

WHY THE CONSTITUTION FAILED

by Professor Revilo P. Oliver

January 1987

It is a truism that we are mortal and that the ineluctable necessity of death is inherent in the biotic structure of our being: "nascentes morimur." But oddly, perhaps, we Aryans also have an instinctive longing for a changeless eternity: "Doch alle Lust will Ewigkeit." And since reason forbids us to hope for personal survival, we want our works to endure when we are no more, and to be, if not eternal, at least diuturnal.

We cannot without sorrow reconcile ourselves to a universe which, by its very structure, forbids permanence. Nations that are not degenerate intend to endure to the last syllable of recorded time. But that they cannot do. Herodotus wondered at the mysterious fate that ordains that states and empires, like men, are born, flourish, and die. Our history is a long succession of nations that rose, waxed mighty, declined, and fell, as did the greatest of them, the Roman Empire. Other races have fared no better. Egypt left her pyramids as her memorial, and here and there throughout the oecumene shattered stones remain where once there were great cities and, for a time, the pride of empire.

Round the decay of that colossal wreck, boundless and bare, the lone and level sands stretch far away. And almost every year archaeologists open the graves of dead civilizations and exhume the pathetic remains of forgotten nations that once thought themselves deathless.

We cannot, however, acquiesce in passive fatalism, and our historians necessarily strive to ascertain the cause of each nation's decline and fall, hoping to learn from the past the secret of national mortality. For the great mutations of history the causes are always multiple. In his almost comprehensive survey, "Why Rome Fell" (New York, 1927), Edward Lucas White lists twenty principal causes, including, of course, the spiritual pestilence called Christianity.

We have not yet joined the past. Our race is sick, perhaps mortally, but, proverbially, so long as there is life, there is hope.⁽¹⁾ Prudent men ascertain the causes of their malady and the errors in their own conduct that brought it upon them, for they hope thus to discover a means of regaining health or, at least, obtaining knowledge that will preserve their progeny from their mistakes.

17 June 07. Hail James! Many thanks for your letter of 14 June 07 & the short story, which I shall submit for publication. Congratulations on your legibility!

Nietzsche's defect was his opposition of the potential leaders to their folk, who need leaders. A leader cannot exist without his folk, & vice versa: Imagine Beethoven in an African village. I've lived in tribal Africa, & I see Amerasiatic America as the 'next best' thing in terms of hell for a White man. I am accustomed to the Mexican culture of Brownest Yakima, but that is little better. In general, my society is one in which I can speak the languages, but there is no basis of understanding nor agreement. Buying & selling, on a basis of "let the buyer beware" is the most one can hope for. I've lived amongst foreign peoples most of my life, beginning in the USA, to which I've returned. Once I realized that I live in a post-Roman empire under a Zionist-bankster regime, things fall into place, & I do not feel alienated. It's similar to realizing that one is in prison. When one is aware of the situation, all the locks, bars & other restrictions become understandable. Hence, it is understandable that a multi-racial/multi-cultural-multi-lingual empire would lack social cohesion, under the rule of predatory plutocratic 'pollutocrats' of alien blood & belief. "The American Experiment" is a fraud & a failure, as was that of the Soviet Jewnion.

In "The Report from Iron Mountain," the subject is how one may achieve wartime unity short of war. As I recall, the book did not seem satisfactory in providing alternatives, which were tentative suggestions. I recall one U.S. politico stating that our best source of unity would be an invasion from Mars, so as to establish a One World Government, the New World Order proclaimed on The Great Seal of the USA: "Novus Ordo Seclorum."

Government by external manipulation, as described in the Internet essay on Leo Strauss' Philosophy of Deception by Jim Lobe describes government by an alien minority AGAINST the interests of the majority. As you say, such means may be used in the interests of a majority, but usually are not. The post-Roman imposition of Christinsanity served to extend the power of secular rulers who put themselves at the service of the totalitarian religious hierarchy. The Christian rulers saw their peoples in much the way that the Roman rulers saw peoples outside the chains of Rome: as free-roaming cattle in 'need' of enslavement & exploitation, according to Tacitus. Strauss reveals his jewish identity when he dismisses the National Socialist revolt against the capitalist/socialist/communist abuses imposed by the jews of Weimar as "a nihilistic reaction." On the contrary! Jews like the noted historian & social observer, R.G. Dommerque, stated that the German attitude toward National Socialism was anything but nihilistic. It was a joyous realization of German nationalism, after the Kaiser's version suffered its defeat in World War I. The Germans of the rising Third Reich exhibited hope & happiness, as I've seen in many movies of that period. The partial unification of the German people in 1870 at Versailles was merely the formation of a state, The 2nd Reich, for Germans were already a Nation, which is the biological subgroup of a Race. Multi-racial states are not nations. The Roman Empire did not refer to itself as a "nation", as does the Judeo-American Empire, so we may say that the Romans were less hypocritical than is the Empire of Usrael.

The question raised in this discourse is: To what extent is an out-group necessary for the existence of an in-group? Must we define ourselves from outside because we are empty on the inside? Is our existence therefore dependent upon those we define as 'others', & not ourselves? As I see it, I am a member of my family, & that would be true if no other families existed on Earth. Human conflicts do exist, & the jews make huge profits out of them. As economist Werner Sombart wrote: "Wars are the jews' harvests." Perhaps our substitute for war would be the reduction of human conflict, rather than the reverse, which we now see in practice. El Bushy, our demented presidente, is another Armageddonist-in-office, as I understand. He is another Zionist (jew-supremacist) puppet who wants to achieve the "end of the world" of jew-book prophecy. Israel Shamir rightly points out that those who would bring on Armageddon do not work for their touted Jesus, but for The Anti-Christ, who will precede his alleged 2nd Coming. Hence, those who work for Armageddon, as do the Bushites, will bring on the Anti-Christ, & they will go where he goes, after Jesus' fabled return. If Armageddonists would read their own scriptures, they would cease & desist. It appears that our best analysis of statecraft would describe an insane asylum run by its inmates.

All the best. ORION! P.S.: "The Negotiation" is on par with the best of Mark Twain.

End