Cronkite or Dan Rather if I met them on the street, have never heard them speak a single word, and only guess at their identities by having heard of them through the pages of *Instauration*. Cholly may not believe this, but it's God's truth. And surely my case is not unique, for I have many friends who live in similar blissful ignorance. Tell Cholly that New York is not the center of the universe and that west of the Hudson there are many thousands of people who have never seen a copy of the *New York Times* and many who spend less than 15 minutes a week before the tube.

953

☐ Here in London this summer we had another Notting Hill carnival which is being acclaimed a triumph because it did not end in riots -- only "some mugging towards the end." The police say this was due to "sensitive policing," i.e., drug-pushers and pickpockets were allowed to run wild.

British subscriber

☐ The USA is the name of a government, not a country or nation. Rednecks call it America, but it's really Wogsville.

111

☐ Upper-upper-middle-class Orangemen and women are of the finest caliber, whether they were originally old Irish gentry who switched (Capt. Terrence O'Neill's people) or more recent Anglo gentry or a few Scots gentry. They have produced marvelous outputs in math, war, politics and, secondarily but still notably, in literature, including, for some odd reason, some of the more enjoyable but zanier science fiction: James White, Dunsany (Fantasy) and whoever wrote *Slow Glass*. Like the Baltic and St. Petersburg aristocracies, they were imports selected from off the top of already high-quality gene pools. However, the Orange lower class comprises some of the most unspeakable proles in sight. Ugly, Pictish, squat, cruel, incapable of either reason or pleasantry. They are the scum of South Glasgow. During World War II our GIs got their necks broken by South Glaswegian trash wrapping bike chains around them and giving a Yank a yank. Bobbies go four by four there, in cars, and yes, armed -- contrary to the Anglophile nonsense of our liberal anti-gun, anti-cop liars. The normal lowland Scots are really English refugees from the land wars and anarchy of the period between William I and Henry II. When we Green Irish complain about Orange "foreigners," we are partly misrepresenting the facts: Orangemen include even an odd, small contingent of Manxmen and Welsh. I do not resent sharing my ancestral island with them, but do resent being derogated from one end of my gene pool's history to the other by them, and then watching them volte face and grasp at the name Irish, which is a rather stylish name in the Western Peoples' lexicon of tribal names. Now I and any other Green Irishman in his right mind (there must be a few of us) would not embrace as tribal confreres the "Ghastly Proles," as John Nobull calls them, of lower class Dublin and of the pockets of god-knows-what out there in the West country. They are pre-Aryan, Fir Bolg losers, below the level of the amiable but not always superbly brilliant Kerry late Pleistocene types.

043

☐ Stayed up to midnight fascinated by Instauration as usual. The article by Inmate X is a natural for a movie.

911

☐ Thank you from the bottom of my heart! I just received the October issue with my story, "An American Tragedy." My friends read it, my family read it, and photocopies have been sent all around the country. Those close to me know who Inmate X is and how badly the story needed to be told to the public.

Inmate X

☐ I took a karate class with a Jewish doctor who, when I asked if he was Jewish, said, "I used to be, but I don't practice it any more." At my job I heard a Jewess say, "My family and I aren't Jewish any more. We quit." What about this?

633

☐ Lack of worldwide support for Israel after its savage leveling of Beirut? Never fear, some nutty Palestinian (or not so nutty anti-Palestinian) group will pull off a terrorist act which will outrage everyone and set the "halo" back on Begin's head.

687

☐ I was particularly disturbed by the article, "An American Tragedy." What an indictment of occupied America. Here is a person whose actions could save our people, being punished instead of honored. There but for the devious digit of destiny go I.

274

☐ I must say I believe that the article on Stephen Jay Gould (August 1982) could have been better. To my mind the quibbling about 19th-century skull measurements was a bit like faulting Newton because he never made it to the moon. Gould is not a scientist, merely a minority racist whose programmed attacks on Majority scientists deserve no notice.

450

☐ The question of who invented scalping is answered in part in the *National Geographic* (Jan. 1982). On March 22, 1622, at least 58 English settlers were murdered by Indians at Wolstenholme Towne in Virginia. Apparently the supposedly peaceful Indians had spent the night as guests in the homes of their unsuspecting hosts. In the morning, after most of the white men had left to work in the fields, the Indians suddenly attacked and murdered the remaining defenseless settlers, mostly women. Contemporary reports stated the corpses of the victims were mangled and dismembered. The recent excavations confirm these reports. Scalping scars were found on skulls and limbs were separated from their bodies. Since this event occurred two years before the first Dutch settlement in New Holland, and 100 years before Massachusetts began paying bounties for Indian scalps, it should be obvious that Eliot Kaplan's irresponsible accusations only reveal his anti-Northern European bias. If Northern Europeans had greeted Mr. Kaplan's ancestors in the same manner as their ancestors were greeted by the Indians, he and his kind would not be here today.

330

☐ Is there a market for racist fiction? I'm not talking about literature, but popular fiction, pulp fiction, the kind of thing that can reach the masses. The *Turner Diaries* seems to have been successful and I would think *The Camp of the Saints* has opened more than a few eyes. Cholly Bilderberger's fictional columns are haunting and effective as propaganda, although perhaps not for the average man on the street. I'd like to put together an anthology of racist fiction. But would there be enough of a market to break even on the project?

100

☐ I have been reflecting on the piece about Roder (Oct. 1982). Since several well-intentioned, but apparently too garrulous and trusting domestic "conspirators" have also been incarcerated for long terms for relatively minor offenses, the warning against engaging in even discussing potential violence with associates is timely. A common thread runs through every one of these unhappy events. How many of these failures are attributable primarily to the need for sharing one's beliefs and seeking reinforcement in a "common cause"? This appears to be a universal trait. The need to proselytize and commit acts of terrorism cannot exist in an individual simultaneously unless of course the terrorism has the tacit approval of the Establishment, as for instance, the Jewish Defense League.


142

☐ One weapon used very effectively by the liberal-minority clique to promote its goals is a clever manipulation of words and phrases. For example, imagine the difficulty in drawing the United States into World War I if this conflict had been named by our media "European War No. 223" or whatever.

333

☐ The most maligned regime in all South America, after Chile, is the Stroessner government in Paraguay. Ten years ago Stroessner was being accused of outrageous sex crimes involving little girls. None of these charges was ever documented or proved. But when you're attacking the far right, who worries about such trivialities? The remarkable thing about the General is the stability of his government. He's been in power since 1954 -- almost a record for South America. Perhaps the real reason the General is hated so much, other than the accusation that he has been harboring Dr. Mengele, is the fact that his father was a Bavarian.

958



MAJORITY RENEGADE OF THE YEAR ALEXANDER MEIGS HAIG, JR.

Feast your historical imagination on the following names:


Thomas Jefferson
John Marshall
James Madison
James Monroe
John Quincy Adams
Daniel Webster
William Seward
William Jennings Bryan

Now lower your sights on the following:

Henry L. Stimson
Cordell Hull
George Marshall
Dean Acheson
Dean Rusk
Henry Kissinger
Cyrus Vance
Alexander Haig

All the above were U.S. secretaries of state. But what a brontosaurian difference there is between the two rosters! Consider the accomplishments of the men in the first list. When their nation won a war, they won the peace; they arranged the Louisiana Purchase, wrote and enforced the Monroe Doctrine and swelled our frontiers with Florida, the Southwest, California and Alaska. And don't forget the heroic, sacrificial gesture of William Jennings Bryan, who resigned as secretary of state when he saw that Woodrow Wilson, by leading us into World War I, was transforming America, once a nation of immense promise, into a world-meddling, multinational conglomerate.

Now, with a sigh of disgust, look at the names in the second list. These are the men or the associates of the men who helped destroy Europe and then made us pay through our noses for its rebuilding, who watched supinely as Russia became a nuclear superpower, who welcomed Alger Hiss into their midst, who betrayed white nations to win points with black nations and black voters, who inveighed us into one no-win and one lost war in East Asia, who gave away the Panama Canal, who torpedoed the Monroe Doctrine, and whose backing of Israel has made the U.S. an accessory to one of history's worst crimes



-- the savaging of Palestine and the Palestinian people.

Consider carefully the last name, that of Alexander Haig, who at a recent meeting of the United Jewish Appeal in New York reduced American foreign policy to a minority racist formula when he stood up and solemnly declared to 300 cheering Semitic nabobs, "When we are true to Israel, we are true to ourselves."

Haig, whose brother is a Jesuit hierarch, is the son of the late Alexander Meigs Haig, an Irish-Catholic Philadelphia lawyer-politician, and Regina Anne Murphy. After a stint at Notre Dame, he managed to get into West Point through his family's political influence and graduated in the lower third of his class. Army scoop has it that he carried MacArthur's bedroll at the Inchon landing in North Korea. He served the minimal six months in Vietnam, just long enough to win the necessary quota of medals and, for the first and last time, to command troops in the field. Then, somewhat miraculously, he became a top-ranking paper-shuffling bureaucrat-fixer. As Henry Kissinger's ticket-punching toady in the National Security Council in the first Nixon administration, all doors were opened. In the climactic days of Watergate, as a four-star general and White House chief of staff, he, not Nixon, was running the country.

When Nixon fell, Haig, unlike most of the other non-Jewish Watergaters, did not fall. Ford, almost too hastily, sent him off to command NATO's armed forces. Haig probably had too much on everyone, including Ford, to be remanded to the Via Dolorosa of Haldeman and Ehrlichman. He was at the very heart of the umbrageous wheelings and dealings that put together Ford's pardon of out-tricked Tricky Dick.

If we can believe an informer informing on another informer, if we can believe John Wesley (Weaselly) Dean, the Majority Renegade of 1977, Haig was actually "Deep Throat." If so, he was betraying Nixon while he was presumably trying to save

him. *Instauration*, however, has always been convinced that Leonard Garment, Nixon's saxophone-playing Jewish lawyer friend, who succeeded Dean as counsel to the president, was the squealer. This was recently borne out by Edward L. Morgan, the man in charge of the White House Secret Service in the Watergate era. Morgan said that intelligence reports from four different agents pointed the finger at Garment. "What I knew," declared Morgan, "was that Garment was regularly meeting with and supplying information to Woodward."

Reagan's appointment of Haig to be secretary of state was the typical cringing kowtow of a so-called Western "conservative" Republican to his "moderate" Eastern brethren. It's the standard way of trying to buy off criticism from the Sulzberger sanhedrin. When Reagan was shot, Haig touted his abysmal ignorance of the Constitution by grabbing the TV spotlight, proclaiming, "I am in control here," and proceeding to explain quite incorrectly that the successors to a vacant presidency were first, the vice-president, and second, the secretary of state. Haig to the contrary, the Constitution only specifies the vice-president as the successor. But Congress has passed some laws that extend the succession to the speaker of the House, the president pro-tempore of the Senate, and lastly and leastly, to the secretary of state and other cabinet officers. Haig's brash attempt to skip two rungs of the ladder exposed his wanton will to power for all the world to see -- and laugh at.

Haig quit the Reagan cabinet because the U.S. was not being kind enough to Israel. Reagan had actually had the gall to ask Begin to stop building new settlements on the occupied West Bank. Haig not only wanted U.S. approval of the settlements, but wanted the Israelis to have a free hand to kill as many Lebanese civilians and Palestinian refugees as they could and level as many of their pathetic refugee camps as possible. To Haig, Palestinians and Lebanese simply don't count. What counts, particularly in U.S. elections and in U.S. image-making, is Jews. That's why the most pluralistic nation on earth does the bidding of the most racist nation on earth.

Haig's immortal words, "When we are true to Israel, we are true to ourselves," are a very accurate and very apt summation of the basic axiom of modern American "statesmanship." It is a long, long trail from Charles Pinckney's "Millions for defense, but not one damned penny for tribute." Back at a time that is

now practically ancient history, we once refused to pay one penny to the Barbary Pirates. Now we pay \$3 billion a year in tribute to a much more piratical state in the Middle East. It's also a pile of light years from Washington's "no foreign entanglements" to Haig's incessant diplomatic forays into the globe's four quarters.

For all these non-accomplishments, for all this long-distancing of American foreign policy from America's national interest, for all the undisguised admonitions that to be better Americans, Americans must be better Israelis, *Instauration* has no choice but to pin the award of Majority Renegade of the Year on the beribboned chest of Alexander Haig.

The only thing that Haig did right when he was secretary of state was for the wrong reason -- his support of Britain in the Falklands affair. Haig, an Irish American with bitter memories of England, was certainly not against Argentina's use of force to settle an age-old dispute. His support of Israeli barbarism shows what he really thinks of international law. No, Haig was for Britain because Argentina was perceived by the media as being governed by an anti-Semitic military junta. As surely as twilight blends into night, Haig's perceptions always seem to blend perfectly with the perceptions of the CBS Evening News.

Americans haven't heard the last of Haig. Right now he is zipping about the country giving lectures at \$20,000 per, writing his memoirs, scratching the backs of political bigwigs, attending bar mitzvahs, preaching the undiluted gospel of Israel, and generally trying to sell himself as the Republican version of Senator Jackson, i.e., chief point-man for American Zionism. As a reward he, his full-time political aide, Sherman Goldberg, and his part-time foreign policy adviser, Professor David Korn, expect massive financial backing for a shot at the Republican nomination for president in 1984, whether Reagan runs again or not. That Haig has formally declared he has no intention of entering the 1984 presidential race should not be taken *cum pluribus granis salis*. Anyone who listens to such political palaver must consider the source.

If the worst should happen and Haig should make it to the White House, we would have the most devious, the most historically blind, the most "I-infatuated" chief executive since FDR. There is not much lower that America could sink than to have a foreign agent as its 41st president.

TWO KINDS OF APOSTASY

Rev. Sun Myung Moon must be driving the editors of the *Guinness Book of World Records* batty. His recent mass wedding in New York, billed as the largest in history, was quickly topped by a much larger one in Seoul, South Korea -- 5,837 couples from 83 countries. Moon himself had selected more than 3,000 of the pairings during the previous eight days. Those who are glad that Moon's native land is finally being forced to accept some of the race-mixing that he and his Jewish assistants have long foisted upon the white West should think again. Korea remains an intensely racist nation, perhaps the most racist of any on earth. White liberals, for their part, while loudly protesting their "anti-racism" even to the point of marrying nonwhites, still want to live on white terrain. Their spouses need not be white, but most of their neighbors must be. Moon himself chooses to live in America.

Without a doubt, nearly all of the whites involved in the Korean mass wedding will return to the United States, Britain and other white countries to live with their exotic mates. This means they have not only voluntarily surrendered their own racial futures, but have also forced every white on earth to join them. Even tiny Iceland, which sternly objects to the stationing of black troops on its American bases, cannot hope to remain Nordic when the rest of the world has turned non-Nordic but still lusts after Nordic women.

For wisdom, let us turn to *The Jewish Right*, an unblushingly Israel-first newsletter published in a Los Angeles suburb. Its September issue paraphrases the Talmud:

Every Israelite holds the honor of his entire people in his hands. All Jews are mutually accountable for each other. In a boat at sea, one of the men began to bore a hole in the bottom of

the boat. In being remonstrated, he answered: I am only boring under my own seat. Yes, said his comrades, but when the sea rushes in, we shall all be drowned with you. So it is with Israel. Its weal or its woe is in the hands of every individual Israelite.

Nearly every Jew thinks this way. Why, then, do most Jews not strongly oppose Jewish intermarriage? The answer is that Jewish solidarity is not, and never was, based primarily on race *in the same way* that Nordic solidarity (when it existed) was. Race does play a very important part in Jewish unity, but its chief imperative is not purity but only that the Jewish minority differ *somewhat* from the local majority. Jews who settled in China wound up looking much like Chinese, while those in India, Scandinavia, North Africa and other areas also became more similar to the host population. Still, an *ideal degree of difference* was retained in all regions where Jews survived.

The present high rate of Jewish intermarriage in the United States does not alarm Jewish leaders to the degree one might expect because it is bringing the normal American-Jewish physical type closer to the "all-American" boy or girl. Some Jews are being lost in the process, but some extremely valuable new Jewish specimens are likewise being generated.

Nordic solidarity, on the contrary, derives from the collective interaction of individuals of a *permanently set* physical and behavioral type, one which has scarcely altered over thousands of years. Even future eugenics programs will not alter this ideal type (except perhaps by improving its brain wiring): rather, eugenics will bring ever larger numbers of lucky individuals closer and closer to it.

There is considerable evidence that groups of Nordics, like Jews, gain something from interracial symbiosis -- but only over the short term. Even the Spartans benefited temporarily from their non-Nordic helots. But since all human races are inter-fertile, and Nordic strength is based on an *unchanging* type (or, more precisely, range of types), this strategy for group advancement must backfire over time. Besides, we now have robots and computers for helots!

With the Jews, racial mixing has had entirely different consequences. Jewish solidarity is founded largely on ideas, one being "mediation" and another being "change" or "metamorphosis" (not to be confused with progressive evolution in the Darwinian sense). The Jewish racial component may be reduced to a residue: indeed, effective mediation between a host population and the outside world is facilitated if one not only speaks the majority's language but partakes of its very being.

Thus, when Jews ridicule the ageless Nordic preoccupation with "racial purity," they are indeed being hypocritical, but not in the way commonly supposed. It is not that the Jewish gene pool itself is so pure (it clearly is not), but rather that the Jewish strategy-in-life demands an atypically high degree of racial indeterminacy. What is sauce for the Jewish goose is *not* sauce for the Nordic gander -- an expression which can be taken almost literally in light of all the recent Jewish-Nordic pairings.

"When the sea rushes in, we shall all be drowned with you." That, again, is what the Jews in the Talmud say to the apostate among them. But it is essential to realize that he is probably an *ideological* defector, not a *racial* one. Had he married a Christian, a Muslim, or even a black girl, his fellows would be much less alarmed. Instead, he has "bored a hole under all of them" by undermining the idea-centered Jewish strategy. Quite likely, he has shared too much of that idea with outsiders, thereby diminishing its potency.

"When the sea rushes in, we shall all be drowned with you." The words mean something entirely different to a Nordic nation -- or once did. Any capable people profits greatly from the *pre-ideological* factor of racial homogeneity and instinctual unity. Modern cross-cultural psychological research confirms this belief, which was universally held in the West until Jewish-generated propaganda drowned it in a sea of ridicule.

Consider the case of Ottumwa, Iowa. The Northrop Corporation of Hawthorne, California, was largely responsible for bringing 220 Saudi Arabians, overwhelmingly male, to this town of 27,000. Northrop had planned to bring in 500 by the end of 1982 as part of a Saudi airline training program. Instead, the Saudis have left because, last August, a local group of two dozen young white males attacked a dozen Saudis with clubs, chains and brass knuckles. The attacks were but the latest in a series in which the Saudis' tires were slashed and a car antenna broken.

Police Chief Wilfred Boettcher attributed the problem to "some of the local dudes. I think jealousy is a part of it -- taking out local girls. The same thing happened with our servicemen when they were overseas." He should have added that some Saudis choose to regard unescorted, unveiled females as common whores. Mayor Jerry Parker said the Saudis had been "pretty blunt in their requests [with younger girls] and it's upset the Ottumwa boys." The Saudis' oil wealth and fancy cars -- an unearned byproduct of Nordic ingenuity -- gave them one kind of sexual advantage.

Mayor Parker sourly warns that the Saudis' departure means the loss of a program which provided several million dollars a year to the city. Though the program was only begun in mid-1981, Ottumwa soon became dependent on this "fix" made possible through race-mixing. The deal amounted to: give us your petrodollars and we'll give you some training plus several dozen irreplaceable Nordic lasses a year.

When columnist Patrick Buchanan wrote recently, "Through birth control and abortion, the white race is committing suicide to improve the 'quality of life,'" he did not go nearly far enough. The mayor of Ottumwa -- one of the last nearly all-Nordic cities left on earth -- seemed prepared to let his people slowly become brown just so that (in the interim) they could eat out a few more times a year. That way went ancient Athens, Rome and not-so-ancient New York.

The weal or woe of white America is in the hands of every white American. We are all mutually accountable. Hence the saying, "The race's purity is the race's security." Needless to say, these words are not pleasing to the libertarian cuckoo-birds. Unfortunately, however, the notion of white purity displeases them much more, because of the media's and the politicians' tolerance of Jewish purity.

Ponderable Quote

In both Canada and the United States Jews may make financial contributions to the state of Israel. These contributions are tax deductible, and billions of dollars go that way. Israel, it seems, is classed as a "charity."

Some charity!

Doug Collins's column, June 26, 1982
Vancouver, British Columbia

AMERICA'S SPOTLIGHT

The *Spotlight* (300 Independence Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003) continues to print fascinating interviews on an almost weekly basis. Two of the best appeared in the October 4 and 11 issues.

North Carolina Klansman Jerry Smith, the October 4 subject, said that "if the *Spotlight* prints my story, it will be the first time the truth will be told" about his group's old-fashioned shootout with the Communist Workers Party in Greensboro. Smith and his buddies were put through the longest and costliest trial in North Carolina history for their part in the 1979 deaths of five CWP members. A jury acquitted the six, but now the federal government is unconstitutionally subjecting them to double jeopardy by holding grand jury hearings.

Smith began by describing the background to the shootings. A Klan group was holding a peaceful patriotic meeting in tiny China Grove, North Carolina, in July 1979, when a group of Marxist insurrectionaries, imported from New York and Cuba, attacked them with clubs and guns. The police were present and ordered the Klanspeople to go inside or face arrest. The red imports then did \$300 worth of damage to the China Grove Community Center, and publicly burned the American and Confederate flags. Later, the Klan was forced to pay the damages. The *Spotlight's* interviewer challenged readers to ponder on what would happen if a Klan group went up from the South to New York City, attacked a political group there, and destroyed public property.

Smith went on to tell how a government infiltrator, Edward Dawson, had set up the trap which got the Klan in trouble. Dawson told them about a Marxist "Death to the Klan" rally nearly 100 miles away, and urged them to oppose it. Not expecting danger, the Klansmen brought their wives and girlfriends along. "After [Dawson] led us into the ambush, he shouted to the Red leaders, 'You wanted the Klan; here they are!' Then he raced off to safety." Smith has his own copy of Dawson's sworn statement that he was working for the Greensboro Police Department on the day he led them into the trap, and that he had worked for the FBI previously.

When Dawson fled, the Klan car caravan was blocked in a very hostile neighborhood and no police were in sight. Fortunately, the news media were there. "The Reds passed out their clubs and began attacking the car with the women in it." The empty-handed Klansmen began grabbing those clubs away and "whipping them with their own clubs. They couldn't stand that, so they got out their guns -- and we whipped them again."

The *Spotlight* interjected, "That's sure not the way the national networks showed it on their newscasts."

No sir. But that's the way it happened, and in fact it was the videotapes we subpoenaed for our trial that proved they started it . . . Those newsreels, eyewitness testimony -- all proved the

YOUR WEEKLY NEWSPAPER FROM WASHINGTON

THE SPOTLIGHT
THE PAPER YOU CAN TRUST

**Peaceniks
Dance to
Red Tune**
--Page 1

VOLUME VIII NUMBER 38
SEPTEMBER 26, 1982
SINGLE COPY PRICE 50¢

Lebanon's Leader Shown As 'Bandit' and 'Murderer'

By George Natchez

According to Lebanese state television, the April 1982 invasion of Lebanon by Israeli forces was a "bandit" and "murderer" who "did not care for the lives of his own people." The article, which was the first in a series of attacks on the Israeli invasion, was published in the September 26 issue of the newspaper. The article, which was the first in a series of attacks on the Israeli invasion, was published in the September 26 issue of the newspaper.



LEBANON'S LEADER
Under fire from rebels

Soviets Counter U.S. Caribbean Plan

Reds fired the first shot. They fired the first five shots . . .

The videotapes show that when I ran back to the car to get my gun, the Commies had me in a cross-fire from three directions at once. One of the Reds, a Jewess from New York, emptied her .38 revolver at me from less than 10 feet away.

By this time, the men behind me were firing back, so at one time I was in the middle of the street with bullets coming at me from four directions at once. You said the TV news showed me as looking "calm." I don't care what it looked like -- personally, I was scared to death.

The Greensboro police arrested only two latter-day Bolsheviks on minor charges which were quickly dropped. "No effort was made to prosecute the people who started the shootout." Fourteen Klansmen were arrested, but only six ever went to trial. The other eight had never been armed. For a year, the shootout films were examined frame by frame. From the first gunshot to the last was exactly 88 seconds.

Smith said the last thought in his mind was that his side might be arrested and charged for trying to defend themselves. But they were, and his wife was not allowed to see him for three days while the TV news made him look like a murderer. Fortunately, eyewitnesses -- including a very brave black lady -- told the truth despite death threats.

Smith contrasted the response of America's left and right wings to the shootout. Even the so-called "responsible left" supported the Communist Workers Party. On one occasion, more than 8,000 leftists, ranging from members of the National Council of Churches to the Jewish Defense League, swarmed through the streets of Greensboro chanting "Death to the Klan." "They stick together like glue," commented Smith. "I'll give them credit for that."

As for the right, even some Klan groups deserted the defendants. But thousands of supportive letters arrived from around the world. And two ministers launched "adopt a family" programs. Those were sorely needed: Jerry Smith's personal loss from the case, in the first year alone, amounted to \$70,000.

To this day, the acquitted Klansmen have trouble finding work. Smith was ambushed by a gunman while driving his pickup. And now the federal government is threatening double jeopardy.

Smith's most interesting remark came when *Spotlight* asked him if he had thought of writing a book:

As a matter of fact, I have, and both Coleman Pridmore and I have written our story. Several New York publishers have approached us, offered us thousands of dollars, and we want our story told, and God knows we can use the money. But each time we wouldn't sell to them, because they wanted to change it, twist it, just buy the rights and bury it so the story could never be told.

We don't have the money to publish it ourselves, but even though I've already lost over \$70,000 personally, I would gladly spend that much again and more just to get the truth to the people.

On October 14, Rep. Paul N. (Pete) McCloskey Jr., now a private citizen, gave the *Spotlight* another outstanding interview. "We have unleashed the new Hitler on the world," he declared, meaning Begin's Israel. What led him to the forefront of opposition to War Zionism? McCloskey said it was only in June of 1980 that he awakened to Middle East reality. He had made a "very simple statement" asking for even-handedness toward the Arab world:

When I made that statement, the Anti-Defamation League (ADL), which I had always thought was a civil rights organization, came out and said, "That's anti-Semitic . . ."

Then I started reading what Begin was saying. He was saying, "Don't worry about the Congress. We can control the Congress."

I found that AIPAC [the American Israel Public Affairs Commission] was operating with the toughest lobby I had ever seen. This is the only lobby I know where the word came directly from Jerusalem to AIPAC's headquarters in Washington. AIPAC boasted that they could then get the word out to every synagogue, every Jewish community center in all of the U.S. Within 24 hours, there would be letters deluging their congressmen.

The Jewish people in this country are not monolithic, but the Jewish community is very, very tough on people who speak out against Israel. They don't want any disagreement over Israel aired publicly, and, if a Jew speaks out against Israel, he is almost ostracized within the Jewish community.

Even after calling McCloskey an "anti-Semite," the Jewish lobby had the *chutzpah* to visit his office last June, asking that America forgive Israel's debts.

There is no lobby in the world that I know of, or in this country, that has ever before asked the U.S. to forgive the indebtedness of a foreign country to the U.S., and yet that lobby has been successful in getting Congress to forgive those debts. The president's budget proposal for foreign aid was to forgive \$500 million in debts to Israel. The House Foreign Affairs Committee increased that figure to \$750 million a few months ago.

McCloskey believes the worst threat of nuclear war comes not from the Russian-American standoff but from the Mideast. "The Israelis are after us to give them the KN-130 aerial tanker now so they can refuel their planes in flight . . ." Why? "Pakistan is developing nuclear weapons."

America should "wake up and stop treating Israel as if it were the 51st state" when it "has become very much like Adolf Hitler's Germany." Israel's use of cluster bombs in Lebanon was arguably "the most vicious, the most outrageous act of terrorism against a civilian population" in more than a generation. Yet we still see "Holocaust" and its spinoffs on TV "every year on prime time."

McCloskey said about 30 members of the House had come to him and said, "Pete, you're right -- but we can't say so publicly." Why not? "You won't find many television, radio, news staffs where there isn't some highly placed person of immense Jewish sympathy."

In McCloskey's own district, editors who supported him had advertisers threaten to withhold advertising, i.e., their bread and butter. Many Jews are decent people, added the Congressman, "but they are the only ethnic group which is so closely knit and so dedicated to the preservation of a foreign country." To do one's "duty" as a Jew, one has to give time and money to Israel.

McCloskey said, "the Jews have matured a great deal in the last 40 years," a quip certain to raise the hackles of a 3,000-year-old tribe. Still, if they put Israel first and American interests second, "they could restore anti-Semitism."

As for the publishers of the *Spotlight*, McCloskey said they were "doing an immense [public] service." Though, as a liberal, he disagreed with them on many issues, they certainly were not the "nuts" which the big media made them out to be. They were "prophets" who realized "the battle for peace in the Middle East will be fought in the U.S."

SCREAMING NUMBERS

Jewish enrollment figures are a perennial topic of hushed conversation on campuses all across America. Everyone has his or her own estimates, but few are able to produce hard or even soft data. An exception is *The Jewish Almanac* (Bantam, 1980), which gives estimated Jewish enrollments for 69 American and four Canadian colleges and universities, based on *College Guide for Jewish Youth*, edited by Norman Feingold and Samuel Fishman (Washington, D.C., 1978). The *Almanac* provided total enrollments for the spring of 1979. From this, *Instauration* has calculated Jewish percentages and ranked the colleges accordingly.

We hope this will settle some arguments and help people decide where to send their children. But words of caution are in

order. Our statistician has spent some time around George Washington University in Washington (listed as 14.6% Jewish). He has even carefully perused a recent student yearbook. Nearly half the students pictured there seemed to be Jewish (although most students were not pictured at all).

Furthermore, his *alma mater* (not listed here) gave this religious breakdown for its 1982 freshman class: Protestant 40%, Catholic 12%, Jewish 2.3%, Other 20%, None 24%, No Response 2.3%. Again, he is suspicious. When he was there just a decade ago, the student yearbooks showed every class to be at least 20% Jewish -- a far cry from 2.3%.

So the following figures are soft data indeed. But at least they may serve as a reference point for further local inquiry.

College	Enrollment	Est. Jewish Enrollment	Jewish %
1. Brandeis University, Waltham, MA	3,545	2,250	63.5
2. Queens College (CUNY), Flushing, NY	17,458	11,000	63.0
3. University of Rochester, Rochester, NY	7,881	3,600	45.7
4. Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY	11,000	5,000	45.5
5. Columbia University, New York, NY	17,900	8,000	44.7
6. New York University, New York, NY	42,000	17,000	40.5
7. SUNY Albany, Albany, NY	15,216	5,800	38.1
8. SUNY Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY	15,900	6,000	37.7
9. Boston University, Boston, MA	23,528	8,500	36.1
10. Oberlin College, Oberlin, OH	2,750	900	32.7
11. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA	18,500	6,000	32.4
12. City College of New York, New York, NY	12,285	3,500	28.5
13. Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT	2,453	700	28.5
14. Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY	700	190	27.1
15. Tufts University, Medford, MA	6,800	1,800	26.5
16. Yale University, New Haven, CT	9,526	2,500	26.2
17. Washington University, St. Louis, MO	10,723	2,800	26.1
18. University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL	19,235	5,000	26.0
19. Reed College, Portland, OR	1,152	300	26.0
20. University of California, Santa Cruz, CA	5,880	1,500	25.5
21. University of California, Los Angeles, CA	31,743	8,000	25.2
22. Antioch College, Yellow Springs, OH	1,300	325	25.0
23. University of Chicago, Chicago, IL	9,425	2,200	23.3
24. University of California, Berkeley, CA	30,001	6,000	20.0
25. Temple University, Philadelphia, PA	35,600	7,000	19.7
26. Brown University, Providence, RI	6,700	1,300	19.4
27. Mass. Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA	7,972	1,400	17.6
28. University of Maryland, College Park, MD	35,000	6,000	17.1
29. Princeton University, Princeton, NJ	5,931	1,000	16.9
30. Northeastern University, Boston, MA	42,437	7,000	16.5
31. Harvard University, Cambridge, MA	20,525	3,300	16.1
32. Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA	1,292	200	15.5
33. SUNY Buffalo, Buffalo, NY	21,611	3,200	14.8
34. Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH	8,185	1,200	14.7
35. George Washington University, Washington, DC	12,296	1,800	14.6
36. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY	18,000	2,550	14.2
37. Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY	15,000	2,000	13.3
38. University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA	23,000	3,000	13.0
39. Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ	16,760	2,000	11.9
40. University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA	15,900	1,800	11.3
41. American University, Washington, DC	12,583	1,350	10.7
42. University of Illinois, Urbana, IL	33,684	3,600	10.7
43. Tulane University, New Orleans, LA	9,633	1,000	10.4
44. Smith College, Northampton, MA	2,518	260	10.3
45. University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI	39,000	4,000	10.3
46. Duke University, Durham, NC	9,900	1,000	10.1
47. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL	31,133	3,000	9.6
48. Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH	4,221	400	9.5
49. University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT	21,349	2,000	9.4
50. University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH	33,479	3,000	9.0
51. Stanford University, Stanford, CA	11,727	1,000	8.5
52. University of So. California, Los Angeles, CA	27,879	2,200	7.9
53. Emory University, Atlanta, GA	7,812	600	7.7
54. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI	46,017	3,500	7.7
55. Ohio State University, Columbus, OH	54,579	4,000	7.3
56. University of Texas, Austin, TX	43,094	3,000	7.0
57. Northwestern University, Evanston, IL	15,117	1,000	6.6
58. University of Missouri, Columbia, MO	23,474	1,500	6.4
59. Drake University, Des Moines, IA	6,568	400	6.1
60. University of Colorado, Boulder, CO	22,400	1,200	5.4
61. Kent State University, Kent, OH	25,130	1,200	4.8
62. Indiana University, Bloomington, IN	32,600	1,500	4.6
63. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ	29,062	1,250	4.3
64. University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA	22,990	1,000	4.3
65. Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ	37,122	1,300	3.5
66. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC	20,293	650	3.2
67. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN	46,400	1,400	3.0
68. University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS	25,480	700	2.7
69. U.S. Military Academy, West Point, NY	4,380	40	0.9
Canadian Colleges			
1. York University, Downsview, Ont.	12,337	5,000	40.5
2. McGill University, Montreal, Que.	16,171	4,250	26.3
3. University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont.	31,377	3,000	9.6
4. University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man.	13,603	1,000	7.4

DARWIN WAS MORE MORAL AND A BETTER CHRISTIAN THAN MANY PRESENT-DAY CREATIONISTS

Last summer the Creationists succeeded in making asses of themselves in court again and, as a result, their cause, for the nonce, has sunk from sight and sound. Meanwhile they regroup for what will presumably be yet another exposure of their apparently hopeless confusions -- to a public which would very much like to be on their side. The case last year was dismissed as an assault on the principle of separation of church and state. According to the judge, truth was not an issue. It is hard, therefore, for the public to remain sympathetic. The Creationists are laughed off stage, probably not for the last time. Most likely they will work themselves into an even worse state of bigoted indignation.

It is a crying shame, because I believe that the issue they raise is a good one. The judge missed the point they are trying to make. It quite transcends the principle of the separation of church and state. Truth *is* the issue. The issue bears on one of the most important questions confronting us today, namely, is there an external standard for morality?

Before going into the pros and cons of this question, let me state my own position straight off. I go along with Darwin. Darwin never tired of pointing out that for him the important issue was not Evolution vs. Creationism (which is something that anyone can settle to his own satisfaction by looking at the evidence), but the more crucial and more difficult question of whether morality came before man. Is it a product of Natural Selection? He was convinced that it was, and wrote nine books after the *Origin* trying to prove it -- books that were largely regarded with contempt by his followers, since they made him appear a God-fearing, almost Calvinistic man.

His followers, of course, such as Huxley, were indeed atheists. They denied the existence of God. They denied the existence of any external standard of morality, and claimed that the only basis of any morality lies in the heart and intellect of man. This, to Darwin, was the veriest blasphemy and sacrilege, not only of religion but of science. The apotheosis of man by evolutionists utterly disheartened his old age. He foresaw the collapse of morality, the loss of religious feeling, the grossly maladaptive behavior on the part of the species, and its inevitable consequence -- a not too distant extinction.

Darwin associated Natural Selection with high morality, not with criminal behavior, as did Huxley. Up until about 1800 the Bible held sway in the field of natural history. All fossils were accounted for by the Flood; all living beings were accounted for by Noah's Ark. (And indeed there *had* been massive destruction of life and tenuous survivals.) The story was given its full scientific dressing and reinterpretation by the great geologists of the period. What Hutton and Lyell did for the story of the Flood, Darwin then proceeded to do for the story of the Creation.

The supernatural element was removed and the story retold in terms of the fossil record, geographical distribution, comparative anatomy and classification, embryology and rudimentary organs, and "the messages written in invisible ink in the

germ." By contrast the book of Genesis contains a simple story -- a good story. Some people like a good story so much that they hate anyone who changes a single word. There are others who think that because one word has been changed, there is no truth in the original. Tell them there may have been nothing supernatural about the Creation and they will leap to the conclusion that there is no God, and that morality must therefore be a human invention. They come to think the earth is a temporary domicile to be used and discarded, and that our only responsibility is to each other, and the more permissive we are the better.

The issue which the Creationists have raised, at least as I understand it and with which I am sure the public would like to be sympathetic, can be summed up very simply: Darwin was right and Huxley was wrong. The public would like nothing better than to see the godless professors shown up for the jackanapeses they are. The Creationists have zeroed in on the right target, but they somehow leave it unscathed. They know who the enemy is, all right, and they want more than anything to restore morality. They want to be able to declare with all the assurance of a scientist that there *is* indeed a Creative Principle, to which we are beholden for continued existence -- in short, God. They want us to study our Creator in order to learn how to behave. They are on the right track, but they do a miserable job.

If only they would wake up to which side they are on! Half the time they sound as narrowly humanistic as the worst of their critics. They sometimes act as if they are afraid to admit that they advocate moral restraint. They even pussyfoot on Human Rights, and leave it to some poor professor to come out flatly and say there ain't no such thing. Instead of sticking to the point they pick on poor Darwin, and make asses of themselves over the geological time scale, rudimentary organs and the evolution of the horse. No wonder the judge threw up his hands and said truth was not an issue, and threw the case out on constitutional grounds. Who wants to be compelled to eat nonsense? The public that wistfully waited for them to flush out the enemy and expose him for what he is turns away and finds more comfort in the soft godless conservation movement than in the old-time religion. The Creationists show a sad lack of moral fibre. Are they really our soundest stock? If so, what hope is there?

Semper Discens

Unponderable Quote

This monkey mythology of Darwin is the cause of permissiveness, promiscuity, pills, prophylactics, perversions, pregnancies, abortions, pornotherapy, pollution, poisoning and proliferation of crimes of all types.

Georgia Judge Braswell Deen

Election Follow-up

In *Instauration's* somewhat brief and definitely cynical rehash of the 1982 election campaign in its last issue, we neglected a few contests which, in some ways, were more important, at least in regard to indicating future political trends, than the more publicized races.

Paul Findley, the incumbent congressman from Abe Lincoln country in Illinois, was narrowly defeated by his Democratic rival. What's so world-shaking about this? Well, Findley was the most outspoken anti-Zionist in the House of Representatives. While his colleagues, almost to a man, were selling out to Jewish money and Jewish pressure groups, Findley came out for an independent Palestinian state and a cut-off or sharp reduction of our yearly multibillion dollar tribute to Israel. He even had a good word to say for Yasser Arafat.

Adlai Stevenson III, it turned out, was defeated in the race for governor of Illinois by the Jewish swing vote in the Chicago area. Before he quit the Senate, Stevenson had asked for an investigation of Israel's deliberate and bloody assault on the *U.S.S. Liberty*. For this he was never forgiven by those who never forgive. Although Jews in and around Chicago traditionally vote the straight Democratic ticket, this time precincts with heavy concentrations of Jews racked up many fewer Democratic votes

than usual. Most of these votes crossed over to Governor James Thompson, who has been kowtowing to Jewish racism ever since he entered politics.

In California, Tom (Mr. Jane Fonda) Hayden, having spent about a million dollars of his wife's money, won a seat in the California Assembly. If a professional terrorist like Begin can become prime minister of a Jewish country, why can't a professional terrorist like Tom Hayden, the ex-SDS agent provocateur and North Vietnamese collaborator, become the representative of a Jewish enclave in Los Angeles? The answer is, he can and did.

John Le Boutellier, the Republican conservative from Long Island, was beaten after one term in Congress. All his cozying up to Israel did him no good. There are scads of Jews in his district who didn't take kindly to his nationalist approach to domestic policy and his devastating criticism of Democratic phonies like Tip O'Neill. Le Boutellier's defeat is one more proof that WASP conservatives who try to buy their way into the Jews' good graces are spitting into the wind.

In Pennsylvania, 35-year-old William Worthington Scranton III won a second term as Lt. Governor. He is a Gracchite to watch. In 1972 he voted for McGovern, and a year later became a devotee of transcendental meditation, spreading news about his con-

version worldwide, even setting up a TM training school in Ethiopia, where he met his wife, Carol Vange. Today Scranton thinks highly of both Reagan and the Maharishi Mahesh Yogi. Despite his muddled ideas, Scranton's credentials rate very high with the Eastern Republican Establishment, which once regarded his late father as a political divinity. Perhaps someday "young Bill," a sort of Republican Jerry Brown, will be running for president against Democratic Gracchite Jay Rockefeller.

The final election count showed two new Jews added to the Senate, Lautenberg (N.J.) and Hecht (Nev.), two incumbent Jews re-elected, Metzenbaum (Oh.) and Zorinsky (Neb.), and five more who did not have to face reelection, Boschwitz (Minn.), Levin (Mich.), Specter (Pa.), Rudman (N.H.) and Cohen (Me.). All in all, this adds up to nine Jewish senators out of 100, or more than three times the Jewish percentage of the U.S. population. The percentage is almost as high in the House, which will have a total of 31 Jews compared to 23 in the last Congress. Two of them are women.

But all was not quite lost. Four Democratic Jews running for the Senate were defeated: Wecht lost to Heinz in Pennsylvania; Harriett Woods to Danforth in Missouri; Michaelson to Chafee in Rhode Island; and Levinson to Roth in Delaware.

Hitler's Zionist Connection

Early in 1935 a Zionist-owned passenger ship, bound for Haifa in Palestine, left the German port of Bremerhaven. On its stern were the Hebrew letters, "Tel Aviv"; from the mast fluttered the swastika. The captain, a Herr Leidig, was a card-carrying Nazi. Many years later, a traveler aboard the ship would recall this symbolic combination as one of "metaphysical absurdity."

But this was only one remarkable vignette from a little-known historical chapter -- the strong support of the Nazi government for Zionism. The centerpiece of the Nazi-Zionist connection was the Haavara Agreement, signed by the German government in May 1933, only a few months after Hitler took power.

Under this agreement Jews leaving Germany for Palestine deposited money in a special account for the purchase of German-made agricultural tools, building materials, fertilizer, pumps and so forth. These were exported to Palestine and sold there by Jewish-owned companies. The money from the sale was given to the Jewish emigrant

upon his arrival in Palestine in the amount corresponding to his deposit in Germany.

The Haavara pact helped realize the Nazi goal of freeing Germany of Jews and simultaneously served the Zionist aim of settling as many Jews as possible in Palestine. It complied with the British government's insistence that new settlers bring at least £1,000 with them, while it saved Germany major losses in hard foreign currency.

Haavara (Hebrew for "transfer") violated the international Jewish boycott against Germany, and thus became the object of heated debate by delegates at the 1933 and 1935 World Zionist Congress. In the end, the powerful Jewish body gave its approval.

The transfer arrangement quickly became routine. In 1936 the Jewish Agency (the Zionist "shadow government") assumed direct control of operations, which remained in force until the end of 1941, two years after the outbreak of World War II.

The Nazi government backed the Zionist movement politically and financially. Zionist organizations were authorized to open

training centers in Germany to prepare Jewish emigrants for their new life. German newspapers and political leaders openly expressed the hope that, with a state and country of their own, the Jews would abandon their diaspora dual-loyalty charade and finally take their place among the nations of the world as a "normal" people, thus solving the age-old Jewish problem. Secretly, the Nazi government backed the Zionist movement in Palestine with financial support.

But opposition to Haavara was also growing. Palestinian Arabs and German businessmen in the Middle East objected strenuously, and many Germans feared that by supporting Zionism Germany was only strengthening an implacable enemy. Moreover, Haavara was proving a financial drain on the Third Reich.

Haavara would probably have died a quick death had it not been for the support of one man -- Adolf Hitler. In the face of growing criticism, Der Führer insisted that the goal of removing Jews from Germany justified the sacrifices.

During its lifetime, approximately 60,000 German Jews emigrated to Palestine and more than 139.5 million marks (about \$40.4 million then) in German goods were transferred there under Haavara. As an internal memo of the German Interior Ministry noted in 1937:

There is no doubt that the Haavara arrangement has contributed most significantly to the very rapid development of Palestine since 1933. The agreement provided not only the largest source of money [from Germany!] but also the most intelligent group of immigrants, and finally it brought to the country the machines and industrial products essential for development.

In July 1938, representatives from 32

countries met at Evian, France, to deal with the problem of Jewish refugees from Germany. The delegates to the international refugee conference, which had been organized at the initiative of President Roosevelt, were unanimous in their condemnation of Germany. But none of the participating countries, including the leading democratic states with their vast territories, would agree to accept German Jews. The conference, which Germany did not attend, broke up without accomplishing anything.

In London, though, American envoy George Rublee and German diplomat Helmut Wohlthat reached a secret agreement in February 1939, whereby Germany pledged to expedite the emigration of all remaining German Jews. They would be exempt from any emigration fee or taxes and could leave

with all their personal wealth and belongings. Germany specifically pledged continued backing for Haavara.

The Haavara and Wohlthat-Rublee agreements are almost completely unknown today, which is quite understandable given the prevailing ideological climate. Few historians are willing to acknowledge what Ingrid Weckert points out in her recently published book on German-Jewish relations between 1933 and 1939, *Feuerzeichen*:

Seldom has a single agreement contributed more to the building of a state than Haavara did to the founding of Israel. National Socialist Germany, allegedly bent on "exterminating" the Jews, actually laid the foundation for the state of Israel with this generous emigration agreement

An Instaurationist Recalls the Old Britannica

Since in regard to the social sciences the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* has now become little more than a propagandistic organ of the liberal-minority coalition, it is a privilege and a delight to own an earlier edition. It is like having a window on the past. The 12th edition is generally conceded to be the best. I do not own the 12th, unfortunately, but I recently did fall heir to a set of the 13th, and the few times I've dipped into it have certainly borne out all I've heard. Anyone who wants to see what I mean should read the article on Gullah. He won't stop laughing for weeks.

What I like most about the 13th edition, however, are interesting historical data that could never have made it into the latest Mortimer Adler edition. For example, on p. 396, Volume 21, I learn that during the political upheavals in Rome at the beginning of the second century, the Jews of Palestine

took the opportunity to massacre all the defenseless women, children and old men of Greek and Roman extraction they could lay their hands on, and that the situation became so chaotic by A.D. 117 that Emperor Trajan, who was visiting the area, felt obliged for his own safety to cut short his trip and return to Rome.

I was even more edified by random comments I've run across on the Vikings. For example, I had known there is no trace of Pictic, Gaelic or Welsh in the English language, but that it is full of Scandinavian terms. Words like *sky*, *life*, *wife*, and *thing* are Scandinavian, thus making it easy for Scandinavians to learn English. The Anglo-Saxon words for these and other terms at the heart of our language are unfamiliar to us. In the far-off past, however, this rich vocabulary emphasized the cultural riches of the Northmen in the minds of our English an-

cestors.

Nor had I ever heard before that the Swytzers of the Alps trace their ancestry to the Viking raiders of the Rhine, and on the strength of this have always enjoyed a higher social standing and moral influence than the people around them.

In yet another volume we are told that throughout the Middle Ages and into modern times the only parts of Europe where the peasants have been continuously prosperous, self-sufficient and free are Norway, Switzerland and England.

It makes me feel sorry for all those who have to get their facts from later *Encyclopaedia Britannica* editions, which tell such a different story -- how the Romans persecuted the Jews for no reason, how the Nordic race is a pernicious myth, etc., etc. I will not go on. We all know the "new learning" by heart.

From an on-the-spot Instaurationist

Beverly Hills Nights

I have been temporarily obliged to take a menial position in a Beverly Hills nightclub-disco-restaurant. I work strange hours -- from 8 P.M. to 2 A.M. The clientele is very international. We have American Jews, Israeli Jews, South African Jews, British Jews and Iranian Jews.

We also have just plain Iranians, who appear to be lapsed Moslems, who were terrified that the Ayatullah's henchmen would put them against a wall in Tehran for being too Western. On the other hand, many Westerners would like to see them put against a wall in Beverly Hills for being too Iranian. They come to the club for one thing

and one thing only -- women.

Any approach will do. One tactic is for the Iranian to station himself somewhere on the route from the disco to the ladies' room and grab at girls as they go by. When this fails, they will approach the girl and offer to buy her a drink. Whether or not she accepts, five seconds later the Iranian will suggest the ultimate. In Iran they were told that all Western women are sluts who will do it free for the asking.

There are other kinds of Iranians. The parking valets are all Iranian students, and most of them are very quiet and unassuming. Many of the valets go to school during

the day, park cars in the evening, and think themselves lucky if they get six hours' sleep. A handful of light-skinned Iranians (known as "shah-lovers" to the valets) sometimes wander in by accident. Once they see how many Iranian riff-raff there are, they never come back.

The Jews who come to the disco are almost always foreign-born. A high percentage are from South Africa or Israel. Far away from their family ties they quickly degenerate into booze, drugs and casual or interracial sex. Cocaine is very popular with this crowd, and a male Jew who hasn't managed to make a pick-up on the disco floor will

often stand out on the sidewalk at closing time, offering free cocaine to any woman who will go home with him.

The Jews who come for dinner are usually American-born. They will often bring grandparents who will complain about everything, and then stuff so much food in their mouths that it turns the stomachs of the people at adjacent tables. Many of these New York Jews are caricatures -- parodies of

themselves. Their sense of style is incredibly gross; their manners incredibly pushy.


Jews born and reared in Beverly Hills like to think that they have much more style than their New York cousins. Many are second-rate actors and assistant producers who try to put on airs. Running to the pay phone to call their agents seems to occupy most of their time.

The popularity of the place has spread

into the ghetto, and we are often favored with visits from Negroes wanting to get a piece of the action. Although some white girls have a penchant for black suitors, by and large the Negroes are not successful in their endeavors. Since the stiff drink prices also act as a deterrent, there are very few regular black patrons. Needless to say, the race-mixing is all one-way. Black men with white women. Never the other way around.

Handbook for the Book People

Since a Jewish American Princess by the name of Lisa Birnbaum recently wrote *The Official Preppy Handbook* to tell young WASPs how to behave, dress and act, it was only fitting that two more Princesses, Debbie Lukatsky and Sandy Toback, would do the same for their kind. Accordingly, *The Jewish American Princess Handbook* (Turnbull & Willoughby Books, 15 N. Arlington Hts. Road, Arlington Heights, IL 60004,



\$4.95) now graces the shelves of American bookstores. The authors tell JAPs where to buy their expensive finery, what college to go to to meet NJBs (nice Jewish boys), what colleges not to go to so they won't meet "forbidden fruit" (non-Jews who drive pickups, vans and jeeps). Recommended cars for all JAPs are Porsche 911s, Mercedes 450-SLs and Cadillacs equipped with sun roofs -- all with mandatory automatic transmissions, because "Princesses do not drive stick."

It being understood that a Jewish Princess will only marry a Jewish Prince, "she finds it enticing to date a Gentile boy . . . one her parents would never approve of. The lure of blond hair, blue eyes and a one syllable last name are just too powerful to resist." As for

the eventual husband, aside from being Jewish, he should be, in descending order, a commodities broker ("sky's-the-limit" salary), doctor (\$30,000 to \$299,000 a year), lawyer (\$25,000 to \$199,000), CPA (\$42,000 to \$59,999), social worker (\$15,000 to \$29,999). Hubby should most definitely not be a pilot, policeman, marine biologist, computer analyst, gym teacher or officer in the armed forces. "What," asks the authors, "would a nice Jewish girl want with guys like these?"

The Rules for Sex section contains this homily: "Always be coy and make him spend a lot of money before you give in. The more he spends, the more he loves you."

In the matter of jobs, if the JAP should condescend to work, she is told:

The Princess has a jump on the thousands of college grads entering the job market every June. The Jewish network has more jobs than the *New York Times* want ads. Her father and other MOTs (Members of the Tribe) can get her in the doors her resume can't.

This advice lets the cat out of the bag. It may explain more than a thousand books why Jews are so cash-ridden.

The authors devote a page to plastic surgery. Don't choose a surgeon recommended by a non-Jewish friend. "Specify small nostrils." Plan the nose-bobbing five months before summer, so "your new nose will look even cuter with a tan."

When the Princess is finally hitched to her Prince, she should demand a flagstone patio for her new house, a daily maid, a hot tub, swimming pool, tennis court, yacht, \$100 seats at bond dinners, and a Betamax and wide-screen TV.

The Jewish American Princess Handbook is really more about money than Jewish American Princesses. One can hardly arrive at any other opinion after thumbing through this cloying compendium of execrable taste. The People of the Book have become the People of the Handbook, because the People of the Book have become (or always were) the People of the Checkbook.

Numero Uno on Britain's "Roll of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal" is His Royal Highness Charles Philip Arthur George, Prince of Wales. Of lower numerical rank are:

Simon Charles Henry Rufus	Marquess of Reading	No. 61
David Herbert	Viscount Samuel	No. 286
Rachel Leila	Baroness Dacre	No. 364
Nathan Mayer Victor	Lord Rothschild	No. 563
Edward Herbert	Lord Jessel	No. 670
James Wogan	Lord Remnant	No. 683
Michael	Lord Marks of Broughton	No. 867

There are also Lords Nathan (748), Segal (912), Kahn (930), Goodman (933), Hirshfield (959), Joseph Lord Kagan (1106), plus others like Sydney Lewis Lord Bernstein (982) and John Denis Lord Leonard (1136). Solly Lord Zuckerman is ranked 1015, Lord Strauss 1161, Myer Lord Galpern 1163; and not to forget their ladyships: Margaret Sisan Baroness Ryder of Warsaw (1150) and Lena May Baroness Jeger (1164). The magic number (666) is allotted James Hugh Myles Lord Borwich.

A complete list of British lords is available for \$12 from Sentinel Publishing, Box 2345, Station "D", Ottawa, Canada K1P 6A2.

The Moan Heard Round the World

There are 30 times as many Christians as Jews in the United States. There are at least 30 times more Christian than Jewish prisoners of conscience in the Soviet Union. It stands to reason that American periodicals should run 900 times as many articles about the plight of Soviet Christians as about the fate of Soviet Jews. At times, however, it seems the numbers are the other way around.

Jack Anderson, the Mormon muckraker whose rake never reaches the rich bottom muck, is one observer who has done some complaining about this. "[The] widespread official persecution of Christians has gone virtually unnoticed in the United States," he writes. "Only rare cases are reported here -- like that of the 'Siberian Seven,' the Pentacostals who forced their way into the American Embassy in Moscow in 1978." (It is hard to ignore a family that is holed up in one's embassy for four years.) But, notes Anderson impatiently, the "Seven" are only the iceberg's tip. In the last two years alone, at least 115 Baptists and 30 Adventists have been imprisoned for "anti-Soviet slander." Indeed, most members of the governing Council of Evangelical Christians and Baptists in the USSR have been in prison since January 1980. "Belief in Christianity is defined by Soviet law as a form of schizophrenia."



Jack Anderson

"While Western journalists have publicized the plight of Soviet Jews, more than half the prisoners of conscience in 1981 were Baptists, Adventists, Russian Orthodox, Jehovah's Witnesses, or other Christian church members." This phrasing suggests that close to half of the Soviet religious prisoners in 1981 may be Jewish. The facts are

otherwise. The new *Jewish Almanac*, edited by Richard Siegel and Carl Rheins (Bantam Books), contains a comprehensive list of "all 95" (actually 97) Soviet Jews who are "known to have served terms in labor camps, exile, or mental institutions" since 1966. The list includes the name, date of birth, profession, trial date, state charges and length of sentence for each so-called "prisoner of conscience." Actually, many of these Jews were charged with "parasitism," "treason," "economic speculation" and the like.

Only a minority of the cases clearly involved agitation for Judaism and/or Zionism. Few of the sentences were longer than three or four years, and at least 49 of the trials were back in 1970 and 1971. Another 33 or so occurred in 1972-75. Fewer than a dozen have taken place since. Nearly all of the Jewish prisoners are or were on the state's "general regime," which permits one visit every three months, no restrictions on mail (or at least no more restrictions than the other 270 million Soviet citizens), and also a money allowance.

This relative handful of Jewish dissidents has received the lion's share of Western sympathy. Several rallies held in their behalf have attracted upwards of 100,000 protesters, including top figures in both Democratic and Republican White Houses. And yet, as a Jewish guest on television's MacNeil-Lehrer Report recently observed, "Most Jewish dissidents in Russia are still Communist. They just disagreed with the way the [ins] are running Russia."

The Jewish writer Joseph W. Samuels stated in the *Houston Chronicle* (June 19, 1976) that the heavily atheist Russian Jewish community desires emigration more for political than religious reasons. The United States has, by one estimate, paid \$40 million annually since 1972 to help resettle Soviet Jews. As for Soviet Christians, who are much more interested in freedom of worship than freedom to engage in global power-politics, they have not received a pittance of support.

Israel's Enemy List

Well, well, Jewish censorship of the U.S. media is finally coming out of the closet. The boys from West Jerusalem have decided to play hardball to eliminate any possible recurrence of the fairly balanced coverage of what the Israeli marauders did to Lebanon last summer. If there is one thing that makes world Jewry shudder, it is objective reporting in the press or on TV.

In early November, Ambassador Moshe Arens demanded a meeting with the *Washington Post*, in which he angrily presented the paper's editors with a formal document that rated their paper in last place for its reporting of the Israeli invasion of Lebanon

and the aftermath of horrors. Forty-eight major U.S. newspapers had been carefully analyzed by the Israeli Embassy (probably with the help of American Jewish groups) and the results gave the *Post* a rating of minus 53. The *Chicago Tribune* got minus 34, *Los Angeles Times* minus 33, *New York Times* minus 25. The most pro-Zionist newspaper turned out to be the *Atlanta Constitution*, to which the Israelis awarded a plus 56. The *Constitution*, proud of this distinction, ran a long article on its selection as the top toady.

In a telephone call on November 8 an Israeli spokesman named Shai followed up Ambassador Arens's visit by telling the *Post* editor, "I lost any trust in your people . . . If I knew you were going to quote me, we will take measures against you." The dumbfounded reporter asked Shai if he was threatening him. Shai replied, "I'm not threatening you."

But of course he was. The Israeli Embassy's analysis of the media's reaction to the Lebanon invasion and massacre was an obvious threat. Big brother was and is watching. In any future Mideast wars, the word is out to be careful and be much more pro-Zionist. It seems that the U.S. is now entering the age of unconcealed Jewish censorship. The old threats of lawsuits, loss of advertising, loss of jobs and social ostracism may soon be replaced by the mailed fist of Mossad.

Needless to say, the *Post* is worried, even though its boss lady, Katharine Graham, is half-Jewish and her son, Donald, the *Post*'s present publisher, is one-quarter. In an attempt to placate the Jews, executive editor Ben Bradlee invited Michael Berenbaum, executive director of the Washington Jewish Community Council, to observe (oversee?) the newsroom operation of the paper for several days. Perhaps the safest thing for the *Post* to do would be to give him a permanent desk.

Parody of a Travesty

In the movie "Judgment at Nuremberg," producer Stanley Kramer has the chief defendant, Ernst Janning (played by Burt Lancaster), a "world-renowned jurist," break down into a long confession. Janning declares that he made his life "excrement" by cooperating with the Nazis.

Of course, nothing even remotely like that happened in Nuremberg or anywhere else in Germany. That is what Telford Taylor -- the chief U.S. prosecutor in 12 of the 13 Nuremberg war crimes trials -- told a large Young Men's Hebrew Association gathering in Manhattan last summer. He had accepted their invitation to watch the 1961 movie and comment on it. Taylor did not add the obvious -- that, despite years of postwar bullying, no one in Germany behaved like "Ernst Janning" because no one felt anything like Stanley Kramer and his colleagues presumed they must feel.

Taylor helped in the original "Playhouse

90" TV version of "Judgment," serving as a technical adviser to writer Abby Mann. But he "winced" throughout the movie version, with which he had "nothing to do." One major distortion to which he objected was when the American military commander in Germany leaned on the chief prosecutor to go soft on the Nazis. There was no such pressure from any source, said Taylor. Had there been, he would have gone straight to Gen. Lucius Clay, the actual U.S. military commander in Germany at the time, who strongly supported the trials.

There also were no Marlene Dietrichs on the loose at Nuremberg, said Taylor. She played a German general's sexy widow who tried to influence an American judge. Taylor insisted that no judge "would have allowed himself to talk to" the widow of a previously convicted defendant about the case.

Someone asked Taylor about Hiroshima. He replied that the redundant Nagasaki bombing was "very close to being a war crime." No one asked him about Dresden, or Hamburg, or Berlin -- or about the non-aerial slaughter of a million or so Germans in the east at war's end.

Interestingly, in light of Middle East developments, this man who in 1948 proposed a World Court to settle future war crimes, and who (almost alone) still rejects the notion that Nuremberg was "only victor's justice," says he has belatedly concluded that "training [troops] is the main way to enforce the laws of war." That should have pleased his audience.

Move Over, Wayne Williams

Many black Americans seem convinced that their wonderful race could never produce a mass killer, the cases of Idi Amin, Emperor Bokassa, et al. notwithstanding (*Instauration*, Sept. 1982). Now it appears that the greatest mass killer in twentieth century U.S. history is a full-blooded Negro, Coral Eugene Watts of Houston and Michigan. Watts has confessed to the murders of at least ten young women, and police believe his real death tally may surpass white homosexual John Wayne Gacy's record of 33.

Psychiatrists say that Watts is a paranoid schizophrenic convinced that women are evil beings who must be destroyed. Since most of his victims happened to be whites in their prime child-bearing years (roughly 20-27), a second motive may have taken precedence.

Watts, whose IQ is low-normal (for his race) at 75, was allowed to plea-bargain in exchange for his confessions. If convicted of burglary and attempted murder (!), he may get 60 years in jail, with parole after 20 -- when he will only be in his forties.

Incredibly, police in Kalamazoo, Michigan, were convinced that Watts went on to commit a string of murders after his first killing. Police Chief John Cease says,

We tore our hair out on this one, and so did the prosecutor's office. I have had to sit here frustrated and watch this guy go on a rampage for 7 years and 10 months. We were certain that he killed Gloria Steele. I feel that conclusively today, and you can print it.

Later, as Watts perpetrated the "Sunday Slasher" killings in Ann Arbor, Michigan, he was tailed almost constantly as a suspect. Police Chief Albert K. Ferber of Grosse Pointe Farms recalls, "For the guys involved in that surveillance, it was a tremendously frustrating thing. We knew he was going to kill somebody."

The cops wanted to lock Watts up, but they never had the airtight evidence required by a civil rights-obsessed legal system. Eventually, Watts headed for Texas, and Michigan warned the state he was coming. His 75 IQ did not keep him from working as a bus mechanic there -- which may help to explain why the American transportation system is breaking down.

Between January 1981 and August 1982, 33 women were murdered in Houston. Since most of them were white, they did not command the publicity given to Wayne Williams's black juvenile victims in Atlanta. (Some people who were intimate with the Atlanta case remain convinced that some of the children had been routinely killed by relatives in the high murder rate zone.)

Only weeks after Watts practically had himself put away, one George Banks massacred 13 people in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. Banks's mother was white -- though one would never guess it looking at his heavily Negroidal features. Being threatened as a black apparently drove Banks ape. In one afternoon, he slaughtered all four of his white girlfriends and the assorted mongrel offspring they had given him, plus some innocent bystanders. Neighbors remembered Banks as a "religious man," though he often talked about "starting a war" and once stood on the street threatening to "blow everybody away."

The first black in his neighborhood, Banks single-handedly wrecked the 98%-white Wilkes-Barre's record as America's least crime-ridden city.

Lingo or Language?

When the Israelis wish to wipe out a Lebanese town or Palestinian refugee camp, they use the Hebrew word for "purify" to describe their operations. A "purified" town is one that has been leveled to the ground. A "purified" Palestinian is a dead Palestinian.

Hebrew is an odd language. It has about 100,000 words, though only 12% can be found in the Old Testament. It has difficulty with modern words and is a little heavy-handed in the way it handles them. "Normalization," for example, become the hybrid "normalisatzia" in Hebrew. When Begin tried to substitute "nirmul," he was rebuffed by the Hebrew Language Academy.

Ancient Hebrew had practically disappeared as a spoken language by the end of the 19th century. Even the written language had become a hodgepodge of Old Testamentese, Talmudese, Aramaic and Arabic. There were no words for most flowers and birds; no word for pencil. Elevator was tortuously rendered by the monstrosity "an ascend and arrive." As reporter Jane Friedman writes, "To speak Hebrew you had to point."

Today, thanks to the all-out efforts of Zionist scholars, Hebrew, not a goyische lingo, not even Yiddish (a Bronxified German), is the official language of Israel. However, it hasn't been easy. What do you do about electricity? How about "hashmal," Hebrew for Isaiah's incandescent vision in the sky? Other words had to be invented from scratch. Nevertheless, some 10% of the Hebrew dictionary is drawn from Latin or English words. As for pronunciation, grammar and spelling, only scholars and academics pay any serious attention to such matters. Hebrew may be very old, but compared to other ancient languages -- Greek, Latin, Sanskrit -- or to modern Western languages, it is a linguistic jungle.

Picking Nits

At a time when white people are focusing more and more on sheer demographic survival, the dynamic minorities are getting ever testier about the most piddling concerns. One black woman recently chewed out Dear Abby for citing "Robert Redford, Burt Reynolds and Paul Newman" as examples of attractive men:

[A]s an Afro-American lady, I am not physically attracted to these men. Would there have been any harm to include one black male? Or did "Cooled Off in Coos Bay" identify herself as a white female?

I, for one, am tired of hearing the "classic" American beauty described as "blond and blue-eyed"

I am appalled by your lack of consideration, and think you owe an apology to a very large group of your readers

Brown & Burning

In fact, "Cooled Off in Coos Bay" did identify herself as white. Coos Bay, Oregon, is a virtually all-Nordic town. Besides, America is not yet nearly 1/3 black, so why must one in three examples of handsomeness be black? But logic flies out the window when Americans are confronted by "appalled . . . burning" minority members, so "Abigail Van Buren" Friedman apologized profusely and pleaded guilty to "thoughtlessness and insensitivity."

Unponderable Quote

NBC, too, spent the better part of the past decade cruising contentedly -- second place in the ratings, solid, respectable, and nearly as conservative as CBS.

TV Guide, Feb. 6, 1982, p. 5

Reagan's Voices

When Reagan speaks is Reagan really speaking, or are we hearing the words of Aram Bakshian Jr., the 38-year-old bachelor who directs the presidential speechwriting office? Bakshian, who received \$59,500 from us taxpayers last year, is the grandson of an Iranian rug dealer. He has this to say about his experience as a ghostwriter for three Republican presidents:

Richard Nixon could be very convincing in a business-like way, when his personal credibility wasn't in question, and he was like an analyst, a lawyer looking over a brief. Gerald Ford was not an effective speaker, his basic sort of awkwardness came out and there was no way that could be disguised . . . you tried to write short, clipped simple sentences. Jimmy Carter's difficulty was that he wanted to sound like somebody else, just like Lyndon Johnson tried to sound like John Kennedy. And Reagan, well, he just projects what he is and has a sound sense of phrasing, a feel for a phrase. The jokes just trip off Reagan's tongue . . . he's a quipster and doesn't need any comedy writer

Other members of Bakshian's stable of ghostwriters: Tony Dolan, Ben Elliott, Landon Parvin, Mari Maseng and Diana Rohrbacher. The chances are it is one of these ventriloquists you are hearing when Reagan stops joking.

The Hound of Justice

Allan A. Ryan Jr., the Zionist collaborator whose Justice Department vengeance squad spends \$2.5 million a year of public money hunting down alleged Nazi war criminals, was asked in an interview with the *Chicago Lawyer* (Oct. 1982) why he doesn't go after Nguyen Ngoc Loan, the Saigon police chief who shot a suspected Viet Cong in front of a photographer. It was one of the liberal-minority coalition's favorite pics. He replied in part, "I don't know . . . For one thing the guy was on our side -- for better or worse." Was Ryan saying that war crimes can only be committed by people who are not on our side?

Ryan felt no sting of conscience that his Justice Department had hounded one alleged war criminal, Frank Walus, practically to death and then dropped the case on appeal when it was decided that the testimony of the rehearsed Israeli witnesses would not stand up against documented evidence that Walus had never been near any German concentration camp during World War II. As for Albert Deutscher, another alleged Nazi war criminal, who "committed suicide" after the Justice Department avengers had accused him of war crimes, Ryan said, "I'd just as soon not get into what my thoughts were."



Allan Ryan

Ryan said that information from Simon Wiesenthal's Jewish Documentation Center had been "very helpful [though] a lot of it has turned out to be insufficient and at times unreliable." Asked about "evidence" provided by the Soviet Union, Ryan described it as "genuine and reliable."

How to Beat Down Anti-Semites and Anti-Holocausters

Writing in the hyper-Jewish publication, *Present Tense*, a Zionist tactician named Jonathan D. Sarna recently weighed the pros and cons of four basic strategies to combat "intellectual" anti-Semitism and Holocaust revisionism:

(1) *Outrage*. Loud, continuing, mass-circulation reaffirmations of the Holocaust are necessary to fix it firmly and forever in the public memory. However, too much shouting "often focuses attention on the very sentiments the shouters most wish to see forgotten."

(2) *Silence*. Not a whisper of publicity for the anti-Semites. Unheard arguments convince no one. "Obscurity -- total obscurity -- is a sad and lonely void for agitators." On the other hand, not to answer the revisionists allows them to "claim victory by default: it may actually lead some people to give credence to their charges."

(3) *Instruction*. Education is the best way to lay the anti-Semitic ghost. "People do not know enough not to be anti-Semitic." But education gives the revisionists free public-

ity and a certain legitimacy. It can trivialize the Holocaust into a sterile historical debate.

(4) *Obstruction*. Treat the revisionists as "enemies and work to undermine them. . . . Seize the offensive." Cut off the hatemongers' main sources of support. Ostracize them with the help of the media. Sue them. Put pressure on anyone who rents them halls or meeting places. On the minus side, however, persecution and violence has certain risks. They can backfire and create sympathy for the victims.

Having said all this, Sarna came to the conclusion that the best way to fight anti-Semitism and Holocaust revisionism was a judicious combination of all four strategies -- "a little outrage, a little silence, a little instruction and a little obstruction." He admitted, however, "The ideal mixture -- the exact proportional balance -- may prove elusive."

Meddling Yankees

Tchula, Mississippi, was a peaceful farming community until 1977. Then Eddie Carthan was elected as its first black mayor. Now murders, robberies and hostage-taking are almost commonplace. Carthan's administration came to an end in 1981, when a predominantly black jury found him guilty of assaulting a policeman during a routine mob scene at city hall. The new mayor and aldermen were only able to meet when guarded by armed security men, some totting machine guns. Last April, Carthan was arrested again and charged as an accomplice in the murder of Roosevelt Granderson, a black businessman and Tchula's most popular alderman.

Enter the global ministries of the United Methodist Church with \$13,000 for Carthan, and a telegram for the sheriff: "Protest arrest of Eddie Carthan on murder frame-up and regard this as a major violation of American judicial system. The entire country is watching process of justice in [Tchula]."

Local Methodist ministers were outraged by this intrusion of a New York-based organization which had not even talked to them or Tchula officials about the complicated case.

One must surmise that Granderson and his allies were the town's black moderates, while Carthan led the radical antiwhite party. For the busybody activists at Methodist Central, that alone would have justified intervention on Carthan's behalf.


Minimal Justice

Nothing new about black gang rapes of whites -- both male and female. But what was new about that black gang rape of an 18-year-old white girl and her girlfriend at a Chicago rock concert (*Instauration*, May 1982) was that it took place in the aisle in front of 6,000 eyes while the band played on as if nothing was happening. No one in the audience except the girls' white escorts

raised a hand to stop the gruesome doings, which involved rape, sodomy, assault and battery, robbery and all the other goodies that have come to be associated with the late 20th-century American way of life. Of the 20 young blacks arrested, five plea-bargained the charges down to probation, two were found guilty, and one has been acquitted. One of the guilty, a 25-year-old gang leader, showed not one iota of remorse at his trial and kept winking at the prosecutor and fellow gang members. Charges against the remaining 12 suspects were dismissed.

Our Own Papa Doc

Being accused of racism by Jesse Jackson is like having a fish say you're "all wet." A few years back, Jackson was declaring that the struggle for racial integration was misconceived and should cease. Since then, he has kept busy threatening white businessmen with boycotts unless they appoint more blacks to top jobs. On June 2, Jackson declared in an open letter that Ted Turner, the media entrepreneur whom the *New York Times* calls the "ultimate outsider," was yet another you-know-what.



Ted Turner

Turner came from absolutely nowhere to the point where today he is challenging the three networks for control of America's mind -- and he did it without begging for bucks. When Jackson had the audacity to ask such a man for a private meeting concerning black promotions, Turner reluctantly agreed. Jackson was nearly an hour late. Turner then patiently told him that, when it came to fighting the TV monopoly, he was a "member of the minority community" himself.

The two could not agree on anything. Turner finally got up and left, and Jackson told Turner's wife her husband was a "rich, racist brat." After sulking for a while, Jackson xeroxed his form letter accusing Victim X of racism (filling in the name "Turner"

where necessary) and threatened to have a "coalition of black organizations and attorneys" investigate the uppity Turner Broadcasting System. Copies of the letter were sent to Atlanta Mayor Andrew Young, all the black players on Turner's sports teams, and "selected others."

The *Washington Post* observed, "It is not the first time Turner's loose tongue and occasionally abrasive manner have gotten him in trouble." But the *Post* gave no indication Turner had said or done anything the least bit offensive. Perhaps Turner should have turned the tables by firing off a letter of his own to Jackson and sending copies to all his white athletes.

One corporation which has not let Jackson push it around is Anheuser-Busch. The world's largest brewery reports "record sales" in the face of Jackson's "Bud is a dud" campaign. In 1969 the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE) protested the beer company's minority hiring practices. Over the last 13 years, its nonwhite employees have increased from 3% of the total to 18%. Today, 9.5% of the company's managers and 17.5% of its technicians belong to racial minorities. Anheuser-Busch also recently announced a \$5 million grant to train minorities to become beer distributors. None of this satisfies Jesse.

Coke USA signed a \$30 million "covenant" with Jackson last year, yet his henchmen are already threatening various Coke bottlers, according to Marvin Herb, the president of Chicago Coke. In St. Louis, these strongarm tactics have silenced the entire black business community. One leader anonymously told the *Chicago Tribune*, "People are scared to death . . . of retribution by Jackson."

Competition

When Howard Allen Enterprises was born back in 1972, we checked to see if there were any publishing companies with similar names. We found nary a one, though there had been a Howard Allen book company in Cleveland some years earlier.

Recently we received a piece of junk mail from the Allen Publishing Company of Los Angeles. It touted "opportunity books" and promised its distributors "about \$100 a day" for promoting such volumes as *Making It Rich in the 1980s*, *How to Find Your Fortune* and *Follow Me to the Money Tree*.

The head of Allen Publishing is Michael Wiener.

Freeloading Editor

In 1980 *Harper's* magazine fell on bad times and at the last minute was saved from going under by the "conservative" MacArthur Foundation, four of whose ten directors are Jews. Inevitably, *Harper's* was delivered into the clutches of a minority editor,

Michael Kinsley, who moved over from the hydrophobically Zionist *New Republic*. We'll let our readers identify Kinsley's race by studying his photo.



Michael Kinsley

Last summer, when Kinsley decided his magazine needed an article on the Israeli invasion of Lebanon, he hopped a plane for the Mideast. Guess who footed the bill? The government of Israel.

This was too much for Donald Petrie, the Lazard Frères partner who is the board chairman of *Harper's*. He forthwith suspended Kinsley. But you don't get rid of people like Kinsley that easily. He has powerful friends -- such as Walter Cronkite, who is also a member of the *Harper's* board. After promising not to write about the trip, Kinsley was promptly reinstated.

William F. Buckley Jr., one of Kinsley's many pals, leaped to the editor's and Zion's defense. Kinsley, Buckley expatiated, had a perfect right to accept a free junket to the Holy Land because "the resources of small circulation magazines do not permit cavalier attitudes toward expenses . . ." Buckley did not allude to the fact that the MacArthur Foundation had, at last report, a net worth of \$862,372,401. *Harper's* is presently operating under a \$3 million endowment, half of which has been supplied by the MacArthur Foundation, the other half by the Atlantic Richfield Corporation. At present Kinsley has \$500,000 at his disposal for "editorial expenses." *Harper's* lost \$3.1 million in 1981 and is expected to have a \$700,000 loss in 1982.

If *Instauration* lost 1/50th of *Harper's* estimated loss last year, there would be no *Instauration*.

Ponderable Quote

Mixed marriages will eliminate these Jewish characteristics. A small percentage of Jewish blood flowing in the veins of future Italians will do no harm.

Benito Mussolini



Cholly Bilderberger



In America, our land of eternal optimism, realism is bad form, and exaggerated hopefulness — on everything from the national debt to kidney transplants — is *de rigueur*. The attitude has — more precisely, has had — its practical side. From 1775 on, Americans found that the establishment of a technological society went along more smoothly and effectively if all “negative” comment was eschewed. The mystique of “can-do” had no room for doubt. Even though this essentially immature view of the point of life — boys playing with Erector sets on a grand scale — has outlived such usefulness as it may have had and even though it ended in the chaos of modern existence, the American taboo against realism — which cannot avoid a large dose of “negativism” — remains remarkably intact.

It is entirely possible, for instance, for a good American to admit that the quality of American life is dreadful, but in the next breath he will earnestly caution against “losing hope.” In the next breath after that, he will usually offer some ludicrous and non-sequential panacea, almost always based on some technological “breakthrough” — computers, for instance, or solar energy. He will not, if he is a good American, permit himself to analyze the American situation “negatively,” even if that means sacrificing accuracy, as it invariably does. (He cannot, for example, allow consideration of the heresy that technological breakthroughs may actually be inimical to the quality of life rather than beneficial.)

This taboo (against realism and “negativism”) extends even to that handful who see the fact of minority domination, and who have come to the conclusion that racial sanity is the only sanity. When pressed as to how that sanity is going to prevail, they become exceedingly vague, commonly going off into a rosy picture of the young rising to reverse the tide. Faced with an objective assessment of the situation, they draw back in dismay from the specter of negativism. In the psychological breach, they are Americans before they are racists.

As noted above, this Pollyanna-ism served the country well in its climb from colony to world power. It did not serve the country well in its simultaneous descent from a primarily homogeneous, North European-descended, common language, common ethos, functioning society to a sundered, multi-racial, multi-language, multi-ethos, dysfunctioning disaster. If the present mess is ever going to be cleaned up, Pollyanna-ism must be the initial casualty. The first order of business is as objective an assessment of the situation as possible, with no shrinking from the negative.

Such an assessment starts with an understanding of the odds against racial sanity ever prevailing. There are now some 4.5 billion people in the world. Only about 400 million, or 9%, are North Europeans or of North European descent. These whites, as they are loosely termed here, are a beleaguered species. The ratio of nonwhites to whites may have changed drastically since the year 1500, but the relationship has changed even more. At that time, both whites and nonwhites kept to their own sections of the globe and lived in comparative racial harmony

and purity. Then the whites — so short-sighted despite their energy and ability — broke this calm by going forth from Europe to found colonies and then empires all over the earth. For a time — but a very short time — they ruled the world. But they had no long-range plan, and no firm understanding between themselves, so they ended up fighting each other. Then the awakened nonwhites turned on them, and began to drive them back. First from the territory they had occupied, and then inside their own lands. This assault has a terrible inertia, an overwhelming weight. It is the awesome pressure of 4.5 million people against one-eleventh their number. (This ratio is going to increase to 15 or more to 1 by the year 2000.) It is a pressure to absorb white assets — money, land, and blood — on every level. It is a relentless sea of darkness enveloping everything in its path, its ultimate desire to absorb all whites in its vastness and to return the world to primitivism.

The momentum of this dark surge is so stupendously irresistible that it is not possible to fight it in part, or regionally. That is, it is meaningless to talk about curbing black and Hispanic takeovers which are really only the cutting edge of all dark peoples. It is not a question of resisting 40 million-plus blacks and Hispanics in this country, but of resisting 4-plus billion endlessly pressing dark people worldwide. The hard fact is that nothing less than global resistance will do.

But how can a half billion resist 4 billion? Or 6 billion by 2000? Only by forging themselves into a single force dedicated to survival, making their energy and ability compensate for numbers. That may not sound impossible at first — after all, we have many examples of what organization can do — but consider what is required. The world’s 400 million North Europeans would have to:

(1) Understand that there can be no half-way measures. It is a question of ruling or being ruled on a global scale. A system (the Pax Nordica?) would have to be imposed and maintained on the entire world, very likely at tremendous and continuing effort and cost. It could be South Africa on a world scale for an indefinite time.

(2) Be able to submerge all national and intraracial differences in this grand design. No more distinctions or arguments between white Americans and Europeans, between French and English, between Nordics and Slavs.

(3) Be able to ruthlessly dispose of all unworkable political devices. For example, if necessary, no more habeas corpus, jury trials, or lengthy litigation; no more “democratic processes,” parliaments or congresses. The only criterion for any institution would be its usefulness and necessity in the grand design.

(4) Accept austerity to the necessary degree. This could mean a tremendous decline in the material standard of living.

(5) Become totally dedicated, ready to fight to the death for racial sanity, and willing to use any means to achieve that end.

This list could go on, but these are the indispensable basics.

Can any white honestly say that he is capable of meeting

these requirements? Or that anyone he knows is? It would seem that the answer would have to be a resounding "No!" (or the program would have begun long ago, even on a small scale). But let's examine each of the requirements in rebutting a possible "Yes" to any one of them:

(1) Whites everywhere have brainwashed themselves into believing that "Rule or be ruled" is a false premise. They have been dedicated to compromise for so long that change on this point is unthinkable. In addition, whites are now so lazy that the effort of rule — the self-responsibility — would be beyond them.

(2) Differences between whites have been more important than similarities for a long time — see both World Wars — and it has been impossible to get them to agree to anything important and work for it. (Instead of deploring this weakness, the great majority of whites point to it proudly as healthy diversity.)

(3) The passion of whites for political delusions is notorious. Besides, can any American imagine American politicians, lawyers, administrators, educators and other parasites giving up their control of this society, a control which rests firmly on creating and prolonging problems rather than solving them?

(4) Whites have labored for 500 years in the service of their religion — materialism. It is preposterous to think they will give it up. Even today, as the end approaches, they concentrate all their energies on more technology and more material well-being, not less.

(5) Whites have convinced themselves that they are incapable of dedication, readiness to die for racial sanity, and willingness to use any means to that end. They believe that only the Sicilian Mafia, Chinese Tongs and similar subgroups are capable of such passionate activity. They forget the English Privy Council, a dedicated cabal (us against the world) which ruled England and the Empire on a racial basis for centuries (Parliament has always been only a sham got up to divert the masses), to say nothing of similar national castes and cabals throughout North Europe. In this country, a white cabal (the so-called WASPs) started a revolution, and ruled the country racially until about 1945. Before 1500, all North Europe was ruled racially for nearly a thousand years by a very capable cabal — the Roman Catholic Church, which was, as Gibbon pointed out, a continuation of the cabalistic Roman Empire. It is babytalk to say that we are not capable of a racial cabal. Or of dedication and readiness to die for racial sanity. Our history proves otherwise. It is equally childish to say that we are likely to exercise those capabilities today. We are too brainwashed (by ourselves), too tired, and, above all, the problem seems too enormous.

It is very possible that the average (racially indifferent) white understands all the above — especially the enormity of the problem. Such an understanding — instinctive rather than intellectual, and thus even more binding — may well be what lies behind the average man's apathy to minority takeover. The only genuinely persuasive, conscious counterargument to his apathy may be to deal with its root — that is, to talk only of the enormity of the problem and the enormity of the situation; to overcome his secret fear of these enormities by bringing them — and them alone, at least in the beginning — into the open.

Those interested in racial sanity are usually ready to give up on any action by anyone over thirty, but insist there is hope in the young and in future generations. Anything, of course, is possible in the future, especially in the distant future, but any significant resistance to the dark tide would require a dramatic

change in future whites, a change which seems more unlikely with each generation.

Today's elderly and middle-aged believers in racial sanity may be pathetic in their inability to understand the enormity of the problem, let alone act on it. But their children and grandchildren show even less capacity for racial sanity. Each generation, rather than increasing its resistance to absorption by the dark tide, seems to become more inert, to accept the inevitability of being drowned.

Wishful racists can grasp at straws and point to shorter hair in young men than was fashionable a few years ago, and a slight shift to "conservatism" on college campuses. But the surging tide of minority domination in this country, to say nothing of the world, is progressing at an infinitely faster pace than the cumulative total of such tiny changes. (And even the substance of those tiny changes is questionable, as was pointed out in "Conservatism and Racism," *Instauration*, October 1982, in which the deep split between conservatism and racism was discussed.)

Far more indicative of young white America than shorter hair is the mob of thousands which invariably shows up to scream — faces contorted with religious hysteria — at any KKK parade of twenty-odd marchers. Or the millions of young white Americans who pack football stadiums to cheer themselves hoarse for "their team" of adored black athletes. Can anyone be so naive as to imagine that these young are going to reverse themselves racially in numbers of any significance? Or that, without a genuine mutation, their descendants are going to do so? After all, they are even blinder racially than their parents, and their parents more so than their parents, and so on, back countless generations. Resistance to the surging dark tide becomes more inadequate by the day, to say nothing of the long haul. It is not only dark dynamism which is hurrying us now, but white inertia as well.

If they could comprehend and face it, the ultimate chagrin for those Americans who believe in racial sanity is the strong possibility that their prior American commitment to eschewing "negativism" (read "realism") may be causing more trouble for their cause than any good which can come from their hopeful babytalk. Even assuming that they did understand the five requirements outlined above, it is doubtful that they would discuss them openly. Being correctly American before everything else, they would shrink from giving a true picture of the problems and the terrifying odds against success. They would prefer to be unthinkingly hopeful — just as they are with a retarded child, for example — rather than face facts. Insofar as the young — who are all retarded to the extent to which they avoid racial sanity — are concerned, they prefer to keep them in the dark about their condition, an immoral as well as an inefficient decision. (It should be noted that it is not hope itself which is undesirable — in the long run we can't do anything without it — but hopelessness masquerading as hope.)

All in all, a bleak picture. But the only practical approach to the problem — the only approach with a chance of success — is to acknowledge its enormity, its reality, and the price which would have to be paid to solve it, as the first order of business.

Babytalk, then, although not the ultimate enemy, is the first, and must be overcome. It is not realism which is "negative," but Pollyanna-ism, which shirks the problem instead of facing it in all its colossal difficulty, and thus actually adds to it. Certainly the only chance of rousing the average white — especially the young white — is to deal honestly with the enor-

mities, because that is all he is concerned with. Our chance of survival through realism may be infinitesimal, but our chance of survival through babytalk is wholly nonexistent.

In summary: It is only an exercise in futility for those who believe in racial sanity to go on prattling about each and every minority depredation and Majority flicker of resistance, especially among the young. The only truly constructive topics are

the enormities of the problem and the enormities of the solution: rule or be ruled; submerge all differences; give up all political delusions, and materialism, to boot; and become dedicated, ready to die, and willing to use any means to achieve racial sanity. Anything less — even fractionally less — is rubber ducks in the bathtub.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

Recently we have been having a lot of fun with that new folk-hero, Rastus the Rasta:

Di Rastas are comin', hurrah, hurrah,
Di Rastas are comin', hurrah, hurrah,
And if dey make trouble,
Be off at de double,
Di law won't help you, be sho', be sho'.

The Rastas worship Haile Selassie as God and smell strongly of the holy ganja. On September 14, 1981, Detective-superintendent Holland of the West Yorkshire police was reported as saying that policemen had to be prejudiced. They knew by experience that long-haired youths in bedraggled clothing were likely to be using drugs, just as they knew that West Indians wearing tea-cosies for hats (a Rastafarian mode of dress) were likely to be muggers.

On January 19, 1982, the London *Times* reported that the Catholic Commission for Racial Justice had recommended that RC premises should be made available to Rastafarians, since they practice a bona fide religion. Auberon Waugh duly took this pretentious nonsense to pieces. But most amusing of all were the *Spectator* articles by Roy Kerridge.

Rastas, it seems, have a ritual called Kyabingi, which involves a great deal of ganja-smoking, and follow Jewish dietary laws, adding some of their own. (This must be very profitable to the kosher food producers.) Kerridge described a typical Rasta pub, full of characters in dreadlocks selling ganja, "Altogether, it was the sort of pub that made you glad to be alive, once you got out of it."

Apparently, the Rastas made a clear profit on their ganja because they can always live on the money freely provided by the local authorities as bribes to black groups, and of course taxation does not enter into it. So it seems that the much-touted "enrichment" which we are supposed to derive from the presence of Negroes is entirely cultural.

Best of all was Kerridge's meeting with the Rasta leader, Jah Bones. Mr. Bones discoursed learnedly on "the intellectual activation of the position of the situation" and on his long conversations with "Lawd" (his own spelling) Scarmen.

Private Eye followed this up with an "Alternative Form of the Lord's Meal for Joint Services with Rastafarians":

And it came to pass in the land of Brixton that there were

honest Rastas sleeping in their beds at night. And lo, the fuzz broke in upon them where they lay, even the forces of Babylon, and they said unto them, "Behold, we are come amongst you in order to find incriminating evidence -- e.g. petrol bombs and the like." And the Rastas were sore afraid. For they had done nothing and did not even have a job. Thanks be to Haile. And now, brothers, we come to the high spot of the evening, the sharing of the holy ganja. (Here the President will light the reefer or joint and pass it among the congregation with the words, "Peace and love, man.")

My own view is that the blacks are less pernicious, at least in the short run, than the other immigrant groups. Their unpredictability and reactive violence must inevitably create chaos in any higher society, and some sort of solution will have to be found if we are to survive.

The chief danger is that the Negroes may act as a lightning rod for the much larger numbers of Bangladeshis and other Subcontinentals.

It is felt that the Asians at least keep their shops open to all hours, as indeed the Jews do on Sundays. But the smelly shops of the Jews and Indians are a small return for all the money salted away in Israel and the Subcontinent.

The good thing about Negroes is that in the future increasing numbers of their victims are going to be middle-class liberals. This will be very sad, but a necessary part of the alienation process.

* * *

Despite all our enemies can do to prevent it, the veil over the past is slowly being lifted. A good example of what I mean is an article by the British M.P. Alan Clark in *The Daily Telegraph* (23/10/82). He describes how General Wavell's small, efficient professional army, which had reconquered East Africa, was broken up on Churchill's orders after El Agheila, parts of it being wasted in the Greek campaign and parts sent to Scotland. Wavell, a civilised soldier whose chief love was poetry (see his anthology, *Other Men's Flowers*, all of which he knew by heart) was "remaindered" to a desk job in India. The result was that when Montgomery came to take over in the desert, he found a rag-bag of units, the commanders of which were often not on speaking terms. On the German side, efficiency was the rule. Clark comments: "In the end, of course, sheer numbers (three to one) wore the Germans down. . . . The North African campaign must take its place as a significant element in the near-suicidal clash of civilised Western peoples."

In addition, as Clark says, Churchill diverted the best weap-



ons and equipment away from the Far East to Egypt, which adversely affected the position of the British in Singapore. There may be something in this, but there is also the fact that the British outnumbered the enemy three to one. I can never forget that Major-General Beckwith-Smith stopped the Japanese advance at the battle of Kamper, only to be ordered

to retreat once more. Even when the Japanese entered Singapore, they were still heavily outnumbered. Percival should have been shot, as Admiral Byng was on his own quarter-deck after failing to engage the French. Re Singapore, Clark quotes Hitler's comment, "So oder so, one cannot help regretting it!"

Primate Watch



For 80 years the bust of Charles O'Connor, a prosecutor who helped break the corrupt Boss Tweed ring in pre-Koch New York, adorned the lobby of the Appellate Division of New York State's Supreme Court. Suddenly in mid-November it was discovered that O'Connor was a "bigot." In 1860 in his argument against a proposed state law freeing black slaves, he declared, "The Negroes, alone and unaided by the guardianship of another race, cannot sustain a civilized social state." By order of presiding **JUDGE FRANCIS MURPHY**, O'Connor's bust has been removed to a dark corner of the basement, where it will no doubt gather dust and ignominy until Judgment Day.

☆ ☆ ☆

MERVIN FIELD, director of the way-out-in-left-field California Poll, happily announced over Los Angeles TV station KNXT on election night, "It's a big win for Tom Bradley to become America's first black governor." The *San Francisco Chronicle* came out with a front-page headline that all but announced a Bradley victory.

The Official Preppy Handbook, written by a Jewish anti-preppy by the name of **LISA BIRNBACH**, was a monument of tastelessness as well as a book-length racial slur of well-heeled Majority youth. Now comes a sequel that out-smears the Birnbach smear. It's called *The I-Hate-Preppies Handbook*, celebrates "jocks, nerds, freaks and greasers," and contains one inspiring illustration captioned, "For Throwing Up During Inter-course." Who authored it? Who else but someone named **RALPH SCHOENSTEIN**. Who published it? Who else but **SIMON AND SCHUSTER**.

☆ ☆ ☆

District Judge **HARVEY FORT** of New Mexico calls himself a "hard-nosed conservative." Yet when **DEBBIE BLACK BARRETT** came before him on charges of shooting her husband, kidnapping, armed robbery and threatening the police with a gun, Fort sentenced her -- to college. That would be good "therapy," he reasoned. The prosecutor was so appalled he announced his resignation.

☆ ☆ ☆

The newest black mass killer may be **JOHN NORRIS HANKS** of California. Convicted in 1967 for stabbing his sister-in-law to death, Hanks was free by 1972. Last summer, he apparently killed six women in the Seattle area, and his life total may be 13 or higher. San Francisco Police Inspector Earl Sanders says lamely, "I have made a career of studying Hanks. Everywhere he goes, women start coming up dead."

☆ ☆ ☆

ROBEN JONES of Kanawha City wrote a fawning poem about black leaders. She was all set to read it at the Black Cultural Festival. But then West Virginia state officials learned she was white and forced her out.

World Population by Race in 1980 (in millions)

Europids	1,017	Negrids	524	Mongoloids	2,717
Europe	694	Africa	442	Asia	2,508
South Africa	5	North America	32	North America	6
North America	190	South America	29	Mexico	65
Mexico	7	Asia	20	Argentina	1
Argentina	26	Europe	1	Brazil	30
Brazil	74			Chile	7
Australasia	17			Other South America	103

Estimated World Population by Race in 2000 (in millions)

Europids	1,059	Negrids	980	Mongoloids	4,405
West Europe	378	Africa	814	Asia	3,991
East Europe	285	North America	42	North & South America	414
Asiatic Russia	24	South America	92		
South Africa	5	Asia	30		
North America	220	Europe	2		
Argentina	28				
Chile	5				
Other South America	12				
Australasia	24				

The above table appeared in *Neue Anthropologie* (April-June 1982). *Instauration* might argue about the large white component allotted to Brazil. Probably two-fifths of the 1980 Europids are Nordic or predominantly Nordic, a fraction that will become significantly smaller by the year 2000.

Primate Watch

Chicago's first Italian-American archbishop, **JOSEPH L. BERNARDIN**, hangs a cut-out picture of "E.T." in his window to remind himself how darn nice aliens can be. At a recent dinner in the Drake Hotel's Gold Coast Room, Bernardin told the city's power elite that poverty simply had to go -- especially the "black, brown, yellow and red" kinds. Why not have an "urban renaissance" instead of decay, he asked. The 500 guests applauded and then settled down to the real business at hand: shrimp cocktail, Caesar salad, Chateaubriand, au gratin potatoes, buttered baby carrots and broccoli, dinner rolls, raspberries sabayon and vintage Cabernet Sauvignon to wash it all down.

☆ ☆ ☆

The Walter F. Mondale Exploratory Committee is now in business. Its goal is to test the political waters for a Mondale for president campaign in 1984. **KEVIN COTTLIEB** is director. **MICHAEL BERMAN** is treasurer. The moneybags for the "Gary Hart for president" boom is **MARVIN DAVIS**, the Jewish billionaire owner of Twentieth-Century Fox.

☆ ☆ ☆

ALLEN LEWIS, the Brooklyn black acquitted in 1979 of pushing an 18-year-old Jewish flautist in front of an oncoming subway train (she lost her right hand, which was reattached) was recently arrested and charged with sexually abusing a woman (race unspecified) in the Grand Central subway station. In regard to the first crime, Lewis, a former CBS mail clerk, is suing New York City for \$2 million, charging false arrest, malicious prosecution and deprivation of his constitutional rights.

HELENE SHAPIRO and **BERNIE LUSTGARTEN** had quite a wedding reception in California a few months ago. The *pièce de résistance* was an ersatz roast suckling pig sculpted out of chopped liver. There were two large tables on which guests were asked to stack their gifts. One had the sign, "\$10-\$100"; the other, "Over \$100."

☆ ☆ ☆

JACK FERTIG, who works at the San Francisco Jewish Community Center, garnered almost 23,000 votes in his unsuccessful race for supervisor in the November San Francisco municipal election. Although a male, **Fertig** dressed up as a mini-skirted nun ("nun of the above," get it?) and called himself Sister Boom Boom. The ambiguous sister raised \$400 for his campaign by "soliciting in the streets, the same way I support my habit." The habit referred to was not nun's apparel, but something white and powdery.

☆ ☆ ☆

Oscar winner **ROBERT DE NIRO** has two families now. And both are black. Wife Diahann Abbot gave him little Raphael. Concubine Helena Springs has given him baby Nina.

☆ ☆ ☆

John M. Fitzgerald, a father of four, was driving in the Boston area when **ARNOLD GABBIDON**, a Rastafarian cultist from Jamaica, pulled alongside him and blasted away with a .45-caliber rifle. Fitzgerald is now paralyzed for life from the waist down. Gabbidon later told his friend Errol Mohammed, from Trinidad, that the time was right for revolution and "this is the best way to get back at white people."

DON McEVoy is editorial writer for the *Afro-American*, the nation's biggest black newspaper. Recently, he admitted, "Those who predict that Caucasians in America are eventually going to become a numerical minority are correct . . . It is only a matter of time before this particular patch of earth [meaning America] will reflect the racial composition of the world's majority colored population."

☆ ☆ ☆

Every big newspaper in America carried the story of the student who hurled a Molotov cocktail into a University of Michigan dormitory and then shotgunned two white students to death as they fled. Few papers if any provided details -- for the usual reason. **LEO KELLY**, 23, the black killer, was deeply frustrated by his repeated failure to qualify for medical school. Victim Edward Siwik, on the other hand, was a star athlete, "handsome and intelligent, personified the ideal pre-medical student and this stimulated jealousy . . . and violent hatred in Kelly," according to one psychiatrist. Kelly showed no remorse for the double slaying, was sentenced to life in prison, and could be paroled in seven years.

☆ ☆ ☆

In 1976, **THEODORE McFARLIN** became South Carolina's first black sheriff since (the first) Reconstruction. He had no prior experience, yet liberals were delighted. Now McFarlin stands indicted on numerous charges for having run the Williamsburg County jail like a zoo. Last August 1, for example, 16 or 17 drunken black prisoners allegedly held a kangaroo court for three white prisoners, beating or sexually assaulting them for six hours. Two black jailers ignored their screams for help. Governor Dick Riley has named another black as McFarlin's replacement.

Talking Numbers

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

In an effort to lighten up the student body, nearly all-black Virginia State University mailed 4,570 come-on letters to white prospects. There were 39 replies, and one white actually enrolled.

#

The federal government is forced to hand out from \$8 to \$18 billion per annum to U.S. unemployed workers displaced by illegal aliens. In California an estimated 35% of illegal aliens receive unemployment benefits.

#

Three in every 10 American households were victimized by crimes of violence or theft in 1981, the Department of Justice reports.

106 of the 441 richest Americans (families or individuals) listed in *Forbes* magazine (Sept. 1982) can be identified as Jewish. So the "less than 3%" account for 24% of the American super-rich. If unidentified Jews (there may be a few such or there may be more than a few) are added, the figure might rise to 30%. The *Forbes* "400" were all worth more than \$100 million.

#

Some 10 million Americans snort cocaine with some regularity. Around 40 metric tons of coke gets into the country each year. Street sales probably amount to \$2.5 billion per month. One snort (1/10th of a gram) costs about \$12 and provides a 15-20 minute "high." At Hollywood parties cokers sniff every half hour.

Bangladeshi illegal aliens were caught in Florida after disembarking from a 30-foot motorboat. The present population of Bangladesh is 93.3 million and, at the country's present breeding rate, doubling every 25 years.

#

170.8 million TV sets are now busy animating more than that number of Americans.

#

The Ford Foundation (assets, \$2.8 billion) is now allocating more than half its budget to rural and urban poverty. The remainder goes to human rights, social justice, education and culture. Money for university research and support of the arts (except for minority projects) has been sharply reduced. The \$135,000 salary of Ford's black president, Franklin Thomas, has not been reduced.

Talking Numbers

0 4 5 9 7 2
1 7 11 8 7 38

A Roper Poll (March 1981) asked which immigrant groups had been a "good thing" or a "bad thing" for the country. 66% of the respondents said the English had been good, 61% the Irish, 59% Jews, 57% Germans, 56% Italians, 53% Poles, 47% Japanese, 46% blacks, 44% Chinese, 26% Mexicans, 24% Koreans, 20% Vietnamese, 17% Puerto Ricans, 10% Haitians, 9% Cubans. The "bad" immigrant groups ran from Cubans (59%), Puerto Ricans (43%), Haitians (39%) to English (6%). In spite of almost three-quarters of a century of virulent anti-German propaganda, only 11% of those responding considered Germans a "bad thing" for the country.

#

With one two-year interruption, the 2¢ stamp for a first-class letter endured from 1885 to 1932. Then it went to 3¢, in 1958 to 4¢, in 1963 to 5¢, in 1968 6¢, 1971 8¢, 1974 10¢, 1975 13¢, 1978 15¢, March 1981 18¢, November 1981 20¢.

#

Rabbi Sanford Seltzer says that "in excess of 7,000 people a year are being converted to Judaism" in Boston.

#

An editorial in the *San Francisco Chronicle* (May 17, 1982) declared that "an enormous percentage of voters who asked for the bilingual ballot are not entitled to have it. About one-fourth . . . were found to be non-citizens and illegally registered to vote."

#

Denmark is the best place in the world to live, says a University of Pennsylvania research director. The U.S. is 41st on his list of 107 nations. The top 11 countries are either in Northern Europe or Australasia (Australia and New Zealand).

#

There are only 3 blacks in Brazil's lower house, 1 in the Upper House. In the University of Sao Paulo only 2% of the students are black. The Japanese, comprising 1% of Brazil's population, account for 30% of the Sao Paulo U. student body.

#

A house similar to one that costs \$235,000 in San Francisco, will set you back \$58,000 in Buffalo.

#

The Michigan Civil Rights Commission ordered a landlord to pay \$400,000 to a white female tenant he evicted for rent-dodging and for allegedly living with a black.

At the height of the Israeli air blitz, while Begin was thumbing his nose at Reagan's request for no more Jewish settlements on the West Bank, the House Appropriations Subcommittee, in a "closed-door" session, voted to increase military grants to Israel by \$50 million in fiscal 1983.

#

3,149 Political Action Committees were operating in mid-1982 and had already raised \$138 million.

#

Norway (pop. 4.9 million), with only 13,300 Asians (half of them Turks) and 2,448 Africans, has fewer immigrants than any other Northern European nation, except Iceland. Another way of saying this is that Norway and Iceland are the only two Northern European nations attempting to preserve their racial identity.

#

If you don't want to be murdered, stay away from Miami, St. Louis and Newark, where the homicide rates are 61, 58 and 49 per 100,000. If you don't want to be robbed, bypass Newark, Miami and Boston, whose citizens are being ripped off at the rate of 2,362, 1,786 and 1,643 per 100,000.

#

Lt. Roscoe Robinson Jr., presently the commander of U.S. troops in Japan, will become the Army's first black four-star general. He has been named by Reagan to be the U.S. representative to NATO's Military Committee. The only previous four-star black general, the late Daniel (Chappie) James Jr., was in the Air Force.

#

In 1975, 35 countries (including all the Western nations) voted against the UN resolution equating Zionism with racism. In 1979, only 4 countries (the U.S., Canada, Australia and Israel) voted against it. The Western European countries abstained from voting in 1979.

In 1975 only 2 Latin American nations (Mexico and Brazil) voted for the resolution. In 1979, all the Latin American countries voted for the resolution, except for 4 abstentions.

In 1975 half of Black Africa refused to support the resolution, with 5 states actually voting against it. In 1979, Black Africa supported the resolution.

#

A New Jersey welfare mother who hit a \$919,559 jackpot in an Atlantic City casino explained that her gambling money came from her boyfriend. She did agree however, to repay the state welfare agency \$2,000.

25% of those who sweated out the West Beirut siege were Christians.

#

In a poll of ethnic groups conducted by a Houston firm, 57% of Italians and Poles designated themselves "conservative" or "somewhat conservative." 52% of Hispanics, 44.5% of Irish and 35% of Jews also so labeled themselves.

#

An Illinois judge awarded six Chicago lawyers \$3.3 million for 7,300 hours of work on a complicated workmen's compensation case. This comes to roughly \$460 per hour for each shyster.

#

It is estimated that it will cost \$19.8 million to care for 7-year-old Gregory Osterman for the next 70 years. So far his parents have won a \$7 million malpractice suit against Chicago's Lutheran General Hospital, where Gregory suffered irreversible brain damage during a botched hernia operation.

#

Federal funding for black colleges is expected to top \$546 million in fiscal 1982, an increase of 4.4% over fiscal 1981. The average federal grant to black colleges is \$448,000, compared to \$260,000 for white colleges.

#

SAT scores rose slightly in 1981 for the first time in 19 years. White average: Verbal 442, Math 483. Black average: Verbal 332, Math 362. American Indian: 391,425. Mexican American: 373, 415. Asian and Pacific Island American: 397, 513. Mainland Puerto Rican: 361, 396. Males: 430, 492. Females: 418, 443.

#


Iowa, North Dakota and South Dakota were, respectively, first, second and third in the 1980 state averages for SAT tests. These states have few blacks. North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina were, respectively, 48th, 49th and 50th. These states have very many blacks. The rank order comes as no surprise to Instaurationists.

#

The "high-impact" media are religiously for women's rights and are religiously against sexism. Yet only 1 woman is to be found in the top 24 positions at *Time* magazine. In the top 16 *Newsweek* jobs, 3 women. *New Republic* (top 6), 1; *New York Times* (top 16), 2; *Washington Post* (top 17), 3.

#

West Germany now has the world's lowest birthrate: 10 per 1,000 inhabitants. Kenya has the highest: 53. Icelanders have the longest life expectancy; Ethiopians the shortest.



I once spent a long, hot summer attending an English lit class at a Southern college. The class was small, and the professor and all the students except me were from all over the South. We were talking about a Southern writer (I think it was William Styron), when the professor suddenly interjected: "When I was growing up in North Carolina you just weren't a man until you made it with a black woman. A lot of fathers used to take their virgin son to some old black biddy to get him initiated. Often it turned out badly, and many Southern men have been scarred for life by that first experience."

The male students broke in to say it was still that way. But times had greatly changed in regard to the onetime pristine behavior of Southern females. One young man said he'd just been to Atlanta and had seen "really good-looking chicks with big, black duds." He couldn't understand it.

A beautiful, slender Southern girl said she could. "For centuries," she explained, "white Southern men have gone down to 'nigger town' to mess with the black women. Southern girls had always considered this very humiliating to their mothers, who had learned to accept it without any loud complaints. Today, however, their daughters have become tired of being chained to the same old pedestal of respect and neglect. Nothing could humiliate a white father more than his daughter making it with a black. Yet she was doing nothing worse or more degrading than her fathers and brothers had done."

Another Southern girl told me that she enjoyed taking black males away from black women because the latter "have always been the 'other women.'" It just kills them to have a white girl steal *their* men so easily."

An older student, who'd married a well-educated Mexican and was renewing her studies after a hiatus of ten years, said she married him because she had had a lot of bad experiences with white boys. "So I turned to Indians." She lived to regret it. But by that time she had had two children.

I have a friend who married a man of Slavic descent, with a degree from a good college. She tells me she made a big mistake. She says that if she had it to do over again she'd marry a WASP. My friend is blonde, blue-eyed and slender, while her husband is barrel-chested, broad-shouldered with short legs, and a head that seems

to sprout out of his shoulders without the help of a neck. Her first daughter looks like her. The two others look like him. Though blond and light-complexioned, they are not all that attractive. Sometimes, with their almost lidless eyes, they look like bleached Orientals.

One day several women were gathered on the lawn outside my friend's house. One had been a beauty contest winner; another a runner-up; another an airline stewardess and a fashion consultant. Two others were very cute. All loved their husbands. But a local doctor walked by and we all swooned. He was very tall, slender, blond, blue-eyed and very British-looking. "I know that we all love our husbands and that we wouldn't want to hurt them," said my friend, shifting her obese baby from one hip to the other, "but don't you wish we could all have his children? Think how beautiful they'd look!" We all sighed, even though we had married blond husbands. Clearly, being blond is not enough. It helps to have a thin nose, good bone structure, long legs, slim hips, a narrow chest, slim waist and normal ears.

It might be well to stop here and point out that really beautiful women who have been spoiled and pampered from earliest childhood often marry men who are less attractive. Men not noted for being handsome are willing to tell the woman how beautiful she is, and how lucky he is. When he himself is good-looking, he might want to be the admired rather than the admirer. So he may look for a spouse whose looks won't rival his.

As we all know, women and men considered unattractive by members of their own racial group are often very attractive to members of other racial groups. I know one blonde, white-skinned, blue-eyed German woman who was rather plain. Men didn't date her, and she wanted children. Then a Puerto Rican doctor came along and told her that she was the most beautiful creature he'd ever seen. She married him posthaste.

I have a Scottish friend who has black hair and fetching dark eyes. Her parents went to India and left her in an English boarding school. Most of the other girls, who were very Nordic in appearance, made fun of her Scottish accent and her dark coloration. As a result of this "hazing," she finally came to

look at herself as tar-brushed and decided that her mission in life was to defend minorities. She ended up marrying a blond Puerto Rican!

Many a male who reads *Instauration* has at one time or another probably dated a minority female -- black, Asian, Indian, Oriental, Hispanic or Jewess. But he might not want any of his friends or associates to know too much about it. He would not be likely to take her home to meet his parents.

Probably half the women who read *Instauration* have, at least once, gone out with a "strange" man. Why? I think it goes back to what was said earlier. It's humiliating to a white woman to fall in love with a man and then find out that he has done or is doing something she considers to be filthy and disgusting with some nonwhite female. It's even more disgusting if her father did it behind her mother's back. At any rate, the aggrieved woman can react in several ways. She can hate men. She can break her engagement or get a divorce. Or she can get even -- and never tell her boyfriend, her husband or father that she got even.

All too often Majority males and females are, at first meeting, fascinated with minorityites. Then something happens. The minorityite wants to marry the Majority member, while the latter doesn't want anyone to know he or she even knows the minorityite. At this point the Majority member probably feels disgusted, is taking ten baths a day, and swallowing penicillin and getting secret blood tests and praying that he or she has, nothing that can't be treated.

And yet at moments it's satisfying to have a deep, dark secret. Mixing it up, for example, under a full moon on top of a tombstone at midnight. Nothing is more tempting than that which is forbidden.

Finally, I might say that a black-haired, dark-eyed white woman is hated more by minority women than are her blonde and red-haired sisters. A black-haired white woman might look very much like an Indian or a Mexican. But a man who would not take an Oriental, Hispanic or Jewess home, will and does take a black-haired white woman home. She's borderline -- forbidden -- not quite safe and pure -- a little wicked. He often marries her.

Ponderable Quote

Muhammad's relationship with the Jews is important . . . At first sympathetic, the Jews turned against Muhammad when he claimed to have a fresh revelation and to be the prophet for the present age. This they would not accept . . . When the attacks from Mecca began Muhammad suspected some of the Jews of treachery. One clan he expelled, and of another, the Qurayzah, 600 men were massacred and the women and children enslaved by Muhammad's followers. It is not to excuse this drastic deed but to place it in its setting, to remark that David had been as harsh with the Moabites (II Samuel, 8).

Geoffrey Parrinder
The World's Living Religions

Elsewhere



Britain. John Tyndall's new National Party is making some progress. On October 16 it held a rousing rally in London with 300 people in attendance, some from as far away as Plymouth and Glasgow. There were speeches, a film and a silent two-minute memorial to the Brits who fell in the reconquest of the Falklands.

Most important, perhaps, was the singing of three old marching songs, with new lyrics written expressly for the occasion. History seems to prove that the effectiveness of any movement can be measured by its music or lack thereof. No music; no action. If the party's goals are not exciting enough to capture the imagination of an intelligent composer, they are not likely to be exciting enough to capture the minds of a sizable number of dedicated followers.

When the National Party reaches the point where its songs have both original words and music, then it may really be on its way. The same may be said for any American Majority movement, all of which are presently quite musicless and therefore quite quiescent.

* * *

The elitist and segregationist attitudes in today's British Army are markedly different from those of the U.S. Army. British regiments still hail from local settings like Lancashire, Cornwall and East Anglia. Many have histories dating back hundreds of years and a correspondingly high *esprit de corps*. Ethnic and regional segregation is also the rule in most other NATO armies, not to mention those of the Communist bloc. The American soldier is almost unique in being a lone individual among other lone individuals. This is the single most important reason for the prevalence of "pot" and hard drugs among American troops in Europe, according to studies made by the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research in Washington and the U.S. Army Medical Research Unit in Heidelberg, West Germany.

* * *

Consider the fate of the 221 British seamen who served aboard the requisitioned passenger liners *Canberra* and *Uganda* in the Falklands battle zone. Upon returning to England, they learned that the ships' owner, the P & O shipping group, was replacing them with Asian Indian workers -- at a quarter the pay! A spokesman for the British National Union of Seamen said, "It is tragic that men who have risked their lives and been hailed as heroes by the prime minister should return home to find themselves on the quayside without jobs."

* * *

Contrary to a headline in the June 3 issue of the *Jewish Sentinel* (Chicago), there was not a Jewish unit in the Falklands War. The

headline stated, "300 Jews in Britain's Falklands task force." Those readers who read on discovered:

There are about 300 Jewish members of Britain's regular armed forces but only one of them, a Royal Navy officer, is known to be serving in the Falkland Islands task force.

Netherlands. It took 200 policemen to escort Hans Janmaat to his seat in the Dutch Parliament. Thousands of Communists, Jews and nonwhite aliens screamed abuse in the streets outside as he took his rightful seat. Janmaat belongs to the new Centrum Party, whose platform demands that foreign workers and their families be sent packing.

West Germany. Jürgen Rieger, a Hamburg attorney, caused a sensation in Germany last year when he asserted that in

World War II the Warsaw ghetto had been established by the Germans as a precaution against the spread of typhus. In a land where arguing against the Jewish version of modern history is often a criminal offense, it wasn't long before Rieger was brought into court. But to everyone's surprise, a Hamburg tribunal acquitted Rieger by stating, "This thesis is perhaps historically doubtful, but in itself is not injurious."

Jewish organizations were quick to attack the ruling, because it opened the door for removing penalties for entertaining even more dangerous ideas. The Jews' worst fears were realized when another German attorney, Eberhard Engelhardt, obtained a ruling from another German court to the effect that questioning the existence of gas chambers was no longer a punishable offense. The decision clashes with earlier rulings that have already sent some Germans to jail and subjected others to heavy fines. While the ruling does not release those already incarcerated for the offense, it does indicate a certain surge of independence in the Ger-



In Sweden anyone 18 and over, who has lived in the country for three years and registers, can vote in municipal and city council elections. Shown above are appeals in 13 languages urging immigrants to vote in the most recent elections. Immigrants, by the way, can vote for other immigrants and elect them to office. About 90% of Swedish citizens vote in elections; about 50% of the qualified non-citizens.



man judiciary, which has long been swallowing the Jewish dogma hook, line and sinker in matters pertaining to the Holocaust.

The German government, however, is another kettle of fish. One of the last acts of Helmut Schmidt's cabinet was to approve a law that would outlaw "the minimalization or questioning of genocide atrocities committed in the era of the Third Reich." It remains to be seen if the new German parliament, with its Christian Democratic majority, approves this frontal attack on freedom of expression in a country which claims to be a democracy.

Meanwhile, Thies Christophersen remains a fugitive from "German justice," the kind of "justice" that sentences a man to 18 months in prison for writing a book, *Auschwitz*. As a member of a German agricultural team, Christophersen was at Auschwitz at the very time the gas chambers were supposed to be working overtime. Though he had the run of the camp, he saw not one gas chamber.

* * *

Norman Spinrad is a popular Jewish writer of science fiction, best known for his 1972 novel *The Iron Dream*. In it Spinrad, currently the president of the Science Fiction Writers of America, posed the question: What if Adolf Hitler had emigrated to the United States and become a science fiction writer? His answer was a book within his book, entitled "Lord of the Swastika." The French translation of *The Iron Dream* won the prestigious Priz Apollo, but the new German translation has been blacklisted by the Ministry of Education and Culture in Bonn. Though Spinrad's work is decidedly anti-National Socialist, the Ministry fears young people will not get the message.

The Bonn censors may soon be working overtime. The sale of Nazi memorabilia has long been banned, but, if a Justice Ministry commission has its way, their import will also be *verboten*. On a more serious note, Section 140 of the criminal code ("Rewarding and Condoning Crimes") may be extended to provide for the persecution of scholars who publicly question or deny the Six Million dogma. These two proposals have already been tabled in the Bundestag in a draft bill.

Forbidden or not, thousands of West German teenagers are somnambulant dancing "the Hitler" and "the Mussolini." Teutonic Punk's riding high in the headlines. Some observers say the "harsh, guttural" German language (it isn't) lends itself to the tough, strident style of punk music. Also, dancing to punk music only requires bobbing up and down like a zombie, a relief to those Germans who are sick and tired of pretending they possess Negroid or Latin rhythms.

Last summer's biggest punk hit was "Da

Da Da." These inspiring words were repeated for almost five minutes, except there the **band interjected**, "Ich liebe dich nicht, Du liebst mich nicht." An English version climbed to fourth place on the British pop charts.

Teutonic Punk echoes the "No Future" slogan which appears on walls throughout the despairing country. Its bands have names like Deutsche Amerikanische Freundschaft (German-American Friendship) and Das Wirtschaftswunder (The Economic Miracle) which mock West Germany's spiritual malaise. Some German punkers don swastikas and other Nazi regalia in the best British punk tradition.

German despair is easy to comprehend. Entire districts of West Berlin, containing hundreds of thousands of people, are almost devoid of young Germans. Turkish children pervade the scene. Nor do the Germans want these aliens. A recent unpublished survey by Burkhard Hirsch, the Interior Minister for industrial North Rhine-Westphalia, showed that only 3% of Germans there would accept a Turk as a son-in-law. Thirty-eight percent of the respondents desired that even those Turks born in Germany be sent home without delay.

But Germans dare not act on many of their wishes because someone is always "monitoring" them, as a *New York Times* reporter delicately puts it. The Israelis took loud offense when the West German press used words and phrases like "holocaust," "war of extermination," "final solution" and "Warsaw ghetto" in its coverage of the encirclement of Palestinians in West Beirut. "Exterminators and sons of exterminators" should not use such language, said Menahem Begin. But some German papers daringly turned the tables by calling Begin's own comparison of Yasser Arafat to Hitler "monstrous."

Vatican City. Don't expect eugenics pioneer Robert K. Graham to receive an audience with the pope anytime soon. According to the Vatican's official newspaper, *L'Osservatore Romano*,

Infanticide, eugenic abortions, the practices of alchemists, magicians and charlatans, the Nazi experiments . . . are the historical and cultural precedents [of Graham's sperm bank] . . . It could be just as [likely] to give birth to retarded people, the sick and the handicapped as to geniuses and supermen.

Speaking of charlatans, Muhammad Ali was given an audience with John Paul II on a recent trip to Italy.

I told the Pope that he ought to take all the white statues out of the churches. All this is heavy. I hope the Pope will come out and do this. You know how it says in the Bible, in Exodus 20, chapter 4, they shall not make any graven images. All the

white statues are wrong, they're graven images. The Pope wants me to come back and discuss that.

Supposedly, John Paul II is a "scholar" among popes, a man who speaks several languages and knows some European history. One hopes he has grasped the simple idea that the Europeans remade a racially alien Christianity "in their own image." As Jefferson Butler Fletcher put it in *The Religion of Beauty in Woman*, "From Fra Lippo to Titian, Italian religious art is mostly a vision of fair women, labeled saints, madonnas, what you will, but conceived and valued as fair women." We emphasize, "conceived and valued as fair women" -- that is, by the ancient European ethical standard of good (fit, capable) versus bad (unfit, incapable), and *not* by the Levantine standard of good ("holy," abstraction-worshipping) versus evil (quality-oriented).

Alas, the racial type of Fra Lippo and Titian, both the artists and their models, has nearly vanished from Italy and most of the urban West. The neo-Levantines encountered on every street corner in Paris, London and New York are demanding a religion fit for them. Since it wouldn't do to put Barbra Streisand, Jesse Jackson or even E.T. in stained-glass, official Christianity -- along with other "Western" institutions -- is moving rapidly toward regarding a Devotion to (easily manipulated) Intangibles (like "Justice" and "Equality") as the summit of human perfection. Sperm banker Graham and his followers, on the contrary, speak of "sculpting with genes." The pope, who is conversant with world population trends, and knows where his Vatican bread is buttered, would clearly rather meet with a boorish black Muslim art-purger than confront a latter-day Michelangelo of DNA.

Russia. Ever since he was appointed head of the KGB 15 years ago, racialists have been looking askance at Yuri Andropov. Now that he is the new Red czar, the looks and doubts have multiplied. There is something about his face, some troubling expression, something disharmonic, something possibly -- perish the thought! -- Semitic!

A UPI story from Moscow during Brezhnev's funeral may help clear up the mystery. Although most media reports called him an ethnic Russian, UPI said he was "partly of Armenian ancestry." Since he is over six feet tall, since he claims to be the son of a railroad worker and since his latest photos don't look as disconcerting as his earlier ones, it's probably time for racialists to stop losing sleep. It also seems to be pretty well established that Andropov has the backing of the Red Army, which no longer takes kindly to Jews.

On the other hand, a recent dispatch from the Moscow correspondent of the *Washington Post* stated, "One of Andropov's grandparents was of Jewish origin," and the *London Times* reported that his mother's family was "almost certainly Jewish," and that Yuri spoke Yiddish. The *London Jewish Chronicle*

cle expressly denied these allegations and said they were part of a disinformation campaign disseminated by Andropov's enemies. Andropov, the *Jewish Chronicle* added, was born in a part of the northern Caucasus that was practically *Judenrein*.

If Andropov is in fact part-Jewish and is "consciously Jewish," it would certainly be fair to ask why, as head of the KGB for so many years, he had so many Jewish dissidents arrested, why he let so many others emigrate to Israel and the West, and why he so warmly shook the hand of Yasser Arafat on the occasion of the PLO leader's visit to Moscow.

Second in command of the Andropov administration is supposed to be Konstantin Chernenko, who is described as an ethnic Russian, in spite of his Ukrainian name. Chernenko has the broad face and slightly slanted eyes of the typical Russian muzhik.

As far as can be ascertained, the rest of the Politburo (it presently has 12 members) is stacked with Great Russians or at least Slavs. We can't say as much for the members' wives, since few Westerners have ever had the pleasure of meeting them. Many veteran Reds had already married Jewesses before Stalin let his anti-Semitism become known to Party insiders -- among them Molotov, Andrei Andreyev, a Politburo member, Marshal Voroshilov and onetime Soviet president Nikolai Podgorny. Brezhnev managed to keep his mate, although he spent his later years in the company of young, on-the-make Russian secretaries and airline stewardesses. He even brought along his blonde, Playboyesque "masseuse" to Camp David during a Nixon summit.

Molotov, however, was not so lucky. His Jewish wife was given the Siberian treatment by Stalin, though it did not seem to affect Comrade Hammer's devotion and loyalty to Comrade Steel.

* * *

For the past 20 years, the Soviet leadership has promoted a doctrine of total war against the West. It believes that historic necessity requires the Communist bloc to practically annihilate the capitalist nations, occupy and enslave their remnant and institute a global imperialism. The element of surprise and timing will provide the margin of victory.

Members of the John Birch Society used to present scenarios like the preceding, and got themselves dismissed as paranoid cranks. However, "The Soviet Doctrine of Total War" did not appear in Robert Welch's *American Opinion* magazine, but in the December 1981 issue of *Midstream*, a leading Jewish publication. Its author was Oded Yinon, who once worked for the Israeli Information Ministry and now lectures and writes on Middle Eastern affairs. Yinon cannot be summarily dismissed as a denizen of the (America First) lunatic fringe because, after recounting the horrors awaiting the West, he ends on an utterly unfringe note: *what it all means for Mother Israel*.

The first phase of total war, according to

Yinon, would be a massive strike against the West's missile bases, nuclear bombers and nuclear submarines (which happen to be centers of non-Jewish power). Largely spared would be the great population centers (which happen to be centers of Jewish power). Phase two would involve conventional warfare. Key strategic areas "near the continental United States and along the west and east coasts" would be occupied. (The coasts happen to be minority bastions.) This limited occupation would pose few problems because "the Soviets have attained absolute superiority in means of conventional warfare." America could not hope to defend its own territory against the two thousand or more divisions which Moscow would mobilize within six weeks. This gigantic reserve of about 25 million troops "makes a mockery of the comparison charts published so frequently by institutes of strategy in the West." Unlike the situation in World Wars I and II, the United States would have neither the time nor the ability to mobilize its people and resources. The Soviets, however, would be well-drilled in striking at the West, for the painfully simple reason that that is precisely what they have been learning to do in 7,000 military academies ever since the beginning of the Brezhnev era.

Phase three, the phase of physical conquest, "will be accomplished under circumstances of anarchy and total collapse both in America and Western Europe. As a result, shock, chaos, and confusion will have a share in the attainment of Soviet aims." Police and security units will accompany the masses of troops, writes Yinon:

In declarations that have been transmitted to the West, but against which Western embassies in Moscow did not take the trouble to protest, the leaders of the USSR, particularly [former] KGB Chief Yuri Andropov, have openly spoken of Communist rule in the U.S. and in Europe under a brutal and merciless Soviet conquest in which these countries will be enslaved. The inhabitants and the economies of the defeated countries will be plundered by harsh measures of terror and mass intimidation and will be controlled by the Soviets in accordance with all the historical experiences they have accumulated in their own land.

Needless to add, a "divide and conquer" strategy will be used among the West's various racial and ethnic groups. "The leading population strata of the conquered countries will be physically liquidated, while another segment of the population will be organized as a leadership of Soviet collaborators."

According to Yinon, "Andropov actually named three elite groups in the United States, each of which will have to be dealt with in a different manner." The anti-Soviet hawks (who include a far larger Jewish contingent now than in the past) would be "finished off immediately." Collaborators, those "who lack ethics and conscience,"

would be treated well. As for scientists, economists and industrial technologists, they would be "used . . . and then disposed of like the hawks."

Phase four would involve shuffling populations around and transferring industry from the West to Russia. The fifth and final phase would be the setting up of Communist puppet regimes in the Western countries, as in Eastern Europe after World War II.

While the Soviets would direct their main thrusts at the American heartland, such places as Norway and Denmark, Greece and Turkey would be key objectives "solely because of their geographical position." The claimed neutrality of countries like Sweden and Switzerland would be ignored in the coming war, supposedly because of their material wealth. Southern Europe, on the other hand, would not be "relevant to such efforts." Israel, too, will be seen as unimportant:

The kind of future Israel would face in a world taken over by the Soviet conception is not as clear and unambiguous as the fate that would befall the Western powers, which are regarded as primary, not secondary, enemies. Israel's participation in the Western alliance in the first phase would not make Israel's situation any better because the West is weak. Therefore Israel must see to its own survival without any additional involvement in the "strength" of Western survival in which it does not have a real share to this day.

Or, in plainer language, you may have scratched my back but I'll be damned if I'll scratch yours.

Yinon's analysis ends on a particularly questionable point. "The chances of Israel's survival," he writes, "are greater today than the chances of most of the Western powers . . ." Furthermore, "it is Israel's own strength and its own forces that will protect it . . ."

This sounds like General Sharon's claim that Israel is virtually the third greatest military power on earth. It would seem that too many years of fighting Arabs and taking American aid for granted have left some Israelis complacent, in the same manner that too many wars fought on other countries' soil and too many years of peace with the Soviet Union have left nearly all Americans feeling smug. We had best keep one ear permanently cocked toward the Birchers, the Solzhenitsyns, the Oded Yinons, and anyone else who warns of Soviet might.

* * *

On the other hand, we must not ignore reports of Soviet weakness. A recent example is Robert A. Heinlein's latest book, *Expanded Universe* (Ace paperback, \$3.50). The retired naval officer and engineer found the reality of modern Russia stranger than the science fiction for which he is best known. The Soviet Intourist agency determined exactly where Heinlein could go, as it does for all visitors, and that wasn't very far. Still, drunks passed out in public places



were the most familiar sight. Take a picture of a drunk, however, or of shoddy housing, and you find your film exposed to light and re-spooled while you are out of your hotel room. Someone saw you snap that unflattering picture. And should you make a point of keeping your film with you at all times, you will run a serious risk of being arrested as a spy. The censors must be given a chance to exercise their rights and fill their quotas.

Heinlein, who spent two years mastering Russian for his trip, found the country remarkably backward technologically. On the basis of his limited personal prying, he calculated that the population of Moscow (and perhaps the rest of Russia as well) has been greatly exaggerated by the Soviets. If true, this would mean that communism is literally killing off the Russian people.

According to the *New York Times*, Soviet economists privately concede that Russia may be in for ten to twenty years of minimal economic growth and perhaps even some shrinkage. Paradoxically, such internal weakness could make it all the more dangerous to the outside world. The hungry lion is the fightingest lion.

Israel. The generally accepted reason why Israel wasted Lebanon is that Begin wanted to eliminate Palestinian rocket and artillery attacks on Israel's northern settlements. Hence the Orwellian name, "Operation Peace for Galilee," which at first was supposed to stop 20 miles into Lebanon, then to stop before Beirut, then to stop after Beirut. At this writing the "Operation" is still going on in the east and even to the north-east of Beirut.

It so happens that no PLO shells or rockets fell on northern Israel for nine months preceding Begin's blitz -- except one or two wild shots in answer to an Israeli air raid on Beirut. Not one Israeli was killed. Arafat's strategy was to eschew all political violence to gain more respectability and backing in the UN and the councils of Western governments.

So, forgetting the false pretext, what was the real reason for the invasion? Some Zionologists speculate that Begin wanted to destroy the PLO as a political as well as a military force because the time is coming when Israel will have to give some measure of support to Palestinian autonomy on the West Bank and Gaza in line with Camp David. As long as the PLO is in being, Begin knew he would have great difficulty setting up a puppet state on the order of Poland or Romania.

There is something to be said for this explanation, but it still misses the mark. *Instauration* believes that the main cause of the Lebanese invasion can be found in the character and temperament of Begin, Sharon and other members of the Israeli old boy terrorist network. Only the Western media and Western governments are naive enough

to believe or venal enough to pretend to believe that men who spent a great deal of their lives planning and committing violence and mayhem can metamorphize into statesmen. Can a vampire bat switch from blood to milk? Can a tiger give up hunting for grazing? The men who got their kicks out of hanging British soldiers, blowing up crowded hotels, wiping out Arab villages, shooting down a commercial jet full of Arab women and children, pirating uranium on the high seas -- can such men ever feel happy and content in an atmosphere of serenity and calm and give their full attention to the dreary routine of day-to-day governing?

These men have smelled blood most of their years, and they still have a nose for it. Impelled by the itch of violence, addicted to the terrorist tempo, they go on playing the same game of death and destruction they have always played. Peace, order, statesmanship, the rule of law -- all are just too bloody dull and soporific.

For the Begins and Sharons to sleep soundly at night, crisis after crisis has to be manufactured, Phantom jets have to take to the skies, people have to be driven out of their homes and hunted down in refugee camps. As long as a single Palestinian is alive anywhere, there will always be a target and an excuse for more shower baths of napalm and cluster bombs.

The Middle East is in an uproar. It will remain in an uproar as long as there is an Israel. Begin is noted for quoting the Old Testament at the drop of a yarmulke. But what is the Old Testament if not a book of terror, a blood-curdling account of early edition genocides of ancient Palestinians by ancient Jews? Indeed, isn't Yahweh himself the supreme terrorist? It was he, remember, who directed the killing of the first-born in every Egyptian family, for which the Jews still adore him. Indeed, they have made the commemoration of this grisly event their chief religious holiday.

* * *

There are those who solemnly swear that Israel had no part in the Shatila and Sabra massacres -- and there are those who solemnly swear that Israel was deeply engaged in both bloodbaths. The case of the latter group was bolstered by eyewitness reports that have belatedly filtered out of the Middle East and filtered into a few American newspapers.

Item: Six people told Douglas Watson, a *Baltimore Sun* reporter, that they saw an Israeli tank sporadically firing directly into the Shatila camp in the first night of the massacre.

Item: A number of Lebanese Jews have been serving in the shoot-refugees-on-sight Christian Militia, according to a report from Orthodox Jews in Beirut.

Item: Jim Taylor, the author of *Pearl Har-*

bor II, reported after a personal visit to Major Sa'ad Haadad's territory in Southern Lebanon that Israeli soldiers comprise part of his mercenary troops. Many witnesses have said that Haadad's men took part in the butchery at Shatila and Sabra.

Algeria. "The Zionist state by its internal logic presupposes economic, political and cultural control over the entire [Mideast] region. For us this is synonymous with sterilization and a loss of identity that no self-respecting Arab could accept." These bitter words were uttered by former Algerian president Ahmed Ben Bella in a recent interview with the French periodical *Politique Internationale*. Ben Bella, who was imprisoned and held under house arrest for 14 years by Houari Boumedienne, insisted that Arabs will never accept Zionism.

"Even if Palestinians are forced to accept some kind of solution, the Arabs in general will never accept the state of Israel," said Ben Bella.

True, individual Arab leaders would always be prepared to go to Jerusalem and make some form of peace, but other Moslems would invariably arise "to liquidate traitors." And once Arabs had the Bomb, Israel's downfall would only be a matter of time:

We are constantly told: Watch out, the Israelis have nuclear weapons, they will blow up everything. They have a Masada complex . . . Well, I'll tell you what I really think: if there is no other solution, let the nuclear war take place and let us be finished with it once and for all.

South Africa. Last year, in Vancouver, British Columbia, hundreds of Third World immigrants and radicals clashed violently on two occasions. The issue: whose brand of "anti-racism" was best.

Last summer, in University Park, Texas, three people were stabbed and policemen were pelted with rocks and bottles when a miniature Iranian civil war erupted at the Southern Methodist University student center. About 50 to 75 Khomeini supporters had attacked an anti-Khomeini faction twice as large. And, days later, the once-white University of Witwatersrand in South Africa was the scene of a brawl between 500 black and Moslem backers of the PLO and 1,000 Jewish students.

A black Christian minister had inflamed Jewish feelings by addressing the Black Students Society and the Moslem Students Association and saying things like "Zionism is racism equals apartheid." When Rev Lubbe topped this with, "What a pity Hitler only killed six million of them," the Jewish Telegraphic Agency reports, he "evoked thunderous applause." Nearby, Jewish students were holding a monster pro-Israel rally of their own. It is surprising that a bloodbath did not ensue when the clenched-fist groups met.

The men who run South Africa are ensuring a bloody future. *Growth* magazine, which should be renamed *Black Growth*,

reports that the white birthrate took a nose-dive after 1973. It advises South African universities to respond by raising their black student quotas. Otherwise, increased funding will not be forthcoming and some professors may have to take jobs in the real world. Cape Town University, whose black enrollment has grown recently from 5.2% to 11.9%, is cited as a model.

* * *

The familiar academic phenomenon of "grade inflation" has an amusing analog in South Africa's color-conscious society. Last year, 15 "whites" found themselves reclassified -- no doubt accurately -- as "colored." At the same time, 558 colored South Africans were reclassified -- no doubt inaccurately, in most cases -- as "white." It is well known that a segment of the South African white population has some slight Negroid or Hottentot admixture. Bringing into the white fold people whose families were surely once classified as colored for some good reason does nothing to improve the situation. If reclassification is necessary from time to time, the numbers shifted in either direction should at least roughly correspond. But South Africa's race experts have become as soft-hearted and mush-headed as the rest of their kinsmen. Apparently, it is now precisely 37.2 times easier to tell a colored man he is white than a white man he is colored.

India. The Brahmins were not necessarily the wealthiest caste in India. Nor were they always the most powerful. But as the human "link to the gods" in a highly religious country, they stood unchallenged for thousands of years at the top of the social status pyramid. The founding fathers of modern India were mostly Brahmins. So are Prime Minister Indira Gandhi and most of her retinue. Nevertheless, the official government policy of caste "equality" is fast turning the priestly Brahmins into unofficial whipping boys.

A recent report in the *New York Times* suggests many parallels between the Brahmin plight in India and the Majority predicament in America:

• "Affirmative Action" is in full swing in many Indian states. Brahmins must make far better test scores than other Hindus -- especially "untouchables" -- to get into many

professional schools. Even top grades may not help a Brahmin.

• In southern India, where Brahmins are relatively few, the discrimination is especially fierce. In Tamil Nadu, of which Madras is the capital, Brahmins are being systematically shut out of governmental activity. Those who already have jobs are being kept from advancing.

• Brahmin-baiting is becoming common in the south. The younger non-Brahmins verbally and sometimes physically intimidate their erstwhile betters, whose refinement is a further handicap. This is forcing many young Brahmins to pull up stakes and move to other parts of India or abroad -- "Brahmin flight."

• While some Brahmins have begun to organize locally, the powerful Brahmins in New Delhi have chosen to ignore the "little people" of their own caste.

The resemblances here to the plight of many Majority Americans are almost inescapable, yet they managed to escape the *Times's* worldly-wise reporter. William K. Stevens was terribly solicitous toward the Brahmin "awakening" against "reverse discrimination," but not for a moment would he publicly commiserate with an American group like the National Association for the Advancement of White People (NAAWP).

Australia. The radio broadcasts are in 52 languages. The telephone books have instructions in six languages. Forms for the latest census were printed in 11 languages. It could, of course, be Sweden or any other Nordic country hell-bent on mass suicide, but it happens to be Australia. Naturally, the Australians are constantly reminded that theirs is a "wonderful experiment." Naturally, they hear again and again that their particular melting-pot is "almost unique." Anyone who says that the racist Aussies of 1850-1965 were right-thinking while today's are all wet is a certified "Nazi loony" who wants to take the continent back to the "dark ages."

Since the people who created Australia should be worrying about their own future, it is passing strange that the secretary of the Department of Immigration and Ethnic Affairs, John Menadue, is so worried about the future of the aborigines. He speaks of the country's 50,000 pure and 150,000 half-

breed "abos" in almost cosmic terms: "The principle problem of an Australian identity is that we have not yet come to terms with the aboriginal problem." Again: "The future is tied up in that issue. We talk of multiculturalism, but what is it without the aborigines?"

Henceforth, the race which went to the moon must not think about the ultimate issues of identity and future without factoring in a bunch of IQ-75 throwbacks lost in a permanent "dreamtime."

Mexico. In this country, as in Japan, advertising is dominated by blonde models with fine Nordic features. Phil de Kanter of Macho Films says, "It's the same all over the world . . . [I]n Mexico, what looks best with a product -- to a Mexican -- is not the girl next door." Ever since the conquistadores arrived -- tall, strong, fair -- the masses have seen their own physical type as "worthless." So says media researcher Noorene Janus of Mexico City. Social psychologist Jose Medina Pichardo, and just about everyone else, agrees. Few see any prospect for change. The slogan, "Short, squat and brown is beautiful," would go nowhere in Mexico.

The prototype of Nordic advertising here is a major brewery's "La Rubia [Blonde] Superior" campaign. Superior blonde women are shown drinking a superior light-colored beer. After 20 years the campaign remains a hit.

White Americans in Mexico have started acting silly and embarrassed at this recognition. Bryan Wilson, general director of Young & Rubicam-Mexico, traces the "fascination for the Marilyn Monroelike model" to secondary sources like American wealth and Mexico's alleged "domination" by foreigners. Wilson actually calls this very natural and entirely proper phenomenon a "fad" -- which is a bit like calling the rise and fall of the tides a "fad."

Japan was never dominated by foreigners. Arabs are rolling in money. Individual Jews have ranked among the world's wealthiest people for centuries. But no one wants to look like Yasser Arafat's sister. That is because ideal Northern European types are instinctively perceived, the world over, as wealth-makers in the broadest sense -- and also as shimmering, emotionally rich beings.

who lives there knows the score by now. But a *Los Angeles Times* editor would probably tell Christenson he must accept half a loaf or nothing. A local Instaurationist could not resist providing a better answer.

Today's *Times* printed a letter from G.C. Christenson of Whittier, who just returned from Graz, Austria, and commented on the city's beauty and orderliness.

By inference he criticized Los Angeles, and then asked the weighty question, "Where did we go wrong?"

Permit me to answer:

Stirrings

Graz is Greener

One G.C. Christenson of Whittier, California, wrote a very poignant letter to the *Los Angeles Times*.

This summer I spent five weeks in the beautiful city of Graz, Austria. It is the second largest city in Austria with a population of a quarter of a million.

There was no graffiti. There was no litter

on the streets. The jewelry stores left thousands of dollars of beautiful jewelry in the window at night with no iron grillwork. It was safe to walk through the park at 1 A.M.

Where did we go wrong?

Quite likely, Christenson knows the answer his own question. Whittier is near the edge of Los Angeles County's practically endless ghetto-barrio combine, and anyone

In Graz there live no "Latinos," hence there are no youth gangs and there is no graffiti.

In Graz there is no litter in the streets because the people are mostly of German stock and languages.

It was safe to walk at 1 A.M. through a park because there are no blacks, hence there is no great crime problem.

And last but not least, the jewelry stores left their merchandise overnight in the windows for all the reasons given above *plus* the fact that the people who traditionally supply many "fences" (without whom criminals could not survive) were driven out of the city many hundreds of years ago, and presumably never returned in force.

Round Two in Oregon

Last October we reported that a Jackson County, Oregon, jury had found Arlo Studer not guilty of "racially slurring" a black police officer who arrested him following a minor traffic violation. That was the first "racism" case tried since Oregon's Syrian-American Governor, Victor Atiyeh, initiated an invidious amendment to the state's "harassment" law. The verdict was a victory for a local Citizens Bar Association which opposed the law on First Amendment grounds.

Atiyeh's amendment was subsequently dealt a more serious blow, when Lane County District Judge Bryan Hodges found it unconstitutional. Hodges ruled that it violated equal protection clauses in both the U.S. and the Oregon constitutions by singling out one group of people -- those with strong racial views -- for unusually severe treatment. The law was also declared unconstitutionally broad.

Blue Solidarity

By one newspaper account, Judge Justin C. Ravitz of Detroit is a Marxist Jew who praises Cuba and Red China, threw the American flag out of his courtroom, and wears blue jeans in what he calls a "people's court." He is not the kind of guy a white suburban police officer like Cpl. Gregory Tabaczynski of Dearborn would want to come before. But Tabaczynski made the mistake of chasing a black suspect across the Detroit line and accidentally killing him during an arrest attempt. The alternative to Ravitz was a trial before a mostly black Detroit jury. Tabaczynski chose Ravitz, who found him guilty of involuntary manslaughter, provoking Dearborn Police Chief John T. Connolly to say, "He was just trying to do his job; the whole system has gone crazy."

The prospect of their fellow officer spending up to 15 years behind bars for doing his duty moved 300 policemen from 150 Michigan police departments to attend a Dearborn rally. Some 3,500 local residents were

also on hand, and \$31,000 was raised for a defense fund. Tabaczynski is free on bond while he seeks a new trial. "I'm being used as a scapegoat," he says. "It's reverse discrimination. I was in the minority there."

Stirrings and No Stirrings

It sounded too good to be true -- and it was. Twenty-five Majority activists at Southern Methodist University in Dallas got together and decided to give minority student organizations a run for their money by forming the Association for White Students "to promote equality by ending reverse discrimination."

At SMU blacks and Hispanics receive college money for their various ethnic functions. In addition, they get special treatment in regard to sports and admissions, and have guaranteed quotas on student bodies, to which members of the lesser breed have to be elected. If all these perks were given to minorities just because of race, why couldn't whites get some of the same? It was a dangerous, almost revolutionary thought. Nevertheless the Association for White Students did win the approval of the student senate by a large vote, which forced SMU's President Donald Shields reluctantly, very reluctantly, to go along with the project.

But it was all for naught. Within a few weeks a tidal wave of media hatermongering, alumni pressure and minority howls and growls forced the group to disband. The clinching argument was that if SMU allowed prowhite organizations to exist, the black athletes would shun the college and the football team -- and all the money and publicity it raked in -- would go down the drain.

It wasn't a question of free speech or freedom of association or one man, one vote. In these enlightened times, these legal guarantees only work for minority racists. It was a question of cold, hard cash. Once again in the color contest, blacks beat whites. Will it ever be so? All SMU whites had to do was stick with their fellow whites who had stuck their necks out. But whites in college or out of college don't do that -- yet.

Will it ever be so?

Voting for Guns

The gun-grabbers lost all over the country on election day. In California, the voters rejected Proposition 15 by a solid 63%. It would have registered all handguns, even forbidden visitors to bring them into the state.

In Nevada, 71% of the voters favored adding a clause to the state constitution which guarantees the citizens' right to keep and bear arms "for security and defense, for lawful hunting and recreation use and for other lawful purposes." And, in New Hampshire,

72% approved a state constitutional amendment: "All persons have the right to keep and bear arms in defense of themselves, their families, their property and the state."

The election results were the same on the local level. In Sunnyvale, California, near San Francisco, 63% of the voters decided against banning handguns in their city. Meanwhile, two Illinois towns, Goreville in the south and Palmer in the center, made owning a gun and ammunition mandatory for every householder. They were responding in part to an ordinance forbidding handguns in Morton Grove, Illinois, whose crime rate has since risen. In Kennesaw, Georgia, where gun ownership is mandatory, the crime rate has fallen.

Washington, D.C., passed an anti-handgun ordinance in 1976, and violent crime has increased locally by 53% since then. The city had dropped to number 7 nationally in overall rate of violent crime; now it is back to number 2.

Even in San Francisco, a poll of 39,000 metropolitan residents showed that 59% object to the city's handgun ban (since declared unconstitutional under the state constitution). This poll result was obtained even though, according to Greg McDonald, executive director of the Second Amendment Foundation, many such statistics have been manipulated for years by anti-handgun forces.

Finally, a study by the National Injury Information Clearinghouse of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission revealed that, in 1981, guns came in 38th place as a cause of household injuries requiring emergency room treatment. Stairs came first, with some 683,000 serious injuries. Knives -- the favorite weapon South Africa's gunless blacks use to slaughter one another -- placed thirteenth. Guns came just after "fishing activity, apparel or equipment."

Hill vs. Einstein

In spite of calumny and threats of physical harm, Professor Henry Hill is continuing his efforts to disprove Einstein and says, "The possibility is 95% that Einstein's [general] theory was wrong."

The old liberal-minority ploy of demolishing any criticism of St. Albert by attacking the critic's credentials does not work too well in the case of Hill, who has a Ph.D. in physics. For years he was a member of the hot-shot physics department at Princeton before he moved in 1966 to the University of Arizona. There the 48-year-old scientist heads the astrophysics team, whose pioneering observations of the sun's rotation led to the questioning of Einstein's theory. According to Hill, the gravitational effect of the different rates of rotation of different parts of Old Sol, causing it to bulge in the middle, is responsible for the discrepancy in Mercury's orbit, a discrepancy hitherto explained by Einstein's mathematical hypothesis that the sun's huge mass distorts the space around the solar system's smallest planet.