

THE West is being told to prepare for an influx of people escaping environmental catastrophes. These 'climate' refugees should be treated the same as those who flee political danger, a *Toronto Star* article by Peter Gorrie quotes some international experts as saying.

The number of people fleeing the spread of deserts or climate-change impacts such as drought and flooding is likely to hit 50 million within a decade and soar to between 135 million and 200 million by 2050, says a director of the U.N. University.

This figure will swamp the current global total of refugees from war, genocide and all other threats (19 million). While the problem grows, support for solutions is falling.

The main current problem is the spread of deserts, both because Earth's climate is warming and because impoverished people in drought-stricken areas are denuding the land for cooking fuel.

The Canadians missed two vital points: 1) Few countries in Africa have an effective programme in place to prevent the desert from encroaching. 2) The effect of goats (favoured by the primitive and the poor) grazing on dry lands can be catastrophic, because they nibble and destroy the roots of grasses, making it impossible for the grasslands to recover.

Poverty and climate change feed on each other: Once land is cleared of trees and vegetation, it reflects more of the sun's heat into the atmosphere, warming the climate. That, in turn, increases the spread of areas too dry to support vegetation. About 2 billion people live in dry areas threatened with becoming desert.

Plenty of solutions are suggested: Replanting vegetation, providing renewable energy sources such as solar power, developing eco-tourism, and combating climate change by reducing greenhouse-gas emissions. But, we are told, "some areas

won't be habitable any more. People will have to leave, and they will need the same help as political refugees." There can be no doubt about who will be expected to implement these solutions. In times of crisis, the poor and desperate do not respect property, so replanted vegetation would quickly be swallowed up by the human swarm; solar power plates would be stolen; eco-tourism would be as impossible as it is in Zimbabwe today, because of poaching. "Developed countries must prepare for a new class of refugee," say the 'experts'.

Canada, the USA, Europe, Australia and New Zealand are named as likely targets for these 'climate refugees', say the 'international experts'. Does anyone notice that these targeted countries are among the last remaining First World areas in the world?

During all of the thousands of millennia of our planet's history, it has undergone dozens of cataclysms – continental drift and violent impact resulting in

mountain ranges being thrust up, droughts, floods, lethal gas clouds, erupting volcanos, earthquakes, reversal of poles, expansion and shrinking of polar ice caps, rise and fall of sea levels, meteors crashing through our atmosphere down onto Earth. In the whole period since life began, the survivors of these cataclysms accepted responsibility for their own further survival, either by instinct or by conscious choices – or both.

Individual dash and dare, group solidarity, and the quiet processes of evolution played their part in the great escape from environmental disaster. Whole species perished. What extreme environmental pressure must there have been to force whales and dolphins, for instance, to return to the sea! Big brains developed, cultures and weapons were fashioned, perilous treks were undertaken by the heroic across land bridges over the sea, precipitous mountain passes crossed, savage wars fought over resources and territory. The lion never lay down with the lamb. The continuum of development went on. And *Homo sapiens*, that 'bad-weather animal', as Robert Ardrey calls us, developed from his humble ancestors in all parts of the world.

However, nowhere and at no time did false altruism demand that losers – who could contribute nothing and who had destroyed their own environment like locusts, or even those with 'bad luck' – be welcomed in the sanctuaries so painfully fashioned by the resourceful. If that had happened, no development would have been possible, and we would all have become 'climate refugees' – but with nowhere to go.

As Dr Phil says, "*We all have choices. We all need boundaries.*" And as Peter Scholl-Latour reminds us: "*We need a concept of sacred self-interest.*" Each individual and clan has to look after itself and the territory it fought for. If it doesn't – as every lizard, elephant, lion, mossie or eagle knows in some deep, wise recess of its being – it can expect ... nothing.

IN THIS ISSUE

- 1 The 'United States of Africa'
- 3 SA Current Affairs
- 4 Africa
- 5 'U.S. of Africa' (cont'd)
- 6 News in Brief
- 7 Report on Revisionists
- 9 British captives released from Iran
- 10 Middle East Today
- 12 France: New President
- 14 Russia: Yeltsin's Legacy
- 16 Far and Wide
- 19 Readers Forum
- 20 Four-footed friends, quotes



IMPACT is an independent bi-monthly review. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure the accuracy and soundness of this publication, such is not warranted. Neither writers nor the publisher will bear responsibility for the consequences of any actions based on the information or recommendations contained within. Furthermore, they reserve the right to publish views contrary to their own, so long as the material is illuminating.



5 NOV 07

Hail Daniel! Many thanks for your letter of 1 NOV 07. I see that you are wise to the Bush version of doing business. The U.S. sheeple pay the taxes, so they get the 'business' for their trouble. Such a deal!

Thanks for the info on Colin Jordan. I wish him all the best, as usual.

Thanks for clarifying the circumstances of David Lane's death, for the usual blighting fables had begun to circulate about his 'murder' &/or his abduction by a UFO. Over decades, I have learned that the blighters prefer an entertaining lie to a mundane fact.

I shall send copies of The White Power Manifesto & The White Power Hymn, enclosed herewith.

Trust my judgement based on experience: there will be no geographical security until we achieve National security. Land does not defend people. People defend land, after CAPTURING it. The latest example of what happens was exemplified by Butler's loss of his land in Idaho. We live under ZOG, so no land is secure from being seized from a White National group. I don't know if you remember "JOST" alias Joseph Turner, who had land, I think, in Nevada, the home of his NS Kindred, if I recall the name correctly. I understand that he aimed at building an NS commune, but he made the wrong choices in regard to those whom he admitted. They caused lots of trouble. JOST died, leaving his wife, & I heard nothing more thereafter. Consider the practical aspects: Who has a piece of land, or could buy it? Would the owner of the land act as a landlord who would select his neighbors? Would the land be owned in common? Who would resolve disputes in regard to land occupation or ownership? Butler lost his property because of the misbehavior of 1 or 2 'comrades.' Metzger lost his property because of publishing alleged incitements to commit violence, which supposedly contributed to the death of an African by skinheads who subscribed to his publication, or had a copy in their possession. A nation must be feared by its enemies; otherwise it is persecuted by them. Pro-ZOG neighbors & civil authorities can make lots of trouble for a W.N. settlement, especially if "independence" is declared. First, you must win independence. Only then should you declare it. Let's imagine that Whites could establish a 'secret' enclave or homeland, under ZOG. Just how long would you figure it could be 'secret'? Blighting blabber-mouths would reveal it at once. The ZOG would do the rest.

It is insufficient to think of oneself as a White Nationalist. He must behave as one. Only then can he build a homeland. I saw Whites give up the land they had fought for, because they had no idea of White Nationhood. By nation, I use its original & correct meaning: Peoplehood, not territory. So you can see that those who lack the sense of nationhood as a people lose their land as well. The USA was never a White Nation, but always a ZOG empire, under The Bank of England. When Franz Boas purposely mis-defined "nation", he left the Goyim in great befuddlement. No, we are not & never were a "democracy," nor were we ever a "nation." But if we are to survive, we must become a nation. "White Guilt" is our first enemy to be overcome. Enemy boobytraps such as misinformation, booze, drugs & usury should be avoided. White fools, crooks & informers should be shunned as well, for I've seen what damage they can do. Eventually, a White nationstate would purge our body politic of such vermin, & that must come before we seek a homeland. As I wrote previously, nationstates can exist without homelands, such as Jews & Gypsies, who bring their nationstates with them, into others' homelands. Nation-building requires teamwork + a common agenda. The blighting cannot agree on an agenda, so there is a necessary element lacking.

History is replete with examples of how Whites lost the land they had, from Sumeria to Sinkiang, where we leave our mummies; from ancient Greece to modern Europe, North America & Australia; from North Africa to Capetown, & so on, ad absurdum, all because we lacked the idea of White Nationhood. We fail to distinguish between US & THEM, so we allow THEM to occupy our living space without a fight; but we are past masters at killing ourselves in internecine wars, on behalf of greed, stupidity & alien masters. If there were only Whites on Earth, there would likely be vast depopulation caused by wars of White versus White. Most of the blighting is comprised of aliens & those who have adopted alien creeds. This is part of the reason for White Flight & White Plight. The key to understand is that we never had land on behalf of Our Race, but as individuals, hence we lose our land easily as individuals, when alien invaders use teamwork against us, as they have from the beginning. Whites tend to view other Whites as competitors, not as comrades. Robert Miles told me that we behave like turkeys in the rain: Our sole purpose in gathering together is to drown together, or as lemmings who follow one another on a terminal swim. But history also reveals that Whites can do better, whenever they put White interests first. It's high time that we did so. All the best. CRION!

Eve