

1 JUNE 05. Dear Brian: Many, many thanks for your letter of April 26th & the outstanding enclosures! As they say, one picture is worth 1000 words. France looks like an Arab country, with the addition of Black Africans, many of whom I would guess would be Moslems. I recently read "Civil War Two" by Tom Chittum, in which he correlates conflicts within a territory in terms of percentages. When a majority population comprises 90% + of a territory's ethnic elements, the chances of inter-ethnic conflicts, especially violent ones, are reduced. If the minority percentage increases, however, the occasions for conflict also increase. If several different ethnic &/or cultural groups also occupy the same territory, as in the infamous Balkans, the results are likely to be the same as occurred with the breakup of the former Yugoslavia: ethno-carnage. The former U.S. official in the former Yugoslavia, Holbrook, later went to Africa, where he saw the same basis of ethnic strife: the multi-ethnic occupation of living space. The Tutsis & Hutus share the same living space, along with their tribal rivalries, so their ethno-carnage is traditional. In Black Africa, the warlike tribes such as Masai, Tutsi, Zulu & Matabele (an offshoot of the Zulu) routinely preyed upon often more numerous, but less warlike tribes in their areas. Often, tribes fleeing such predators would invade other tribes' living space & drive the former occupants out, as occurred with the Bushmen, who once occupied areas of NE Zimbabwe, but were driven out, into the Botswana Desert a few centuries ago, as I understand. Similarly, North American Amerasian tribes were driven out of choice hunting grounds by other tribes. It is said that the less successful tribes were driven further north. The same inter-ethnic competition for living space, hunting, fish^{grazing}ing, & agricultural lands forms the basis of history, from Europe to Asia, & this theme continues into the present, as long as humans produce children too numerous for their own lands & lifestyles to sustain. This birth rate was the basis for European empires, just as it now is for the non-European conquest of Europe, albeit by peaceful means, because Europeans are allowing the alien invasion of their living space without a fight. Not only do they PAY their invaders, but they reduce their own numbers by abortion & birth control, just as their judeo-capitalist rulers apparently wish. The same thing is occurring in the U.S.A. & Canada.

You & others raise the issue of "cultural survival", as opposed to the biological survival of a race. Christians love this concept, for they believe in converts, as do Moslems. That is why race is irrelevant to them. French Canadians, for example, deem all French-speakers to be "French", regardless of their race & origins. Some do not, of course, but they do not appear to direct Quebec's immigration policy, as do the Franco-mestizos (French-speaking Eurasians). I believe that biology is the basis of culture, so race is important. Who is White can be easily proven by DNA testing. For simplicity, "White" means a person without Asian or Black genes. Eurasians, such as many Finns & Hungarians, are not White. Greeks & Italians who have sickle cell anemia are Afro-Europeans, rather than Whites or Caucasians. There are 3 races: Black, White & Yellow, with subdivisions which we can best denote as nations, then tribes, clans & families, down to the individual. Politics & religion have served to confuse & mask biology in terms of human classification. Race is not place, just as biology is not geography. Nor does race have to do with religion or political affiliation, any more than such learned attributes of one's environment determine one's gender.

In the light of modern, correct genetic science, such antiquated terms as "Nordic", "Alpine", "Mediterranean" are most descriptive of geography, rather than biology, that is, race. Although many people do not like to use the term, "Yellow Race", to describe the predominant genotype of Asia, it just happens that Australia is within the Eastern Hemisphere, as is China, &c. so Whites & Blacks resident in Australia have been called "Asians", because of their location. Obviously, race is quite different from place of residence, although the Jew, Boas, perverted anthropology by claiming that one's place of birth determined his "nationality", & that "nationality" was derived from birthplace, rather than race. Other writers have pointed out this absurdity, for a giraffe born in a London zoo would be deemed as "English fauna", & all cars manufactured in Detroit would be "Detroits", rather than Fords, Chevrolets, &c. Presently, the U.S. government recognizes Jews as members of a 'nationality,' regardless of their birthplace. That defies Boas' definition, which merely applies to Gentiles. Historically, few nationalities have recognized aliens born within their territory as fellow nationals. This is a perversion of recent times. This would mean that Rudolf Hess was not a German, but an Egyptian, since he was born to German parents in Egypt. Nation does not mean 'place', & a multi-national place or country is not a nation, but an empire, if it has one government. It is widely acknowledged that empires are unstable, & that they break up along ethnic &/or national lines, as we have seen most recently in Yugoslavia & the former USSR. This will be the fate of the U.S.A., & it is likely to be the fate of former nationstates, such as France.

To return to the subject of 'culture', which is largely temporal, it can be argued that the sort of 'culture' extant throughout previously White countries is unworthy of preservation, so what is worthy? Would it be European languages, even if spoken only by non-Whites, just as Latin is sometimes used by non-Romans, because Romans are extinct? If the non-White invaders of France would promise to preserve French monuments, would the French die happy? It seems that they have lost the will to live, as have so many Whites in their alien-distorted cultures.

As I see it, our loss of living space to alien invaders is only one symptom of our abject surrender to Our Enemy Within, with whom we identify, our inner Jew, who takes multiple guises, from escapist Christinsanity, not of this world, to totally degenerate materialism. In brief, religion supplies escapists with spiritual opiates, whereas materialism supplies escapists with physical opiates. As I have stated previously, I do best among my own kind, as Kipling wrote of "The Stranger". Would a Beethoven fare as well in a Black African kraal as he did in a predominantly White Vienna? It appears that Whites are going to find out for themselves.

I note the rejection of the globalist European Union Constitution by the French & Dutch. It appears that some White Europeans want to exercise more control of their former living space. So there are signs of life in some White folks, & it is said that "where there is life there is hope." All the best. DOWZ! & ORION!

Eric

P.S.: In regard to your great essay on how the Axis might have won the war, it appears to me that the best way would have been for the Japanese to make at least a demonstration on their Manchurian Front to keep the Soviet armies pinned down in the east. That would have allowed the German advance to continue. As I understand from my studies, it was Roosevelt's oil embargo which finally prompted the Japanese to attack the U.S. Pacific Fleet, which they, of course, missed at Pearl Harbor, because FDR had ample warning that they were coming. Allegedly, the Japanese fleet, which had converted from coal to oil, had no more than 2 weeks' steaming capacity, after which, they would be literally "out of gas". As I recall from "The Fleet that had to Die", a book about the Russian defeat at Tsushima Strait, the epic voyage of the Russian fleet was from The Baltic, all the way around Europe & Africa. The British who were not so secretly allied with Japan denied the Russians the use of The Suez Canal, which they would likely do to the Japanese, if they had not run out of fuel before reaching Suez. The Canal is easily blocked, & there were British & French bases at Aden & Djibouti, from which the Japanese could have been attacked. As I see it, The USA would have entered the war on the side of the All-Lies, whether Japan had attacked the U.S. Pacific Fleet or not. What he really wanted was to declare war on Germany, which had attacked his beloved USSR, instead of Japan, as he'd anticipated. FDR used the Jap attack as his excuse for declaring war on "the Axis". It is notable that his beloved USSR did not declare war on Japan until 1945. As it was, Japan successfully fought the British & their colonial troops all the way to India. Priority #1 for Japan was The Dutch East Indies & its precious oil. No oil: no navy.

P.P.S.: You have an old address for Hans Schmidt. I no longer receive word from him after I was informed that he had a stroke about 2 years ago.

Hans Schmidt
P.O. Box 1739
Brevard, NC 28712 USA
(NEW ADDRESS)

ZOG-LOGIC: We kill Arab Moslems in their countries as we let them populate North America. This would mean that those who are here are 'good', while those who live in their own Arab Moslem countries are 'bad'. Since ZOG believes that one's place makes one 'good' or 'bad', we should be able to stop the war in Iraq by bringing all the Arab Moslems in Iraq here.

Then we could embrace more diversity!

ZOG says: Homogeneity bad; homosexuality good.

JEWS say: Diversity is 'good' for Gentiles, but 'bad' for jews. Therefore, ZOG says: Gentiles must be inclusive, while jews can be exclusive, unless they are non-White Gentiles!

ZOG SAYS: FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION FOR EVERYONE BUT WHITES!