

Some places from which jews were expelled:

1012	Mainz
1182	France
1276	Upper Bavaria
1290	England
1306	France
1322	France
1349	Saxony
1360	Hungary
1370	Belgium
1380	Slovakia
1394	France
1420	Austria
1420	Lyons
1424	Cologne
1438	Mainz
1439	Augsburg
1442	Upper Bavaria
1444	Netherlands
1446	Brandenburg
1462	Mainz
1483	Mainz
1483	Warsaw
1492	Spain
1492	Italy
1495	Lithuania
1496	Portugal
1496	Naples
1498	Navarre
1498	Nuremberg
1510	Brandenburg
1510	Prussia
1515	Genoa
1533	Naples
1540	Italy
1541	Naples
1541	Prague
1550	Genoa
1551	Bavaria
1557	Prague
1569	Papal States
1582	Hungary
1649	Hamburg
1669	Vienna
1744	Slovakia
1744	Bohemia/Moravia
1891	Moscow

P.S.: Wherever I have lived, jews are outspoken in their advocacy of "majority rule", unless that majority is White or Arab. Then they demand "minority rights." Their only consistency is that they are anti-White and anti-Arab.

IF THE SHOE FITS: Suppose we lived in Nazi Germany. Let's imagine that we had no love for Hitler, nor the war, BUT we still supported our troops who were carrying out Hitler's policies. Would this split-thinking on our parts exempt us from being treated as 'enemies' by our Allied opponents? Obviously not! THINKING is what Americans hate most of all.

7-7-07. Dear Wayne: Thanks for your letter of Jewlie 5th. As I gather, Western scientists do not entirely agree on evolution, which remains a theory. Jesus-eaters might even argue in favor of entropy, which is analogous to death, for without death, there would be no 'miraculous' entry into their 'afterlife' of eternal heaven or hell.

Marriage has neither positive nor negative effects on breeding, from a standpoint of reason in favor of eugenics. Dysgenic couples regularly marry out of convenience, family names, social status & wealth. Marriage usually has no relevance to eugenics, especially in Christian societies, any more than does random mating. Abortions are likewise divorced from genetic improvement, for it is often the best babies which are destroyed; not merely the unfit. The problem which I think we both see is how to make marriage serve eugenics, rather than dysgenics. Our anti-social, dysgenic Western societies restrict sexuality in pernicious ways, such as delaying reproduction beyond the prime breeding years. In N.S. Germany, healthy bastard infants were raised in the Lebensborn institutions, thus transferring the duties of child-raising to more mature people, while allowing the fittest young people to reproduce in their prime breeding years.

Nietzsche wrote that "man exists to discharge his energy," so he was an advocate of entropy. That is what others do, without expressing it in words, as you observe. In this sense, many people actively seek dissipation, so as to obtain the immediate pleasures & subsequent relaxation therefrom. As you point out, it is much easier to go downhill than to struggle up hill, so the religious task is to convince people that going uphill is both desirable & moral. Under Christinsanity, it is deemed moral to assist entropy via race-mixing & feeding the unfit & the parasitic.

So far, you have discussed theoretical means of achieving your goal or purpose. Let us imagine that you had such a congregation. Since you will not mention racial qualifications for membership, you will most likely find an enthusiastic jew who wants to partake in anti-entropy, & some Obama or Tiger Woods who wants to fight biological entropy by chasing White female members. How would you forbid their participation? You don't like to list rules or commandments, in favor of general agreement on general principles, which would permit all sorts of individual interpretations. If one were to ask a cross-section of sheeple as to their belief in a god, many will say they do, but the specifics will reveal much disagreement: My god is Black; mine is female; mine has 8 arms; mine kills Goyim; mine loves everybody, &c. ad infinitum.

As I understand the progress of your work, so far, we are like the mice in the fable who agreed that it would be desirable if they knew when the cat was coming to devour them, so, if the cat wore a bell, all the mice would be forewarned. To my knowledge, the mice never decided which of them would put the bell on the cat. The Moment of Truth will arrive when you finally obtain some alleged converts out of the babbling, squabbling, comatose White mass of asses.

As you say, mysticism is pernicious. I deem it like a drug which attracts, but distracts believers from relevance to reality. Mysticism tempts lazy sheeple to believe that there is a quick & easy fix for all that ails them, so one obstacle to overcome is to teach sheeple that mysticism is not synonymous with religion, & that it has a negative effect on morality, & hence, on a moral religion, rather than a 'magical' cult of delusions. Dr. Oliver wrote that Aryans already knew what was moral or immoral, without having to believe that a jew had said so. Nietzsche thought that Christinsanity made men more clever, since they had to reason around Christian doctrine to get what they wanted in the first place. In other words, the words of the jew-book made believers into lawyers, who practiced sophistry to do what they wanted to do anyway. I suppose this charade could be dubbed "a divine comedy," in which the joke is on mankind.

Your dilemma, as I see it, is how you will save Whites without any mention of race. If you can do that, I would declare you to be a genius. All the best. ORION!

P.S.: You're way off the path re instincts. The oldest baboon will sacrifice himself on behalf of his troop when death threatens. The human animals will sacrifice their young for any reason they deem of immediate benefit. If only humans could aspire to the morality of some other intelligent animals! By fighting & defeating his animal instincts, man's reason usually makes him worse off, collectively, if not individually. White men can talk themselves off the ground on which they stand, much to the amazement & amusement of non-White invaders. We shall reason ourselves off the planet, at this rate! Into extinction.