

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

P.O. Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Telephone: (08) 8331 0808
Mobile: 0417 088-217
Email: toben@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online

ISSN 1440-9828
April 2005 No 241



Revisionism - an Ideology of Liberation By Germar Rudolf

The Political and Economic Situation of Germany

The German nation is dying out. In 50 years our Volk will be a minority in our own country, if present demographic trends continue. A hundred years from now it will for all practical purposes have ceased to exist. For the most part, Germans are being replaced by Turks: Germany is becoming Islamic. In view of the cocky radicalism with which the Turks have set their sights on taking over central Europe, it is clear that blond hair and blue eyes will be a great disadvantage in the second half of the 21st Century. What the Turks lost with their swords at Vienna in 1683, they are winning today with their vaginas.

With the exception of a few brief periods, unemployment in Germany has risen steadily since the mid 1970s, as has the number of welfare recipients. In conjunction with this has come the growth of public indebtedness, with a corresponding increase in the tax burden for the portion of the population that is still gainfully employed. Public debt is now rising faster than the Gross National Product, which means that an ever-growing portion of GNP consists of servicing the debt industry rather than producing goods and services. The economy is being strangled. We have reached the point of no return. Collapse can be delayed but not avoided.

Concurrently, Germany has consistently run a foreign trade surplus, which in the last few years has risen dramatically. Germany earns more than it spends. In other words, Germany is stinking rich.

How can these scenarios coexist? It's quite simple, really. Our national wealth is being redistributed from bottom to top. Just as in the popular old American song: "the rich get richer and the poor get poorer." More and more of the wealth is concentrated in fewer and fewer hands.

One of the principal mechanisms for this redistribution of wealth is public indebtedness. The government borrows money from the people who buy its instruments of indebtedness and the taxpayers pay interest, both simple and compound.

And who pays most of the taxes in Germany? Working people, salaried employees and the middle class. But, who has the money to buy those huge amounts of government bonds bearing interest that is paid with our taxes? Who rakes in the interest, simple and compound, year after year after year? The financial oligarchy, obviously. Those individuals who are awash in money.

Thus, the amount of public indebtedness is roughly equal to the amount of wealth that the government has redistributed from the middle classes to the wealthy. Theoretically this process can continue until the middle class becomes so poor that it no longer has money to pay taxes, that is, until the oligarchs have accumulated everything and the poor own nothing at all. The resultant social tensions would of necessity lead to revolt and revolution. This road to revolution is paved with draconian measures of political coercion designed to hinder economic reforms, as democracy gradually gives way to dictatorship. Germany has already progressed a long way down this road.

Any solution that offers a chance of alleviating the gathering demographic catastrophe and reverse the redistribution of wealth must have a political program. Such programs are ruthlessly attacked by the Establishment as being extremist or "Nazi." They are obstructed by dictatorial measures of repression that include the banning of political parties, prosecution in the courts, and proscription of the right to exercise one's profession. Peaceful solutions that might result from an open discussion of options and alternatives are effectively eliminated.

The Political and Economic Situation in Europe

A glance beyond the borders of Germany shows that the situation in other European countries is no different. Italy's demographic dilemma is even worse than Germany's. Even in Poland the population is declining drastically, while the Russian nation is collapsing under the economic catastrophe. Ireland appears to be the only country with a stable population. While the native population of Europe is declining, immigration from other continents is increasing. The immigrant's country of origin is usually determined by the colonial history of the European nation. In France, immigration comes mostly from the western Arab countries; in England, from India and various black African countries, the Caribbean, etc.

Economic developments in all the European countries parallel those in Germany. All Europe is reaping a huge foreign trade surplus and growing ever richer in worldly goods, while the population is growing poorer. Exceptions are a few little countries where "everyone is rich" such as Luxembourg, Switzerland and Liechtenstein. All the others are caught in the same debt and interest trap as Germany: the redistribution of wealth from bottom to top is leading to strangulation of the economy and growing social tensions.

In reaction to these intensifying ethno-demographic and socio-economic stresses, nearly all the European power holders are reacting the same way. In France, they first amended their election laws to keep the Front National out of Parliament, then prosecuted the chairman of the party on account of his objectionable historical views. In England they recently arrested the leaders of the British National Party for allegedly inciting the masses to hate immigrants. In Belgium they outlawed the leading Flemish *Flamse Blok* for articulating radical proposals to solve the demographic crisis. And in the Netherlands, the rightwing opposition leader Pim Fortuyn and the literary critic of organized crime Theo van Gogh were assassinated. Censorship laws against dissidents have been introduced in almost every European country, always directed against "right" views.

The Global Political and Economic Situation

After the collapse of the Soviet Union, the USA became the undisputed dominant world power.

The government of the USA is even deeper in debt than the European countries, although its GNP is still growing faster than the national debt. This is purely domestic growth, however, since it is produced exclusively by the "illegal" immigration of millions of impoverished refugees from Central and South America. Furthermore, the foreign trade balance of the USA has been negative since 1980. Since the end of the 90s, this deficit has grown to be so gigantic (around 5% of its yearly GNP flows to foreign countries) that one has to speak of the installment sale of America.

This deficit is financed by public and private debt. Both of these have reached a level at which repayment is impossible without a gigantic redistribution of wealth that must lead to a tremendous increase in social tensions, and the US already has the most glaring contrast between rich and poor. No other country has such an unequal distribution of wealth; and private and public indebtedness exacerbates the situation. If we include the Los Angeles race riots of 1992 and the Cincinnati riots of 2001 in the equation, we can see that the "melting pot" theory is clearly invalid. There is racial dynamite as well as socioeconomic tension within the usually quiescent American volcano, which can erupt at any time.

The whole world, including wealthy Europeans and Asians, has collaborated to keep the American economy growing with constantly increasing loans and credits. Either they have faith in the never ending growth of the US economy, or they feel they have no choice, or both. This also explains why the US rulers have not undertaken measures to stop "illegal" mass immigration into the US, even though it increases social and racial tensions. If this mass immigration should stop, America's real economic growth would come to an abrupt halt. Such a

development would create loss of confidence among America's foreign creditors, which in turn would lead to a collapse of the dollar and with it, the US and world economies.

Almost all the countries of the Third World are so deeply in debt to the Western nations that their principal economic efforts are directed toward paying interest on their debts, just to keep creditors at bay. They are in a position similar to that of Germany under the Dawes Plan in the 1920s.

In other words: there is also a relentless global redistribution of wealth under way from poor to rich countries, again driven by "Interest Slavery." The resulting ever increasing poverty has produced a global tsunami of migration into the wealthier countries, with the consequences described above.

A generalized forgiveness of debt for the Third World is required. However, this would cause tremendous dislocations within global financial circles, since many American bank loans are uninsured. By contrast, European banks usually require greater security; they "forgive" debts by taking over parts of the economies of debtor nations. In other words: By "forgiving" loans, Wall Street would lose much of its power and fall into the hands of European banks, primarily those in Frankfurt. This very nearly happened at the beginning of the 1980s, when a collapse of the entire US banking system was avoided only by massive intervention on the part of the US government.

In 1999, Asia barely scraped past economic collapse. Since then, the national economies of the Asian "Tiger Countries" have been hanging by a thread.

Overcapacity in the Asiatic sphere has led to deflation (a negative rate of inflation) and stagnating economies. Public indebtedness, in which there is no such thing as negative interest, has reached a level that presents a real threat to economic growth (in Japan for example.)

As long as the US economy continues to grow and absorb at least part of Asia's overproduction, we can expect the present situation to continue. When the US economy hits the skids, however, it will probably produce another "domino effect" that will pull the whole world into the economic abyss, just as in 1929. We can expect that authoritarian or totalitarian regimes will resort to perpetual war and warlike conflicts to distract the masses from domestic social tensions, thus "solving" the recurrent economic crisis temporarily.

Considered from this perspective we can understand part of the motivation that led to the US war against Iraq. In 2002, Iraq abandoned the dollar and begun conducting all foreign transactions in euros. If at that time other oil exporting nations had followed Iraq's example, as several considered doing, the dollar would have already collapsed.

The Enemies of Mankind

It is inevitable that every lender will demand compensation for lending money or goods, which is interest. The decisive factor in the development of the debt crisis has not been simple interest, but rather compound interest (interest on interest.)

This is what has caused the exponential explosion of debt worldwide. No mathematical function rises faster than that of compound interest. For this reason, compound interest must be considered the principal enemy of mankind. Breaking the slavery of compound interest is the only way out of the diabolical cycles of indebtedness, poverty, dictatorship, war and revolution which has left such a terrifying trail of blood throughout history.

Needless to say, expressing such observations means making enemies of all those whose wealth and power is built on compound interest. This includes everyone whose wealth is derived not from honorable productive work, but rather from the debts of others.

Their names are easily identified. They are the international financiers who enslave entire national economies, the global plutocrats who lend to the public as well as to corrupt and irresponsible officials who go about recklessly creating public debt. They are the wealthy, powerful and unscrupulous of this world, the worst enemies one can have, assisted by political and media mobs whose task it is to marginalize and prosecute those who oppose the common enemy.

Among the enemies of mankind must be included the ideologues who prepare the by insisting on the destruction of national ethnic and cultural identity.

They are creating a homogenized, deculturated global mass of consumers who have lost their identity and whose global mass demands can be profitably satisfied by global mass production. We are witnessing the genesis of standardized Mass Man, whose political and social thought can be globally directed by means of standardized mass propaganda. It is the perfect preface to the global reign of the Super Rich. The trail blazer for this Homo Anonymous is clearly the person who raves about the "Equality of All Mankind" and proclaims the inhuman gospel that we must abolish borders (destroy nations) and combine cultures (destroy culture.)

The Lie as an Instrument to Rule The world has stood before such an abyss once before. The year was 1928. At that time the world fell into the abyss, initiating the "dog-eat-dog" era of each against all. Everyone attempted to save whatever could be saved, at the expense of the weakest. In those days Germany was the weakest nations of all, since it had lost the First World War and been robbed and plundered by the rest of the world. Most of the world continued to flounder until the Second World War extricated it from economic paralysis by devastating Central Europe.

Before the Second World War, one country did succeed in overcoming the world crisis within its own borders. It was considered the least likely to succeed, since it was the weakest and most defenseless of all. Like the phoenix, it rose from the ashes despite all obstacles. While the world continued struggling with the debt crisis, it climbed from economic paralysis to general prosperity.

In an impoverished world filled with social strife, this country put poverty and social tensions behind it.

It did this despite being boycotted, isolated and declared a leper by the rich and powerful. It was truly a country like no other: Germany between the years 1933 and 1939.

In those days, Germany succeeded in breaking the bonds of interest slavery. It defied and jeopardized the tyranny of Wall Street and London City by detouring around "hard currency" and the global plutocracy. It accomplished this by dealing directly with other countries through barter transactions, developing a system of direct international exchange. It cast off the historic fetters of "exclusive guilt" for the outbreak of World War I and refused to continue as the slave of its enemies.

Consider the following remarks by an acknowledged financial expert. In a circular letter written in July 2003, the President of Glenview State Bank in Illinois wrote:

"The Great Depression of the 1930's saw falling prices, staggering unemployment and shattered stock markets all over the world, and the world's leading statesmen seemed helpless to defeat it. Except for one. His name was Adolf Hitler. Unlike France and Britain, and unlike the United States, Germany spent most of the 1930's growing economically, not declining. If we can understand why Depression-era Germany resisted the disease, we may better understand how alarmed we should be today in the 21st century." (Chicago Sun-Times, 30 July 2003)

Not surprisingly, these remarks enraged the American Jews, who quickly suppressed the letter. The subject of that letter is the key to our problem.

If it is true that Hitler enjoyed great success while the rest of the world was floundering, and if Hitler could be stopped only by destroying his country and rooting out his ideas, shouldn't that inspire us to ask the secret of his success?

Since nearly all wars have economic causes, it should not surprise us if the Second World War had them as well. So, what hinders us from objectively researching Hitler's economic secret? That question can be answered with one word: The Holocaust

If the world had not been convinced that Hitler gassed Jews, his ideas could be considered rationally, like those of other political leaders. We would not be deterred

by the greatest taboo of all time. We would be able to resist our Pavlovian conditioning and we could react with some degree of objectivity to the ideas of Hitler and his economic advisors. We would find some of their ideas worthy of imitation. The Holocaust myth hinders this, however. It hinders it in such a drastic way that in Germany, it is now actually against the law to say anything positive about Hitler or the Third Reich.

The historic lie has always been a principal instrument for gaining and maintaining political power. The Catholic Church's falsification of the Donation of Constantinople secured for it totalitarian rule in Europe for a thousand years.

Revisionism: The Main Enemy of the Big Lie Revision is the reconsideration and possible correction of historical allegations. Nothing is more vitally important for the success of democracy, for the self-evident reason that Revision alone can set the record straight. When governments base their authority upon lies, it is the task of Historical Revisionism to expose these lies. Only Revisionism can deprive tyrants of moral justification for their misrule. Revisionism is a quest for truth and justice and must proceed in an objective and scientific manner. Nevertheless (perhaps therefore) it is a highly effective method for liberating our repressed nations, which makes it a revolutionary activity.

The Pen Is Mightier Than the Sword!

The revelation that the Donation of Constantine was a forgery motivated Martin Luther to reform the Church, which brought about the Protestant Reformation and eventually an end to totalitarian rule by the medieval Church. This lesson is not lost on the tyrants of our time. It explains why Holocaust Revisionists are socially persecuted everywhere in the Western world and why, in many countries, they are imprisoned and their writings burned by government censors.

We Revisionists wield the mightiest weapon of all, the pen. Today we could not exist without the Internet, which is "fireproof" in every sense of the word. With the Internet we are able to unmask the lies of even the most powerful tyrants, thereby destroying the moral foundations of their misrule. We are doing nothing less than preparing the way for a coming Revolution:

- Liberation of the Third World from interest slavery;
- Heading off demographic catastrophe in Europe;
- Interrupting the redistribution of wealth from poor to rich;
- And most importantly of all, putting an end to the ethnic, cultural, economic and ecological devastation of our planet by the global plutocracy.

All these things depend on exposing and undermining the moral foundation of today's misrulers.

With exposure of the Holocaust myth, these rulers lose more than mere justification for the never ending occupation and plundering of Germany and the Arab countries. They also lose their ideological "air superiority" which they have enjoyed throughout the Western world for over half a century. Without this "air superiority" their global ideologies of human homogenization and deculturization will have to compete with national identity movements throughout the world.

The Indians of the Amazon are not the only peoples struggling to retain their right to self-determination.

This is equally true of the Germans, French, English, Scotch, Flemish and Kurds. Holocaust Revisionism is liberation ideology for the poor, the persecuted and marginalized of the whole world. Its liberating appeal is particularly strong for us Germans as well as for all Arabs, especially those in Palestine and Iraq. This is true everywhere, with exception of the ruling clique that collaborates with "the enemies of all mankind" as the French Father of Revisionism Dr. Robert Faurisson so aptly characterizes them.

Our Path and Our Goal Their strategy for combating Revisionism and other movements that could threaten their power is clear:

To slander, demonize and isolate Revisionists by equating them with "Nazis" which, in the minds of most people, is evil incarnate. It is the same strategy the Inquisition used against "heretics" at the end of the Middle Ages and the beginning of modern times. We are accused of being in league with the Devil, and we all know the consequences of that.

Revisionism is the tool that blunts the edge of that deadly ideological weapon of denunciation as "Nazi."

When Revisionism becomes established, the tyrants lose their demagogical superweapon.

At present, the world mass media is under control of the global plutocracy. We can expect the media to increase its use of atrocity propaganda (Nazi! Holocaust! Never forget!) as this control becomes less secure. As long as the economic situation of the majority continues to be endurable, they will believe what the mass media tell them. The Revisionists have no medium with which to counter the persuasive power of Television backed by unlimited billions of dollars.

It would be illusory to believe that we can bring about change in public consciousness with pamphlets, chain letters, CDs and free brochures, which are pinpricks against the armor of global plutocracy. Still, Globalism's reactions to our pinpricks show that they are aware of their Achilles heel, the spot where

they are vulnerable. The result is increasing repression. They are increasing fear, dislike and dissatisfaction within the masses, and with this increase comes increased receptiveness to Revisionist ideas. We must not fail to utilize this blowback effect. Nothing more is needed for escalation of this interaction, that is, for a catastrophic resonance effect, than the pathetic cooperation a few of the less active Revisionists in spreading the word.

In the worst case there will be a titanic train wreck of the world economy which will bring unparalleled misery to the masses, and that will open their ears to our alternative views. In the meantime, Revisionism must create an intellectual basis upon which the gathering political revision in Germany, Europe and the world can build. In concrete terms this means that we must use the inadequate resources at our disposal to make our historical theses watertight. Finally, our work must be of such high quality that established historians can not avoid either making themselves look ridiculous, or else changing sides.

Our highly specialized modern society demands extreme specialization of labor. Not everyone can be an expert on historical questions. Jurists, journalists and politicians all have to rely on the judgments of historians when they judge or report on historical matters. As long as these historians march to the drums of ruling dogma, the alpha types among them can have no hope for success should they openly acknowledge a change of opinion regarding "Holocaust." To do this, they need the protection of acknowledged experts.

Most historians are teachers paid with tax dollars.

With the exception of a few successful authors, there is no other market for their skills. In other words, historians are at the mercy of their respective government. They are extremely sensitive to threats and extortion by the common enemy. Dr. Zarnow's article in this issue shows that there

are historians who are not completely intimidated, however. (Dr. Zarnow is not the only professional historian who collaborates with our revisionist endeavors behind the scenes.)

Our greatest enemy is simple fear. In order to minimize this fear, we offer every historian who wants to write real history, the option of using pseudonyms and working with us in secret.

In this way our effectiveness and persuasiveness increase along with our appeal to other historians. This feedback effect is another device on which we can rely. We will release the true identities of these experts and historians only when they agree to it and we have enough professionals on our side to make show trials impossible without overwhelming the legal systems in Germany and Europe.

Our path is still steep and rocky. In order to minimize resistance, we should adhere to these two principles:

1. Divide and Conquer: We should make as few enemies as possible. If we exclude certain groups from our ranks at the outset, the only ones to benefit will be our enemies. Among my clients are Germans and Turks, atheists and Jews, and activists from both right and that they oppose Globalism. The conflict to be resolved is not specific to any ethnic or religious group.

We must also include members of the ruling elite. They too recognize that the ruthless redistribution of wealth from bottom to top and the dissolution of ethnic and cultural identity are unsustainable. It is in their interest to rethink the prevailing taboos and seek a peaceful solution that is socially and ethnically just.

2. Moral Hegemony: The ruling clique is losing moral legitimacy by limiting human rights and increasing social and ethnic tensions. We must offer the peoples of the world an alternative that is morally superior. Our guiding star must be the right to individual as well as collective self-determination, as expressed in the Rights of

Men and the Rights of Nations. It would be fatal if we demanded freedom of speech and scientific research for ourselves but moved to restrict the rights of our opponents. In that case, what would distinguish us from the present censors? We should avoid criticizing others simply because they have differing views regarding the Holocaust.

To come back to Lorenzo Valla: History shows that when paradigm changes occur, simply reversing or changing dogma does not lead to a peaceful solution.

Only an end to all legally enforced dogma can bring about lasting peace. It was not a forced Reformation or Counter Reformation that finally brought peace to Germany after the first Thirty Years War, but rather religious tolerance.

In the present religious struggle, there will be peace only when one's concept of the Holocaust no longer matters. The Revisionist reformation must not call for the persecution of Exterminationists, as Dr. Nowak repeatedly points out. Instead, we must demand tolerance for the views of our opponents as well as our own. Only in this way can we appeal to our fellow men. Only in this way can we win moral hegemony. Only in this way can we end the present religious struggle and bring peace to Germany, Europe and the world.

Lorenzo Valla, who exposed the Donation of Constantine as a forgery, did not live to see the end of the Catholics' abuse of power. Times change faster today, so we could be more fortunate than Valla. But even if we do not live to see the end of the present repression and enforced Holocaust lies, our efforts will still have a deeper historic meaning.

Our hour will come.

"Conduct yourself at all times, as though the maxims of your will were the principles of a general law." -Immanuel Kant.

"Here I stand, I can do no other." -Martin Luther.

"I dared to try." -Ulrich von Hutten.

"This is a society that is systematically continuing to banish the Palestinian nation from its land and usurp its rights as a nation and its chances for a humane future."

Using the Holocaust to ward off criticism

By Amira Hass, March 16, 2005, <http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/pages/552572.html>

The crowd of world leaders visiting the new Holocaust History Museum at Yad Vashem attests to the strength of Israel's position in the West. Israel is often criticized in the home country of these leaders, but many Israelis and Jews will, as usual, attribute such criticism to anti-Semitism. Palestinians and left wingers including Jews will discover that the knowledge about the Israeli occupation in these countries is meager, and the public's interest in it is weak.

The pilgrimage to Jerusalem of so many European leaders shows that they are not deterred by the criticism of Israel - they are

taking part in a media event that can only be interpreted as support for Israel, as it is today.

At best, the visit can be seen as encouragement to both sides to stick to the "renewed peace process." But encouragement for what? For the meetings between Mohammed Dahlan and Nasser Yousef with Shaul Mofaz? For the separation barrier, whose construction is continuing with vigor, contrary to the verdict of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) in The Hague? For the condescending Israeli "gestures" - 200 more movement permits to

merchants, a road open to private Palestinian vehicles, not only to public ones? Or for the continued mashing of Palestinian East Jerusalem and severing it from the rest of the Palestinian territory, in violation of the international demand that East Jerusalem serve as the Palestinian state's capital?

Are the German foreign minister and the Dutch and Swedish prime ministers - after crossing themselves and proving they remember the Holocaust - planning to remind Israel that all the settlements, not only the outposts, are illegal? Will they demand that Israel evacuate them? Which of

the participants in the ceremony will go to see the roads for Jews only and for Palestinians only? Will any of them protest the laws discriminating against Israeli citizens, only because they are non-Jews - Arabs - and threaten to impose sanctions unless these laws are revoked?

One of the infuriating absurdities in every injustice, especially one of inconceivable proportions like the German murder industry (with extensive European aid), is that the victims and their offspring remember and live it day in and day out. The perpetrators, however, repress and forget it, and it is easy for their offspring to ignore it.

So let the entire diplomatic throng, which is seeking Sharon's audience today, go and talk of the European responsibility for the Holocaust in its own territory, not in Israel. Berlin, Paris, Amsterdam, Krakow, Sarajevo, and the villages and forests around them are soaked with the memories of our parents, with the forgetfulness of the perpetrators and their offspring, and with the helplessness and indifference of those standing idly by. Let the prime ministers and foreign ministers go there and raise the memory and knowledge and historic understanding. And not just once a year, on the day of Auschwitz's liberation or Germany's surrender, just to pay lip service. We remember and feel the pain of

that liquidation day by day. Let us confront them with it day by day. For example, let it be inscribed on a large marble slab outside every house in which Jews used to live, where they were deported and where they were murdered. Let every railway station from which the human transports were dispatched provide the information: when, how many trains a day, how many people. Let the names of those responsible for the transport be written down - at the police station, the railway station, city hall.

The way to fight the fading memory is not merely with memorial monuments and ceremonies. It is done mainly with an uncompromising rejection of the master race ideology, which divided the world into superior and inferior races and denied the principle of equality among human beings. We were placed at the bottom of the ladder of the Nazi ideology. Would this ideology not have been criminal had we been ranked in the upper rungs?

An ideology that divides the world into those who are worth more and those who are worth less, into superior and inferior beings, does not have to reach the dimensions of the German genocide to be improper and wrong - the apartheid in South Africa, for example. Thirty-eight years of Israeli occupation of the Palestinian nation have

accustomed generations of Israelis to regard the Palestinians as inferior, and therefore not as deserving as we are. But hush, one must not say that out loud, because Israelis will raise an indignant cry: "How can you compare?"

In the same way, it is forbidden to demand of us - with diplomatic threats - to change our ways. Because then we will remind them of our people who were murdered.

This widely covered event shows that Israel has turned the liquidation of Europe's Jews into an asset. Our murdered relatives are being enlisted to enable Israel to continue not giving a damn about international decisions against the occupation. The suffering our parents endured in the ghettos and concentration camps that filled Europe, the physical and mental anguish and torment that our parents were subjected to every single day since the "liberation," are used as weapons to thwart any international criticism of the society we are creating here. This is a society with built-in discrimination on the basis of nationality, and the discrimination is spreading on either side of the Green Line. This is a society that is systematically continuing to banish the Palestinian nation from its land and usurp its rights as a nation and its chances for a humane future.

San Francisco Chronicle

Challenging the lies of a Holocaust denier, Reviewed by Yonatan Lupu, February 20, 2005

History on Trial My Day in Court With David Irving, By Deborah E. Lipstadt, ECCO/HARPERCOLLINS; 346 PAGES; \$25.95

Proving history can be more difficult than it might seem. Most of us naturally believe that the events we've heard about all our lives -- discoveries, wars, empires rising and falling - actually took place. But what would we do if someone were trying to convince a court of law that a distorted, nefarious version of history was the truth? That, in simple terms, is the situation Deborah Lipstadt faced five years ago.

In her 1994 book about the international Holocaust denial movement, Lipstadt devoted about 200 words to David Irving, a prominent historian who she argued was a Holocaust denier. Irving had recently eliminated references to the Holocaust in his publications and made inflammatory statements like claiming that "more women died on the back of Edward Kennedy's car at Chappaquiddick than ever died in a gas chamber at Auschwitz." In response, Irving sued Lipstadt for libel in Britain, arguing that her writing had hurt his reputation as a historian. In *History on Trial: My Day in Court With David Irving*, she recounts every step of the trial process, from gathering the evidence to the final verdict.

Making the case particularly challenging for Lipstadt, British law places the burden of proof on the defendant, meaning she had to prove that her claims about Irving were true. In a U.S. court, Irving would have been

required to prove Lipstadt's claims were false.

At first, Lipstadt seems reluctant to have the case turn into something larger than a discussion of whether or not Irving is a Holocaust denier. "Our objective," she writes, "was not to prove the Holocaust had happened. No court was needed to prove that. Our job was to prove the truth of my words, namely that Irving had lied about the Holocaust and had done so out of anti-Semitic motives." In fact, she worries that the trial is "destined to morph from an examination of Irving's abuse of historical records into a debate on whether or not the Holocaust took place."

Lipstadt's lawyers see the case differently; they present the court with historical evidence showing that the Holocaust took place and that Nazi Germany's leaders were directing it. As a historian, Lipstadt naturally becomes frustrated by having to give proof of well-settled historical facts to the court. Yet, as the trial goes on, she begins to see the value of her lawyers' strategy: "If [Irving] rejected documents that unequivocally proved him wrong, he would prove me correct, namely that he discounted evidence that disputed his preexisting conclusions. If he accepted these documents, he would have to admit he was wrong about the Holocaust..."

The historical evidence would box Irving in between his lies and the truth."

Step by step, Lipstadt's lawyers pick apart Irving's version of history, showing the judge -- and the reader -- that Irving's conclusions could not come from anywhere but a predisposition to disbelieve in the Holocaust. Presented with evidence that does not fit his story, Irving tries to rationalize it and, when one explanation fails, makes Maxwell Smart-like attempts to find alternate explanations. When pressed about why he has conveniently ignored evidence that does not support his conclusions, Irving often barks that he is a "Hitler historian" rather than a Holocaust scholar.

The more Irving tries to explain his arguments -- with selective quotations, mistranslations and, perhaps most appallingly, understatement like calling the Holocaust a series of "Mi Lai-type massacres" -- the more he gets tangled in his faulty logic. As Lipstadt's lawyer, Richard Rampton, says after a court session, "Irving's real problem is that for the first time he is being forced to explain his contradictions and he can't."

History on Trial is not the first book about the Lipstadt-Irving case. The accounts by Richard J. Evans *Lying About Hitler: History, Holocaust, and the David Irving Trial* and Robert Jan van Pelt *The Case for*

Auschwitz: Evidence From the Irving Trial, historians who testified on Lipstadt's behalf, provide greater detail into the historical evidence presented at the trial. Finally, *The Holocaust on Trial* by D.D. Guttenplan, who covered the case for the *New York Times*, gives a journalistic perspective on the case. But Lipstadt's story is more personal, compelling and intriguing. She presents her

mixed emotions as the trial progresses -- aghast at Irving's testimony and the public forum the trial gives him, but gratified to see him exposed and condemned. And she recounts her encounters with Holocaust survivors who encourage her to keep fighting, coming to the trial hearings day after day. These anecdotes don't just make the book more engaging; they help cement

the notion that this was no ordinary libel case, but possibly the most important Holocaust-related trial since Adolph Eichmann was tried in Israel in 1961.

Yonatan Lupu is an attorney and writer in Washington, D.C.

tehrantimes.com

Views

January 26, 2005

Lies of the Holocaust Industry

By Hossein Amiri

<http://www.tehrantimes.com/Description.asp?Da=1/26/2005&Cat=14&Num=001>

Subjecting an ethnic group or nation to torture under any pretext is quite unacceptable, but it is worse when the suffering is abused, and it is the worst when a group tries to exaggerate the event for political purposes.

By exaggerating the suffering of the Jews during World War II, Zionist groups and the Israeli regime are taking advantage of the situation by raising the issue at international organizations in order to neutralize any opposition to their diabolical plots.

No one is trying to ignore the suffering of the Jews at the hands of the Nazis, but the suffering of a religious/ethnic group should not cause the world to forget the suffering of another nation or allow those who suffered persecution to do the same injustice to another nation.

Every year on January 27 the media give wide coverage to the so-called Holocaust and Tel Aviv rebukes the world for the historic suffering that they claim they experienced in the past.

Today the Holocaust has very complicated connotations. The exaggeration of this phenomenon can be interpreted as the Europeans' attempt to salve their guilty consciences by handing over the lands of the Palestinian nation to a people to whom the Europeans believe they have done an injustice.

After the end of the war in 1945, the Allies along with Zionist leaders began formulating strange conceptions about the killing of Jews at Nazi camps which a modern man can hardly accept. By conjuring up images of gas chambers, they are attempting to convey the idea that the Jews have undergone

indescribable torture and that the world's conscience should be awakened to this issue so that the Jews are not subjected to injustice again.

In pursuit of this goal, the West, spearheaded by Britain and the United States, began sowing seeds for the seizure of Palestine and condemned the Palestinians to pay for a crime that the Westerners themselves had committed. Thus, this nation, which claims to have been the perennial victim of violence and torture over the course of history, is now doing the same thing to the Palestinians.

It was not long before a group of revisionist historians in the West began to question the claim that six million Jews were butchered by the Nazis and even asked whether the slaughter of six million Jews during World War Two was possible or not.

The revisionist historians have proven in two decades of study that if Hitler had carried out a systematic program to eradicate the Jews, it would have taken more time than the six years that the war lasted. They have also proven that such an act of ethnic cleansing through the use of the poison gas Zyklon-B, as the Zionists claim, was not possible at the time.

Norman J. Finkelstein, a Jewish professor at New York University critical of Zionist policies, has called the claim the *Holocaust Industry*, which is only meant to boost support for the government of Israel. Over the past several decades and since the event was questioned, Zionist propagandists have tried to substantiate this claim through various means.

The Zionists are trying to revitalize an issue which has become discredited in the eyes of

world public opinion by using the press, radio, television, the Internet, and, most importantly of all, cinema and the great filmmaking industry in Hollywood, since most of the significant players of this influential industry are Jews.

It can be said that any war, and particularly one that affects the world, will always lead to many problems and disasters, and World War II is no exception. Undoubtedly, the Nazi concentration camps were not holiday resorts and imposed various difficulties on the prisoners, just like any other detention camps in other wars.

Many people in these camps, including innocent men, women and children, died of hunger, illness, and other causes. The victims were from different nations and ethnic groups, including the Jews, who also lost many people, but the Jews were not the only victims of the war and a greater number of innocent people from other ethnic groups also lost their lives.

The issue of the Holocaust and the anniversaries held for the event are only meant to promote the repressive policies of the Zionists. The Jews suffered as a result of Hitler's expansionism, just like other innocent victims but should not be granted special privileges over the others. The declaration that six million Jews were killed in World War II is an exaggeration of the truth. Furthermore, the suffering and pains of a nation cannot justify their crimes against other nations. The issue of the Holocaust is only being highlighted to cover up Israel's crimes in Palestine.

U.S. rabbis approve using tube to remove circumcision blood

March 4, 2005 www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/547874.html

RePortersNoteBook Memo: What about adult gays who wish to convert, can they insist on the traditional method. In all seriousness can you imagine if Christians had such a disgusting custom...the Jewish stand-up comics would be merciless as would the Hollywood media pundits. -joe GiuseppeFurioso@aol.com

By Reuters NEW YORK - Rabbis should use a tube to suck blood from circumcision wounds rather than sucking directly with their mouths to protect infants and rabbis from disease, the leading Orthodox rabbinical group said yesterday.

In a traditional Orthodox practice during the brit, or circumcision ritual of baby boys,

rabbis have been using their mouths to suck blood from the penis wounds and surrounding tissue for thousands of years.

The use of a sterile tube is an acceptable substitute for direct mouth contact based upon a review of science and religious writings and Torah authorities, said Rabbi Basil Herring of the Rabbinical Council of

America, which sets policies for and represents about half of America's Orthodox rabbis, as well as sponsoring a Jewish court. "We strongly urge rabbis and congregations to adhere to this method," said Herring, who added that it's possible that the preference may not be adopted by all Orthodox rabbis,

as Jewish religious leaders often have different views. The traditional practice has been under scrutiny after New York City health officials went to court late last year to stop a rabbi from performing the practice, which they believe may have led to the death of a baby

boy from herpes.

The baby was one of three infants that health officials said contracted the herpes simplex virus after being circumcised by Rabbi Yitzchok Fischer, who used his mouth to draw blood from the infant's wound.

Pittsburgh Jewish Community out to "quietly" censor Finkelstein

Date: Sun, 13 Mar 2005 15:12:46 -0800 From: Jeffrey Blankfort jblankfort@earthlink.net

Many of you have heard of or read the books of Norm Finkelstein, a controversial figure on the subjects of Israel/Palestine and the pro-Israel lobby in the US. Norm has been the subject of intense hostility and defamation from within the Jewish communities across the US (and parts of Europe). Read this for the latest tactical attempt to undermine his voice. "Pitiful" isn't a strong enough word. J

Norman Finkelstein at Carnegie Mellon University

March 14: "Beyond Chutzpah: On the Misuse of Anti-Semitism and the Abuse of History" On Monday March 14th at 4:45pm, Norman Finkelstein will be

speaking at CMU about "Beyond Chutzpah: On the Misuse of Anti-Semitism and the Abuse of History" as part of the university sponsored "University Lecture Series". Many CMU students are reported deeply troubled by the tone and topic of Norman Finkelstein's lecture. After much debate and guidance, they have decided to ask the greater Pittsburgh Jewish community to support the students by attending the lecture. However, the concept is not to "publicize" the event, thus bringing more attention to the speaker, but rather to quietly fill the lecture hall with those who cannot be influenced by Finkelstein's rhetoric. Through filling the hall with Jewish

students and community we will minimize participation of those who can be influenced by his propaganda. Along these lines, we are NOT contacting media in any way. Instead we are looking to spread the word about this lecture in a quiet manner. Please join the CMU organizers in the Adamson Wing, 136A Baker Hall, CMU at 4:00 PM to sit as a unified Jewish community against hatred and anti-Semitism, and to support the CMU Jewish student community.

Laura Conrad Program Associate,
The Edward and Rose Berman Hillel Jewish University Center of Pittsburgh

Nazi fugitive captured

March 14, 2005, www.smh.com.au from *The Guardian*

A former Nazi who founded a secretive German colony in Chile where opponents of Augusto Pinochet's dictatorship were tortured has been arrested after more than a decade on the run. Detectives in Argentina captured Paul Schafer, an 84-year-old German, last week on the outskirts of Buenos Aires. Schafer has been wanted in Chile in connection with child abuse charges since 1996, when he disappeared. Last year a Chilean court convicted him in absentia of child abuse, together with 26 other cult members. Smiling and handcuffed, he refused to comment as police took him to a cell in a wheelchair. Schafer, one of South America's most enigmatic fugitives, was the leader of a notorious German cult in southern Chile known as Colonia Dignidad. A former

corporal and medic in the German army during World War II, he moved to Chile in the early 1960s. He established a self-sufficient colony in the mountains near the city of Parral, 300 kilometres south of Santiago. Surrounded by barbed wire and electric fences, and largely populated by Germans, the cult remained cut off from the rest of Chile. In 1996, a number of former residents testified that Schafer had systematically abused the colony's young children, some of whom were taken from their parents at birth. Others alleged cult members had been mistreated and forced to stay in the colony against their will. Chilean officials also believe the colony was used as a centre for torture between 1973 and 1990, during the Pinochet era, with former Gestapo and

Nazi officers giving torture lessons. Police also want to question Schafer about the mysterious disappearance in 1985 of Boris Weisfeiler, an American-Jewish maths professor, who was last seen there.

From: [Adelaide Institute](mailto:Adelaide@Institute) To: letters@smh.com.au Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2005 12:39 AM Subject: Schaeffer a Nazi? - Sir/Madam - Before swallowing this story, hook, line and sinker, perhaps you would be wise to approach Nazi hunter Simon Wiesenthal about it. Should this group of Germans be what the media frenzy would like them to be, then surely he would know. I think you will be in for quite a surprise. Dr Fredrick Töben

BBC says sorry to Israel Chris McGreal in Jerusalem, *The Guardian*, March 12, 2005

The BBC has bowed to an Israeli demand for a written apology from its deputy bureau chief in Jerusalem, Simon Wilson, who was barred from the country for failing to submit for censorship an interview with the nuclear whistleblower, Mordechai Vanunu. Mr Wilson was allowed to return to Israel on Thursday after signing a letter to the government acknowledging that he defied the law by ignoring demands from the security service and military censors to view tapes of an interview with Mr Vanunu after he was released from 19 years in prison last year. The climbdown has angered some BBC journalists, who say it will compromise their work in Israel. The agreement was to have remained confidential, but the BBC unintentionally posted details on its website before removing them a few hours later. Officials of Ariel Sharon, the prime minister, demanded a letter of apology and a promise not to re-offend when the authorities refused to extend Mr Wilson's work permit at the end of last year and barred him from re-entering Israel. At the time, the BBC said it could not meet such a demand. The BBC website said Mr Wilson had now acknowledged to the Israeli government that he was in the wrong. "He confirms that after the Vanunu interview he was contacted by the censors and was asked to give them the tapes. He did not do so. He regrets the difficulties this caused," the BBC statement said. "He undertakes to obey the regulations in future and understands that any further violation will result in his visa being revoked." Mr Wilson was not available for comment.

It appears that **FORBES** is woefully mistaken about the identities of the top billionaires of the world! Here is a link to the "Top 20" **ACCORDING TO FORBES**: >>> [The World's Top 20 Billionaires](#) (in slide-show format) Forbes claims that Bill Gates is the wealthiest person in the world. This is nonsense! The following families (who are mistakenly excluded from the Forbes list of top billionaires) are MANY TIMES RICHER than Bill Gates: The Rothschild family The Rockefeller family (even Forbes said that the Rockefellers were the richest - back in 1918) *They have not ceased becoming richer since that time!* The English Crown Gosh, if Forbes cannot perform the simple task of identifying the richest people in the world, then why should we believe ANYTHING that we read in their magazine? Confused, --Matt Engelman

Washington JEWISH WEEK

Online Edition

Venom from Tehran

<http://www.washingtonjewishweek.com/localstory.php?/wjw2/295240461971697.bsp>

Iranian media has recently seen an upsurge in anti-Semitism, reports the Middle East Media Research Institute. The Iranian Foreign Ministry-affiliated *Tehran Times* published an opinion piece by columnist Hossein Amiri titled "Lies of the Holocaust Industry," released close to the 60th anniversary of the liberation of the Auschwitz concentration camp last week. Denying that the Holocaust took place, the op-ed accused Israel and Europe of exploiting it to justify Palestinian suffering. In December, the official Iranian news agency MEHR published a set of anti-Semitic articles, including interviews with two Holocaust deniers, Dr. Fredrick Toben of the Adelaide Institute in Australia and French professor Robert Faurisson. Several anti-Semitic TV drama series are broadcast on Iranian TV. In *Zahra's' Blue Eyes*, airing weekly on the Iranian Sahar TV channel, the "Zionists" are portrayed as kidnapping Palestinian children and harvesting their organs. *Al-Shatat*, also on Sahar, graphically depicts blood libels...

From Professor Arthur Butz – January 25, 2005 Subject: Survivor

The attached *Washington Post* article on the Yad Vashem database was published in today's Chicago Tribune. It lets slip another of those case of Jews assuming a relative was killed in the Holocaust though in fact he lived for many years after the war.

http://www.chicagotribune.com/features/lifestyle/c/hi-0501250009jan25.1.6224750_story?coll=chi-leisuretempo-hed

Subject: Revisionism - wanting it both ways: Received from Prof Art Butz

Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2005 13:29:36 -0600

From: Tom Harris

Subject: Permission to use site I work for Educational Service Center Region 20 in San Antonio, Texas. We are a non-profit organization supporting 50 public schools districts in our area. We provide teacher workshops and training in all areas. Due to the required filtering that takes place on public school campuses, some of the sites we use to teach teachers and students to evaluate web pages are blocked. I would like permission to save a copy of your web site, Holocaust Denial or Holocaust Revisionism?, so that we may put it on a CD and use it in our workshops. If you have any questions, please contact me at (210)370-5633. Thank you.

Tom Harris, ESC Region 20, (210)370-5633, (210)370-5754 FAX

----- End of forwarded message from Tom Harris -----

Subject: Fwd: Teacher wants to teach Holocaust revisionism

To: President@tsid.org,

randi.turner@dars.state.tx.us,

paiweb@tea.state.tx.us

CC: Abraham M, Bruce Breeding, Riain Barton, Dann Dobson

Apparently this teacher, Tom Harris in ESC Region 20, San Antonio, Texas apparently wants to teach Holocaust revisionism. Can anyone tell me who to contact at ESC Region

20? Does anyone want to contact his school district?

Dann Dobson dddobson@yahoo.com

>>> Bruce Breeding 3/11/2005 2:44:30 PM

He may be looking to indoctrinate his students in a-historical neo-nazi propaganda. Please look into this. Thank you.

Mr. Alvarado -

First, please give Mr. Harris my sincere apologies, in that I went public with this, prior to checking with him, which I obviously should have. A very grave error on my part and one I sincerely regret. I was roundly taken to task today by another acquaintance, who pointed out that Mr. Harris may be wanting to teach ABOUT Holocaust revisionism, rather than teach Holocaust Revisionism.

Please allow me to explain what happened. As part of a new Institute for the Study of Prejudice and Hate, I monitor a number of hate groups around the world. Yesterday I received an e-mail from the Adelaide Institute a virulently anti-semitic, Holocaust denial group. They seemed to be boosting that a teacher, Mr. Harris, was referencing a Holocaust Denial site. Clearly, I should have checked with Mr. Harris before posting anything.

Allow me to suggest that if "Mr. Harris intended to use the same address, in his workshop sessions to help teachers understand how to evaluate a website by determining its source. In this case, one can learn from the anatomy of the web address that this is a personal website, rather than an official page of the university." he might want to consider a site a little less controversial.

Daniel Dobson J.D., Saint Paul, Minnesota, 651-227-4376 dddobson@yahoo.com

Richard Alvarado wrote:

Mr. Harris was requesting permission to reference the website as part of a teacher training session focusing on instructional uses of technology in the classroom. He

learned of the website in a book by Alan November entitled *Empowering Students With Technology*. In the book, the author uses the web address

<http://pubweb.acns.nwu.edu/~abutz/di/dc/ca/mps.html> to illustrate the anatomy of a web address. Mr. Harris intended to use the same address in his workshop sessions to help teachers understand how to evaluate a website by determining its source. In this case, one can learn from the anatomy of the web address that this is a personal website, rather than an official page of the university. No other use of the site was intended.

Thank you, Rick Alvarado, Associate Director

----- Original Message -----

From: Dann Dobson

To: HolocaustDiscussion ; Remember the Holocaust

Cc: Adelaide Institute

Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2005 11:04 AM

Subject: District 20 has decided not to use the Holocaust Denial or Holocaust Revisionism page

Here is the final answer. Apparently District 20 has decided not to use Prof. Butz's Holocaust Denial or Holocaust Revisionism page.

- Dann Dobson

Richard

Alvarado

<rick.alvarado@esc20.net> wrote:

Date: Fri, 11 Mar 2005 18:05:22 -0600

From: "Richard Alvarado"

<rick.alvarado@esc20.net>

To: <dddobson@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Tom Harris and my assumptions

Mr. Dobson,

Thank you for your reply. We are going to use a site that is less controversial.

Rick Alvarado, Ph.D., Associate Director, ESC-20