

7 SEP 05. Hail Robert! Thanks for your letter of 31 AUG. I have a copy of "They were White & they were Slaves" by Hoffman. A correspondent recently sent me a list of my own relatives who were sent to North America as slaves from Scotland, by the jew-lover Oliver Cromwell, so it's a small world, as we say. Good luck on your quest for the recognition of Creativity! I suggest you investigate Cosmotheism &/or Dyna-theism for additional reinforcement of your creed. Contact Dynatheism, P.O. Box 60103, Vancouver, B.C. V5W 4B5, Canada, for a free booklet. I have one which I'd be glad to send you, but I don't think your zoggies would permit you to receive it from a non-publisher.

Re government: politics is people-power. Plato mentions 3 types of governments or political systems in "The Republic". He begins with democracy, which he says soon deteriorates from 'rule of the many' into plutocracy, 'rule of the money' of the few. Plutocracy becomes timocracy, or rule by those who love honor & glory. Essentially, all governments are minorities when those governed become too numerous for direct democracy of the "Thing" or "Town-hall" variety, in which every voter has his say on issues before the electorate.

In the 20th century, we saw Socialism, Communism, Fascism & National Socialism. Before World War I, Socialists had some political power in Europe, but they betrayed their "workingclass solidarity", which was supposed to be international, in favor of backing their own countries' war efforts. The jew Communist, "Lenin" justly condemned the Socialists for their betrayal of class interests, although it was World War I which brought him to power in what became Red Russia, whose "socialism" was actually a corrupt form of state capitalism, in which everyone worked for the state, which owned all the means of production. This was not how "Karl Marx" wanted things to work out in his scheme for a Communist state, as he wrote in his Communist Manifesto of 1848. "Marx" declared "From each, according to his ability; to each, according to his need." "Lenin" declared: "Those (Gentiles) who do not work shall not eat!" Thus did "Lenin" stand Marxism on its head, while claiming that "Marxism-Leninism" were one & the same. The only thing they had in common was that both were jewish. Both were contradictions, as well as being kosher con-games or rackets. The same applies to "Judeo-Christianity", which combines Old & New Testaments.

Mussolini was a Socialist before World War I, but he saw that nationalism was much more powerful than Socialism, especially when nationalism worked with capitalism. Being pretty realistic, Mussolini promoted Fascism, the corporate state, in which government worked to achieve a consensus, that is, agreement among real power blocs, such as the military, the industrialists, the farmers (peasants & landowners), banksters, the church, & the labor unions. The Communist Party & the Mafia were excluded from the Fascist state, so they were illegal. Under Fascism, the people were servants of the state.

German National Socialism was different. For one thing, it had wide popular support. In the structure of National Socialism, The State was The Vessel which protected The Race, & The Race protected The Individual, as occurs in reality. When the state does not protect the race, the race cannot protect the individual, just as we see in the USA, under ZOG.

Monarchy, i.e. rule by a king or queen, is basically an outgrowth of a warlord & his heirs who pass on their kingdom to their relatives & heirs. This is called hereditary monarchy. One such is present day Saudi Arabia & other Arab states with their ruling kings or sultans. A king who rules over other kingdoms & other peoples is called an emperor. There are, mainly in Europe, "parliamentary" or "constitutional" monarchies, in which the king or queen is a figurehead without real power, despite having prestige for ceremonial occasions. The real ruler is the prime minister who represents the party in power. Often, the real rulers of a country stand behind the thrones, as do the banksters who control the money supply, which determines what the politicians may or may not do.

Then there are those strange critters called "republics", which depend on indirect or "representative" democracy. History shows us that republics never last very long, as with the Roman & the U.S. republics. Those with economic power soon gain power over the masses, so the republic becomes an imperial plutocracy under an emperor, as the USA is today. The USA was never a "nation", for nation = one people of one race. Nation is a people, not a place, jew-speak to the contrary!

The ancient Aryan Spartans knew that freedom comes from self-government, & self-government comes from economic self-sufficiency. Foreign trade makes us dependent & thereby ruled by foreigners, to one degree or another, as we also see in the USA.

2) Another form of government is theocracy, in which the state is the agent of a religion, & laws are based on the ruling religion, such as Moslem sharia, or Islamic law. As soon as Islam became political, sultans & caliphs ruled in the name of Allah, just as Christian rulers acted in the name of Jesus, & even held positions in the church. The Papal States were one example of theocratic rule in Italy, until Freemasonic leaders created the present government of Italy & reduced them to the tiny territory known as The Vatican, in the 19th century. Mussolini succeeded in making a concordat or treaty with the Pope, similar to the U.S. treaties with "sovereign" Indian tribes.

You raise the question of "nature or nurture". At one time nature, that is, genetics & heredity, became unfashionable because it was not equalitarian. By no means are men or women "created equal", otherwise all athletes would score the same & all people would have equal I.Q.s. Even in law, some people are deemed 'more equal' than others. If one is deemed young, dumb, crazy or non-White, chances are that he will not do the time for his crime. For alleged racists, more time is added for the same offenses. As Orwell said: "Who defines controls." I define a criminal as anyone who commits acts of war on his society. Unfortunately, we only catch small criminals, not the big ones! What is 'crime' & what is self-defense? As we say, one man's terrorist is another man's patriot, so only those with might can define the same act as one thing or the other. As we know, "might makes right" in politics. It is wisely noted that government exists in the minds of the governed. If a government is seen to be illegitimate in sufficient minds, usually because it is incompetent, corrupt &/or alien, then its days in power are numbered, especially when it no longer has a credible monopoly of armed force, & the governed become sufficiently desperate. Then we would have what the Jew, "Lenin", would define as "objective conditions for revolution."

In regard to foolish attempts to change people's natures, I remember a children's story about an animal trainer who taught monkeys how to dance the minuet, clad in 18th century finery, complete with powdered wigs. The monkey minuet-dancers behaved like perfect ladies & gentlemen until some mischievous boy (probably a Nazi) tossed a handful of nuts on the stage. Immediately, the dance became a riot in which the monkeys scrambled for the nuts, while fighting one another for the snacks, thereby rending their fancy duds into rags & tatters, with wigs on the floor. This is called "reversion to type". We have seen how this bio-cultural connection was shown in post-colonial Haiti, Liberia & the Black states of Africa. I was impressed after living in Africa, where the culture is polygamous, to see the same Black behavior in North America, where Blacks have been "educated" for monogamy for around 400 years! One might as well attempt to teach Blacks to have blond hair.

Yes, White people's instincts have also been distorted, perverted & suppressed. This is called "genocide" by the Genocide Convention which is on par with the U.S. Constitution. ZOG knows!

I do not think pacifism nor violence are 'goods' or 'bads' per se. Pacifism can be surrender, & violence can also do violence to one's cause & oneself. There are many ways of resisting alien occupation, but none of them are effective if we do not use knowledge & judgement. So far, I see violence, as it has occurred, to be plain dumb & counter-productive. There are still lots of things we can do for Our Race & still remain legal, such as learning history & survival skills. If we are to become effective warriors & political soldiers, we will need such knowledge, without which we have little or no chance of survival, let alone White Victory. No, the truth can make no one free if he does not heed it & act accordingly. For starters, I recommend (1) No TV, & (2) Stay debt-free. That's something we can all do for ourselves & our White race.

As a White Nationalist, I sign off with ORION! (OUR RACE IS OUR NATION!) Nothing else will do. All the best, & again, I say ORION!

ERIC