

13 APR 06. Dear Michael: Many thanks for your letter of 8 APR 06 & the outstanding enclosures! Thanks for giving me the correct name & date of the letter to Tom, which people find so interesting: Copy enclosed, along with the usual requested items. I include a copy of your Schubach letter, which I omitted from my previous mailing, owing to misunderstanding on my part. Re "Let's You & Him Fight:" I made an error in regard to my Emperor, as a reader kindly pointed out: The Emperor who thought Christians could enter Palestine peacefully, thereby offending the pope, was Henry IV & the Pope was Gregory VII alias Hildebrand, a Jew 'convert' to Christinsanity. The pattern of the Crusades was clear, for it was repeated so many times: Christians, including their nobles, would go to fight & die in the Hukum Land, leaving their own lands to the Jews & the Church. Nowadays, the sheeple go to fight Moslems in their lands, while leaving their own lands to the Jews & Moslems. I find it hard to empathise with such stupid critters as these 'crusaders'. The Inquisition played a similar role, for the Church & the Jews could steal anyone's property under religious sanction. The power to govern is the power to steal, 'legally.' Now, the ZOG does it, but it is the same old racket, under different labels, & largely the same tribe of racketeers.

Re "The Dynamics of War & Revolution" by Dennis: I shall enquire at my local library to see if it is available on site or via Inter-Library Loan, but don't hold your breath, for that book was banned by FDR when it was about to appear in 1940. As I recall, Dennis was also tried for "sedition" in the FDR regime's round up of U.S. patriots. The same tribe as FDR's runs our library & systematically removes "unpopular" titles. As I recall from reading Lawrence Dennis, the gist of his book was simple: that domestic problems would be obscured by "foreign adventures," but those foreign adventures would merely serve to exacerbate the domestic problems. That thesis is rather mild for what we see ongoing: U.S. forces go on their 'adventures' overseas, while the country is left open to massive foreign invasion. This ZOG scheme is nothing less than the planned destruction of the USA, to the vast detriment of its denizens & its largely demented Amerizombies. Such a program goes way beyond the exacerbation of existing domestic problems. It is an entirely new & pernicious paradigm, in which the present U.S. population is to be displaced & replaced, including Whites & non-Whites, by other non-Whites. The writer of "Set Up to Fail," is correct, for that is just what our miseducation system has been doing under kosher mischief-makers & saboteurs. I am an eyewitness & a survivor (so far) of what they did to my own schooling. Now it's much worse, as I mentioned in my ZOG-tot essay.

I wonder at the ZOG's forced race-mixing programs in & out of its gulags. If two people are fighting, it used to be common sense to separate them, but to force diverse people to associate, despite their different values & behavior, is indeed the formula for a fight. I agree with Takaki that such groups should be recognized & allowed more self-determination, even if they are in prisons & even if some of them are White. I would not inflict Mozart on Blacks or Hispanics, but why would I want their noise inflicted upon me? I do not enjoy rhythms appropriate to malfunctioning industrial machinery, &c., any more than non-Whites enjoy harmony & melody. As Bill Armontrout, warden of Missouri State Penitentiary, told me, ZOG race-mixing policies make race the #1 problem in U.S. prisons. One academic alias mind-mutator claimed that one story does not make for a policy & smacks of a "conspiracy theory." My reply to such kosher crap is that a tip of an iceberg does indicate what lies beneath, as any academic asshole can discover by drilling an icehole in the iceberg. I also said that a political hierarchy exists on the state & federal levels which implements programs, so it would be anomalous, to say the least, if Missouri State Pen had the only racial integration program in the U.S. prison system! Of course, Armontrout was not the only source of my views on prison problems due entirely to race-mixing. Isn't it strange that ZOG has separate prisons for "white collar criminals?" Why shouldn't Abramoff go to the same prison as violent offenders whose crimes were not nearly so lucrative as his? Aren't we all "equal?" If we 'must' integrate the races, why not integrate the classes, too? As you say, ZOG does not want harmony & peace, but conflict within its gulags. We should then ask ourselves, "to what purpose?" The purpose is: Let's You & Him Fight; Division through Integration. Although ZOG's idea is simple, we never seem to learn who our real enemy is. "They Live," we sleep, consume, obey. Such a deal! Takaki seems to mistake Jew rule for White rule, but he recognizes the abuses of the system, so whoever attacks the system attacks the Jews & their ZOG. That's why I'd recommend Takaki as a colleague in The Anti-Zionist Alliance. Many thanks to you & the ZOG for recommending Takaki to me! His writings dovetail with Chittum's "Civil War Two," which we note is coming true as he forecasts, with the omission of China.

2) Were I in charge of WSP, I would retain the ZOG's multicultural program, minus its anti-White bias, & I would house prisoners on a voluntary basis. Those who wanted to be with their own kind could do so, & those who wanted to mix could do so, but on a mutual basis. I could not, for instance, ~~jew~~ my way into a Black, White or Hispanic group if their members did not want my presence as an alien infiltrator. In White-ruled Rhodesia, this system worked very well. It was called "freedom of association." If I wanted to associate with any group members other than mine, I needed their permission to do so. There were multi-racial venues where everyone could agree to associate, as well as venues designated for mono-racial groups, AS THEY DESIRED. If I wanted to go into Black areas, I had to get the permission of The District Commissioner, who would confer with the tribal chieftains in his district. No one had the right to impose his association on anybody else, as here in Amerizogland. Businesses were not required to serve those whose presence would conflict with the majority of customers. I had no right to go into a Black pub, for the Blacks had the right to kick me out. Of course, why would I want to, when there was a White pub next door? It is widely recognized that those who are shunned by their own kind represent trouble for any kind, like the wandering lone elephant. A little African experience paid off, but we don't need to go to Africa to see the obvious, no matter how the jews wish to obscure it. There is no hiding from Nature, & She sure is Nazi.

On the vision front, it appears to me that you are a victim of cruel & unusual punishment. When you suffered retinal detachment, you should have had immediate access to a competent, licenced medical doctor, who could readily see your eye-damage with an ophthalmoscope. A gulag-zoggie who 'diagnosed' your injury as being nonexistent is guilty of practicing medicine without a licence, which is a serious offense. He is certainly sueable. The chink-quack who butchered your eye as he improperly implanted the improper lens implant is likewise liable for malpractice, as is whoever botched up your prescription for glaucoma. Basically, you are a victim of assault under the guise of medical care! I do not have Attorney Edgar Steele's address, but he may be the lawyer to contact. I know of no local lawyers outside the kosher club, unfortunately. Those I do know cannot practice law in the USA, since they are in Canada & Germany. It appears that you have many grounds for legal action, thanks be to ZOG.

Further to "Let's You & Him Fight:" the jews who instigate conflicts amongst non-jews will also lend them the money with which to fight each other. The jew arms-merchant, Zaharoff, would travel around the Balkans with the latest arms catalogs. If he could con one country into buying his wares, he'd immediately visit the neighboring countries to tell them what the first country had in its arms inventory. Naturally, the other countries would want to upgrade their weaponry, so as to be on par with their potential opponent, & if Zaharoff could sell them something more, he'd return to the first country to show them what their neighbor had. Zaharoff never lacked for money, & the Balkans never lacked for armed conflicts. Such a deal! I wonder why no one seems to have picked up the phone & inquired about "this Zaharoff guy" who seemed to be stirring up trouble & expenditures in the area. Dumb Goyim! As America balkanizes, there will be markets aplenty for such moneylenders & arms dealers, unless people can become jew-wise. "They Live!"

After skimming "Set Up to Fail," the words of The U.S. Constitution came to mind: "involuntary servitude," i.e. slavery in the ZOG gulags, in addition to debt-slavery! Such a deal! I'll make copies of your essay on Prison Unrest & "Set Up to Fail," as most sheeple have no idea about ZOG-gulag conditions, most of which I am now learning from my prisoner correspondence. It appears that people go to prison for debt-slavery in many cases, to which more debt-slavery is added when they are incarcerated. Joblessness is a major factor in criminality, as the writer notes. When that 'monster,' Hitler, was elected, he PAID non-interest-bearing money into the German economy for public works. From his election in 1933 to 1935, unemployment was virtually eliminated by National Socialist economic programs. The prisons were largely vacant, so the buildings were turned into factories. Fewer than 10% of the prison population were "habitual criminals," so work camps were set up for them, to help them earn their keep, in addition to the protection of society. Redemption via work was Hitler's formula for a healthy society. That's what scared the jew banksters & their Zionist stooges, just as it would now. Col. Curtis B. Dall, FDR's son in law, told me that FDR hated Hitler, because Hitler ended Germany's bankster Depression in impoverished Germany, whereas FDR was NOT ALLOWED to do so in rich America, because his bankster-masters wanted war. Maybe that's why a chained dog allegedly hates the free-roving wolf. Clearly, Hitler's success offended FDR's tender ego, so FDR wanted personal revenge, no matter how many Whites had to die. All the best. DOWZ! & ORION!

Esia