

25 FEB 05. Dear Doug: Many thanks for your letter of FEB 13<sup>th</sup> & enclosures! Whites definitely lack an ideology, especially the rule of asking ourselves, "Is this good for Whites?" What is simple is often difficult. How do we 'sell' a pro-White ideology, which includes racial improvement, as well as racial survival? I have attempted to interest Whites in such a program for decades in Africa, Europe, Canada & the U.S.A. As you point out, there is a definite lack of results, so far.

We are not alone in using appeals to reason, based on scientific evidence, to promote ideas of obvious benefit to Whites. These appeals tend to be met by emotional arguments on the part of ostensible Whites, as well as non-Whites, such as jews. As you say, they must be convinced that they are doing the right thing, & that's just for starters, for many people persist in doing the wrong things, even when they know what is right. There is a great deal of emotional & material inertia, even in these days of light baggage & fast transportation. As I understand, from personal experience: Instinct rules our emotions, & our emotions rule our reason. Intelligence is revealed when one can best & most efficiently satisfy his instincts, for reason & intelligence are just tools. I have always loved classical music, in comparison to other types. Why I do, I don't know. Maybe it's because I'm White. My reason is thus applied so as to obtain maximum exposure to classical music. But what if I were indoctrinated to believe that classical music was "wrong", because it was "Nazi"? Our instincts may be used against us, & our emotions distorted, so as to put our reason at the service of our enemies. This has happened throughout the ages, as with the introduction of Christianity & feudalism into the White lands of Europe, for the enslavement of the many, & the benefit of the minority. This may explain the thinking of the majority of Whites.

One writer asked, "How low must we stoop to advance?" In other words, how 'popular' must we make our message in conventional, current terms of reference? At this moment, we cannot even persuade the 'choir' to sing the same song. We are 'too radical', but radical thoughts are required in radical situations. As Hitler said, "I speak with the eloquence of emergency." The 3 major differences I perceive amongst pro-White Whites are: (1) White Supremacism: this follows the old KKK model, in which anyone with a pale skin is placed above non-Whites, despite their merit. In other words, dysgenic pale faces 'should' rule over the best specimens of other races, with the consequent sharing of living space, & the inevitable race-mixing that occurs under such circumstances. Strom Thurmond was a testimony about what's wrong with "White Supremacy", having fathered a mulatto child. "White Supremacy" is not relevant to racial improvement, & is, in fact, dysgenic. (2) White separatism: This does not imply the creation of a White nationstate, but racial separation in terms of living space. The weakness in this bare bones idea is that people need something positive, not merely the absence or the negation of that which oppresses them. As you point out, the creation of a White community would logically follow the creation of a White living space, but would it? As I see things, Whites lack their own communities, largely because they lack community spirit: one for all & all for one. This defect is largely due to the lack of common values, especially amongst the Majority of Whites. Putting such Whites into a specific area is no guarantee that they will not recreate the very blight from which they fled. I see this going on here in the Northwest. More Whites means more competition for land & jobs, plus more non-White aliens. This is movement minus separation & minus co-operation, which precludes White Community. As it now stands, White Flight is not White Separatism. (3) White Nationalism = ORION! = OUR RACE IS OUR NATION! This ideology is positive, for it is the presence of a movement towards a goal, rather than a mere attempt to flee one's oppressors. Nationhood exists first in the mind, as an idea which becomes manifest in the material world, as cathedrals were material manifestations of the Christian ideology. Nation is people, not place, despite jewish culture-distortion in this regard. For a people to obtain a place for members of their nation, they must first think of themselves as a nation. When Whites can do this, their behavior will change accordingly. Only nationhood can give Whites freedom from, plus freedom to. When the idea of White Nationhood is assimilated, then Form will follow Function. Before that, nothing.

The FRONTLINE material you sent me is certainly relevant & informative, but I would add that we currently live under ZOG, which uses any excuse to break up White communities, especially by its laws. Land ownership is no guarantee that people will be allowed to live in separation from the non-Whites, for freedom of association is forbidden to Whites, under ZOG. Schooling is one avenue of ZOG-incursion, to which homeschooling is a good defence, ZOG permitting. At this stage, I would recommend the sort of secrecy in the formation of White communities as achieved by the "Travellers", who are of Irish descent.