

3 AUG 07. Hail Chad! It was great to get your letter of 29 jewlie 07. Your treatment, more accurately, your mistreatment sounds like scenes from the movie, "Gulag," in which a typically 'brave' jewish American is given the ups & downs treatment by the kosher commissars in the Soviet Jewnion. One scene you'd appreciate is when the victim is 'released', & told he is being taken to the airport to return to his beloved wife in the Jew Ass Oy Veh, but he realizes he's being taken to the railroad station, where he's shoved onto a prison express to a distant gulag in the boonies. Such a deal! At least they let him take his carry-on bag with him. Your zoggies are less generous.

Maybe they want to reduce you into a lump of pliable putty, like their torture victims who'll admit to any alleged crime, from sinking The Titanic to shooting The Archduke Ferdinand in Serbia, as well as 9-11, &c. You are ZOG's "hard-case," but you are our Aryan hero.

"Bolshevism" means "Majorityism." The jew, "Lenin," made clever propaganda by naming the jews' party "The Majority Party," when it really had few members. This would be like naming one's party "The Winning Party," regardless of electoral outcomes. The jews were very strong & numerous in Russia, for they were a nation, like the Russians. "Russia" was an empire, & was run by various nationalities: Mongols, Tartars, then "Russians", whose royal family was of German background. The Tsar was a cousin of the German Kaiser & also the King of England. The Khazars or Ashkenazim called themselves "jews," after their conversion to Judaism around 700 A.D., which would be like English people calling themselves "Christians" & no longer English people. Hence, "jew" in the Russian Empire meant a member of the jewish nation, even if the jew were an atheist & never went into a synagogue. The First World War made it possible for the jewish nation to overthrow the Russian nation's rule, with lots of help from the jewish-owned media in the West, including the USA, & from the jewish banksters of New York City. Woodrow Wilson even told the British to let the jew Bolsheviks continue going from NYC to Switzerland, later to join up with other Bolsheviks in St. Petersburg alias Petrograd alias Leningrad for the outbreak of their 1917 revolution. Prior to World War I, the Russian Empire was governed by Tsar Nicholas II, who was an absolute ruler: what he said got done, without arguments or debates, although he did have a Duma or Popular Assembly, which was mainly advisory, rather than legislative. The Tsar combined our 3 branches of government: Executive, Legislative & Judicial, in himself. Bush wants to become the Tsar of the USA or The North American Union, & he may do so under emergency powers, after another "9-11." Within the Duma or Parliament, there were Mensheviks (Minority Party) & Bolsheviks, who were illegal, for the most part. I knew the leader of the Menshevik Party who went under the name of "Alexander Kerensky." He was jewish & his real name was Kurbis. He lectured at the college I attended in California, back in 1956. For a brief time, Kurbis ruled Russia under the Provisional Government, following Tsar Nicholas II's abdication, but the war was unpopular & Kurbis led the pro-war faction, so the Bolshevik jews kicked him out of Russia, allowing him to retire in California, like the present-day Gorbachev who brought Communist Party Rule to an end in the former Soviet Empire. "White Russia" is a place-name. It does not mean that all its occupants are White. The jews always wanted to run their own country, but they succeeded in running & in ruining the USSR, as they predicted in their Protocols. Because the USA is ruled by the same people who ruled the USSR, it is no wonder that the real story about Russian Communism is not revealed, to U.S. GOYIM, that is. Most jews know all about it, & that's why they don't say much. I would not, either!

David Lane allegedly said that The Order were not revolutionaries. Someone else could have made the statement & attributed it to Lane. NA under Pierce was certainly not revolutionary, but acquisitive. Pierce sold books & bought property. Revolutionaries don't do that, for they want to destroy a regime, rather than live well under it. A revolutionary use of Mathews' stolen money would have been to drop it from The Empire State Building, so the zogbucks could blow around Jew Yawk Shitty & cause lots of disruption, as the jew, Jerry Rubin, did by dumping \$1 bills onto the floor of the NY Stock Exchange during his "Yippee" years. Now, he's a stockbroker, from what I read. Such a deal! The fact that the stolen money which Pierce allegedly received was well-known in the blightwing, meant that Pierce had ZOG protection. Pierce was a publisher & property-owner, not a revolutionary.

As you say, it's nonsense to sell drugs to Whites on behalf of White Nationalism. The jew, Lenin, wanted revolution in his time, but he saw religion as an anti-revolutionary factor: "Religion is the opiate of the masses," he declared, for it drugged them into inactivity. The hippies were effectively nullified because of their drug culture.

2) Since the 1960s, opiates (drugs) are now the religion of the masses. In the Algerian Nationalist film, "The Battle of Algiers," the role of dope-peddlers in colonial domination of the Algerians was made clear, & the Algerian Nationalists were portrayed as killing them out of hand. That film is a classic on insurgency warfare. I understand that ZOG still studies it, but in view of their 'success' in Iraq, they may as well study "The Thief of Bagdad." Ha! "Lenin" advised on the dangers of banditry, since the regime's money was tempting. A bandit does not want to destroy the regime & thereby make his stolen money worthless, so "Lenin" told his Red robbers to beware. In Turd World countries, like the proverbial "banana republics," violent changes of government take place, but the system does not change. Gringos stupidly call such changes "revolutions," but they are not. It is simply a change of outs becoming ins & ins becoming outs. Nobody wants to be 'out,' but nobody wants to change the rules of the game. Hence, no revolutions, just 'regime changes.' The so-called American Revolution was basically a regime-change, in which we overthrew the king on behalf of the king's boss, The Bank of England, which was owned by the same tribe as own 'our' Federal Reserve Racket. The USA was independent in name only.

You are wise in not letting your dislike for the ZOG lead you into making statements of violent advocacy, for such statements are now deemed "terrorism", "conspiracy," "illegal combatant," &c. Back in the 1950s, it was illegal "to advocate to teach the forceful overthrow of the U.S. government." In the 1960s, you could do so, if you were Black, but the law was still enforceable if ZOG so desired.

In regard to selling drugs to "save the White Race," there is an old observation that our means can destroy our ends. There is also leadership by example, & there is the danger that we become our enemies, if we choose means used by our enemies. As you say, how do we 'save' Our Folk by becoming what we detest? That is nonsense. This would be like pirates who commit piracy in order to 'stop' piracy. Ha!

Other writers have pointed out that ZOG is the master of the drug trade, so if one becomes a drug-dealer, he becomes a creature of the ZOG, a middleman in ZOG's poisoning of the masses. In no way would he be independent of the ZOG. Back in the 1960s, the zoggies would plant pot on people, then 'find' it & threaten to have them arrested for possession, unless they became ZOG-finks. The kosher KGB did similar tricks to induct agents.

One pot-peddler said, "We're all part of the system." That is so, but just how much do we choose to be part of ZOG's system? That is up to us. I breathe the air that zoggies breathe, but is my service to the ZOG the same as theirs? I think not.

The idea of integrating diversity is based on the illusion that diversity does not exist. Diversity is more than skin-color. Diversity includes belief & behavior. As you say, racial integration is the key to chaos, so if that's what ZOG wants, that's what it will get. It does seem that they want more super-max prisons. How ironic that integration is being used to promote segregation! I understand that such a program was tried in California gulags, & the outcome made the zoggies segregate for their own safety. I understand that mestizo bandido gangs are now a problem for WAZOGDOC, so Mr. Clark may be looking for another job in due course. Stay tuned!

On a more serious topic, the recent bridge-collapse in MN may be the tip of the iceberg in regard to our decaying infrastructure. The imbeciles who built such bridges apparently learned nothing about metal fatigue. People have known about that for some 200 years. Steam locomotives have been around that long, & it was known that the driving rods which connected the pistons to the wheels were pulled & pushed like taffy, & when taffy becomes brittle, it snaps, just like over-stressed driving rods. When welding replaced rivets on ships, the push & pull on hulls caused by waves & troughs caused the break up of welded vessels like The Flying Enterprise. When the Brits introduced their Comet jet airliners, it was found that the flexing of the planes' fuselage^s at high & low altitudes caused metal fatigue, in particular around the square windows, so the planes would disintegrate in mid-air. Aircraft parts are replaced on the basis of flying hours, whether they look worn out or not. In Africa, I was told by miners that timbers were used instead of steel supports in the deep diamond mines, because wood gives audible warning of stress, whereas steel collapses without warning, just as the over-stressed bridge did, from its flexing under heavy loads. Many more bridges are in similar bad condition, so stay tuned for more collapses. All the best. DOWZ! ORION! 88!

Edna