

30 DEC 05. Dear Brian! Thank you very much for your mailing dated 24 NOV 05, which addresses sufficient subjects upon which to found a university. If only more people would be aware of such important topics! Many thanks, also, for your updates on demographic issues in France. As I was listening to the radio Jews today, I heard of Sudanese riots in Egypt. Those Blacks want immediate transportation to Europe or the USA, so they are giving their current Egyptian hosts a hard time. Commander Rockwell asked, "Who needs niggers?" The answer is, "Nobody!", least of all other niggers. If Whites were as racist as Blacks, we would not need niggers, either. It's time for a change, White man!

I note a tendency to glorify certain elements of the past, in regard to the elimination of current abuses. Conservatives need to know that the past led to the present, so the past must have had defects which resulted in present problems. This knowledge does not require great profundity, but conservatives do overlook the responsibility of the past in creating our present. Writers have described "the seeds of destruction". It is wise for us to study the past in order to identify these seeds, which have sprouted like weeds to choke the flowers in our society of today.

You have pointed out the ongoing issue of Europe versus Asia, in terms of ideas, culture & demographics. We agree that Semitic religions are Asiatic, not Aryan, although lots of Aryan customs & myths were purposely incorporated into Christinsanity to gain converts, including many of our Pagan gods who were made into "saints". The best depiction of Christinsanity, to my knowledge, was written by the Jew, Marcus Eli Ravage: "A Real Case Against the Jews," which appeared first in a U.S. publication: Century Magazine, in February, 1928. His subtitle states: "The Devastating Ramifications of Paul of Tarsus & Christianity in Destroying Roman Civilization." It is therefore incorrect to claim that Western Civilization was "created" by Christianity, when the fact is that Christianity distorted Western Civilization. As Dr. Oliver noted, "The good that Christianity brought to Europe was not new, & the new was not good."

The Jew, Sarkozy, is stuck in his Jewishness, for the current situation in his country of residence is not the result of "inbreeding", but of "outbreeding" & "downbreeding." To claim that one must commit adultery, that is, race-mixing, to avoid inbreeding or incest is not only absurd, but it is something the Jews condemn, in regard to themselves, while they recommend race-mixing for non-Jews. Otherwise, the Jews are noted for their abuse of universalism ("The Rights of Man", &c.) on behalf of tribalism. Sheenie semantics means one thing to Goyim & something quite different to Jews. One example is the Jews' use of the word "humanity": Goyim think (incorrectly) that the Jews include them in that term, but to Jews, "humanity" means Jews only. Others have noted that the Jew insists on his exclusivity, just as he insists on non-Jews' INCLUSIVITY. Judaism is exclusive; Christinsanity & Islam are inclusive, for the latter two Semitic cults are always seeking converts. The gist of the Jews' message is "Do as we say, not as we do!"

Your report of the rampant degeneracy afflicting the French media of education, information & entertainment is symptomatic of Roman-style decadence. It is a sign of acute alienation amongst the French that some of them think that the Burning of French Cars in France is comical. Maybe only non-French think that is a serious matter. I do not think that the Chinese are inscrutable at all, as compared to the French! Good luck on your heroic efforts!

Poor David Irving! I know him personally, & I've arranged speaking venues for him when I was in Canada. He is a great speaker, with his delivery, pleasant voice & wit. One source which I cannot vouch for claimed that Irving was a Jew. Now you mention that he has discovered that Fieldmarshal Milch was not a Jew, because his father "was not a Jew." Mr. Irving needs to learn at least 2 things: (1) Who actually won World War II, & (2) Who is a Jew? The rule is that one is a Jew if his mother is a Jew. Fathers have nothing to do with it in Jewish race law! Religion is irrelevant in determining one's Jewishness, for "Jew" is a nationality, as Jews use it, regardless of one's touted religion or lack thereof. It is biological, not theological. Perhaps Milch's father was a convert to Christinsanity, for "Milch" is a commonly Jewish name. I have known Milchs in America, & they were all Jews. I also knew a Polish girl whose father was a Jew. She went to Israel, mistakenly believing she was Jewish. The Israelis told her that she was not a Jew, because her mother was Polish, not Jewish. A Jew father is insufficient, for that makes her a "mamzer" or bastard, a half-Jew or Mischling. Certainly, if Milch's mother was a Jew, Milch would be, too. A Jew is not what David Irving may think. A Jew is a Jew according to Jew law. I wish him the best of luck, under Austria's Zionist regime. Unlike The Zud, I would not expect Irving to recant in regard to the Holohoax, but I fear that Zundel has yet to prove his revisionist bona fides, which we

2) shall not know until he actually goes to trial. I have no doubt that The Zud is pro-Zud, for that's what he has always been, to the detriment of his touted beliefs, which he has a reputation for **abandoning**, as one would drop a dead mouse into the trash or down the toilet. That's what Zündel did with The White Power Movement, so he could easily do so with the Holocaust, if the ZOG makes him an offer, as they did with Fieldmarshal Milch. The translation of Milch's sworn statement at Nuremberg refers to the Allied belief in his jewish background, in regard to which Milch replied that it did not pertain. Milch was obviously loyal to Germany, whatever his background may have been. If jews were ready to recognize Milch as jewish, that is one indication. I'd like to know how Irving has determined that Milch was not of jewish descent. Once again, his mother is the deciding factor. In this regard, a biographer, whose name I do not know, who wrote in German, described the mother of the present Queen Elizabeth as being the former Sally Bowes-Lyons, who was of the jewish owners of the noted Lyons Tea House Company, which made her jewish, regardless of her church affiliation. If Queen E. was born to a jewish mother, then she is likewise a jew, & so is Prince Charles, who was notoriously circumcised by an orthodox jew rabbi or mohel, according to various jewsmedia reports. One Brit stopped writing to me, after I suggested he check out this story. I guess the truth hurts. The so-called British aristocracy is heavily mixed with jews, as recorded by Arnold Leese & others, so I would not be surprised if the Sally Bowes-Lyons story is true. One obvious item is that the "British Royals" are NOT, I repeat, NOT, pro-White in their programs, nor in their behavior, so that is a clue. Loyalty resides in identity, not geography.

Your description of humans mating with apes should be mentioned to Sarkozy, in terms of "inbreeding", & you might suggest that he practice what he preaches. Oy veh!

I gathered from my reading of the French language texts you sent that there are numerous "no go zones" for French police. This is what Thomas Chittum described as a precursor to civil war, which he predicts for the USA. Unfortunately, I see nothing whatsoever to contradict him.

Thanks for the revealing text on Victor Hugo. He seemed to suffer from the same delusions about Republican France & its Judeo-Freemasonic founders, with their bogus ideas, as did the French writer, Anatole France, whose "Penguin Island" was fun to read. As I read, he had a jewish mistress, which accounts for his pro-Dreyfuss stance. The Zud also favored jewish mistresses, one of whom dominated him, & none of whom had any good influence on his policies. Zündel has publicly hinted at his own possible jewish background in the Canadian jewsmedia, so his supporters should prepare themselves for surprises, when The Zud goes on trial.

The Judeo-historical resemblance between the kosher "French" Revolution & the kosher "Russian" Revolution is greater than both revolutions have with the Freemasonic "American" Revolution, which was an ostensible separation, rather than a socio-economic reorganization which would constitute a true revolution. The separation of the American colonies was only from the British Parliament, but not from The (so-called) Bank of England, as directed by the jew, Alexander Hamilton. Apparently, both kosher revolutions in France & in Russia resulted in civil wars, such as the Vendee resistance & the White Russian armies, whom the World War I Allies betrayed, under the direction of their jew-bankster masters in London, Paris & Washington, DC. I was surprised to learn that the Soviettitles were not equivalent to "Mr. or Mrs.", but meant "Citizen", just as this title was used by the revolutionaries in France. After all, the USSR was also a "republic!" In jew-thought, contradictions abound. That which 'conceals' is also designed to 'reveal'. By using names & titles common to the "French" & the "Russian" revolutions, I could see both in evidence: By using "citizen" & "republic", the Soviets were at once attempting to mask their reality with French revolutionary terms, but they were also unmasking the kosher similarities in both revolutions. It reminds me of the crematoria at Auschwitz, which the jews claim were meant to 'conceal' evidence of the "Holocaust", as they were blatantly 'revealing' it, by their use! Go figure.

Many thanks for sending me the trenchant report by Richard C. Duncan on "World Energy Production & Population Growth!" That makes great bedtime reading, for those warm-fuzzy feelings. Ha! Get ready for The Stone Age. As the author indicates, it's too bad we did not make intelligent use of our limited resources, while we had them. Few appreciate the water 'til the well runs dry, as some have noted. I have not heard of clothing from human skin, although jewish propaganda accuses the Nazis of making gloves & lampshades therefrom. World War I Brit propaganda accused Germans of gouging out Allied soldiers' eyeballs with which to make necklaces for their women, just as Yankee propaganda accused the Confederates of the same practice. One jew claimed that Dr. Mengele pinned inmates' eyeballs on his bulletin board. Aztec priests were alleged to wear victims' skins, but I don't know any Aztec priests, luckily! All the best. DOWZ! & ORION!

Eric