

MR. (Richard) WARMAN: *The context in which it was cited and the surrounding material led me to believe that it should be included in a complaint pursuant to Section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act.* (Transcripts *Warman v. Beaumont*, Volume 2, Page: 349)

YOU SHUT UP

One of the most wicked penalties that the Tribunal may impose and ALWAYS does in Sec. 13.1 caseS is a life sentence, a lifetime cease and desist order. This is a severe gag on the victim in terms of future Internet postings. Hadjis ruled: "I find that in most of the impugned messages, Ms Beaumont engaged in the communication of matter that was likely to expose persons identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination (namely, race, religion national or ethnic origin, and sexual orientation) to hatred or contempt." (para. 74) There were 30 messages in total. If "most" or the messages violated Sec. 13.1, still some did not. Which ones? Hadjis won't tell us.

Now comes the hammer held over this young woman's head: "Ms. Beaumont is ordered to cease and desist from communicating or causing to be communicated ...any matter of the type contained in the messages at issue in this case that is likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt by reason of the fact that the person or persons are identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination." (para 80) Should Miss Beaumont violate this order, she faces contempt of court charges and jail. However, as Hadjis hasn't specified which messages are problematic -- other than "most" -- she has scant guidelines, except to avoid discussions of immigration, the homosexual agenda and multiculturalism. In other words, **YOU SHUT UP!**

AN ACTION PLAN

1. **INFORMING CHRISTIANS.** The anti-Christian onslaught by Richard Warman, the Canadian Human Rights Commission and Athanasios Hadjis of the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal is now out in the open. We are rapidly informing Christian groups and leaders of the peril. There is a firestorm of outrage on the Internet. Well, that cost nothing but our time.

2. **APPEAL.** Well, strictly speaking, it's called a "judicial review," but Hadjis's decision outlawing the mere quoting of certain Biblical passages screams for a review by the courts. Time is tight and we **MUST** have your commitment. What's religion worth to you? What's religious freedom worth to you. We know the answer of the cultural communists and the forces behind the CHRC.


What's your answer?

3. **MARC LEMIRE CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE.** The Beaumont case makes the ongoing Marc Lemire constitutional challenge against Sec. 13.1 even more important. The Beaumont case offers new grounds for us to try to get this ham handed, police state piece of political censorship declared unconstitutional. We now head to Federal Court seeking to challenge the Commission's repeated use of Sec. 37 of the Canada Evidence Act to avoid answering important questions about the Commission's spying on Canadians, their use of police raids to obtain evidence to which they would not otherwise be entitled and their use of liars and agents provocateurs to set people up. Such court cases also cost money.

Our war chest is empty.

We need your immediate commitment to help. You may send a one time donation or send post dated cheques to spread out your commitment. We must file a Notice of Judicial Review by November 26 for Jessica. Marc Lemire's judicial review of the CHRC's actions will likely be heard early in January.

Thus, your commitment of support is urgent. The only thing standing between the Christian bashers and the freedom haters and free Canadians are a tiny band of dedicated people like you. I know you'll want to help.


Paul Fromm, Director

16 NOV 07

Hail Michael! Many thanks for all the great material & your letter dated 9 NOV 07. I enclose the items for return to you, as requested. Copies I have sent out to concerned correspondents after retaining my own file copies for future use &/or reference.

I am also alarmed to learn that your zoggies can impose their personal values on inmates. Their credo seems to be: "If you're not me, you offend my sensibilities!" A Moslem zoggie might be offended by a Christian bible, so he could prevent a Christian prisoner from receiving one. Imagine how this personal policy would apply to those outside the gulag: Your music offends me, so I shall throw your radio out the window, or 'confiscate' it for my own purposes. This is really a justification for theft. In terms of First Class Mail, the zoggie has no right, under law, to seize my letter & not return it to me, so such zoggies are really thieves, regardless of their excuse of personal offense.

The idea that zoggies may be offended by history is ludicrous. I figured that they must have a perverted fixation on Hitler, so I provided the 3 monkeys, er, zoggies with a picture & suggested they obtain psychiatric help. As you say, Stalin must be one of their saints, so they'd most likely kneel before his picture, rather than stealing it.

Thanks for the update on prison health issues. I copied the flier about the MRSA staph contagion & sent it out to my correspondents, along with the latest drug-resistant AIDS-TB report from Africa. MRSA is now a major public school issue in the USA.

In view of ZOG's 'diesel-therapy,' I only write to prisoners in reply to their letters, for their location & conditions are subject to change without notice. WAZOGDOC is the most restrictive gulag in the USA in regard to ideas & information, so your zoggies should receive Soviet Hero Medals in this regard. I understand they are for sale, cheap! As for Nazi medals & badges, you must write to the makers in Israel. I note the apparently kosher origin of your library book containing Nazi propaganda posters. Your zoggies seem to go by the source, rather than the content. In Soviet Canuckistan alias China-duh alias Canada, some Zionists accused Ernst Zündel of publishing "Nazi propaganda," in the form of historical photos. Our reply to the jewsmedia was a flier reproducing the cover of a large book on a Nuremberg rally of the NSDAP which was republished in its entirety by a rabbi, who figured to profit on the contemporary "Hitler Wave." As^a jew journalist wrote about his Swastika cover for "Golfing for Cats," "You can't sell a book without a Swastika!" So if you received your Hitler photo from Israel, would your zoggies leave it alone? Please let me know.

I wonder if your zoggies would be offended by the writings of anti-Zionist jews who write on behalf of The Anti-Zionist Alliance, or who wrote for it during their lifetimes: Rabbi Elmer Berger, Josef Ginsburg, Dr. Israel Shahak, Benjamin Freedman, Israel Shamir, David Cole, Ditlieb Felderer, Victor Ostrovsky & the rabbis of Naturei Karta in New York City. Some of their members attended the Revisionist Conference in Iran. If your zoggies refused to permit such jewish writers' works, they could be accused of "anti-Semitism." Oy veh! When the Swastika comes from Israel, your zoggies had better show respect, or their ZOG-jobs may be on the line. After all, they are ZOG's guardians of "political correctness." Poor little Orwellian puppets. No wonder they show signs of mental illness.

Thanks for the news that some acquaintances may be willing to defray my expenses for photocopies & postage. Postage stamps would be most appreciated, as you know.

Your research findings which appear in your Affidavit in Review of Recidivism would give your zoggies pause in their promotion of Afrocentric Indoctrination, which appears to 'justify' Black misbehavior by blaming others, chiefly Whites, for Black misfortunes. ZOG promoted Black Moslem indoctrination in its gulags, which is already boomeranging on the Jewnited States (to quote Nation of Islam leader Farrakhan).

Unfortunately, I limit my information to you because of your ZOG censorship policies & their theft of mail. I invited a most restrictive zoggie to be on my mailinglist so he need not steal mail intended for prisoners. So far, no reply.

I'm sorry if The Jackrabbit News caused your zoggies to be 'disoriented', but certain facts are apparent to leftwing writers, as well as moderates like myself. I suspect that they'd restrict The Declaration of Independence if they assumed it referred to King George Bush II. They'd probably refuse it on grounds that the document had to be translated into their version of English. Poor, culturally-deprived zoggies!

Best wishes on your reply to the Attorney General of WAZOGLAND. DOWZ! ORION!

