

CREDO

National Socialism is the social conscience of Socialism without class hatred and outmoded economic dogma.

It is the productive efficiency of Capitalism without the cruelty and exploitation of unrestrained greed.

It is the reverence for tradition and history of Conservatism without inflexibility or petrification.

It is the rationality of Liberalism without its emasculated rootlessness and its blindness to deeper modes of perception.

It is a patriotism which transcends narrow nationalism and embraces the Race.

It is a profound love and reverence for Nature without shallow sentimentality.

It is a religion without superstition—without magic and magicians, without the supernatural, without a sick and treasonable hankering after Other Worlds.

It accepts the inevitable quantum of pain in Existence with joyous fortitude—not with poisons and anesthetics and comforting delusions.

It is an affirmation and a yea-saying. It knows that for the brave, for the strong and for the healthy, life is always joyous.

It knows that the ugly of spirit create only Ugliness; the beautiful of spirit, only Beauty.

It knows that there are Aristocratic Races and Rabble Races and that its highest values must always be incomprehensible to Rabble Races—thus the object of their hatred, fear and mockery.

It is unimpressed by noblemen but it respects above all the Noble Man.

It knows that the most despicable of all sins is treason—treason to the Comrade, treason to the Race, treason to Life, treason to the Earth.

It calls to the Highest Men and to that which is highest even in the Lesser. But to fat and greasy souls, to the soft, to the cowardly, to the lovers of despicable ease, to the greedy, to the skraelings, it is a thunder-cloud and a hurricane.

One should ask first not, "Is National Socialism worthy?", but, "Am I worthy to be a National Socialist?"

Let the bugles of National Socialism summon the great ingathering of the Folk.

Beyond this age of Fenris-Wolf and Midgard-Serpent, the skies are red with a new dawn. After the Fimbul-Winter comes anew the great spring-time of our Race.

With our eyes upon the farthest galaxies—O divine hunger—and our feet upon the necks of the sub-men—O divine contempt—who durst say what we cannot yet do?

O Ye Great-of-Heart and Splendid-of-Soul, where else is your lost Homeland but in our serried phalanxes?

CALL US, O WAR-FATHER, TO THE RAVEN'S FIELD AND TO RAGNAROK. WE SHALL NOT COME HALTINGLY ON LAME FEET TO VICTORY OR TO VALHALLA!

HAIL VICTORY!

By Wayland Smith. Reprinted with the author's permission.

21 June 07. Hail Osred! Many thanks for your latest issue of Renewal, & your inclusion of my disillusioned critique of Jefferson. My, those "proto-Odinists" are jumping out all over. Steve of Queensland claims that "maggots" have been feeding on the reputations of "our heroes." With a "hero" like Jefferson, White people don't need an enemy. My rule of thumb (an Odinist expression) for any religion is to what extent does it protect Whites?

If we dump the biological fact of race, then I, in Jeffersonian fashion, would reject it. In my Jeffersonian outlook, religion must serve Man, not destroy him. Therefore, it must serve the survival of those who believe in a religion. If a leader, like Jefferson, Washington or Lincoln, does not believe in the religion of the majority of his race, & if he, like those I mentioned, connives at the enslavement of Whites & the extermination of Whites, as in America's 'holy' war, as predicted to Washington by Cornwallis, I would be insane to claim him to be an "Odinist" of any degree, for my definition of Odinism says it is a White man's religion.

Edith points out the fact that Jefferson, being a lawyer & a Freemason, knew the current meaning of words like "all men are created equal", that is, what those words meant to Whites, but, since he planned to extinguish the White gene pool in North America from the beginning, by the creation of an Anglo-Mestizo "race," as the Jesuits did in Latin America, we must understand that Jefferson's meaning was quite different than his readers' meaning. This was said by those who read & heard Ian Smith's words, & then compared them to his deeds. As I noted: Smith promised Whites what they wanted to hear, & he gave Blacks what they wanted. The Blacks wanted Rhodesia, so Ian Smith gave it to them, under Henry Kissinger's orders, on behalf of the Rothschild-Rockefeller interests, not White interests.

Unfortunately, I do not know whose tender ego I have offended in regard to Jefferson, but he, she, it errs when I am accused of harboring a "pre-existing dislike of Jefferson." Quite the contrary, for I was born in the USA, am qualified to be a Son of the American Revolution, & I was 'edjewcated' in U.S. schools, where Washington, Jefferson & Lincoln were treated as saints, if not as 'all-wise' demi-gods. I did read the article written by he, she or it. The fact^{is} that I did not address the allegations therein which the writer interpreted from Jefferson's statements, for I consider Jefferson as a pro- or anti-White man. Since he served jew-supremacist interest^{es} via Freemasonry, I must conclude that Jefferson was anti-White. I can imagine the writer on Jefferson in Renewal to be happy if he saw a Black judge practicing Anglo-Saxon legal traditions on a White defendant who 'denied' the Voodoo religion. Perhaps he would be joyful to learn about the notorious mulatto 'Odinist' of the NW USA, who is now serving a prison term for murder, as I recall.

If we reject the biological basis of Odinism in the White Race in favor of alleged Odinist doctrine, supposedly applicable to all races & mixtures thereof, we fall into the errors of all 'universalist' cults, including Christinsanity.

The mysterious author of the Jefferson article asks me, a Thomson, whether I'd prefer to live in Bush's Jew Yawk Shitty (as many pronounce it) or Jefferson's Virginia. That is easy for me to answer: The Thomsons were brought to America in chains, as White slaves, according to an Internet website on genealogy. I'd rather take my chances in Jew Yawk of today than sing songs of homage to slave-owner Jefferson, accompanied by my fellow (Black) slaves, who were more expensive than Whites, & therefore received better treatment from Massuh Thom & his fellow slave-owners, including George Washington.

I was edjewcated to believe that Jefferson's deism was in accord with Freemasonry's mechanistic Cosmic Clock-Winder or Great Architect of the Universe: Deus ex Machina. The writer (s) in Renewal seem to long for the inclusion of noted historical figures as "one of us" & "proto-Odinists." If we ignore race in favor of religion, we may interpret a "proto-Odinist" to be one who gets non-Odinists killed in large numbers, especially Moslems & Christians. By this standard, Genghis Khan, "Trotsky", "Stalin," Ben Gurion et al. would make fine "proto-Odinists." In fairness, we should include Chaka Zulu in this list.

Such wishful-thinkers, to euphemise a more appropriate term, would be able to call Jefferson's corn-whisky "proto-mead," if they wished, just as the moon is "proto-green cheese." My H.B.N.S. Award goes to the author of your Jefferson article, for it is indeed Hopeless But Not Serious. P.S.: Can 'Odinists' serve bankster interests? Jefferson did.

All the best. ORION!

Edith