

6 APR 06. Hail Jeff! Many thanks for your letter of 31 MAR 06 & the enclosures. Just call me Eric. We could address one another as Imperial Potentate of the East or West, as do Freemasons, but in view of my finances, you could address me as Your Indigency. Eric is best.

Please do not return letters with others' names thereon. Whatever I send to you is yours. I inspect every letter for contents & weight, so I do not put things into letters by mistake. Replies to correspondence which have no strictly personal content I treat as Letters to the Editor. I retain the correspondents' letters to me, as usual, although I may publish some which have public appeal. Usually I publish my reply worldwide if I think it has matters of interest to my readers. Therefore, what you receive from me is already on the Internet & already in the hands of my correspondents in Europe, South Africa, Australia, New Zealand, Canada & the USA. I appreciate your honesty, however. Over the decades, I have only recently been in contact with correspondents who believe that reading 'others' mail is rude: you & another prisoner. No one else has ever wondered if a letter to Mr. Bolton et al. is intended for Mr. Smith et al. Fortunately, everyone who receives my replies to others is supposed to share in my correspondence, & I usually share theirs likewise. The advantage of running a 'newspaper' which consists of very few articles, but lots of "Letters to the Editor," is that everyone can discuss what interests him or her. I could write all sorts of articles in which no one may be interested, for they may not address issues important to them. Meanwhile, those who log on to netsites where my writings appear, such as FAEM & VNN, can follow trends in correspondence & maybe profit from any useful information they find therein.

I'm not aware of "The Atlantic Wall," but as I understand, Rommel disagreed with OKW (Oberkommando Wehrmacht) who apparently believed that Germany could wage a war of maneuver in Western Europe, as had occurred in Russia & North Africa. Rommel knew that such a strategy was impossible without control of the air, which Germany lacked by that time. Rommel's idea was to put everything up front: men, weapons, ammo, &c. for it was there on the beaches that all available defences would be needed. There was some confusion about the Allies' intended landing zone, due to treason on the part of the Jewish head of Counter-Intelligence (Abwehr) Admiral Canaris alias Meyerbeer, who trashed the Humint gathered by the spy, "Cicero," in Turkey. Another traitor was Rommel's adjutant, Speidel, who got a high rank & became commander of NATO after the war. It was easy to spot traitors: they received rewards & positions after Germany's defeat on May 8<sup>th</sup>, 1945. Loyal Germans were punished, as at Nuremberg. Speidel got word that the Allies had landed in force at Normandy, but he did not relay that important information immediately. He took his time, thereby assuring the establishment of the Allied beachhead. In regard to the Normandy Invasion, I recommend "Operation Overlord" by a British historian, whose name presently escapes me; it was not David Irving, whom I know personally, & who reneged on the gas-libel against Germans, by agreeing with ZOG that "gas chambers" for people existed, thereby dishonoring himself & his revisionist cause.

If anybody can inform you about "The Tree of Life," it should be Ron. I shall send him a copy of this letter. Yes, one can O.D. on any specific period of history. I was born the year World War II broke out, so I am learning what my elders experienced; my racial elders, that is. Occasionally, some World War II vet will ask me what theater of that War did I serve in! I first think he is joking, but sometimes I discover he thinks I was involved, although perhaps wondering which side I served in! O.K. so my hair got white, prematurely, but adding 15 or 20 years to my age seems a bit much. Maybe the old vets need glasses. One U.S. WWII vet fought in various island-hopping battles in the Pacific, & he had to read history books to find out where he'd been & what was going on there. All he knew was that it was muddy, swampy, steaming hot & that Japs were shooting at him. When you are busy keeping your head down, it is really hard to tell where you are, & what is going on around you. He'd been there, done it, but he had to read books to learn about the Big Picture of the Pacific Campaign. Those who say they fought in such & such a war may know very little outside their immediate sector of fighting. This is partly due to wartime security, the 'need-to-know' factor, & partly due to the division of labor. One fellow spent The Korean War as a clerk-typist in San Francisco, so what he knew about the war was limited to newspapers & supply orders. Few soldiers & sailors know exactly where they are & how their actions fit into the larger context of war. We hope the commanders know that!

\*Ah, memory has warmed up: The author of "Operation Overlord" is Max Hastings! Liddell Hart wrote a good military overview of World War II, as I recall, & General Fuller wrote a great overview of war itself. He blows the whistle on war-goals: that recent wars are about trade, rather than "noble causes & principles." U.S. Marine Corps General Smedley Butler said

it bluntly, in his article which I can copy for you, if you'd like: "War Is A Racket!" World Wars I & II were the creations of jew-banksters, no one else. Former German Chancellor Bruning wrote that the same banksters who'd financed him were now financing Hitler, & they were the same kosher crooks who had also been funding Churchill, Roosevelt & Stalin! Hitler broke their rules by attacking Red Russia, instead of attempting to invade Britain. As jews say, "You just can't trust Goyim (Gentiles)." By lending money to all sides, so as to foment a war, the jews win, whatever side wins. That's why economist Werner Sombart observed that "Wars are the jews' harvests." The jews have been running their war-racket since ancient times. See if you can get "The Babylonian Woe," by Astle, whom I know personally, from my work in Toronto, Canada. A great military strategist, aside from Clausewitz, is the Chinese military sage, Sun Tzu, who would laugh at U.S. military disasters, which serve mainly to kill off Whites, just as the Chinese prefer. There is no doubt that Chinese leaders follow his strategies, while our Zionist stooges behave like "mad bandits" on behalf of jewry. China is at war with North America & Europe, as Sun Tzu would admit, but we have exhausted ourselves before China has lifted a finger. Sun Tzu said that the superior commander so arranges things that when the enemy finally does fight, his battle is merely a prelude to his defeat, a military fanfare, so to speak, which heralds the superior commanders' victory over his inferior opponent, who has been made inferior by his wiser enemy. The USA is inferior because we have blundered in every way, & allowed the jews to provide China with our latest military technology via Israel. Not only that, but the jews have opened North America to a massive invasion from China. It is a peaceful invasion because it is not resisted, just as the Mexican invasion is peaceful. Invasion is an act of war, whether it is resisted by its stupid victims or not, as Sun Tzu would observe. The copy of a recent speech from a Chinese leader refers, along with Hitler's prediction about the jews & China versus America & its stupid sheeple. As my old professor of International Relations told us: "There are no big secrets, for they are so big & so close that most people can't see them. Imagine that you are standing so close to a big tree that all you see is its bark. You do not realize that you are standing next to a tree in the middle of a forest!" The treasonous sacrifice of our industries to China was exactly what Hitler warned about in his "Secret Book," published by the Allies after World War II. This puts the USA into a colonial status with China: The USA exports raw materials & China trades manufactured goods for them. Meanwhile, our wages fall, & we import aliens to take remaining jobs at even lower wages. A sheenie would crow: "Such a deal!" Bush is a sheenie, by the way.

Compartmentalization is undesirable in terms of knowledge, as tunnel vision is undesirable for drivers & aircraft pilots. Military people rarely see the context in which they operate, so they often think a major defeat is a minor victory, as Pentagonian zoglins thought of our invasion of Iraq & Afghanistan. Now, bit by bit, cooler heads are beginning to see that U.S. entry into those countries was a defeat, not a victory at all. The Chinese allegedly described their 'wish-list' toward the conquest of the USA, which featured exactly what the Zionist Bush regime has done: over-extend & exhaust U.S. forces, while leaving the borders open to alien invasion. The USA can no longer exercise sovereignty within its borders, let alone in Iraq & Afghanistan, thanks be to ZOG. I avoided premature compartmentalization by avoiding the spook business. I could see that the Soviet Jewnion was going out of business by items in the newsmedia, such as a tiny notice that the USSR agreed to recognize the Tsarist war debts! This would be like the USA agreeing to recognize the Confederate war debts! It would signal a major change, a regime-change, in fact, as occurred shortly thereafter. Since I was not a spy, I could see what spies & traitors in the USA could not see when they got employment in the USSR by selling U.S. secrets to the Reds. Their Soviet bosses sold them out for U.S. pay! How could these top-security clearance traitors not see what was going on in the USSR? I could see, based on my access to the common kosher jewsmedia! Perhaps greed blinded them to the obvious, or maybe they were just stupid, like the "Homeland Security" flunky who logged onto a "jailbait sting." That's really dumb on his part. Isn't it reassuring to know our security is in such hands?

Please keep or pass on whatever I send you, with best wishes. ORION!

*Esio*