

---

**From:** "JB Campbell" <jb\_campbell@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Friday, October 03, 2003 2:07 AM

**Subject:** More on German trade

- here's a little piece I sent to Ingrid  
Rimland the other day:

Ingrid -

John Kaminski sent me a note asking about the banks' opposition to the German barter system.

John -

Lorenz' question about why the bankers were against Germany's method of trade reminds me of the Congressional hearings on the war at about the time of the outbreak of war. General Leonard Wood testified that he had visited with Churchill in, I think, 1938. He said that Churchill told him, "Germany is getting too strong again. We shall have to smash her in another war." Wood asked him, "Wouldn't it be better to try to compete with Germany, rather than go to war?" Churchill said, "No."

Wood didn't know - and nobody would until David Irving's Churchill's War was published in 1989? - that Churchill had by that time been bought off by the Jews, namely by Sir Henry Strakosch, a Czech Jew who was a mining magnate in South Africa. Churchill was broke and had placed an ad in the Times for his ancestral home, Chartwell. Strakosch came to his rescue and paid all his debts, allowing WSC to keep his estate and 40 servants. The deal was that he would take orders from the Anti-Nazi League, which became The Focus, led by Felix Frankfurter and Sir Robert Waley-Cohen, head of Shell Oil in the UK. He was under orders from then on to take England to war against Germany, no matter how reasonable-sounding Hitler might be.

Hitler's financial problem was that the Allies had stolen all of Germany's gold under the criminal terms of the Versailles Treaty. The international bankers controlled all countries by their manipulated gold standard and expected the prostrate Germany to stay on the team, despite having no gold. So Hitler simply took Germany off the gold standard and announced that her economy (and currency and credit) would be based on the productivity of the German worker, and that all must work hard to rise out of the Versailles slave

conditions. He informed the British ambassador that there would be no more "reparations payments," and the envoy huffed, "I shall inform my minister of this!" But nothing was done because they were guilty and had just about strangled the golden goose.

(The French justified their invasion and occupation of the mighty Ruhr Valley industrial center in the '20s for the reason that Germany failed to deliver 250,000 telephone poles as ordered.)

That was how the German economic miracle started. Germany really had no money and was forced to trade high quality capital goods for food. And, just when Hitler was appointed Chancellor, the New York criminal attorney, Samuel Untermyer (the same Jew who blackmailed Wilson into appointing Brandeis to the Supreme Court and later into joining the British in WWI), organized an international boycott of German goods. This hurt, of course, because direct trade was the lifeblood of Germany and this Jewish boycott was a deadly threat. (The German government responded with a one-day boycott of all Jewish merchants in Germany - on a Saturday, April Fool's Day, 1933. Most of the people ignored the boycott.) The idea was to starve the Germans so they would fire Hitler.

But this is the root cause of growing German antipathy towards Jews - the total rip-off of everything and the deliberate, calculated plot to starve them out, all over again! The numbers of Germans who starved to death in post-WWI period are staggering - in the hundreds of thousands. Of course, these numbers would pale in comparison with the 13 million Germans Eisenhower starved to death (including a million PoWs) from 1945-54. (See James Bacque) Some have said to me, "That can't be true! We'd have heard about it! Why didn't the Germans complain about this, if it were true?" I asked them, "Complain to whom? The Americans? The Soviets? The British? The French? - they were all in on it, but it was our program." It was called the Morgenthau Plan, executed by Ike Eisenhower. The Reds were stealing everything from their zone and working millions of captured German soldiers to death in the Gulags.

This program continues but now it's just known as paying "reparations" to the State of Israel, something that did not exist before 1948. This is why it is imperative to keep the Germans guilty in their minds about The Holocaust, so those payments keep coming. (Us, too!)

And, despite 58 years of US occupation and brainwashing, it is amazing that they had the guts to say no to our American psychos on Iraq.

Bruce

---

7 June 07. Dear Ron: Thanks for your thoughtful letter of 2 June 07. I equate "state" with government. It is a human organization, usually requiring a land area for its activities, as would a ball club, church, school or army. Jews bring their state with them when they invade the countries of their host peoples. They behave as colonists, just as termites set up their colonies on land necessary for their existence & the pursuit of their activities. Nation is biological. State is political. Nation is a subdivision of race, so we have race, nation, tribe, clan, family & individual.

Nations can be destroyed by war & interbreeding (adultery). States can be destroyed or established by wars & revolutions. States can be usurped as our jew usurors have done with "The United States." Nobody ran on a ZOG platform as a ZOG candidate, but they all work for the ZOG, which is why I call it a Zionist Occupation Government. I know of no candidate for office who declared himself to be a Zionist (jew-supremacist), but that's who got elected. The denizens of The District of Corruption hold office under false pretenses.

Why do we accord honor & obedience to ZOG's judges? Well, most Goyim are basically ZOG-loving. They believe that zoggies are legitimate representatives of a legitimate government. Wiser Goyim know that their status depends on might, but as long as the Goyim willingly obey the zoggies, their guns don't have to appear. When sufficient Goyim deny the ZOG legitimacy, then ZOG must get its guns.

Your description of the little clan town reminds me of a similar place in CA. When I travelled through the area in 1961 I was told by locals that "If my name ain't (I don't recall), I'd never find a job there." I did find a job, but not in that town.

A society based on family, clan & tribe has its + & - factors, similar to living in a village, where everyone knows everybody's business. I have lived with extended families in Mexico. The good thing is that they all look out for one another. The bad thing is that the duds, scrubs & parasites ride on the backs of the productive members. The entire family behaves like rollingstock awaiting a locomotive. In Latin America, a family member who inherits property, gets elected to public office, has a lucrative career, &c. becomes the locomotive who pulls the family in train to prosperity or some improvement in living standards, even with groceries.

White Goyim use the term, "Extended Family," to include clan & even tribe. Most Asian & African societies are tribal, that is, sub-national, & their economic & political system is often based on the principle of "relatives first." When this structure is combined with religious & class differences, we have the social equivalent of a Gordian Knot. These relationships can confound the efforts of alien occupiers, especially those who claim to serve mythical abstractions like "democracy." A sane & racially-sound state would have left Saddam in charge of Iraq, on behalf of oil & political stability. Not so the ZOG of Usrael!

Families, clans, tribes & nations being human, how do we provide them with a social order & customs which prevent them from feuding constantly in a battle of all against all? Judges are offshoots of Anglo-Saxon kings. The king who was kin to his folk was deemed to be one who had the interests of the folk at heart, so he'd be impartial in his decisions. Such White tribes recognized trial by combat in settlement of disputes. Often, the king would leave his court to preside over jousting matches, to insure that the contenders fought according to rules established by custom. Usually, the rule was that the contest would be one-on-one, with agreed-upon weapons. Unlike the little cheat, David, of jewbook mythology, the jousters had to use a limited selection of weapons in their match: No cross-bows, &c., nor assistants amongst the spectators!

Agreed upon customs became written down as Common Law. If everyone knows the law, then he knows when he or others break it, & do things which the tribe or nation frown upon. Roman or decree law is applicable in complex, non-tribal societies, such as empires under ZOG, but our 'justice system' remains with its Anglo-Saxon roots. The judge behaves like a king in his court & the Prosecution & Defense behave like champions in the service of their patrons, at least in theory. Hence, our justice is called "adversarial justice." In Europe, it is called "inquisitorial justice," since the theoretical object is to find Truth, rather than Victory over one's opponent. Both justice systems equate their specific objects with 'justice,' be it truth or victory. In the Anglo-Saxon system, the jury are "the triers of fact." In theory, but sometimes not in practice, the judge acts as umpire. In fact, the judge has often violated his role by acting as witness, as prosecutor or as defense attorney from his throne or bench, as I have witnessed in courtrooms. The judge may instruct the jury, but the jurors may disregard his instructions, if they know the powers of jurors in

2) law. Most Goyim do not know much of anything about their justice system, as if they had come from some other planet. They are definitely products of 'our' edjewcation system. Few have ever heard of Jury Nullification in which jurors are empowered to find a law-breaker innocent, because they deem the law to be invalid. Anglo-Saxon justice also relies on Case Law, that is, precedents. I understand that Britain, Canada, U.S.A., Australia & New Zealand share their case law, as if The British Empire was still around. Under jew banksters, it still is. When I was in Canada, U.S. case law was cited in our ongoing thoughtcrime trial, in which a U.S. judge had taken "judicial notice" of The Holocaust, meaning it was not to be questioned. Once again, we have an example of a judge becoming a witness. Judges wear black, probably to conceal the dirtiness of the proceedings. In railroad parlance, a black shirt was called "a thousand-miler," for it would not show locomotive soot for 1000 miles of train travel.

Yes, humans are said to be programmed in their DNA for many things, including death. A jovial insane asylum attendant said that most inmates were crazy from hereditary factors. I asked him about environmental stress, drugs, alcohol, syphilis. He said some people were in low stress situations when they 'flipped out'. He said that insanity was like baldness: when one's genetic clock chimes, one's hair falls out or begins to do so. Cell-aging & death is also determined by one's DNA, which explains why people can have the same chronological age, but their bodies are younger or older than their years. The same principle applies to mental age. People who've known me well, like my parents, accuse me of being "an old man in a young man's body." Most of the wrecks who live in my residence are 10 years younger than I, but are physically 20 years older; mentally & physically, in terms of senility, not maturity.

Your comments on the psychological leverage in food brand names is certainly accurate. It brings to mind "The Hidden Persuaders" used by advertising companies to stoke up the market for items. You remember those car ads which associated a wild horse galloping across the horizon, accompanied by motion pictures of a car racing along on the same terrain? The message (in my view) was that the car-seller was not selling transportation so much as freedom. Marlboro ads were not just selling tobacco, but the cowboy life & manliness. As one advertiser advised: "Don't sell the steak. Sell the sizzle." I've seen Humvees, but never have I encountered a Crumbee. I recall seeing a Frisbee, but not recently.

An anti-Zionist jew, Josef Ginsburg, remarked on jew I.C.U. 'money' created out of nothing as being "tekla-mekla money," a creation of jewish cabalism or black magic, based on number magic. In jewish mythology, the Golem (Goyim) are made of mud which is magically motivated by the insertion of a piece of paper on which magical inscriptions have been written. The Golem obeys a rabbi who orders it to kill other Goyim, which is pleasing to Yahweh & makes jews happy. Such a deal! If we stand back a moment from our immediate perceptions, we can discern that we live in a magical world in which marks on paper can cause millions of Goyim to kill millions of Goyim, on behalf of jewish power & profit. What could be more magical than that? Here, again, is a fiction which is much more powerful than reality. How embarrassing it is to realize that millions of our race have willingly killed our own kind for--NOTHING! You also point out the 'power' of an 'order' written on paper, as distinct from spoken words. In Japanese, the word for 'paper' is the same pronunciation as their word for 'God.' If I enter a latrine & ask, "Kame wa doko des ka?" (Where is the toilet paper?) a Zen monk might interpret that to mean "Where is God?" Why, then, do we treat words on paper as if they were the word of God? Is it because we are stupid Goyim? Very likely.

As you note, many of our state forms resemble those of the Roman Empire, & why not, since the USA was copied from The Roman Republic of the Rich, as Tacitus described the Rome of his time?

Again, you address problems which are the result of too many people & too little land for independence & self-sufficiency. That is the result of normal population increase. We no longer have a sense of community, largely because of demographic diversity, & we live from institutions rather than individuals. Jefferson liked the idea of an atomistic society, in which each existed in isolation, as an individual. Most people find that alien & uncomfortable, but some, like myself, find it to be freedom, for I can obtain my material needs without kissing up to any particular person, nor kow-towing daily to an employer. I know it's an artificial existence, but I make the most of it.

I beg to differ in regard to the importance of one's attitude toward death. That counts for a lot. Richard Strauss wrote "Death & Transfiguration" when he was in his 20s, as I re-



3) recall. Decades later, as he was expiring on his deathbed, he told his daughter: "That's just how it is..." I enjoy his music, including the message of beauty in that particular piece, which I deem on par with Liszt's "Les Preludes." I suspect that in death one reveals his true nature.

If you say "Minnesota" to a Spanish-speaker, you will raise a smile, if not a laugh, for in Spanish, "mini" means tiny, but "sota" means something large, grotesque & female. In an old comedy, I heard a character say, "He lives in a state of coma." Maybe The State of Minnesota describes one's mental &/or physical state, like a state of euphoria, a state of hysteria, &c. As I say, "state" refers to a human organization residing on a piece of land, although in its purest sense a state is government, which is indeed portable, as with a nation (people). Colonists like the jews or Puritans brought their state with them, in search of land on which to settle. Their state took on more material form when the land was taken over. The jewish state is peculiar in that it needs land WITH host peoples thereon, for jews use people the way people use land, as Rockwell noted.

Your discussion of tangibles reminds me of the Logical Positivists, mainly Vienna jews, who wanted to remove from language all things non-material, on behalf of their atheistic Communist doctrine. Nothing beyond the 5 senses could exist, by definition. If you don't see, hear, smell, feel or taste it, it does not exist. As Bishop Berkeley declared: "To be is to be perceived." This idea gave new meaning to the Greek credo that "Man is the measure of all things." It also led into solipsism, in which all things I perceive are in "the theater of my own mind," & there is no "public reality." This idea led people to ask, "How do I know my furniture exists when I leave the livingroom & close the door?" Bishop Berkeley replied, "Because God is watching it." Logical positivists preferred the objective form of definition. "What is a car?" "That." They'd accept operational definitions: "What is God?" "God is the experience you will have if you stand on a mountaintop & watch the sunrise." "Okey-dokey." The jew logical-positivists liked to criticize Hitler for "talking nonsense" in his speeches. I've read one of their renditions of a Hitler speech in which all "non-sense words" are replaced with "blah." Words like "honor," "duty," "patriotism," &c. were all "blahs," for they are concepts, rather than material realities. Being Marxist materialists, those jews believed Man's 'realistic' goals were on behalf of his stomach & genitals; that principles governing behavior were intangible & thus nonsense; such principles were "bourgeois fantasies" meant to enslave the proletariat. Between WWI & WW II, the jew, Wittgenstein, was scribbling volumes on the subject. The Goy, Bertrand Russell, read one of them & demolished Wittgenstein's thesis with a single postcard!

As Pontius Pilate allegedly asked, "What is truth?" What is "absolute truth?" I know of none. Likely, we are living within a context of such truth, without being aware of it, as with the air we breathe: it is colorless, odorless & tasteless, but it is absolutely essential for our survival. Man views his world in a conceptual context. Our beliefs dictate our perceptions. If I were a Christian of the Dark Ages, the telephone would mean something entirely different to me than it does for my 21st century self. The Christ-eater would likely think the telephone was witchcraft, perhaps a manifestation of The Devil. I doubt he'd believe it was Jesus, Mary, Yahweh or the Holy Ghost signalling him. Evil comes naturally to those motivated by fear, ignorance & guilt.

The Chinese proved that fewer than 1 out of 20 have any leadership potential, according to Defense Dept. tapes I was required to listen to in U.S. Air Force ROTC. Most are indeed sheeple. Without leaders they die, & with Judas Goat leaders, they die more efficiently. It is said that one man's meat is another man's poison. That statement is more evident than the idea that all men are like oneself: "do unto others as you would have done unto you." I had to write an M.A. thesis on F.S.C. Northrop who attempted to show that a common knowledge of truth could bring about a common morality. The problem is that there is great disagreement about 'reality' & hence, truth, so we have no prospect of a common morality. In jew morality, killing is 'good', as long as it is Goyim who are killed. Thus, jewish 'morality' is specific & exclusive; not intended for all mankind. Sheeple usually follow the singer, not the song. ZOG knows! The IS differs, hence the OUGHT derived therefrom.

White racism is, as you say, a concept of which most Whites are ignorant, but non-Whites will teach them in due course, especially when they can no longer flee. ZOG does not reward White racists, as you mention, & why should it? We must learn to look out for our own folk, for no one else will, nor should do for us what we refuse to do for ourselves.

I understand that salmon is rich in mercury. Such a deal! All the best & ORION!

EW