

22 June, 2006

Dear Eric,

Greetings and racist regards. It was good to receive your letter of the 9th and thanks for the enclosures. Aside from National Propaganda Radio the only classical music I can find is on a couple of the Christian stations, which means I am occasionally ambushed with attempts on my "Immortal Soul." In fact, it has occurred to me that the White Guilt the liberals seem to thrive on is not really so much different than the guilt complex instilled by Christian teachings. I cannot remember who it was, but I read a quote someplace to the effect that any mode of conduct that is motivated by guilt is not so much noble as it is cowardly. Liberals and Christians are both working against their own best interests, whether they know it or not.

There was an excellent article in the Wall Street Journal recently called "White Guilt And The Western Past," and when I learned that the author of that article had recently written a book on the subject I had someone endeavor to send me a copy. Imagine how surprised I was to flip that book open to the back dust jacket and see the author's photograph - a black man. Shelby Steele has apparently written at length on race, and I have to give the guy credit he does nail the phenomenon of White Guilt, and he makes some very interesting and valid insights. His basic theory is that White Guilt had been simmering throughout the Civil Rights days and he credits the black activists of that time (such as MLK and etc.) with making good progress, but, he then goes on to explain how the next generation of blacks screwed everything up and wasted all those efforts. Once Whites had to admit their moral failing in respect to slavery, they lost moral authority in other areas of society as well and the very bedrock values of the country were undermined. The new generation of black "leaders" however, were more interested in milking White Guilt for what they could get (white guilt = black power) than they were with actual equality. It came to be seen that Whites were wrong to expect blacks to compete equally, or even conduct themselves responsibly in accordance with "our" ways, and the blacks gained a new type of "black identity" which almost demanded that they not acquiesce to the standards of "White Society". Much of what Steele says is obviously on target and like I said he makes good points; he is smart enough to recognize that the loss of values (white values) is harmful to both Whites and blacks. But of course he still manages to blame Whitey even if in an abstract way: because Whites were too held back by their guilt to push blacks to be responsible, because Whites offer Affirmative Action and all the other special status that comes with 'being black', blacks have as a result developed a mentality of entitlement that has held them back from attempting to succeed and achieve their goals. Anyway, it is an interesting little book for those who are concerned with the psychology behind White Guilt, and of course the more enlightened will discount the rationalizations. [Interestingly enough, like most blacks who achieve decent levels of success, Mr. Steele appears to be of mixed ancestry. Though he calls himself black, he look like a quadroon.]

I would have to say that a big part of the problem with the Iraq situation is, as usual, a refusal to consider RACE in the equation. Without considering the somewhat contradictory concept of forcing democracy on a people, the fact is these particular people are incapable of conducting themselves in a democratic manner. Saddam Hussein may have had his faults, but he understood his own people a hell of a lot better than Western politicians seem to. They are a people at a different level of civilization than we here in the West, and they cannot be expected to simply adopt our political and social principles as their own. I don't try to evaluate those actions in ethical terms, because ethics and politics seldom seem to share much in common.

The book details how to conduct diversity courses and encourages discussion and confession, but it also voices suspicion of real debate. "Hegemony," we are reminded, "is also maintained through 'discourse.'" So much so, apparently, that real discourse is tossed out the window. *Teaching Diversity* isn't really about encouraging the exploration of ideas and identities. Its purpose is to steer everyone to a single answer: an America that Noam Chomsky could love.

It's the left's version of creationism: coursework that flows from a predetermined right answer, based on faith and politics but presented as objective truth.

The Seattle School District is diverse in ways that go beyond isms. Attempting to cope with all the different ethnicities, social classes, and educational disparities is commendable. But posting recycled definitions of racism without disclosing the ideological bias behind them, especially one so simplistically dumb as to blame only whites for racism and, by inference, for the district's woes, is unworthy of the district's efforts to improve education.

kberger@seattleweekly.com

Copyright © 1998-2006 by Seattle Weekly Media, 1008 Western Ave, Suite 300, Seattle, WA 98104. *Seattle Weekly* is a registered trademark. All rights reserved.

Zionist Origins of Multiculturalism



Horace Kallen 1882-1974, father of cultural pluralism & leading Zionist

Jews have been the foremost activists in molding public institutions and opinion towards what is today called "political correctness," intergroup "tolerance," the celebration of ethnic differences, and multiculturalism. "While the intergroup relations field included representatives of various racial, religious, and ethnic communities," notes Stuart Svonkin, "Jewish organizations played the leading role in defining the movements tactics and objectives. Among the Jewish agencies that became involved in intergroup relations, the American Jewish Committee (AJC), the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL), and the American Jewish Congress (AJC) were the most active and influential. These three national secular agencies aspired to function as the Jewish community's department of state, formulating and implementing policies to shape American Jewry's relations with other American communities ... The AJCongress explicitly favored cultural pluralism and strongly supported Jewish nationalism. These two commitments were closely connected: Horace

The following is extracted from "When Victims Rule", Chapter 20. The article documents how the Zionist promotion of multiculturalism and the plural society is a political strategy for the weakening of Gentile societies and a camouflage by which Zionist interests can ascend. Illustrations and subheadings have been added. Our acknowledgements to Peter Myers and his excellent news and information service at myers@cyberone.com.au

Kallen, who developed the theory of cultural pluralism, was himself an ardent champion of both the AJCongress and American Zionism."

HORACE KALLEN - ZIONIST FATHER OF CULTURAL PLURALISM

This man, Kallen, most credited with the conception and development of cultural pluralism (the ethnocentric vehicle by which Zionism could unobjectionably thrive in the United States) was an American Jewish professor, most active in the teens and 1920s. He argued a sharp distinction between "nationality" (being Jewish) and "citizenship" (being American). One author calls Kallen "the grandfather of multiculturalism"; his important collection of essays was entitled 'Culture and Democracy in the United States'. "Although the ideas contained within it had little impact at the time," says John Miller, "they became enormously influential later in the century. Horace Kallen was the first multiculturalist."

KALLEN'S JEWISH SECRET SOCIETY

Kallen was also so great a Zionist that he was the "leader and guiding spirit" of "an elite secret society called the *Parushim*, the Hebrew word for 'Pharisee' and 'separatist'."

"You will be subject," stated the inductor in the *Parushim* swearing-in ceremony, "to an absolute duty whose call you will be impelled to heed at any time, in any place, and at any cost."

27 June 06. Dear David: Many thanks for your letter of 22 June 06 & the 'racist' enclosure. The War Against Whites intensifies. No matter what Whites do or say, it appears that they are deemed the bogeymen of history, the villains, murderers & exploiters of all non-Whites. If we are fools enough to accept that status, then we are 'guilty' of deserving it. Thanks be to ZOG, Latinos (mestizos with Hispanic backgrounds) are deemed "White." That's what the Federal wanted posters say. But for purposes of White Guilt, Latinos are deemed to be non-White 'victims'. I warned sheeple that it is going to be a crime to be White. Obviously, the Blacks are sawing off the limb they are so comfortably seated on, for if they get what they say they want, just as they did in Africa, they won't like it. That's what I told several college-educated Coloureds (usually mixtures of Black, White &/or Asian). The "Black" writer of the book on "White Guilt" would not be deemed Black in Africa, but Coloured, by Blacks, & his lack of tribal status would render him an 'unperson', so he can only get away with his scam amongst Whites, who are suffering from acute 'ethno-masochism'.

The role of Christinsanity & its secular form, liberalism, is clear in "White Guilt," as with "Original Sin." The indoctrination with guilt is an effective means of exploitation, as any preacher-for-profit can tell you, if he can stop lying for a moment. Communism has been called "the politics of envy," & the guilty liberals are its servants & targets. Not only is the acceptance of guilt for a race cowardly, but it is the genocidal basis for racial extinction. I heard Black Panther Eldridge Cleaver declare that "White guilt & Black rage make great sex!"

Saddam Hussein was not only a hand-picked U.S. puppet, but he was the Abraham Lincoln of Iraq, because his job was to kill as many Iraqis as necessary to keep Iraq together, as Lincoln did when he killed Americans to "preserve the Union." Everything Saddam did was what we told him to do, so if he is guilty, so are we! Iraq is a bastard entity which the British carved out of the Turkish Empire after World War I. That's why it has always had to be held together by force. "Iraq" is about ¼ Kurdish, ¼ Sunni Arab & ½ Iranian Shi-ite. In its mad invasion on behalf of Israel, the Jewnited States toppled Saddam's Sunni Arab regime. Not only did that start a civil war, but it gave the Shi-ite majority, backed by Iran, the means to take over territory. Everyone wants the oil, ever since the British wanted Kirkuk & Mosul before World War I. My proposed solution, in terms of U.S. representative Holbrooke's advice for the Balkans & Africa, is for Iraq to be divided into ethnic states. The U.S. has long bamboozled the Kurds with promises of a Kurdish nationstate (Kurdistan), so it would seem desirable, for the sake of peace, to create such a Kurdish state from territories where the Kurds now live in the former USSR, part of Turkey, part of Iran & part of Iraq. The Kurds have long been a thorn of rebellion in those countries. As for the Sunni Arabs, their territory could be annexed to neighboring Arab states & the Iraqi Shi-ites could be united with their fellow Iranians. Some arrangement could be made to divide up oil revenue, since the oilfields are in one territory, the pipelines in another & the ports in another. Most of all, trouble-makers like the USA & Israel should be excluded! With peace, prosperity would come.

The Brits stole Kuwait from the Turkish Empire before World War I, to block a proposed German railway line from Bagdad to Basra, the port on The Indian Ocean, from which German goods could be loaded upon German ships, so as to break the British trade blockade on German goods, but that's another story. Oil began to loom large in British interests when Admiral Fisher promoted oil as fuel for the British fleet, instead of labor-intensive coal. Hence, the fleet was necessary for The British Empire, & oil would be necessary for the British fleet. But Britain had no oil of its own, so it had to push into Persia (Iran) & Kuwait, its nearest sources of supply before World War I, as I understand. My father was in oil exploration all over the world, so I may be cheating in regard to my familiarity with the oil issue. In my previous writings, I mentioned that the "oil shortage" was a creation of the oil merchant-banksters, such as the Rockefellers et al., for The Middle East is only one source of oil. The question is not, "where is oil?" but "where is there NOT oil?" Our present oil shortage is due to demand, especially from the newly-industrialized Asian countries, foremost of which is China. The war criminal Franklin D. Roosevelt finally drove the Japanese to attack what they thought was the U.S. Pacific Fleet at Pearl Harbor by imposing an oil embargo. The Japanese fleet had been converted from coal to oil, & they had two weeks' supply, as I understand, before they ceased to be a maritime power. The Japanese situation in terms of trade is like that of the British Isles, from whence most things must come in by ship & go out by ship. No fuel, no ships; especially not naval ships. By hoping to remove the U.S. Pacific threat, the Japanese planned to take over the oil-rich Dutch East In-

2) dies (now Indonesia), which they did, thereby insuring their oil supply. Oil dependence is still an issue, so I read, occasionally, of an experimental freighter using new types of sails with auxiliary power, to enable the ship to steer in a straight line, with favorable winds. Relying on sails only, puts the ship at the mercy of trade winds, which take a vessel hundreds of miles out of its way. When steam power was applied to ships, they could reduce the length of their voyages, & as steam gained in efficiency, they could skip the sails entirely, & the manpower sails required. Nowadays, sail (airfoil) technology has achieved great efficiency in terms of power, maneuverability & reduction in labor. Hull design has added more speed, even on freighters & tankers, & reduced the need for power. Invention is certainly not a thing of the past in sea-travel, but oil still rules. Of course, The Third Reich knew how to make oil & rubber from coal, so coal resources could be converted economically to oil, if need be. Coal can be burned directly, as it used to be, for powering ships & trains, just as it is for generating electric power, if we really become desperate for fuel. Coal puts out more heat per volume than oil, anyway!

Other writers have pointed out the obvious problem with 'democracy', which most people understand to mean 'majority-rule.' A sovereign majority in Iraq would be Shi-ite, so 'democracy' for Iraq means Shi-ite rule. Since Kurds & Arabs do not want Shi-ite rule, & Shi-ites do not want Sunni Arab rule, a 'democratic' Iraq could only be Shi-ite. Israel has had Iraq on its hitlist as #1, next to Syria & Iran, not necessarily in that order. Israel's objective, as I understand from Israeli sources, including former Mossadnik Victor Ostrovsky, is to turn the clock back, away from Arab nationalism, back to Arab & non-Arab tribalism, as during the Turkish Empire. Britain introduced Arab nationalism as a means of turning Arabs against the Turks, as T.E. Lawrence did successfully. But it is not so easy to put the genie of Arab nationalism back into its bottle, especially now that non-Arab Middle Easterners are nationalist as well. What the mad-bandits of ZOG appear to be achieving is a renewal of the Empire of Islam, which was so feared during the Middle Ages, & which is advancing far beyond its furthest reaches, before 1492, when the Moors were defeated (for a time!) in Spain. Now they are back in Spain plus into France, Germany, Britain & North America, plus Australia. The Caliph of Bagdad could only look at Moslem colonies on the map & marvel at his people's success! There are two mosques here in Brownest Yakima, where I met my first Iraqis, as I never did when I visited The Middle East!

Others can see the folly of multi-culturalism, as the enclosures refer. I do not wish to impose White culture upon Blacks, nor do I want them imposing their culture on me. The same goes for any other culture or religion. Our battle is to be or not to be; of belief & of being, which eventually boils down to a battle for living space, as Thomas Chittum describes in his book, "Civil War Two." The truth is often simple & brutal: "Nature red in tooth & claw." It appears that we are only postponing the inevitable. How much longer, I do not know, but every day I awake to find the electricity still on, the water running from the taps, food available in the stores, without too much shooting & banditry, I am amazed, & I feel privileged. When this multi-national entity goes the way of ancient Rome, things will not be so easy & comfortable as they are now. Will Whites be ready when that day comes? Non-Whites seem to be, although they won't like it, either. People tend to think that cataclysmic change may come about suddenly. Often such disasters occur gradually, so people can make adjustments, as passengers on The Titanic went to upper decks as the water rose, before the ship went to the bottom. People behave like the proverbial frog being gradually boiled in the kettle of water: as long as the temperature does not rise too fast, the frog remains. People also mistake trends as disparate occurrences which are unrelated to major political, economic & demographic changes, as some did who died around the end of the Khazar Conquest of the Russian Empire. It takes a major mental effort for the frog to see that it is being boiled to death, so it can jump out of the kettle in time, just as it is to people. Culture is based in biology, as I have seen with the similarities of Black behavior in tribal Africa & in North America. The same observation applies to Whites on both continents, as well as Asians, whose behavior is not dependent upon where they happen to be, nor for how long.

Yes, Adolf Eichmann was kidnapped from Argentina, but the Jews murdered his Israeli partner, Joel Brandt, to hush him up first. Eichmann & Brandt had collaborated on smuggling Jews into British occupied Palestine before & during World War II. That collaboration, also known as The Transfer Agreement, had to be hushed up.

The rebellion of Moslems & Hindus in British-ruled India was known as The Sepoy Mutiny or Rebellion. Sepoys were mercenary troops employed by the British East India Company. When cartridges were introduced (measured powder charges) to speed up musket-loading, they were

3) waterproofed with some sort of grease, which I do not know, as to its composition. A soldier had to bite the corner off the cartridge so its contents could be poured down the barrel of the musket. Leaders who wanted to oust the Brits from India told the Moslem Sepoys that the grease was pigfat, & they told the Hindu Sepoys that it was made of beef fat. In this way, Hindus & Moslems were recruited for the Mutiny, which nearly succeeded. The British took over India directly, thereafter, instead of leaving the East India Company in charge of an entire subcontinent. Now the entire world is becoming 'company property' under global super-capitalism, so history repeats.

Like you, I appreciate the obstacles which Wayne faces in regard to promotion of a new religion. Politics is people-power, so religions serve the same purpose as ideology in motivating people. National Socialism, which had many manifestations of religion, was ostensibly an ideology, but it was really a religion, for all religions are totalitarian. Just ask a rabbi, preacher, imam or priest where his religion ends. Answer: it doesn't, for it is all-inclusive! People who are the most faithful adherents to their religions are also called 'fanatics'. In this regard, Communism is a religion. A proof of this is that Communism has never worked, but it still has its believers! The same applies to liberals & promoters of multi-culturalism, which was described biblically as The Tower of Babel.

I see no easy way of curing that which ails us. Blightwingers sometimes believe that they can achieve something concrete by a clever legal interpretation. The Jew, Teddy Roosevelt, had an answer to that idea: "Might makes right!" Try using clever arguments on hold up men! Truth unheeded frees no one. Truth is often as demanding of effort as the truth that one's house is on fire. Knowing what needs doing & doing it are two different things. Hey, it's hard work putting a fire out! (Not to mention hot & smokey!)

Ah, Brazil! My Portuguese professor said that one drop of White blood was taken to mean that one was "White." Then, the majority refer to themselves as "pardos" or "browns" because of their Afro-Eurasian admixture. "Negros" often are so called because of jobs they do. A Black bishop is not a "negro", according to my professor! As races mix, I hear references to complexion, rather than race. In Latin America, in my experience, anyone who does not have jet-black hair is "blond." My hair was brown, so I was "rubio," or "blond!" even when I said I was not, as anyone could see. In North America, "White" is more a cultural expression than racial, for many Eurasians or mestizos think of themselves as (culturally) White. I recall my experience in Louisville, KY. I noticed something peculiar about the crowd in a local burger joint. When I plugged my ears, it came to me: their faces looked Mexican, but they were not Mexicans. They were Anglo-mestizos! Otherwise, I might have been in Mexico City. If they'd been speaking Spanish, I could have identified them immediately, but I had to turn the sound off so I could really see what they were. Many U.S. "Whites" often brag of their Asian/Indian ancestry, so I'd guess that the majority of U.S. "Whites" are mestizos. Their diseases are those of mestizos or asiatics, such as obesity & diabetes, combined with heart disease. A recent medical study could not understand why White Brits who have similar diets & sluggish lifestyles are free of such ailments, to a great extent, which American "Whites" mainly suffer from. The key is race, which is usually connected with medical conditions. One Brit thought it was due to the fact that Brits often walk & Americans do not. I'm sure further study will isolate the racial factor, ZOG willing, but don't count on the truth being made public!

To return to the multi-cultural topic, I understand that Moslem combatants captured by the Russians, & who are executed, do not fly up to Moslem heaven, because the Russians wrap their bodies in pigskin, thus condemning them to remain in some Moslem limbo or purgatory, forever: a fate worse than death! In The British Imperial War Museum is a fine painting that shows ladies & gentlemen sitting in bleachers as they observe British soldiers in parade dress uniforms, complete with white gloves, standing smartly on what appears to be a cricket field. In front of the troops are a row of cannons, all smartly polished, which have white-robed wogs in turbans with their hands tied to the wheels, with their backs up against the cannon muzzles. As I understand, the soldiers were awaiting orders to apply the slow matches to the touch-holes of the guns, which were loaded with blanks. The discharge of the guns would blow the wogs, who were allegedly leaders of The Sepoy Mutiny, to smithereens, thus denying them entry into their wog afterlife, in terms of their religion. Nasty, those Brits! U.S. arrogance & ignorance are the chief enemies of the U.S. in places where they shouldn't be, as they may be learning, but slowly. In regard to empires: they should be put where the sun doesn't shine, not where the sun never sets!

All the best & ORION!

Erie

P.S.:

4) We may examine history from many aspects, & thus get lost in the details. Some of us do not want to see only the trees, but the forest, the context within which historical events occur. When Shakespeare wrote that "the world's a stage," it was no idle remark. If we view history from the standpoint of trade, we see not only the stage, but the play itself. General J.F.C. Fuller described war's goal as the acquisition & protection of markets, which would include trade routes & the empires which follow them, since prehistorical times, according to archeological discoveries. The paths of conquest usually follow trade, such as the overland Silk Route & the East-West searoutes which caused Columbus' recent discovery of North & South America. I say 'recent' because there are ancient signs of trade over immense distances, such as the discovery of copper-mining around the Great Lakes & traces of tobacco & cocaine in an Egyptian mummy, both of which come only from The Western Hemisphere. Trade was also North-South, with Vikings who came down rivers into The Black Sea, & Arabs who brought gold, ivory & slaves via such trading posts as Zimbabwe, to the coast of east Africa, from which such items were transported in dhows up to Egypt & Arabia, for further transport or consumption. Commodities such as metals, spices, silk & now, oil, play starring roles, as we see today. That's why my ancestors went from present-day Norway, down to the Orkneys & Hebrides, & beyond, for they were seafarers.

With trade comes money, & with money comes banking, which existed in ancient times. People who manage money thereby influence trade, & some people specialize in certain aspects of trade. My ancestors specialized in shipping, whereas jews, who are not a race nor a religion, specialized in money-management, in addition to commodities-trading, as they do today. "The Empire of the City" by E.C. Knuth describes the Rothschild-Sassoon-Soong dynasties from The Opium Wars of the 19th century into the present Chinese Communist era. The Sassoons of India supplied the opium to the Soongs of China, & the British Rothschilds supplied the thugs & hitmen of The British Empire. Such a deal! Needless to say, all 3 dynasties are jews! Within this context, it is no surprise that U.S. jews appear to be backing a Chinese takeover of North America, using the Eurasian (mestizo) invasion to soften up Gentile resistance. "Multi-culturalism" can also be seen in this context: initial invasion as camouflage for Chinese conquest, as has been occurring in Canada, where Mandarin is now the 2nd language. Hence, the Chinese may be the new & more numerous thugs & hitmen for The Jew World Ordure, with full co-operation of 'our' present Zionist Occupation Government. Bush, who is also jewish, according to various sources, is exhausting U.S. resources, including military manpower, in The Middle East, while leaving U.S. borders open to massive invasion, as has been occurring. This is in China's interests, not U.S. interests. What else can we expect from turning the USA over to its enemies? We invited the burglars & arsonists into our house, & now we must face the consequences of our folly, exactly as Benjamin Franklin had warned us. Our stupidity, greed & cowardice got us into this spot, so can we become brave, generous & wise in time to save our remaining people from global extinction? Stay tuned! (But don't hold your breath.) As Meyer Amschel, who founded The House of Rothschild, declared: "Give me the power to issue money, & I care not who makes the laws!" How right he was. When we look at jewish history, we also see a pattern in which the jews weaken their hosts & then betray them to their hosts' enemies. Their racket seems to pay, so why change it? The Goyim never seem to catch on. Goyim are Gentiles, who are deemed two-legged beasts or cattle, by jews. We rarely disappoint them in terms of our behavior, but we should understand that people who live like cattle die like cattle, & sheep exist to be fleeced & slaughtered, as any good shepherd will tell you. A very few can see these things, & very many prefer NOT to see these things. I prefer knowledge to ignorance, for knowledge makes life both possible & interesting. Truth is vital, but we must become strong enough to handle it. As my professor of International Relations told my fellow grad students: "You will be dealing on a daily basis with concepts & information which would drive most people right up the wall." He knew what he was talking about. Welcome to the forest! So what is new under the sun? Nothing, really. It is only new to those of us who discover what has been there from ancient times to the present, & what is old is certainly not boring! Carl Jung described mental illness as the inability to cope with the normal human condition, & this which I have described is the normal human condition to which we should become accustomed, for the sake of our judgement & behavior. We survived because we knew about saber-tooth tigers & what they could do, so why not learn about jews?

ORION!