

# NEW game, TORONTO SUN, JUNE 17, 2006 new rules

Multicultural policy that came into being  
40 years ago was a failed illusion

With the arrest of alleged "homegrown" Muslim terrorists in the GTA, a long-overdue examination of multiculturalism in Canada might well now occur. This is necessary, for any public policy examined over time turns into dogma and invariably becomes counterproductive.

The idea of multiculturalism perhaps was once benign, reflecting the mood and circumstances of an era that has now grown dim in memory.

It is nearly four decades since Montreal held Expo '67, and Canada celebrated its centenary with much optimism. Forty years later, the country has evolved and changed, and this change is reflected in the rainbow spectrum of new Canadians that is most visible in our cities.

A country rich and vast opened its doors to the world, and new immigrants arrived from continents other than Europe. They settled in urban Canada and incrementally added new cultural dimensions to a country that had been primarily European and Christian.



Salim Mansur

Their arrivals coincided with old quarrels between English Canada and French Quebec turning into new confrontations and threatening the country's unity. Yet in the afterglow of the centennial celebrations, Canada's liberal imagination took flight.

There was a new prime minister from Quebec who was perfectly at ease in both English and French. Pierre Trudeau's charm captured the mood and music of the period and the desire of Canadians, especially those outside of Quebec, to be seen as different from their southern neighbour (the U.S. was then awash in troubles with an unpopular war in Vietnam and civil-rights-related conflicts at home).


Multiculturalism, an officially conceived and designed policy administered by Ottawa, became a not-so-subtle

nudge to unmoor Canada from its historical connections with Britain and the United States.

Canada's past was that of a colony, not a colonizing power such as Britain and France. European immigrants built this country, shaped its democratic values, fought for its freedom, and celebrated its achievements.

The push during the Trudeau years to make Canada a "middle power" — neutral in world affairs, distancing itself from Washington and London while embracing Communist China and Cuba as new found friends, and

echoing the grievances of former European colonies in Africa, Asia and South America — complicated the policy of multiculturalism at home. Citizenship requirements were reduced by the Trudeau government, from a minimum of five years of residence to three, and the effect of opportunistic legislation was to make of new immigrants loyal voters for



Buckminster Fuller's geodesic sphere at the United States Pavilion was one of the striking architectural creations that gave Montreal's Expo 67 its worldwide buzz and put Canada on the international map as an exciting, innovative nation.

the Liberal party. Multiculturalism was seen as progressive, and Trudeau Liberals determined to make the new Canada become "progressive" at home — and a helpful fixer or neutral broker between the wealthy and the poor of the world. Anyone publicly doubting the wisdom of multiculturalism needed courage to risk being branded reactionary in a "progressive" Canada. But unintended consequences of even the noblest idea cannot be hidden for long. Hugh MacLennan (1907-

90), the celebrated author born in Glace Bay, Nova Scotia, wrote about the difficult relationship between English and French in *Two Solitudes* (1945). Neil Bissoondath, a new immigrant writing some four decades later, could observe in his book *Selling Illusions* (1994) that multicultural Canada had transformed into a land of multiple solitudes. As we politely enjoyed each other's music and cuisines, new immigrants learned less about the country they adopted as home — and less was

demanding of them from a country increasingly preoccupied by its threatened breakup. We cannot return to the years before 1967. But we can no longer deceive ourselves about building a secure and prosperous country while indulging ourselves as polite strangers in a Canada soaked with multicultural illusions. — Mansur is a professor of political science at the University of Western Ontario

1 AUG 06

Dear Michael: Thanks for your latest mailing with your letter to J.G. dated 25 Jew Lies 2006, which I have photocopied for Tina et al. He sent me B.F. #5, which I also photocopied for distribution, as enclosed.

A correspondent sent me a copy of "The Agricola & the Germania" by Tacitus, & I discovered passages which are acutely relevant to current events as ZOG's empire enters its decadence, amid a Babel of race-mixing & multi-culturalism, the hotbed for crime, corruption & sectarian violence. The jewsmedia have attempted to use the "lone-nut" theory in regard to the native-born Moslem who shot up the jew office in Seattle. Rots of ruck! as we say, multiculturally. How do we distinguish insanity from diversity? How do we distinguish criminals from multiculturalism? How much of religion is ritual insanity, instilled insanity? Freemasonic fudging aside, peoples, religions & cultures are different in important respects, so the lie that "we all worship the same god," is just a lie. So, too, is the myth that all religious doctrines recognize the so-called 10 Commandments. One jew said there were 250 Commandments, not just 10. Such practices as polygamy, human sacrifice, infanticide & mutilation are deemed immoral & illegal in some societies, while they <sup>are</sup> deemed virtues in others. Gestures which are seen as casual in one society are seen as mortal insults in others. The existence of courts & prisons shows us that some diversity of belief & behavior is considered 'too much' by Freemasons themselves, who founded the U.S. empire of ZOG in 1776. It is accurate to say that the USA (Under Satan's Administration) is based on Original Sin, a portion of which is the myth of 'cheap labor.' Since beliefs are different, they may lead to behavioral differences, if the 'believer' is not a hypocrite. There actually are people who do as they say, at least, sometimes! ZOG says that we are to believe that the Moslem who committed hebicide was "bi-polar," but he only did what any militant Moslem would do, were he given the opportunity, for that is what they have said & continue to say. How long can infidels believe that they are 'liars'? North Americans are multi-sectarian. The Balkans were multi-sectarian & multi-ethnic. Afghanistan is multi-ethnic, & tribalism is sufficient to fuel the eternal strife in that country. In addition to multi-sectarianism, North America is also multi-racial & multi-cultural. As the rabid jew, Crevelld, wrote: the USA is not long for this world because of its diversity. Why should Babel not behave like Babel? The words of Tacitus are relevant since the USA remains, such as it is, by bread & circuses + inertia. When the mob is no longer fed & amused, the Freemasonic bankster empire of ZOG will fall apart. As Thomas Chittum wrote in "Civil War Two," ZOG's drive to dissolve territorial sovereignty on behalf of the globalist Jew World Ordure, as declared on The Great Seal of the USA (Novus Ordo Seclorum), works hand-in-hand with the sectarian separatists of all stripes, who are becoming ever more crowded together. There was no wisdom behind the founding of this empire, only material abundance & ample living-space, so our abuses could flourish, without immediate suffering of the consequences thereof. When diversity is accompanied by crowding & scarcity, the result is a violent explosion. Indians fought Whites for the same living space, even when there were no Whites in North America, to add to the Indians they already fought. This is Nature's Law, it appears, which we now seek to ignore on behalf of Christinsanity & criminal capitalism. Whites have surrendered, without a fight, what they won only by fighting. A wise Indian said that Whites are crazy for letting Indians live after they were defeated. Indians are not crazy, so they would not make such a mistake, had they won! Mestizos are the death of Whites & Indians, for they lack understanding. DNA tests are cheaper now, so racists need to check themselves out before they tout their "White Leader Status."

If I were a Roman, I might suggest as the solution to all the religious diversity among Whites that we establish an Aryan Pantheon. I understand that Arch Edwards has already done so, to a great extent. The danger of a pantheon is that all gods & goddesses are accorded equal status. Glad I'm not a Roman! If peoples are not equal, why should their gods be accorded 'equality'? It sounds like Freemasonry again. Tacitus pointed out that Roman decadence was designed to make non-Romans into slaves. So is ZOG's decadence & easy-living, which we all wish to enjoy, as long as it lasts! Hail, fellow slaves!

As you say, just because I endorse a book or publication for its information does not mean that I am, therefore, a disciple of the writer (s). I agree that Duke & Zündel are of the "me-me-me, gimme-gimme-gimme" club. I do not endorse Zündel's writings, for I wrote most of them, which he signed, & now bears the blame. Time wounds all heels, but I was surprised how fast his time was up, after he took his supporters' money & ran. I'm sure Duke's clock is ticking in this regard, as The Norns have decreed.

All the best, on all fronts! DOWZ! & ORION!

*ENC*