

Why 7000 Children Had to Die

(dpa/fis) A new study about the deaths of more than 10,000 German refugees in Denmark shortly before and after the end of the Second World War has startled the public of this Scandinavian country. The discovery that the refugee casualties from 1945 to 1949 included more than 7,000 toddlers and babies who were denied not only adequate rations but also any and all medical aid was described by the Copenhagen newspaper *Politiken* as "shocking and inhumane".

Equally bad, the newspaper said, is the "massive repression of awareness" of the refugees' fate in Denmark, since to date the Danish have considered it common knowledge and a point of national credit that the 200,000 to 250,000 people who fled to Denmark from the advancing Soviet troops had been accorded highly decent treatment.

Senior physician Kirsten Lylloff has taken a closer look at this myth. Studying history is her hobby, and she had become curious about the great number of graves of German babies and children in a cemetery in Ålborg where she used to live. When she spent six months gathering information, the relevant archives were readily opened to her. The amateur historian was all the more surprised to find numerous shocking statistics and reports, which had never been mentioned in standard historiography to date. And this despite the fact that the time of German occupation of Denmark from April 1940 to May 1945 is more thoroughly researched than any other historical period.

At first, the refugees - 85 percent of them women and children - had been quartered in schools or meeting houses; then, in 1945, 142 camps were set up for these people. The Danish civilians were strictly forbidden to have any contact with the German expellees.

Lylloff's research has shown that in 1945 alone, 13,492 German refugees died in Danish refugee camps. More than 7,000 of them were children under five years of age; most of them died of malnutrition and dehydration and - according to Lylloff - of "perfectly curable" illnesses such as stomach and intestinal infections and scarlet fever. But until 1949 the Danish medical board, as well as the Red Cross, consistently denied the refugees interned in these camps any medical assistance.

"How can one consider babies and toddlers to be enemies?" Kirsten Lylloff counters the argument, routine at war's end, that the Germans were enemies, regardless of their age. Jørgen Poulsen, the present Secretary General of the Danish Red Cross, commented on this new study: "It hurts to read this. I hope that we've grown smarter by now." The Copenhagen medical board also stated that the refusal of all pleas for medical attention in those days "cannot be justified, no matter how good the excuses."

Medical board spokesman Torben Pedersen nonetheless joined historians in warning against drawing rash conclusions. He says that after five years' occupation by National Socialist Germany, with a world war and ever-worsening reports about the systematic destruction of the Jews, the political mood in Denmark perforce played a powerful role in determining the attitude towards German refugees.

However, according to Kirsten Lylloff's findings, there was also a pragmatic consideration to the merciless attitude the Danish authorities took towards the refugees: "The medical board stated officially that helping German refugees would harm Denmark's relations with the Allies."

In fact, at the war's end Denmark found it very difficult to dissuade the victorious powers from classifying it as "collaborator". Instead of offering armed resistance, like its Norwegian neighbors for example, the government at Copenhagen had surrendered without a fight to the German Wehrmacht in 1940 and placed their country at Hitler's disposal as a willing supplier of foodstuffs for the Wehrmacht. In return, Denmark was treated relatively mildly by the occupiers and was spared any involvement in the war itself.

29 MAR 06. Dear Brian: Many thanks for your two mailings, one dated 21 FEB, the other dated 21 MAR 06, which both arrived the same day. Maybe a postal Arab forgot to change the date stamp.

As a White Nationalist, I heartily agree that we should emphasize White Unity, world-wide. Our enemies do not respect borders, so why should we? It is also absurd that we should divide ourselves into geographic areas, each with its ration of wogs & nig-nogs, without seeing our interests as Whites. This is the Age of Biopolitics. Geopolitics is applicable only if people are of one race, for as Benjamin Disraeli said: "Those who do not understand race can never understand history." Remember, if it comes from a Jew, it must be true! I call the old geo-nationalism Regional Chauvinism. As you say, it has long been used against Whites by our divide-&-rule enemies. One correspondent made a trenchant observation: The conservative wants to save his money. The National Socialist wants to save his race.

Re defense of culture as defense of race: The dumb Goyim in America have long adopted anti-intellectualism as their excuse; brawn over brain. Artists, writers, musicians, composers et al. were deemed effete, sissy, &c. IF THEY WERE OF OUR RACE. This allowed Jews & other non-Whites to take over these cultural fields, along with Christian preachers-for-profit, thus poisoning the fountain of our inspiration & distorting our ideals of beauty. Because we have devolved into Joe Sixpacks, whose opiate is niggerball, we have turned the teaching of our youth over to our racial enemies. We now see all intellectual pursuits, including political punditry, done by Jews, for the most part. Artistic creativity is not the sole purview of weaklings & neurotics. Writers like Twain, London, Hemingway et al. were 'he-men' in regard to their vigorous occupations & lifestyles, which contributed to their skills as writers. The Japanese samurai were cultured, like certain members of European nobility, who were as good with their swords as they were with their sonnets. I imagine the fate of a boob who dared call such men 'sissies'. Tough men need not be crude. Hitler said, correctly, I may add, based on my own experience, that "musical men" make the best political leaders. I believe he meant men who appreciated music, even if they could not play an instrument. I think it has something to do with one's being attuned to his audience, which observers described as Hitler's "fingertip-feel" of his audience's inclinations. I am quite oppressed if I must listen to alien sounds, for I thrive on White people's music. White people's music has the same effect on non-Whites: Shopping malls & neighborhoods which play Mozart et al. find that the niggers & wogs leave. They even say that such music is "racist." How right they are! Too bad our own race is incapable of seeing how important our own culture is to our biological survival. I hope that we wake up before we die in our sleep, under the Jews' evil spell. Carry on, by all means, with your most appropriate political expressions! As I have long stated, political victory, like all endeavors, involves 5 Steps: IDEA, INFORMATION, ORGANIZATION, ACTION & REALIZATION OF THE IDEA.

Thanks for your succinct comparison between East & West ZOG alias the USSR & USA. It is often said that the mixing of races brings out the worst character traits in the offspring. The apparent bonding of the U.S. system with that of the former USSR has indeed brought out the worst of both: the peoples of the former USSR now experience insecurity, poverty & corruption, while the sheeple of the USA experience the advent of police state tyranny & state-sponsored terrorism, in addition to falling standards of living plus economic insecurity. Such a deal! It sounds as if both peoples have made a deal with the Devil. It reminds me of a man with a deformed hand. After trying all known ways of improving it, he finally decided to ask for divine help. He went to church, dropped his offering in the box & prayed: "Oh Lord, please make my left (deformed) hand as good as my (undeformed) right hand." In a moment, his right hand became as deformed as his left hand! Apparently, Yahweh & Satan are both lawyers with acute sadistic tendencies.

Non-White immigration is really non-White imperialism. Imagine how Irish immigrants would be received in a Black African country, were they to demand all the perks received by non-White invaders of Ireland. I ask dimwitted bipeds in this Land of ZOG why Gringos cannot sneak into Mexico, produce offspring who'd become Mexican citizens, work for lower wages than native Mexicans & make up the difference on Mexican welfare, at Mexican taxpayers' expense? If this arrangement is "impossible" for Gringos in Mexico, why is it possible for Mexicans et al. in the USA? Aren't we all "equal?" Let's hear a big "DUH!" from you pale-skinned Goyim. You have earned it.

As my old friend, Col. Rudel, would advise: "You only lose if you, yourself, give up." All the best, as always. ORION!

Eric