

Rense.com

US Eases Visa Requirements For Israelis

By Herb Keinon
The Jerusalem Post
4-24-4



US Ambassador Daniel Kurtzer formally announced Wednesday the US is easing visa requirements for Israelis born in countries on its list of states sponsoring terrorism.

According to the new regulations, one's country of birth will not be a determining factor in deciding whether the visa application will be processed in Israel, or sent to Washington.

Sending visa applications for Israelis born in Iran, Libya and Syria to Washington considerably lengthened the visa process. The other countries on the US list of states supporting terror are Sudan, Cuba and North Korea.

The main criterion now for determining how to process the visa will not be where one was born, but rather to which country one owes allegiance. Since most Israelis born in Iran, Libya and Syria have no tie to their country of birth, their visa applications will be processed in Israel like all other Israelis.

After 9/11, the US severely tightened the visa process, and Israelis born in the blacklisted countries ñ even if they had not been there for decades ñ were subjected to greater scrutiny than others.

This procedure caused problems for such high-profile personalities as Defense Minister Shaul Mofaz and the singer Rita, both born in Iran.

Philip Covington, the consul-general at the US Embassy in Tel Aviv, urged Israelis to apply early for visas to the US, saying that the rate of requests for visas from Israel is among the highest in the world.

7-7-06. Dear Steve! Many thanks for your two recent letters, including the book ads. I wonder if your mailings from me could be speeded up if I were to wrap the contents around the outside of the envelope, so your OZ-zoggies would have less trouble opening the envelope. I guess they are slow readers. As a journalist, the more who read my mail, the merrier, so long as they deliver it, which is what I pay them to do. I am not a "conspirator." I have no secrets, only appointments.

Many thanks, as always, for the informative newsletters, with their focus on important issues. I rely upon my network of correspondents to help me sort the wheat from the chaff. Otherwise, I'd be inundated in words, figuratively buried under an avalanche of verbiage. If I were a Jew, I could call that a 'real holocaust.'

I do not usually address personalities, unless they are notable historical figures. I am only concerned with policies advocated &/or practiced by my contemporaries, with the intention of informing others, as well as pointing out pros & cons of given policies, based on my knowledge & experience. I have learned that leftwingers often couch personal differences in ideological terms, with the notable exception of the Jewess Rosenbaum alias Ayn Rand who expressed her personal animosities in terms of her philosophy. Blightwingers, on the other hand, couch their political animosities in personal terms. If a leftwinger has B.O., he is called a "rightwing deviationist," &c. If a blightwinger's politics is disliked, he is accused of having B.O. Anyone who seems to have valid ideas & information I promote in terms of specific ideas & specific information. This is not the same as becoming his or her disciple. I merely pass on whatever I deem of benefit to my correspondents, as they do to me. When appropriate, I warn people about dangerous policies advocated &/or promoted by others in terms of policies, & not personalities. I do not accuse people whose policies I may dislike of being scoundrels, for they may have good intentions. I do have one rule: if they appear to do the work of the ZOG, then I have reason to suspect them of being zoggies who are in the pay of the ZOG. I think we are justified in suspecting that those who do the work of the enemy may well be enemy agents, conscious ones at that.

The Covington Case is an example of what I mean, based on current ZOG behavior, in which communications of ideas, usually of wishful-thinking, based on emotions, is construed as conspiracy & "terrorism" by ZOG. Most recently, we have learned via the Jewsmidia, of alleged plots to perpetrate acts of "terrorism" in the USA, most recently via the Internet. The tactic is 'guilt by association,' rather than overt acts which are illegal in themselves. As I wrote to Covington, a vivid description of a violent, destructive act is still legal in fact or fiction. BUT the alleged advocacy of such acts is illegal because it falls into the category of "terrorism" in terms of advocacy & conspiracy, regardless of who does the advocating & conspiring. In the Florida case, it was a ZOG agent provocateur who did the advocating, & it was his listeners who stand charged with conspiracy. In the Internet case, which is international, statements made in a "chatroom" were deemed advocacy & conspiracy to commit acts of "terrorism," to wit: setting off explosive charges in underwater tunnels.

The USA has had a long, tawdry history of sedition trials, most recently in the 20th century, at Fort Smith, Arkansas. In every case, the U.S. Government has come away with egg on its Freemasonic face, for the prosecution has so far never prospered by trying U.S. citizens for "sedition." In the 1944 sedition trials, the conspiracy charges had to be dropped, for none of the members of the blightwing either knew or liked their fellow accused. A cat-fight is not a conspiracy! We could say that the blightwing is a conspiracy of mutual-denunciation. That is as far as we can get. Not so, however, with people on Covington's mailinglist, regardless of their countries of residence. That is why I advised you to drop his address from your flier: not for my good, but for your good, for I am on his mailinglist, despite the fact that I have never, ever, agreed with his policies, & I have said so, from the beginning, as I say now: "No change of place can save Our Race. Only change of mind can save Our Kind." If we may assume a degree of sanity, a change of mind will produce a change of behavior. Otherwise, I am not a propagandist, but an entertainer. By advocating a change of place, not a change of mind, Covington is promoting symbols, not substance. As we know, substantial action is not required for 'guilt' under ZOG's laws, merely symbolic tokens thereof, in the form of advocacy & conspiracy for secession & "terrorism". I use quotation marks because "terrorism" remains undefined by ZOG, as a matter of convenience, thereby including everyone.

Keep up the good work. ORION!

Eric