



STATE OF WASHINGTON  
**DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**  
**STAFFORD CREEK CORRECTIONS CENTER**  
191 Constantine Way, MS WA-39 - Aberdeen, Washington 98520  
(360) 537-1800  
FAX: (360) 537-1807

**April 3, 2007**

**TO:** Nelson, Michael DOC 908546  
H3 Unit 040L

**FROM:** Correctional Captain Jones 

**SUBJECT: MAIL REJECTION APPEAL**  
**Mail Rejection No. 12784**

Your request for a appeal of Mail Rejection No. 12784 has been received by my office. I have had all pertinent policies and operational memorandums reviewed and referenced. In my review, I have determined that Mail Rejection No. 12784 was imposed due to policy violations.

There are no exceptions for this requirement; and no change in the original determination. Please refer to Department of Corrections (DOC) Policy Directive 450.100, *Mail for Offenders*, Attachment 1, Page 2, Number 15, wherein it states: "*Mail that advocates that any group is inferior based on national origin , race, color, religion, age, gender, marital status, sexual orientation, status as a Vietnam Era Veteran, Wartime Veteran, or Disabled Veteran, or the presence of a physical, mental, or sensory impairment, and makes such a group the object of ridicule and scorn, and may reasonably be thought to precipitate a violent confrontation between the recipient and a member(s) of the target group.*" This policy directive serves as the Operational Memorandum (OM) at SCCC.

This correspondence is not in compliance with facility policy. Your appeal is denied and Mail Rejection No. 12784 is upheld. For additional information about mail rejections and the appeal process, please review DOC Policy 450.100 Mail for Offenders. You may appeal a mail rejection decision within 10 calendar days of the decision by submitting your original rejection notice, appeal response, and your written request for a review to:

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
Deputy Secretary, Prisons Division  
P.O. BOX 41118  
TUMWATER, WA. 98504-1118

cc: Mailroom Sergeant  
File

3 MAY 07. Hail Michael! I just received your 3 envelopes, all posted on 30 APR 07. I shall contact the officials you mentioned in your letter of 27 APR 07, to find out what, specifically, they deemed racial/ethnic allegations of inferiority. "IM10" may have meant by her, his, its 3 words: "inferior-racial-ethnic" that Whites fall into that category, so we are denied the right to communicate. I do not understand why convicted offenders would NOT fall under the 'protected species' category listed in Capt. Jones' letter of 3 APR 07. As I see your ZOG-gulag censorship policy, it appears that any distinctions may be construed by the gulag commissars to impute an incitement to violence on the part of the intended recipient of the message. If a distinction constitutes "hate", then my statement that I prefer Coca Cola to Pepsi may be so construed. Does ZOG want us to omit any distinctions in communication, & even a statement of preference, such as "I prefer one brand of soda pop to another, neither of which I can mention?" I'm sure your zoggies know into which category they fall, in my considered opinion. Perhaps their intention is to shut off all correspondence between us. I just need to know.

I shall make copies of this correspondence & all your enclosures for Forseti. Thanks for giving me their current address. A couple of years ago I sent them a few items for what I believed to be their newsletter, in case they wanted material, but they replied that they only accepted material from prisoners. No communication since then. I hope they will accept your material with my return address thereon!

Re your Nagel letter of 27 APR 07: From what I can understand, you got a real railroad job in ZOGcourt, with the help of your 'attorney'. I'd have insisted on a proper DEFENCE attorney, rather than an assistant prosecutor, as he turned out to be. Or, I'd have defended myself. I usually choose female attorneys, for they cannot be Freemasons, & thus automatically in on the 'fix'. My results have been good in that regard. In your case, I'd have defended myself. A bad trial is worse than no trial, & one is consigned to the ZOG-gulag in any case. You can be inside during trial or you can be inside during your appeal of a bad trial. The Romans said: "Let justice be done, though the heavens fall!" I got a phony defense attorney during my mysterious doings with ZOG's allegations in 1969. When I learned that my 'defense' attorney intended to see me in a barred cell or a padded cell, I accepted ZOG's option to leave the country, under ZOG escort to the Mexican border. A wise choice for me!

I have not received your letter to RNPA re John Burke. Perhaps IM10 is holding up your mail, so as to censor incoming & outgoing correspondence. What a thought-criminal you are! Zoggies are not noted for their intelligence. I was disgusted at former CIA chief Tenent's lame attempts to excuse his rubberstamping of Zionist war plans against Iraq. His interview revealed neither principles nor brains on his part. I've seen much smarter used car salesmen. Zoggies are usually overpaid for what they do. My recommendation to IM10 is to look up "hate" & "humor" in an English language dictionary. Hate appeals to the killer instinct. Humor appeals to the chuckle instinct, but some zoggies cannot distinguish between the two. This is what we can call 'diversity' or 'perversity'. Those words should also be looked up. I intend no meanness in my meaning, nor malice in my information. Those qualities are entirely in the mind of the beholder. You evaluated the Nagel situation from a prudent standpoint. Your life was in danger; at least, you had reason to think so. The fact you did not perform in the Colombian cultural manner, by shooting everybody in the place, indicates you were 'guilty' both for protecting your own life, & also the lives of the others. I have had 2 years of Colombian experience, so I'm not fibbing. The 2nd week I was in Cali, 52 people were beheaded by bandits on the highway to Bogota. Life is very cheap in most Turd World countries, so your behavior proves that you respect others' lives. That's very White of you, in my experience. So far, no further COTCnik correspondence. Maybe I am deemed not to be missionary material, but if I hear again from him, I'll transmit your report on their meeting. How grotesque! Everything I return to you I have copied for my files & others. All the best, as always. DOWZ & ORION!

*Eric*

P.S.: In court, it pays to bring your own witnesses to the proceedings. The judge's comment about you showed bias on his part, which is a ground for appeal, all by itself. Such trials tend to bring justice into disrepute, thus evoking the principle that "might makes right," in the kosher words of Teddy Roosevelt.