

23 MAR 06. Ahoy, Ron! It was great to receive your letter & enclosures of 19 FEB 06, mailed on 14 MAR 06. I wasn't sure if you'd followed your job to China, but now it's India. Have you practiced wrapping a turban around your head?

I have sent you parcels without letters from me, since my situation is 'all quiet, here on the Western Front,' aside from my letters to other correspondents, which I write in reply to their letters. I was waiting for your latest update on happenings in "The Frozen North." Things here are as usual: more jobs going to Mexican invaders. The downtown is a ghosttown, thanks to mismanagement of The Yakima Mall, which caused 99% of its tenants to leave, with a great sense of relief, according to the former J.C. Penneys manager. Rent-gouging was the main complaint, along with customer-gouging for parking in the cramped quarters of the garage, & lack of security for customers' vehicles. Mestizo gang-bangers swarming around also made people feel unsafe to be in the area, so all things added up to zero tenants & zero customers. It's an American Excess story, or an ode to blind greed.

I'd first like to comment on your excellent selection of reading material: In terms of religions, we now see a clash between freedom of expression, including missionary activities, & tolerance, that is, avoiding offense to anyone's belief. One correspondent said it was a case of Occident versus Orient: Semitic intolerance versus Aryan tolerance in regard to ideas. By "Semitic," I mean the religions emanating from Arabia, including Judaism, Islam & Christianity. Now that our ZOGs recognize thought-crime in a Babel society, clashes are inevitable. Duh! If I disagree with you, I am a thought-criminal or you are. If our argument is overheard by a third person who disagrees with both of us, then we are both thought-criminals. I think we should return to our former freedom of expression, in which words were distinguished from deeds, & argumentation was permitted, as long as <sup>it</sup> excluded physical violence. One mestizo said that "I must hate him, because I disagree with him." I said, "How can I hate you, when you are the only one with whom I can argue?" He went away, muttering something about "crazy White man." The meaning of multi-culturalism means diversity of values & behavior which must cause conflicts. How can any vestige of freedom remain in such societies, in which no one can be offended? Of course, some are "more equal" than others. People can offend Whites, but not non-Whites. Jews can razz Moslems, but Moslems cannot razz Jews, under ZOG. The Holocaust is 'holy.' It does not take a rocket scientist to understand our kosher double standard in regard to freedom of expression.

It does not compute, so it must be Soviet Canuckistan: The story about B.C. border guards leaving their posts at exactly the time they are most needed seems par for the course. Canadian guards fear guns so much that they are able to put their society at risk because alleged fugitives coming into Canada may be armed & dangerous! Why are these lumps of suet being paid by Canadian tax-payers? You might as well fund an unarmed 'army' which will run from battle at the first sound of gunfire!

Featherless bipeds cannot compute, either, since they do not understand there is a connection between rising fuel & raw material prices & inflation. If the price of steel goes up, why would the price of steel nails not go up, also? Such people are incapable of thinking, it appears. Fuel prices affect all prices, since goods are transported with fuel-burning vehicles. Duh!

The microchip-carriers do not seem to understand just how vulnerable they are to data-theft & erasure from magnetic fields. A data scanner can record whatever is on a microchip from five feet away, so if one is wearing a microchip & standing in line or at a counter, all his data may be stolen & transferred to an identity thief. Such a deal! If people are too dumb to remember their house keys, they probably should not be allowed out of their homes for mental defectives in the first place.

Re Net Energy Losses: Thanks for the page from the Automotive Engineering International magazine. If rice stalks must be destroyed by burning, why can't rice-growers use the stalks as fuel in boilers, as the sugarcane industry has done for centuries with squeezed cane stalks, called bagasse, as well as sugarbeet waste. Power plants & locomotives were fuelled directly by such organic by-products, with no processing required. Rice stalks burnt efficiently in fireboxes would produce far less smoke than is caused by burning them on the ground, & energy could be made & used on the spot, by means of steam. I'm not really a steam power nut, but where it works, why not use it? What disgusts me is that energy derived from heat is mainly derived from burning fuels, so greenhouse gases like CO<sub>2</sub> are unavoidable, no matter how we try to fudge it. It also requires so much energy to move so much weight so many miles in so much time, whether we get out & push. If we use rails as

2) our working surface, we can do the same job with one third less energy. I also think that rails are ugly, unlike highways, but if they work, we'd better use them when energy is so expensive!

The real elephant in the proverbial phonebooth is our capitalist consumer society which requires infinite production & disposability, which consume natural resources & pollute our environment via the production processes & the disposal of wornout items. Yes, we can mine our landfills for reusable materials, & we can recycle such things as plastics, but that still requires energy, &c. The insistence on infinite population growth, infinite resource use for infinite profit must be radically re-examined & at least reduced as much as possible. I wonder how much Holohoax 'physics' influences our decisions in these matters, for wishful-thinking appears to loom large in our calculations. One rule of thumb is that conversion of energy, say, from chemical to heat to mechanical to electrical, back to heat, &c. costs us energy at every step. Then if we use electricity, we lose that energy in proportion to the distance we transmit it. Sure, conversion of energy & fuels is convenient, but there is often, if not always, a net energy loss involved in the process.

One big plus from modern communications technology with computer transmission & storage of data is still largely unused, since employers who should be moving information are still involved in moving bodies from home to work. Now they can do the same work at home, without using 4 ton vehicles like Humvees to propel themselves from A to B & back again. Of course, security may be a problem, but there is no more security with data transmission if the computer is in a house or an office. All such transmissions may be intercepted. ZOG knows!

I recall a spoof on the use of horses, via the application of high-tech, such as headlights & electrical ovens to burn solid waste attached to the horse-drawn vehicle. Radical problems often require radical solutions, rather than tinkering with out-dated technology & concepts. Authors have pointed out that it will not be sufficient to improve the automobile, but to redesign our society so it can be independent of the automobile, as it was previously. This does not mean returning to medieval crowding & squalor nor cave-dwelling, but it means the application of reason, on behalf of public interest, rather than vested interests such as the automotive & petroleum industries, which have encouraged the distortion of society around the automobile, rather than vice versa. Keats mentioned this factor in his automotive critique, "The Insolent Chariots." Auto enthusiasts express enthusiasm at how much bigger our cities & greater our distances of going to & fro have become, thanks to the automobile, without asking what advantage there is in greater distances in which to do the same things we did previously. Now, we can pay for cars, fuel, parking AND spend lots of time stuck in traffic. Such a deal! We can drive a hundred miles to find a place where we can walk, but why can't we find such a place within walking distance of our dwellings? Does any of this really make sense? Some jobs are dirty & smelly, so people like to put distance between their homes & workplaces, but instead of applying technology to distance, why can't we apply it to make the work cleaner & odorless, in terms of esthetics & health? It has been done. Keats observed that we have confused ends with means because of our infatuation with the automobile. We love our means of transportation, rather than the reasons for covering distances from A to B & in between. Using a car to go sightseeing is not a good idea for the driver of the vehicle, for he must watch the road, & all roads look very much the same, like airports. The passengers may sightsee, & sometimes there are areas where drivers may stop, so they can look around. But why is a car necessary for this viewing of one's surroundings? When I walk to the Local Walmart, I can see snow-capped mountains & valleys, unrestricted by a car interior, which blocks the view. I see, hear & feel the breeze on my face. Instead of stepping on the gas, I step on the pavement & propel myself where I want to go, since I am not confined to a car or a wheelchair. Yes, I do put wear on my shoes, but I believe in such luxuries. Of course, cars do make things dangerous for pedestrians as well as bicyclists, motorcyclists & other drivers, so I have to watch out for them when I go out walking. Cars are risky, in or out of them, but this is still the Automotive Age. But, how much longer? One writer observed that we still plan our living space as if it will last forever, maybe for some, but not for the many, it would appear. In my case, a need for a car would surely wreck my fragile economy, as it has in the past. If one can afford to keep one's car in motion, that is one thing, but what will be left of it after one has parked it in Brownest Yakima is an interesting question which Yakimorons & Yakimaniacs alike must ask themselves before they start their cars in the morning, evening & afternoons, for Yakima is rife with thieves & vandals attracted to vehicles left unattended. It may not be The American Dream, but it is the American Scene.