

11 DEC 07.

Hail Daniel! Many thanks for your letter of 28 NOV 07. My thoughts on the traitor, Ian Smith, are included in my letter to Claude dated 10 DEC 07. Since he died at 88, we can say that "only the good die young."

You appear to be putting the proverbial cart before the horse in regard to organization & tactics, for politics is people-power. Organization cannot substitute for human quality. In Rhodesia, for example, our incipient White People's Party was fatally damaged by Covington's behavior & his suggested tactics. I advised him that the results therefrom would be disastrous & so they were, so I am not guessing at possibilities. There were likely other ZOG-finks in our small group, but Covington was the foremost liability. He taught me exactly what not to do: (1) Agitate the enemy before we were in any position to withstand enemy action. (2) Declare the existence of the group before the group had any firm economic & political base. (3) Keep all records in writing where ZOG could seize all it needed to know about the group. (4) Get group members identified so the ZOG could hit their jobs & residence status, resulting in deportations. (5) Set up a "timetable", an H.Q. & an organizational flow chart, & then find the bodies, which is as ass-backwards as one can get. (6) Divulge important information to people who turned out to hate our group & our objectives. Thanks for the lesson, Harold. Oh, yes: (7) Have a wife who is a fink.

In other words, one bad apple can destroy a group effort, provided the group exists to start with. In the beginning, there must be agreement on the objectives to be achieved. Some of our gatherings erupted into drunken brawls, so our situation was certainly hopeless, but not serious. If it had been serious, there'd be no time for booze, &/or drugs, not ever. Such substances waste time & impair productivity.

The South African experience again proves that people without nationhood cannot hold on to their land, & that traitors like deKlerk can cost a Nation their land, just as they can cost them a war. Nations must beware of traitors in high places. White South Africa was certainly well-organized, but organization is just a tool. If you combine tools with fools, you reap disaster, as occurred with White South Africa & Rhodesia.

In regard to resistance movements, I can recommend your viewing "The Battle of Algiers" & "Red Dawn." The latter movie is pure entertainment, disguised as a textbook for guerrilla warfare. It is the tactical equivalent of "The Green Berets" in which John Wayne won the Vietnam War & even made the sun set in the east! "Red Dawn" depicts the inexplicable metamorphosis of silly high school softies, i.e. middleclass American consumers, into lean, hard, tough specialists in partisan warfare, similar to Afghan tribesmen or Balkanese bandits. Yup, & the moon is made of excellent green cheese. The great thing about "Red Dawn" is that it should have been made in the 1950s, but it was made shortly before the collapse of the USSR, so the makers got their political context entirely wrong, strategically, as well as tactically. Maybe HAC directed the movie. I didn't read the 'credits.' "The Battle of Algiers" is more realistic & practical.

We can study such groups as The Molly Maguires & the IRA, both of which had a 2-tier organization: one legal & overt, the other illegal & covert. As Mao noted, all such resistance groups must have the sympathy of the majority, as in his fish & sea analogy. This popular 'sea' also allowed the first KKK to exist. Another organizational form is the cell system, in which one group leader knows only 2 group members, each of whom know 2 others, & so on. "The Battle of Algiers" shows how the cell system may be broken by mass-arrests, the use of informers & torture by the authorities. What White sheeple do not seem to know nor to understand is that we are a visible minority, just as the Boers in Black South Africa. In Brownest Yakima, even those mestizos who claim to be White recognize me as a White man. There is no way I could blend into the crowd in such a society, so I don't bother trying. By definition, a guerrilla fighter must be able to blend in with the majority. Since our thinking processes are so ineffectual & so slow, there are likely to be so few of us, should we ever decide to act, that we'd stick out like the proverbial sore thumb, or a Boer in a kraal. In other words, we are back to Square One, as I described in "What We Can Do."

Naturally, ZOG will not be idle, for we've already seen its use of phony combat cells, & its self-serving target-selection of non-strategic assets, such as Trade Towers, Murrah Building & those selected by The Order. Strategic targets are revolutionary, for they are things people need, rather than people themselves. I've been pointing that fact out for over 30 years, & it has never been a secret. The Goyim simply will not see.

T.E. Lawrence's "7 Pillars of Wisdom," which I first read at age 10, showed the task of a resistance movement: (1) Know the enemy; (2) Know yourselves. In this way, one can convert one's weaknesses into strengths & one's opponent's strengths into weaknesses. T.E.

2)

Lawrence could figure it out in a state of misery & fever, but most Goyim can't approach his wisdom when they are fit & sober. He observed that the Turks had no shortage of manpower, & the Arab Bedouins were few. The Turks were determined defenders & the Arabs were not. The Turks could take casualties & the Arabs could not. Hence, Lawrence decided to attack the Turks' metal, rather than their manpower. He certainly selected the correct target: The Hejaz Railway, which ran all the way to Medina, where the Turkish 4th Army was attempting to mount an offensive. By cutting the railway sufficiently to reduce its supplies, but not stop them entirely, Lawrence made that army prisoners in Medina without firing a shot at them! The ZOG has similar strengths & weaknesses. Lawrence was able to destroy the Turkish Empire with Arab Nationalism, so guess how we will defeat the ZOG Empire? If I have to spell it out, like HAC, then I'm wasting my time writing this letter.

When I worked for Rhodesia Railways, I actually had to read Lawrence's essay on railway sabotage, since we had several incidents of sabotage. Lawrence wrote how the Turks could have defeated his Arab saboteurs: by active defense, rather than passive, especially by the use of aerial observation. In other words, if one invents a weapon, he must also consider how he can defend himself, should the enemy use the same weapon. Tactics are also weapons, so counter-tactics must be devised. One noted author you must read is Sun Tzu, for he defines war & puts it into context: the displacement of one people by another. Whites are in the middle of a war, but they haven't a clue, if we judge by their irrelevant behavior. So how do we win our war of production? By hiring more Mexicans!

It is said that military establishments are conservative: They prepare to fight their last war, if they 'won' it. The Germans were defeated in World War I, so they had to invent new weapons & tactics, which certainly worked! If the losers are smart, they learn from the enemies' victories. Apparently, Hezbollah did this most recently when the Israelis invaded Lebanon, again. Knowledge of terrain & the use of special weapons & tactics were the keys which led to the sheenie-skedaddle.

Another great book on human behavior in wartime is "Verdun" by Jules Romains. In one episode, a French general is returning to his chateau near the front in his car. Suddenly, a shadow blots out the moon: "Zeppelin! Douse the lights!" They cringe as the shadow rumbles over them & recedes into the night. The general resumes his commute from the trenches, only to find that his chateau is a smoldering heap of rubble. The Zeppelin had bombed it precisely. The general is infused with fear & rage: How dare those Boche attack his residence! They even destroyed his shaving kit! In outrage, the general goes to the commander. He is ushered into his office. The commander stops the general's spluttering rage, & tells him, "Is the general unaware that the French Republic is at war with His Majesty, Kaiser **Wilhelm II**? I'm glad you dropped by, for I'm transferring you to Limoges." That was the dumping ground for French officers of undue incompetence. I cracked up laughing when I read that. Compared to the French general, Whites are sound **asleep**. In war, one must be prepared to incur losses. I have been at war with the ZOG since the 1960s, so I lost the opportunity of starting a family & I lacked sufficient income to own any property beyond my own shaving kit. But I'm still alive. In war, that is indeed a victory. The joke is that losses are suffered in war, even if the victim refuses to believe he is in a war. When one knows he is at war, then a loss does not surprise him, for he sees it in context. Lawrence wrote that guerrilla warriors cannot suffer great losses, so they must be content with small gains. I agree with that concept, for I have no choice. That's what we may call a 'no-brainer,' but I see it in context, which prepares me to be on the look out for bigger opportunities. All that is required is that my eyes are open & my brain is in gear with them.

Let's hope that the losses we are incurring will educate us in time, so that we can take steps on behalf of our survival. The sword to cut the Gordian Knot of failure is not separatism, but Nationalism. That is why I declare ORION! along with a gradually growing number of Whites, worldwide, & that's why I declare to you, ORION!

Eric