

31 May 2007; Hail Eric! Received yours of 22 May on 30 May, containing: cover; Bruce Johnson's great note on Gore, b/w page 3 (only) of a (great) letter by you to someone; 16 and 21 May from and to Ellie Sullivan (their ad required I send my "paperwork," I'll take it from here, thanks); 22 May to Daniel b/w p.2; 14 May Declaration of McVay (yeah, item 6 therein really caught me off guard); My returned originals from Headquarters re inter-racial violence and Kitchen Worker status (I'm now working 1½ hrs. per night and they have the nerve to "pay" me by the hour - maybe 10 bucks a month?); Jim Lobe's AlterNet posting re Philosophy of Deception; and another useful copy of Brush Fires 7 with its enclosure (?) by Rowana the Druid (didn't get that in BF7). Thanks again!

With my current financial status imposed by SCCC, I'm in desperate need of an outside sponsor who can purchase perhaps five bucks worth of public disclosure documents per month, to significantly improve the lives of oppressed WNs in WA gulags. Please widely distribute this letter as an appeal for assistance. Potential sponsors are solicited to contact me directly by mail at: Michael Nelson 908546 H340L; Stafford Creek Correction Center; 191 Constantine Way; Aberdeen WA 98520.

Re my cell address, both notations are correct but in light of the writers rule of parsimony, I note that cells 1 thru 34 are the bottom floor of A-Pod, and 35 thru 68 are B-Pod's bottom floor.

On old business, 'Calculated Integration' seems to have begun its intended effect as one (WN vs. Bubba the butt pirate) case I used in my own pod, a couple days after I estimate the Legislators and Governor got the essay, was quietly and without comment moved out of that situation and into a compatible one. The ostensible WN wanted to smash the toad straight away, but I noted how beneficial his situation could be as exemplar in preventing such occurrences, statewide. He agreed to be mellow as long as I was in the unit, so that no conflict could be attributed to my "influence," as may have been their secondary intent. Thanks for the clarification on distribution of 'Calculated Integration,' and I await assistance from a web/printer access supporter to reformat the cover for 2008's upcoming Legislative quorum. They're sine die for now.

On to items you address, I will do Public Disclosure on any lists or reference material used to determine that a specific Mail Rejection somehow constituted a "security threat," and I'll be sure you receive the rejected material back, so it can be included in judicial review of the issue. The current status quo is constitutionally infirm when DOC can't tell anyone what is and is not rejectable, nor why. We've proven they were lying about the "14 Words," and hence cannot be trusted to make such arbitrary

2)

determinations. It's a matter of great public interest of ongoing import and I think I can get it before WA's Supreme Court on that basis. Considered placing all WA S.Ct. justices on my mailing list for essays and articles, then I thought they might have to recuse themselves in later proceedings if 'compromised' by my revelations of corruption in the DOC. SCCC's mailroom may choose to simply back off because I can generate a lot of negative judicial and public scrutiny for them if they keep it up. Wouldn't count on it though - they're not that smart. And too stubborn to admit when they're wrong.

PDA hits you paid for are priceless exhibits. Records challenge process says a prisoner can't challenge opinions or subjective information in their files. Records policy says no opinions or subjective information is to be included in files. An old RCW on "Risk Management" gives guidance here and notes that conclusions are not to be based on opinions or subjective information, regarding a prisoner's risk management. Judicial challenges thereto are subject to the rule of "Lenity" wherein ambiguity is to be resolved in the movant's favor. In re Personal Restraint of Cashaw formed the rule that internal agency regulations constitute State law. Lots of grist for the mill. Documents also prove removal of records was improper. Also evidence lack of security in central file maint.

Have some exciting releases upcoming which address the unification of WN religious interests, and focus them in common cause while providing Notice to DOC that several of the practices they use to harass us are unlawful. The essay will include the legal standard of review for each of WSDOC's abuses, as well as clarification of WN prisoner positions. It also will note who we are NOT, and that pretenders to the honorable White cause are unwelcome, hostile enemies who are undermining White interests with their bad behavior. Hopefully, this release can be viewed as "[my] story" by I&I so I'm not forced to litigate my incorrect status as "STG Affiliated." My upcoming PRP on that last infraction will include STG status so I can secure a reference hearing for a court to review, in camera, what Public Disclosure tells me are two non-disclosable pages from I&I which constitute a report establishing my status as STG. As usual, upon closer scrutiny, their allegations will evaporate as the paranoia of persons with guilty consciences, and the toadyism of WAZOGDOC sycophants.

Love your 22 May letter to Daniel. Great guidance and summary of the current predicament.

Lots of enclosures of interest to you, including current events and Cedeno's admission that they're botching DOC demographics re PREA, but paraphrasing, "everyone's doing it, so it's okay."

DOWZ & ORION! Michael *Michael*