

25 Jewlie 06. Hail D.R.! I enjoy our 'conversation' via snailmail, maybe because I am a slow-thinker, but I find that my replies are better when I have time to reflect upon your topics, for my memory takes some jogging. I saw "The Battle of Algiers" once, in 1969, although I strongly suspect that it was in 1968. That film on insurgency ties in with two books on the subject, one by Ind & the other by "David Galula," which sounds to me like a pen-name, as with "Suvorov." The gist of all 3 features was that counter-insurgent troops must behave like police, not as soldiers. Soldiers keep their distance from people, as our U.S. cops do, who have become quite militarized. Police must keep close to the civilians, like big brothers, in the true sense of the term, rather than the Orwellian sense of menace. Big brother cops have good intelligence sources, for members of the public are not afraid of them. Such police are treated as members of the community, not occupiers, so they are most effective. In "The Battle of Algiers" the actor who plays General Massu tells the French colonial police chief that the insurgency is not a military failure, but a police failure, the failure to gather intelligence. The police chief actor says, "But to get your wish, you'd have to arrest everyone in Algiers!" Cut to the wee small hours of the morning: public squares suddenly fill with U.S.-made military trucks, full of soldiers, who pour out & raid all the buildings where people live. The people are driven into the streets & loaded on the trucks, which take them to military bases for interrogation. That move effectively broke the cell security system, for most, if not all cell members were in detention. The Algerian film-makers admitted that by portraying this tactic used by the French, & by showing that it worked. "Galula" addressed the Algerian war. He described essential ways of breaking the insurgency, which was a national liberation movement. One tactic was to conscript civilians for public works, such as building roads & schools. When everyone has to work, he can't be working for the insurgents, & he has a good excuse! Another tactic, if I recall correctly, was to recruit Algerians into the French colonial structure, by labelling it as "Algerian." When one sees himself as an "Algerian" school teacher, &c., he is more receptive to co-optation. What's in a name is indeed important, to normal dummies, & being paid certainly helps! "Galula" stresses the importance of area-control & collective punishment via checkpoints & I.D. cards. If insurgents can infiltrate an otherwise peaceful area, it cannot be controlled. Our borders are a monstrous example of lack of area-control, for if government does not control an area in regard to who enters, then it has no control over what goes on. DUH! The gist of "Galula's" thesis was to create an "independent" Algerian government by using Algerian frontmen throughout, rather than Frenchmen, but those Algerians would be working for France, not Algeria! We have seen the results: popular leader Ben Bella, was ousted in favor of Boumedien (sp?). When Moslem fundamentalist parties won elections, the elections were nullified by the military government of Algeria. This situation continues, as I understand, & that is why The Battle of Algiers continues, with Algerians on both sides. There is a new twist: France is now a colony of Algeria! Thirty per cent of the French Army is now Moslem, according to one European source.

I heard an interview on NPR jewsradio today. A journalist named "Rix" or "Ricks" has written a book about the U.S. intervention in Iraq entitled "Fiasco!" which he described very well. He opined from his military sources that about one third of the U.S. military in Iraq appears to know what it needs to do, while one third doesn't give a damn & the other third gives the USA a very bad image. He mentions one jew-criminal after another, including Wolfowitz & Bremer, who were key players in the creation of the present mess. My impression was that the authors of our Iraq Quagmire wanted the USA to behave as brutal bullies who demonstrate their vulnerability to attack. Truly, the enemies of the USA are in command of U.S. forces. Rix (?) said that many U.S. military commanders were very angry at U.S. policies which sacrifice men under their command for worse than nothing. This seems like a recipe for a coup d'etat or mutiny. Stay tuned!

As you say, wider war looms, with the latest Hezbollah-Israel conflict. You note that Hezbollah's attack relieved jew pressure on the imprisoned Palestinian^{ians} to some extent. If the Moslems ever get their act together, ZOG will be a-hurtin', for certain! In "Usrael," Moslem protestors & jew supporters of the sheeny-slaughter of Lebanese civilians seem equally divided, while dumb Goyim stand aside to chew their cuds, with no apparent curiosity in regard to what will befall them. It appears that the jews' low estimation of us is largely true. "If it comes from a jew, we MAKE it true!" Oink! Moo! Ba-ah!

Wider war will mean, at the very least, soaring oil prices, according to Ricks, but will oil take a backseat to other crises? Stay tuned! Why pay high prices for gas if you can be

2) shot or blown up right where you are? No need to drive where those things can happen, just as a stingy Scotsman like me would prefer. Hoot mon! If I get killed, I won't have to spend any money for the outcome.

Referring to plunder, I heard that it used to be the reward for victorious men & officers, a share of the prize or booty. It may have been around Napoleon's time that medals were awarded, rather than a portion of the plunder, which went to the state, instead of the warriors. Such a deal! The USSR went all the way by issuing medals for "Heroes of Production," rather than salary increases or bonuses! As one former USSR-nik told me: "In USSR we pretended to work & they pretended to pay us." I told him that I was shocked, shocked at such cynicism. You mean, the stuff I was taught in Boy Scouts omitted some things? Aargh!

As you observe, plunder in the form of wealth & women remains perfectly viable & desirable in the coming real world. Idealism has proved itself for suckers & sheeple (same thing). Remember how people fought to "save the Union," after fighting for Bank of England-style "independence"? Then a new bunch of suckers fought to "make the world safe for democracy & to end war." That scam worked so well that some members of the same batch fought for FDR's "Four Freedoms." How many, for how long, will fight for such illusions? We know that "freedom is not free," for future generations are earmarked as debt-slaves to pay for the war loans which now shackle us all. As Orwell wrote: "Freedom is Slavery!" So let's hear the Goy Cheer: "DUUH!"

Trust the blightwing to hop into ZOG's trap, which may be sprung whenever ZOG so desires. Who are "we" fighting in Iraq, besides "Terrorists?" "Insurgents!" At least Metzger did not think "Al Qaeda Network" was a nifty way of rocking ZOG's boat (& sinking his!). Politics is a matter of timing. To continue to do what WE USED TO DO in these times is a sign of folly. Blightwingers need to read what happened to dissidents in the USA after 1941. The Sedition Trials were mild in comparison to ZOG's present entitlements. I know that the ZOG will do whatever it decides to do, no matter what, but I object to all the blightwing bluster. If I have a pack of bubblegum, am I 'clever' to call it a "gun"? If I am one lone keyboard-pecker, am I being clever to call myself an "army"? You may recall that Metzger never killed anyone. He just advocated it, & some of his subscribers put his wish into action. As the Brits would say of Metzger: "He was just too clever for words." I think he has done lots of good work, if he could just keep from sabotaging himself. In my considered opinion, based on my own experience, Thomas Chittum's advice to "keep yer head down" is most appropriate. We should not be surprised if those who stick their heads up get them chopped off. I have taken big chances, which were bigger ones than I thought at the time, thanks to 20-20 hindsight, so when I see others taking such chances so carelessly, I wonder about their sanity &/or intelligence. Maybe they should practice by crossing streets with their eyes closed. They might even survive, but flinging themselves upon the fixed bayonets of the ZOG is likely to prove otherwise. ZOG does not see such intended humor in an equally playful manner.

So de Valera's advice really ruined the IRA's covert strength by bringing it into the open. Covington gave such advice in Rhodesia, which I said was wrong at the time, but I went along with it, since we really had nothing to lose in a land consigned for sellout to Black Marxist Misrule, as we all knew. When we returned to North America, I told him that playtime was over, as I told him recently. Yes, he may be a harmful, lone-nut eccentric, but there may be method to his consistent 'madness.' We shall see in due course, ZOG willing.

Thanks for distinguishing Troy Southgate from Nick Griffin. Both men are equally worthless political prostitutes. My correspondence broke off with Southgate when I mentioned a biography on Queen Elizabeth's Jewish background from her mother, the former Sally Bowes-Lyons of Jewish teahouse fame. I guess the truth was too much for him to handle. Jared Taylor who deems Jews "White," appears to praise Griffin for doing likewise. Not only are they "trimmers," but also infiltrators, & traitors to the cause they purport to represent.

As you say, those who succumb to the "equality" scam are actually empowering others over themselves. Look at "Equal Rights" for Blacks, women & queers: How quickly "equality" evolved into superiority! Ian Smith of Rhodesia blabbered about "power-sharing." I said that you have it or you don't. It cannot be shared. Obviously, I was right & Smith was a liar. Yes, material abundance tends to produce fatheads. Perhaps hunger will focus our minds, as with the prospect of hanging. We shall see how decadent savages cope with oncoming crises: flight or fight? Or pee & flee? Keep yer head down! E.T.