

29 June 06. Hail John! Thanks for the review of "Aconcise History of Germany," by Mary Fullbrook. Re Goethe & Schiller: You quote one or both. The one who asks, "Where is Germany?" is stating the fact before German unification (partly) in 1870 under an alliance with the King of Prussia, who was declared Emperor of the Second Reich. This unification was made possible by the Zollverein or Customs Union, in which the allied German states would not charge taxes on goods within the unified area. After customs unification came political unification, as is now being attempted by the European Union, which also has a common currency, the euro. The Emperor of the 1st Reich was Charlemagne alias Charles the First or Charles the Great, who is also called "Karl the Saxon-Slayer" because he betrayed & murdered so many Saxon leaders on behalf of Christinsanity, which he used to centralize his power. If I am correct, his Empire of the West became known as The Holy Roman Empire, which historians have said wasn't much of an empire, & was certainly not Roman, nor holy!

The second quote about the impossibility of German unification, in favor of personal development, is a romantic idea, possibly intended as humor, for people who refuse to govern themselves are always governed by others. The German tribes lived in the same area for centuries before they developed any desire to form a nation, to my knowledge, such as it is. Empires are multi-national, whereas nations are multi-tribal; tribes are multi-clan & clans are multi-family, just as families are multi-individual groups. I understand that the Franks were also Germanic or Allemand to some extent.

The Franks/Gauls were conquered by the Romans, but the Germans were not, which is the reason French is a Romance Language, that is, any language derived from Latin. Italian, Spanish & Romanian are Romance Languages. Germanic languages include all German languages, including English, even though English has lots of French & Latin words. The official language of Germany became Prussian or High German, referring to Prussia's geographic location. Low German is spoken around Denmark. With the advent of printing, dialects became official national languages, depending on which dialect or language variation first got into print. The German, Gutenberg, invented printing with movable type in the 1400s. In England, the dialect spoken by Shakespeare became "English." The dialect spoken by Dante became "Italian;" & the dialect spoken by Cervantes became "Spanish." Gutenberg printed a German version of The Bible. Shakespeare had his plays printed; Dante, his "Divine Comedy", & Cervantes his "Don Quixote." These are all great works of literature, so they popularized the dialects in which they were written. When I visited Europe in the 1960s, local languages were spoken everywhere I travelled. Most people grew up speaking their local dialects, so they had to learn High German, French, Spanish & "BBC English" as second languages!

Germans have existed long before the formation of a country called Germany, under a German government, as occurred in 1870, during the Franco-Prussian War. If France had won against Prussia, Germany would not have been formed at that time. As your book says, Prussia took territory from Austria which was already Prussian. Austria was an empire, not a nation. At the end of World War I, the Austro-Hungarian Empire was dissolved by the victorious Allies. All the non-German nations were split from the empire, thus leaving German Austria all by itself. In 1919 the Austrian parliament voted to join their fellow Germans in Germany, but the Allies forbade this democratically-expressed desire of German unity. In 1938, German Austria joyfully joined with the rest of Germany, as one can see from the newsreels of the day. People = Nation. The USA is an empire, not a nation.

In regard to the quotes, it may have been Schiller who wrote the words for what became the German National Anthem, "Deutschland Uber Alles," "Germany above All." The author urged those who would unify Germany to think of "Germany above all else" in their minds. Anti-German propagandists claimed that Germans were told to conquer the world by these words. This is like accusing those who put "America First," of wanting to conquer all other countries. The Freemasonic founders of the USA did intend to conquer the world, as they declared on The Great Seal of the USA, which appears on the back of the \$1 bill. The Latin words, "NOVUS ORDO SECLORUM" (New World Order) require world conquest for their realization, which is exactly how the U.S. regime behaves. How dare we seek to impose our version of 'democracy' upon the world, when we are not a democracy! We are a hypocrisy, but not a democracy! The founding felons stated that they wanted no democracy, but a 'republic', which means, in our case, rule by the rich. That's why we have always had "the best government money can buy!"

2) To my knowledge, there was no interbreeding between Neanderthals & Cro-Magnons, who are named after the place where the earliest known remains of modern man were found, in France. Cro-Magnons are our ancestors.

I do not understand what the book's writer means by claiming that Germany is "unique" in being named after a language, rather than a people. As I said before, there have been many German languages. Danish is spoken by Danes in Denmark. English is spoken by English in England. Spanish is spoken by Spaniards living in Spain. Italian is spoken by Italians in Italy. Romanian is spoken by Romanians living in Romania. I wonder what school the writer attended.

It is said that one who pays blackmail once is doomed to pay it forever. By accepting the lie of the Holocaust, the French have laid themselves open to Zionist extortion. One Jew claimed that "every Jew deported from France to the Nazi camps was 'gassed'." This was embarrassing for all the Jews who returned & were alive at the time the Jew told his lie! So this latest Jew wants to sue the French National Railways for transporting Jew 'slave-laborers.' But, the other Jew says they were "all gassed." How can the French pay for 'labor' performed by 'gassed' Jews? Now the French must decide which Jew they are to believe. I have no doubt the French will pay both, just like the cowardly Swiss. France supposedly 'won' World War II, unlike the poor defeated Germans, but we see who really won World War II: Israel!

The Third Reich did conscript civilian laborers, & they were paid! The labor conditions & amount of pay are only in question. If we call those who receive pay which is low by today's reckoning, "slaves," then, Allied soldiers were certainly "slave-soldiers," & if we compare Allied war-workers' wages to today's inflated wages, we could also say that the Allies' used "slave-labor!" The Allies included the Soviets who worked people to death for no pay at all, when they did not murder them by bullets & starvation, just because they were there. Solomon Morel is typical for genocidal Jew of the former USSR. The Allies, including the Soviet Union, worked German P.C.W.s to death as slave labor after 1945, & Eisenhower simply starved them to death in his P.O.W. camps in occupied Germany. The French got some of these Eisenhower prisoners for use as slaves, & they complained that the Americans had starved them so badly, the Germans could not work! Soon, Occupied Germany's east & west zones became Allied-run slave labor camps.

Yes, there is a double-standard in which some war criminals are 'more equal' than others. In Jewish practice, guilt or innocence do not seem to matter when Jews see an advantage in persecuting their chosen victims, such as Demjanjuk, whose Irish defence attorney, O'Connor, was not nearly so effective in Demjanjuk's defence as were his two Israeli attorneys, one of whom was murdered by the Jews, & the other got acid thrown in his face at the funeral! I visited O'Connor's office in Buffalo, NY, with evidence that the Jews' charges against Demjanjuk alias "Ivan of Treblinka," were wrong, for one thing, for the Allied trial transcripts from Nuremberg claim that Treblinka had "huge steam facilities" for exterminating Jews in "steam chambers." I also had aerial photos of the Treblinka site, taken in 1944, which revealed not one building, nor any evidence of a foundation for "steam chambers." Treblinka was alleged operating in 1944, so questions could be raised in Demjanjuk's trial, but O'Connor was not interested in Demjanjuk's defence, as were his Israeli attorneys! Demjanjuk is in trouble, partly from his own bad judgement in trusting the wrong attorneys. I wonder how his Ukrainian trial will go, for most 'war crimes' suspects had been tried in Communist courts previously. A Hungarian, Imre Finta, had been tried for 'war crimes' in Communist Hungary, & was found innocent, but the Jews got him tried all over again in Canada, without success. Innocence is still some defence, but not against Jewish persecution. John Demjanjuk is one of the Jews' favorite punchingbags. Poor fellow!

Enjoy your studies. ORION!

*Eric*