

18 JUNE 06. Dear Phil: How time flies. The months seem to be growing shorter. I see that ZOG's arch-villain, Osama bin Laden, has stolen one whole day from June! Will the sky fall next? Oy veh! Well, the jews are complaining about the month of July, which they want spelt as Jewlie, with or without a hyphen. I hope they get their wish!

Thanks for your note of 16 JUNE 06, & the great enclosures! The righteous jew, Makow, is trying to turn our clocks back in regard to heterosexual relations. Well, I do not see any 'solution' for sexuality which will satisfy everybody, all the time. Nature invented the sexual urge to trick mammals into reproducing, with or without 'satisfaction,' as Mick Jagger complained. Makow correctly describes the old, pre-Pill hocus-pocus in which males seek females for sex (not children), & females use sex as a bargaining chip to capture a "50-year meal-ticket," or husband, as college cynics used to say. Some writers, with whom I agree, based on my own experience, opine that males seek any woman for sexual satisfaction, at first, & as their personalities develop, they seek particular women for the best companionship. An ancient Greek or Roman noted that all desirable qualities are hard to find in a single woman, so he wrote, as I vaguely recall, that a man needed 5 women in his life, beginning with his mother. One of the 5 types would be that of a good mother for his children, the other, a good mistress who could be great, sexually & intellectually. As men develop with age, from drooling, doddering infants into drooling, doddering geezers, their needs for women vary during men's lifetimes.

In my experience, women mature much earlier than men, White men, that is, for women knew what they wanted: children, & a good provider & father for them. One wise observer said that men give love to get sex & women give sex to get love. Others have noted ulterior motives in both sexes: the male seeing the female as a means of getting sex, & the female seeing the male as a means for getting children. Prostitutes use sex as a means of getting money, which males & females call 'short-term prostitution', whereas marriage is called 'long-term prostitution'. Men have said that they prefer the short-term for sex, since whores don't object, but wives often do. As one more cynic said, the best way to stop women from having sex is to marry them. Ouch! Well, I've seen numerous cases of that.

There are positive & negative factors in all sexual arrangements. Jumping ahead, I believe that National Socialism had the best formula: dysgenic reproduction was discouraged & eugenic reproduction was encouraged, but not forced. Such children resulting from youthful association outside marriage were put up for adoption in the Lebensborn program. They were not aborted, as today in our anti-White societies. Social orders always have ulterior motives in the role sex plays in a given society, whether they be theocracies or secular societies. Because our ZOG is Zionist, capitalist & anti-White, the role of sex is to serve our rulers & our racial extinction. I recall businessmen in the Jewnited States praising sexuality, euphemised as 'marriage' as great for business, for there was nothing like marriage to stimulate demand for goods & services, which they sold. At the time, I could see no worse propaganda for marriage: as an overseer's whip to work men to their utmost & to shackle them with debt, as White slaves. If a man's sexuality got him roped into marriage, he was often sexually starved therein, in other words, he was trapped, as some of my co-workers admitted in my Judeo-American Ratrace jobs, as well as in other Jew World Ordure employment I have experienced. This theme of the so-called "Battle of the Sexes" is old, indeed, but one should always consider the context: are we having children to produce more slaves for alien masters or are we having children on behalf of our racial continuity & self-government? "Cui bono?" Us or our enemies? It is argued that slaves must first exist, if they are ever to be free, & that with life there is hope. On the other hand, life must often be sacrificed to gain freedom for oneself & for one's people, & if freedom were so desirable, why would anybody put up with slavery? I think it was Nikita Khrushchev who said, "None are so enslaved as those who THINK they are 'free.'" I believe he said that in reference to the people of the USA.

All our activities & purposes have their price, so as thinking beings, we should consider if the price we pay in time, labor & money is worth what we are getting in return. "Free love", as described by Makow, in regard to collegiate promiscuity for both sexes, is positive in regard to (1) Immediate sexual gratification, (2) Thereby allowing males & females to establish relationships on a sounder mutual basis, unclouded by sexual needs. When relationships are predicated on sexual-deprivation, they prove to be unsatisfactory as a whole, for is a 'good lay' also a good mother or father? What if the 'good lay' is otherwise a poor companion & parent? This is what I have seen, so college promiscuity is a good

2) remedy for such 'temporary insanity.' the propensity to transmit STDs, as well as

On the negative side, promiscuity likely has/an adverse affect on breeding in humans, as it does with other mammals. The word is Telegony, in which the transfer of sexual fluids spoils the breed of subsequent offspring in females. This term is recognized by farmers & breeders, but the jewish influence of opposing man to nature causes a 'blackout' when it comes to human mammals. Cattle ranchers in Africa told me that if male wildebeest mated with cows of the bovine species, the cows were rendered sterile, & no longer able to reproduce. ZOG is beginning to acknowledge the price of sexual intercourse without pregnancy by admitting the growing need for prevention &/or cure of the virus causing genital warts & cancer in female reproductive organs. Hence, promiscuity, even without unwanted offspring, has a price, so there are no "free lunches," nor is there "free love." The real differences between the sexes are revealed when men & women behave equally in regard to promiscuity, & these real differences will affect the well-being of the race & our future. The nut, Thomas Jefferson, declared that "all men are created equal," but when his mind became clear for a moment, he also stated that "the equal treatment of unequals is the worst of inequalities." Jefferson should have had an honest job to keep him out of moral mischief. He should have been given a hoe, instead of a pen, for the benefit of 'mankind.' Instead of growing pernicious nonsense, he could have helped his Black slaves grow a bit more of his plantation's produce. Leisure is obviously wasted on the leisure class, as Veblen has noted.

The download in regard to Christinsanity via drugs is reinforced by contacts in Eastern Europe who have stated that Christian missionaries made use of 'magic mushrooms' which contained a hallucinogen, in order to soften up their intended dupes & victims. I understand that in those countries, Yule Trees are decorated with mushrooms, the basis of the cult of Judaism-for-Gentiles (slaves), i.e. Christinsanity, whose converts were literally insane! I have also read that the founder of the Moslem Assassins, derived from Hashishim or partakers of hashish, used that drug, along with stage effects & rituals to convince converts that they had actually gone to Moslem heaven, & had partaken of the houris, who had blessed them with their sexual favors. This drug-induced experience convinced the Assassins that death was no longer a thing to be dreaded, but a thing to be sought after, a.s.a.p. The Hashishim did their work with knives, so imagine what they could have done with 'boom-belts!' Islam, like Christianity, promotes the idea that death in 'holy war' puts the believer into the heaven of his cult, pronto, as did the often jew popes during the crusades, who goaded their Goyim into war, for the 'faith.' This concept resembles the Odiniist belief that brave warriors who were killed in battle would be chosen for Valhalla, wafted thereto by the beautiful Valkyries, so let's have more mead, so we may be well & truly convinced! Nowadays, ZOG-dupes do it "for democracy," which is a pretty desolate reward, even if it were true.

No, I've never seen any pumas in the wild, probably because they were mostly shot out in areas settled by humans, whom the surviving pumas avoided like the plague. In other words, if the puma had been doing its job, I wouldn't have known of its existence. Predators recognize humans as fellow-predators, because they can smell that we are meat-eaters, whether we are vegetarians or not.

Thanks for the article on the new breed of navy warships: smaller & faster, but still less fast than aircraft! The concept of ducted-fans with directional control, as used on modern airships, has been wisely adapted as water-jets, a concept which goes back to one inventor back in the 1940s, if I recall correctly from back-issues of Popular Mechanics. Water-jet technology relies upon (as I understand) inboard pumps which take in water & expel it through directional jets. Come to think of it, the idea may even be ancient! It has been long known that paddlewheels & propellers can be fouled by flotsam, along with nets & cables, & properly-designed jets would not be vulnerable to such obstacles. I forgot to mention oars in the foulable category of propulsion, since I'm not that old, & I never served on a galley. As we know, those clever Whites devised a way of boating in places that are "too wet to plow & too dry to swim in," as in the Florida Everglades, where propulsion avoids contact with water, mud & plantlife entirely by using aircraft propellers above the surface. Imagine the advantage of turning an obstacle into a means of rapid travel! This is similar to the White invention of skis as distinct from the Indians' snowshoes, which lessen snow as an obstacle, whereas skis make the going faster & easier than walking on dry ground. As I understand, skis are a recent invention, the first skis being barrel staves, so the White settlers greatly benefitted by adopting the Indians' snowshoes, so as to overcome the obstacle of deep snow, which was impassable in North American winters, especially for hunting, so **without** snowshoes, survival was at best difficult & at worst, impossible.



3) The Middle East Morass shows signs of worsening, along with our domestic demographics. Now the ZOG has pressured its NATO puppets into sending more troops to stir up trouble in Afghanistan, while leaving Europe open to continued Moslem invasion, just as North America & Australia are doing. I mentioned this policy to a few U.S. sheeple, by asking them if we should have opened our country to massive Japanese immigration during World War II. They could see that such immigration would be invasion during wartime, so they agreed that we were wise then, but **not** now. Hey, even sheeple can learn, bit by bit! Under U.S. invasion, Afghanistan's opium production is at record highs, unlike the Taliban regime, which almost curtailed the drug trade. ZOG cannot tolerate a drug-free world. That's for sure! Oy veh!

If we imagine ourselves in the footwear of U.S. flunkies in Iraq, Afghanistan, et al. as well as our former allies, such as the Taliban, one thing is clear: If you choose to remain, you'd better side with your people against the USA, & if you do not, you'd better have your flight ticket handy. Saddam did not! And Osama still appears to be a CIA asset, who is of great use to the ZOG, just as he was when the USA was allied with the Taliban, against the former USSR, in Afghanistan. No one should ever trust FEDZOGUSA, especially not U.S. citizens. Our Zionist regime behaves like the British under Palmerston, who practically admitted that all people, including British subjects, were expendable, on behalf of certain 'interests.' He did not divulge what those interests were, for fear of being lynched! Bush has his jew speechwriters to tell us that we do it for "democracy," which we do not have in the USA. Such a deal! As Bob Chapman writes in "Train Wreck of the Week," secrecy is indeed the enemy of popular government. Secrecy allows rulers to rule irresponsibly, effectively treating the governed as enemies of the state. Many items which FEDZOGUSA tries to keep secret are well-known by the U.S. enemies in the field, but not by the U.S. citizens, who should also know about them, so we may ask, who are the real enemies of the regime in The District of Corruption, if not the actual combatants in The Middle East? It is clear that one keeps secrets from his enemies, so there is one conclusion whom ZOG deems as its foremost enemy: the U.S. sheeple!

Any time that I suspect that I'm 'pushing the envelope' re my denunciations of the ZOG, I see that I am one-upped by courageous writers like Greg Palast, whose allegations & analyses go many steps further, as in his latest report on the U.S. role in Iraq. Many thanks for your cogent selections from the Internet!

Many thanks for the copy of "Freethought Today's" compendium of egregious jew-book quotations. Sheeple notoriously 'read' Old & New Testaments in a selective trance, in which they read only the parts which they agree with & ignore those which are just disgusting & terrible. It makes a good reference if I am beset by Bible-babblers. Bible B.S. is best fought with more of same. It is said that the Devil can quote scripture, so I use that as my guide for ALL who do it. Ha!

I wonder what H.A.C.'s take would be on the reality of housing costs driving out (gasp!) natives of his Great White Hopeland. He never replies to my admonitions, so I won't bother. When reality contradicts one's fiction, reality is ignored. That's called 'wishful-thinking.' I hope ZOG does not interpret his fiction seriously, for the sake of anyone on his mailing list. I would not like to be rounded up on an accusation of 'conspiracy', based on his fantasy, which no migration of White bodies could fulfill, as your news reports confirm. MY credo still applies: No change of place can save Our Race; only change of mind can save Our Kind. Change of place without change of mind, as Harold advocates, is no salvation at all for Whites.

So far, I have not heard of a "Public Health Emergency Exercise" scheduled for Brownest Yakima County, but it reminds me of the Elohi who were trained to go into the "air raid shelters" when the hungry Morlocks sounded the sirens. ZOG's sheeple are being prepared for their further fleecing & slaughter, it would appear. Remember the Swine Flu Epidemic? Stay tuned!

All the best. DOWZ! & ORION!

*Eric*

tured servants were transported across the Atlantic in some of the same ships and under equally uncomfortable conditions as the Africans, conditions which he asserts should be seen today "not as something unusual or inhuman but as part of the age."

Ship's captain James Boswell echoed the opinions of many 17th century sea captains and planters when he said that slavery saved the Africans from "massacre or intolerable bondage in their own country, and introduced them to a happier state of life." During the early 18th century, Britain's Lord St. Vincent stated that "life on the plantations was for the Negro a veritable paradise as compared with his existence in Africa."

If the European buyer, not the African seller, is to take the full burden of this trade, who exactly were the European buyers who profited? According to Susanne Everett, the most prominent among them were the Spanish, Portuguese and British royalty, the French Huguenots, the investors in the Dutch East India Company, and to a lesser degree, the pope and other leaders of the Catholic Church.

Toward the end of the medieval period of Europe's history, late in the 15th century, Europeans of the aristocratic classes

were accustomed to the practice of enslavement, particularly of Negroes and of Moors. From the Arabs, British royalty occasionally purchased Africans. Susanne Everett, a British subject, writes that long before Africans were brought to the Americas they were a common sight in the royal houses of Europe. By 1492, when Christopher Columbus set foot in the West Indies, black indentured servants (not slaves) were being imported to serve European royalty at the rate of 1,000 a year.

In England, small black boys were fashionable as pets until the 18th century. Upon growing up, they were discarded, thus swelling the ranks of the poor and destitute in London, Bristol and Liverpool.

Servitude in the New World began almost as soon as Columbus arrived there. The impetus for it was an extraordinary arrangement arrived at by Spain and Portugal. In 1493, the pope responded to an appeal for arbitration between these two contenders for colonial possessions. Eager to see the world safely divided between Catholic powers whose influence would both enrich the Church and convert heathens, Rome allocated the eastern territories of the world outside Europe to Portugal and the western ones to Spain.

The line of demarcation ran down the

Atlantic. The Portuguese, however, were dissatisfied with the decision, which denied them Brazil. A year later, they managed to obtain an amendment, moving the demarcation line west, to intersect the mouth of the Amazon. By this the Portuguese were granted full territorial rights in much of Brazil.

On November 27, 1492, Columbus recorded in his journal: "After they [the Indian natives] understand the advantages, I shall labor to make all these people Christians." A year later, in a speech before Ferdinand and Isabella of Spain, Columbus said: "God has reserved for the Spanish monarch, not only all the treasures of the New World, but a still greater treasure of inestimable value, in the infinite number of souls destined to be brought over into the bosom of the Christian Church."

However, the mass of this Indian aboriginal population had been turned to forced labor, and had died in great numbers. Bartolome de Las Casas, bishop of Chiapas, returned to Spain in 1537 to petition the then-monarch, Charles V, on their behalf. He suggested supplementing this flagging labor force with black Africans. Charles granted authorization to ship 4,000 blacks a year to Hispaniola, Cuba, Jamaica and Puerto Rico. The courtier to whom Spain's king granted



English industrial accident boys (circa 1890) are pictured at Dr. Bernardo's Home in London. Those in the "Afrocentric" business as well as dominant elements in education, media and entertainment have drummed away at black slavery in America as an unrelieved purgatory. In fact a large proportion of slaves lived rather pleasant lives, and slave punishment was largely confined to the incorrigible. In contrast, many millions of white people of the 16th through 19th centuries were exposed to battlefield death and maiming, the dangers and horrors of American frontier life, unconscionable industrial exploitation and extremely vile mass housing conditions. While generations of black slave children played and performed chores, tens of thousands of white children were worked mercilessly, were crippled, and died in workplace accidents or from lung congestion, and other diseases associated with work conditions.