25 MAR 05. Dear Wayne: Many thanks for your letter of MAR 19th & the informative enclosures! "Don Andrews" just sent me the Bonokoski column from 2 MAR 05 which appeared in The Toronto Sun re The Zud's jewish ancestry. According to jewish law, if his mother is jewish, he is also. Perhaps his strange & twisted life is the result of his confused origins & motives. I am not confused about my origins, nor about my motives. That's why I've led such a quiet, uneventful life, eh? I would assume that he will be murdered in German ZOG custody, as was Helmut Rauca, for The Zud is just inconvenient, jew or not.

In regard to Dynasophy, I don't know who would be able to rhyme it with "nasty". There must be some strange dialects out your way! You might consider "Dynatheosophy", if you want to avoid an "ism", but that's quite a mouth full & it doesn't exactly trip off the tongue. It might be a good way of screening out syphilitic &/or drunken members, since they would have difficulty pronouncing it in the first place. Ha! We need, as you say, a spiritual component in our racial-survival philosophy, but it should be founded on reason, rather than irrational theism, such as the "Idiot-Identity" bunch who equate "Israelites" with "Whites", & who thereby wish to adopt the Old Testament's Yahweh as their deity.

Some writers have criticised White Nationalism by equating it erroneously with all brands of allegedly pro-White racism. Without a sense of purpose, one cannot be a White Nationalist, for the purpose of White Nationalism is ORION! As I replied to Covington in a letter I included in my previous mailing of 25 March, those who do not sign off with ORION! (OUR RACE IS OUR NATION!) are not White Nationalists, for they do not comprehend what White Nationalism is. I welcome your addition of Cur Great Cosmic Destiny into the concept of White Nationalism, for a nation must be more than a state of being. It must also be a state of mind, Covington to the contrary. White Unity is a prerequisite for White Nationalism. This means unity of purpose. It is difficult to achieve unity of purpose when there is no unity of religion, as is obvious. We need, above all, a religion fit for Aryans, which I deem Dynatheism to be, or Cosmotheism, if its proprietors permit, which I doubt, knowing the blightwing as I do.

Why do people insist on attempting to preserve the status quo or even to turn back the clock? I know some of the people you mention, & they have property & hostages. These 'traps', encumbrances, chattel & immovables preclude them from viable concepts. They booked their cabins on The Titanic & they wish to stay inside. Their wives & families generally want them to do so, for they think that these items are supportive of their lives, rather than being a form of entrapment, much as the White Rhodesians deemed their possessions, which got many of them killed. I could have 'owned' land in Rhodesia, but I did not, because I could see what was about to happen, just as I do not own land in North America, even if I could afford it! I view North America as a field for maneuvers, a no man's land where 'security' is of the mind & temporary at best. I do not recall that things were ever different, which makes life both zesty & zany, in other words, entertaining, rather than boring. As we know, life is fatal, but is it 'non-habit-forming', as my jovial Landmine & Boobytrap instructor used to say in regard to explosives? Many people believe in reincarnation, so we shall see. Whom do we sue if that turns out to be false? Perhaps the joke is on us & maybe we can learn to appreciate it.

The idea of forming a church is worthwhile, since a church can lead to the forming of a community of fellowship & purpose. Do not expect, however, to receive ZOG approval & tax deductions! Unlike ALL churches, Dynatheism would appeal to quality, rather than quantity, so I do not envisage a multitude of members, anywhere or any time. It is wise to remember that all religions also started with a tiny nucleus, as do political movements. The principle is to prevent quantity from adulterating quality. This has been achieved by establishing hierarchies &/or inner circles, as we see in churches, military organizations, political parties, &c. The question is, how do we motivate worthy Whites to join a movement aimed at their own racial survival & betterment? The Law of the Contrary becomes evident: When I sought to establish a White Power Movement, we attracted lots of non-Whites, who believed that they would be deemed White if they became members or supporters. I told Dietz, who saw the sale of membership cards as a source of income, that I would walk out if he were to issue such cards. My objections remain: (1) Membership cards require membership lists, so beloved by the ZOG. (2) Prospective members must be properly vetted in regard to their backgrounds & racial purity. (3) Membership cards can be counterfeited. We therefore issued WPM Supporters' Forms, for it is legitimate for anyone to support a group with money, subscriptions, purchase of publications. &c., just as one can support another's family without thereby becoming a family member. Isolated as we were in the mestizo semi-ghost town of Reedy, West Virginia, there were no po-& very few potential or actual supporters, so it was for me similar to betential members, ing marooned on a desert island, or in Yakima, the Bulawayo of Central Washington. In Toronto, the Samisdat effort promised lots of work, but no status, so we got lots of White support. It can be argued that we had much wider contact with many more people than we had in

In Toronto, the Samisdat effort promised lots of work, but no status, so we got lots of White support. It can be argued that we had much wider contact with many more people than we had in Reedy, but it can also be argued that our appeal was labelled differently. It is illegal to use "White" in the name of a political organization in Soviet Canuckistan alias "China-duh", & it is evident that Whites will not join groups which proclaim themselves overtly pro-White. Again, the Law of the Contrary is at work. It is necessary to note that these activities were pre-Internet, so now, we have a whole new field & great opportunities to reach the worthy few with a pro-White message, than ever in history.

By the way, if you are in contact with David Mock, please suggest to him that he change the name of his "ARS", which is a most unfortunate choice of initials. I would suggest "Aryan Renaissance Movement" for starters. "Movement" has great potential, without being specific, like "party", "society", "group", &c. A movement can be an organization &/or an ideology, with few or many members, & numerous means of manifesting itself, such as cultural activities, political demonstrations, mailing & call-in campaigns, lobbying of elected officials, participation in elections &, yes, religious activities. You can probably think of more ways in which a movement can be relevant, without being confined to a narrow appeal, such as I envisage for The Anti-Zionist Alliance. As I wrote in my letter to Gary, political manifestos & religious theses are like menus, but does the reading of a menu make the reader hungry for what he reads therein? This is where motivation comes in, & in how we purvey the message. As you

have indicated, leadership is a scarce commodity. The leader, or 'singer' must have personal appeal, including dynamism & charisma to attract people to his song. A good song or program with a bad singer will flop in terms of popular appeal. I have heard recorded speeches by Charles Lindbergh, Jr. in which he addressed important topics, but his manner of delivery was so monotonous that his speeches would be better advertised as 'sure cures' for insomnia. His contemporary, FDR, practically radiated insincerity, with his affected preacher's singsong & accent, which was similar to that of Woodrow Wilson & Douglas MacArthur. I would not buy a used car from such men, based on their manner of delivery alone, although I would buy a used car from Lindbergh, if I could stay awake long enough! A songwriter or promoter of a movement may not be a good singer or leader, himself, but we can spread the message anyhow, for the day on which a singer does appear. Since we are involved in a Cosmic Struggle, I feel certain that such a leader will appear, as circumstances permit. That is why the enemies of Our Race appear so desperate to destroy us by every means available, & as fast as possible. Their success requires our collaboration & compliance, just as we have been doing so far, but I hope that will change. The White Worm may finally turn in a better direction, & it may well occur in our lifetimes. Stay tuned!

The Australian Whites seem bemused by their anti-German propaganda & their Christian recidivism, with which they apparently seek to dethrone the present Christian god of Tolerance unto Death. Their problem is for them to decide if they are Whites, first & foremost, or some unviable subdivision thereof, like the White Afrikaners.

Re Thoughtcrime in Soviet Canuckistan: it is indeed a conundrum that one's foreign thoughts can cause domestic persecution under CANZOGIAN jewrisprudence. In pre-Internet days, I could publish thoughts in the U.S.A. which were illegal in Soviet Canuckistan. As long as I did not bring those published thoughts with me into CANZOGland, & as long as I did not disseminate them. I would remain a legal visitor or resident. But, let's say that a jew were to buy "The Hitler We Loved & Why", which I wrote in the U.S.A., & that he were to bring it into Canada. Then, suppose the jew were to complain to his Zionist congeners that I had written this book. assuming it is illegal there, & that I was visiting Toronto. It is conceivable that I would be deported & banned from Canada, although I had not brought the book into the country. This principle would apply to Commander Guy Carr, a Canadian whose books are banned in Canada. They were legal when he wrote them, but not today. Were he still alive, could he be convicted of thoughtcrime retroactively? ZOG knows! As far as I know from jews connected with the ZOG in Canada, it is 'kosher' for one to say what he pleases to his neighbor, over the backyard fence, but he cannot voice his thoughts at a "meeting", so how many people would constitute a meeting? Possibly more than one speaker plus one listener, possibly 3 people, would constitute such an illegal meeting. Of course, if the neighbor snitches on the speaker, thoughtcrime charges could be filed against the speaker. Here's an updated example: I have no computer, but people put my thoughts on the Internet. I do not log on, because I know my own thoughts, & I have 'hard-copies' should I forget. Again, suppose I visited "China-duh" alias Canada, bringing no computer & no hard copies of my thoughts, but some jew were to log onto a website which allegedly recorded my thoughts, which may not be mine at all. The website is foreign. but the jew complained about them in Canada, because HE brought them into Canada, as he did "The Hitler We Loved & Why". Would I be jewdicially sanctioned for his deeds? Let's put the shoe on the other side of the zogline or border. Say that we still had Prohibition & that I was a U.S. citizen who imbibed alcoholic beverages in a legal tavern in Canada. Then I returned sober to the U.S.A. with no alcoholic contraband in my possession. In other words, I have obeyed the law in Canada, as well as in the U.S.A. Why would this obedience to the law in both countries subject me to prosecution for "illegal drinking" in the U.S.A., where I had done no such thing? I remain the same person, but my behavior conforms to the laws of the land, where I happen to be. What is legal in one land is illegal in the other, & it is ultra vires for Canada to extend its law enforcement into the U.S.A., just as it would be for the U.S.A. to arrest people in the U.S.A. who had legally imbibed alcohol in Canada. It has been argued that thoughts differ from material items & that thoughts recorded electronically differ from thoughts recorded on paper. Yes, thoughts do differ from things, for if I give you a thing, then you have it & I don't, but if I give you a thought, then we both have it. Africa is the exception, however, because the Whites allegedly stole the artifacts of "African genius", as well as the genius which had invented them. But, since we are Whites, I can assume that my purchase of your wheelbarrow does not deprive you of the idea of a wheelbarrow, so you would still know what to buy or how to make one for yourself. Boy, I'd hate to 'steal' your civilization, the way we supposedly stole Black civilization in Africa! But thoughts & thoughtcrimes differ from things & illegal items only in regard to the means by which they are transported, which thereby seeks to dodge the issue of content. Let us imagine two forms of illegal substance. One is cocaine; the other Holohoax Denial. The former is a thing; the other is thought. Were I to possess the former illegal substance, I would also have to obtain it illegally, but not so in regard to Holohoax Denial. Cocaine is illegal in Canada & the U.S.A., but Holohoax Denial is still legal in the U.S.A., as are other things which are illegal in Canada. I would suppose that the advertisement of cocaine on paper & on the Internet would be deemed illegal in both countries, but not so for Holohoax Denial. Let us suppose that someone were to drop an unsolicited parcel of cocaine on your doorstep, for which you'd be considered innocent (I hope!). Then let us suppose that someone dropped an unsolicited Holohoax Denial flier on your doorstep. In this case, I'd also hope that the ZOG would not charge you for "possession of hate (sic) literature". In both cases, your receipt of the items would not be a matter of volition, BUT if you actively purchased cocaine &/or subscribed to a purveyor of (gasp!) Holohoax Denial literature, you could be held liable, if you got caught. I would argue that one who purchases an illegal book from a country in which that book is legal breaks Canucki-law, if he is caught, but not its author nor publisher in the U.S.A., where possession is still legal. The same would apply to electronic messages emanating from the U.S.A. Those who listen &/or log onto such messages where they are illegal are the perpetrators, & not the authors & website proprietors where the messages are legal.

The precedent for holding the recipients responsible for illegally importing forbidden items, including thoughts, goes back to the days of wireless radio transmissions. During The Spanish Civil War of 1936 to 1939, it was illegal & deadly for people to listen to radio broadcasts emanating from the other side. But to do so required one to tune in on the forbidden broadcast himself, just as it requires the active participation of a kosher 'victim' of Holohoax Denial to log on to such a website. In other words, the consumer is the 'perpetrator, not the author, nor his print or electronic publisher, whose products are legal in their country of origin. Since receipt of Internet transmissions is banned by law in Absurdistan alias China-duh if they are deemed politically incorrect, that is, unkosher, we can imagine the fate of a ham radio operator who inadvertantly intercepts a message from Osama bin Laden. Would he be 'guilty' (1) for tuning in & (2) for listening? Do either of these acts constitute agreement with Osama? Would the ham radio operator be held liable according to the legal precedents set down for those who view certain types of pornography on the Internet? In this regard, the people who log on to the forbidden thoughts & images are held responsible, but not so in the case of people who continue to log onto Holohoax Denial websites so they can complain about the authors & purveyors. Why is there an apparent difference between those who 'monitor' Holohoax Denial & those who 'monitor' porn-sites?

The late, great Robert Frens had skirmishes with various Politically-Kosher Internet service providers or whatever, before he found a bunch which were both cheap & who believed in freedom of expression. He spoke in glowing terms of them. I asked if they were Americans who USED to believe in the First Amendment, provided it suited them. "No," Robert said. "They're Chinese, & they advise their subscribers & loggers-on that if they 'no likee' FAEM's content, then they are free not to 'lookee'. "You no likee, you no lookee," is their credo." I said, "too bad Americans no longer think that way." A dangerous trend in 'our' media of information is the progressive infantilization of the content, in which subject matter deemed 'unsuitable' for two year olds is deemed 'off-limits' to adults as well. Everything we supposedly fought to protect in two world wars is being sacrificed to our ZCG in peacetime, without a fight. Such a deal!

It thus appears that our exercise of freedom & democracy in these "free & democratic" societies boils down to the smuggler's credo: "Don't get caught."The gulag begins in the mind. I think CANZOG was therefore unreasonably interpreting the law in regard to The Zud's U.S. netsite, so that is now a dangerous precedent for all denizens & dwellers in Soviet Canuckistan, despite which, my arguments still have merit. Canadians have similar 'rights' as U.S. prison inmates in regard to politically-kosher censorship, which are bugger all, as the Brits say. When people ask me what I think of Canada, I reply, "Ah, Canada, the land of ZOG, where pot is legal & thought is not, a place where one can toke, but not talk, so just light up & banish all thoughts, OR CANZOG will banish you to the gulag!"

Freedom of inquiry & expression hang by a thread in the U.S.A., for The Genocide Convention has legally abolished the First Amendment & "The Patriot Act" can deem anyone a "terrorist". All the best & ORION!