

8 JAN 05. Hail Elton! It was great to get your phonecall on JAN 7<sup>th</sup>. As usual, we discussed some stimulating topics on the state of the Race & the possible reasons therefor. As you would agree, I think, all human endeavors follow a 5-step process: (1) The Idea; (2) The Information to others about The Idea; (3) The Organization on behalf of The Idea; (4) The Action on behalf of The Idea, & (5) The Realization of The Idea. We have not agreed about any Idea on behalf of White Nationalism, within the so-called Movement. In fact, we do not even agree on the definition of "White". Some are White Nationalists, as I am. Others are White 'separatists', & others are White supremacists of the KKK variety. Reality reveals to those who can see that these are Ideas, only, & that there is little or nothing in the way of Realization. There are E-mail & snail-mail networks of correspondents who exchange information & opinions, but who really do not correspond in their beliefs. There are others who may agree on The Idea (whatever it may be), but they do not agree upon the Leader or Leaders who supposedly wish to Realize The Idea. Then there are those of us who disagree on the methods adopted on behalf of The Idea. Add to this perversity the diversity of various religious approaches to racialism & we have what I so affectionately call "The Blightwing".

To the issue of ideology must be added our issues of physical survival, our material necessities & activities on behalf of our livelihoods, & our relationships with loved ones, if any. Few of us, if any, are able to live on or for our cause 100% of the time.

You clearly stated the eternal relationship between the leaders & the led, neither of whom can exist without the other. Even the Pied Piper played a tune which was pleasing to his followers, not that it was to their benefit that they follow<sup>ed</sup> him! The ZOG's puppets & pundits obviously play a tune which pleases the masses, including White sheeple, so if it is up to a leader to please the masses, he will become Savitri Devi's "Man in Time". This means that Adolf Hitler would have had to sacrifice his Idea for Aryan Salvation in order to garner votes, just as his 'successful' predecessors did, only to fall into the dustbin of history. The Leader must know when the masses' immediately expressed interests are not in their true interests, & he must have confidence in his knowledge. He must also be able to express his Idea effectively, even if partially, so that the masses of his potential followers & supporters can understand him, & begin to think about his Idea, even though they may not support it immediately. Leadership is for the long-term. That is why history recognizes some people as leaders, & others are not so recognized, despite their rank & status in their societies.

We have discussed the issue of brave soldiers & poor generalship. The fact that they were bravely committed to their cause serves to reveal their leaders' poor choice of tactics & targets. In this regard, I am not an armchair warrior, nor a backseat driver, regardless of the fact that I am not in prison, nor dead. Over the decades I have studied & observed aspects of wars & revolutions, & I have also interviewed participants therein. The lesson is that some things are better done than others, & that all brave deeds are not equal. It is much better to think before acting, even if the blightwing disagrees. How many political activists do you know who have been deported from 5 countries, including the U.S.A.? I would not call myself brave, but determined. I was determined to survive, as well as I could, & I was also determined to find out what was going on & who was making it happen. The fact that I risked my life in doing so did not escape my knowledge, either. Who else do you know who went to a country in a state of civil war "for his health", in the words of a Soviet Embassy official in Peru?

We discussed the merits or demerits of "The Turner Diaries" & my novel, "The Chosen One." I am sure that "The Turner Diaries" has sold more copies, but "The Chosen One" has been on [www.FAEM.com](http://www.FAEM.com) for almost a decade, & it is available to everyone, free of charge. A correspondent in Europe has submitted the novel for translation into various languages, including Russian, & I'll let you know of results, if any. I have not earned a penny from that novel, for it was sufficient to succeed in breaking through FEDZOGUSA's censorship, to make it available to Americans, as well as Europeans, & anyone else. I live FOR the Cause, not OFF it, so SALES figures are irrelevant. It's free. Its success depends upon who reads it, not how many do so. The fact that it prompted Pierce to write "The Turner Diaries" is a success in itself. My novel is not "great fiction" in my own opinion, but it is a fictionalized account of effective target-selection, whereas "The Turner Diaries" is most definitely not, as proven by the results. Look up the 1970s reports of "The Jayhawker", an irate logger in the NW who had the ZOG in a tizzy, for his activities threatened to black out the electric power in NW USA & SW Canada. Had he not insisted blabbing on the CB radio, he'd have succeeded. Power pylons are a strategic, revolutionary target, not armored bankster wagons. If Jayhawker had been doing it for profit, he would nevertheless have created objective conditions for revolution.

All salient aspects of this subject I wrote about in 1976, as well as in 1964, from the point of observation & evaluation. The fact that people did not read it, nor study treatises on strategic warfare in military libraries is not my fault. For the record & the ZOG, let it be known that my fictional descriptions & my essays on Target Selection are assessments, only, & not advocacy, like T.E. Lawrence's excellent article on railway sabotage, which I used as an important text toward the prevention of railway sabotage in Africa. The ZOG certainly knows what is a strategic (revolutionary) target & what is not. To save you looking up my essays on [www.FAEM.com](http://www.FAEM.com), I will condense what I said in "The Strategy of Target-Selection" & "Here Comes the Revolution, Ready or Not!": The selection by a leader of non-strategic targets is usually a policy of a bandit or government agent-provocateur, designed to gain sympathy for the regime in power. "Lenin" wrote about the questionable nature of bank robberies as a 'revolutionary' tactic, which blurred the distinction between bandits & revolutionaries. "Stalin" apparently planned a major bank robbery in which mass carnage in a public park in the bank's neighborhood allowed the robbers to clean out the bank & make their getaway, without hindrance from the police, who were busy picking up body parts & wounded people in the park, where the Red robbers had set off a large bomb. Stealing the regime's money gives the thieves a stake in the regime, as has been said of the mafia. Revolutionaries do not want a stake in the regime, but to overthrow it. Of course, it depends on how such stolen money is used. As I recall, the jew, Finkelstein alias Litvinoff, was arrested in Paris when he tried to convert the stolen rubles at a bank. The serial numbers had been recorded & telegraphed to the European banking system when the robbery occurred. The whole thing was a kosher caper, but it should teach others valuable lessons, anyway. We can learn from others' mistakes or we can learn from our own mistakes, but the former learning method is less expensive & more efficient.

Objective revolutionary conditions exist when the majority of people are deprived of their means of living. This can occur when a people's factories are bombed out or when they are exported, thus depriving them of their jobs. As we know, some targets are more strategic than others, such as oil refineries, pipelines, ports & power plants, upon which all transportation & manufacturing depends. Objective conditions may occur in a non-revolutionary context, but no revolution is likely to occur, nor to succeed without objective conditions of mass-deprivation. Che Guevara, as I wrote, tried to start a revolution in Bolivia without such conditions, even when we can all agree that the majority of Bolivians are very poor. The people did not see it that way, & Che's little group of foreigners became isolated & eventually captured, with the co-operation of Moscow & Washington, which supported the Bolivian regime. As we discussed, there must be a proper combination of circumstances, leadership & followers at the proper moment for political change to occur. It's like the "Fire Triangle" of heat, oxygen & fuel. If one element is removed from the triangle, no fire can occur, nor continue. There have been recent examples: The Hungarian Revolt of 1956; the student/worker riots in Paris in 1968, as well as campus disturbances in the U.S.A., Canada & elsewhere. We can understand why they did not succeed: In Hungary, the lack of leaders & support from "anti-Communist" countries; in France, Moscow's denial of workers' support for the students; In North America, general public indifference, for students are consumers, not producers of useful goods & services. Only when students make disturbances off campus does the public react, in this case, unfavorably.

We live in revolutionary conditions right now, but we do not perceive them as such, or, we choose not to perceive them at all. This was true of Argentina which followed the banksters' orders to export their country's manufacturing sector overseas, as we are doing in North America. The results were soon to follow in the form of inflation & crime. Let us suppose that a criminal leader would aim not only for profit, but for political power, as some do, to an extent, in Mexico & Colombia. Such a person would likely push Argentina into civil war &/or revolution, as could easily occur in the U.S.A., all depending on his ambitions & abilities.

You raised so many important topics in our conversation which are worthy of further comment, such as the dearth of inspirational writing. As you say, Whites lack their own culture, including music, art, heroes & sagas. My own talents & inspirations in the cultural field are quite limited, & I am primarily concerned with gathering & processing information relevant to Our Race. Creativity is, at least for me, not something which I can switch on or off, for it is organic, not mechanical. We both know Aryans who have great talents, some of whom are practically boycotted by their racial colleagues, for unknown reasons. Yet, there are occasional glimmers of creativity which have great appeal to Whites, such as the movies, "Excalibur" & the Tolkien series, which appear to lack the 'required' non-Whites as heroes. You are right to point out the importance of Whites' imaginations, which are the source of our ideas. As Mark Twain advised: "Dream better dreams." All the best. DOWZ! & ORION!

*Eric*