

*Republican Party. The principle that "any two white men speaking privately must be regarded as a hate conspiracy" must continue to guide our thinking. Not because it is true in every case, but because it is compasses every gathering which concerns us, and it puts us in proper mind to spot trouble almost before it crystallizes.*

*6) We will continue to lobby Congress for tax credits for white couples adopting either black or Chinese [breaks off]*

[Ed.: Bolding added. The text breaks off as shown. If we get more, we'll post it.]

Back to VNN Main Page

#### DEMOGRAPHIC DEMENTIA: A MAJOR MYTH-LIST by E. Thomson

Myth #1: Whites have been the imperialists of history. Fact: Imperialism, that is, the invasion and conquest of land occupied by other peoples, is not unique to Whites, as alleged by non-White racists. Genghis Khan set out to conquer the world, and all peoples who would not submit to his rule were deemed "rebels". Chaka, the founder of The Zulu Nation, was embarked on a policy of national expansion by killing and despoiling other Black Africans. In the Americas, the Aztec and Inca Empires ruled over unwilling subject peoples who were glad to ally themselves with "The White Gods", as they called the Spanish invaders. Europeans and Asians suffered from the great invasions of Islamic peoples under the Arabs and the Turks.

Myth #2: Pre-Columbian inhabitants of The Americas lived in conflict-free harmony with their fellow denizens. Fact: Many names for "Indian" tribes mean "the people", such as Hopi. Non-Hopis were not deemed "people". Similarly, Navajos don't think Hopis are "people", either. Tribal, national and imperial conflicts caused bloodshed amongst the peoples of this hemisphere, long before the arrival of Columbus. Multi-tribal nations such as the Iroquois, fought their opponents, the Hurons, on a ferocious, 'take no prisoner' basis. The rivalry and hatred between these Indian nations was so great that they allied themselves with the invading Whites who were also at war against their fellow Whites: English versus French. As we know, the Hurons and their French allies were defeated by the Iroquois and their English allies.

Myth #3: Racism is the reason for imperialism. Fact: Imperialist wars have always existed between peoples of the same race. All races have been at war from prehistoric times to the present, and their foremost enemies were their fellow Whites, Blacks or Yellows. The causes of imperialism are hunger, greed and opportunity. In some cases, these underlying motives have been cloaked in racial and/or religious pretexts, but the results belie these pretexts, nearly every time. The Mongols were proud of who they were, but they were not too proud to race-mix with conquered peoples, nor to adopt their religions. The fierce Norsemen were no different, for they also interbred with people they conquered, spoke their languages and adopted their religions. Religious wars resulted in the same bottom line: the adulteration of races and religion, as we have seen with all the varieties of Christianity and Islam. If the European conquest of the Western Hemisphere had been truly "racist", there would not be any "Indians", nor Blacks, and certainly no mongrel mixtures of either.

Myth #4: Whites committed genocide against the peoples of the Western Hemisphere. Fact: Race-mixing is genocide for all races who engage in it. Mongrel victims of genocide should not reproduce, and thereby repeat the original sin (adultery) and the original crime (genocide). Whites were not racists, for racists of any race want their race to survive. If the Whites had been real racists, they would have obeyed their Old Testament god who commanded them to slay every member of a conquered people, including their pets and livestock. The rule is simple and obvious: Kill your enemy and he is destroyed. Breed with your enemy and both of you are destroyed. Nature is the Ultimate Nazi.

5 JAN 08

some of

Dear Wayne: Thanks for your letter of 2 JAN 08 & the great enclosures, which seem to be missing, unless the unnumbered page is a stand-alone item. I have your letter to me, of course, & a copy of your letter to Bill White, both of which are complete, but out pops one page which begins on one side with "The opening of new lands is certainly a reason for colonization..." On the other side the text begins: "Canada recently released some interesting statistics."

I refer to that text in regard to your observations, with which I agree, starting with "Canada..." Goyim do not understand that once a political jurisdiction has been delineated by its inhabitants, further intrusions of people constitute invasions. Other demographers have noted how an influx of aliens tends to diminish the natural rate of reproduction of native species: plants, animals & humans. Usually, the invasion of alien humanoids is the result of war, but under Judeo-Capitalist Globalism or The Jew World Order, "cheap labor" has been the pretext for the invasion of others' living space. That reason seems to gull the Goyim into passivity, since they never understand that permitting aliens for "cheap labor" in one's living space will not only displace the natives, but will lower the wages for everyone. Earlier White settlers in America & Australia saw the threat of Blacks & Yellows as wage-competitors, but no longer, due to the dumbing-down of their 'edjewcation.' Goyim can respond to direct, & immediate associations, as, "More Asians = Hunger for me & my family." But, if the land is large & relatively unsettled, & the change is perceived as gradual, so gradual that it is not perceived at all, then the Goyim are passive, preferring always to entertain their minds with pleasantries, rather than emergencies. That's why Jews call us Goyim, due to our cattle behavior.

Whites had no idea of surviving as Whites, which is why they killed each other off in so many wars. When there were lots of Whites, their (mis)leaders gave them lots of internecine wars. Since then, there are fewer Whites in their former living spaces, but the mentality has not changed. Now, the sheenie shepherds want to fill the former pastures of the White sheeple with non-White livestock. So far, this policy has succeeded because Whites' mentality has not conceived of White Survival as an issue. As you say, so-called racists have largely succeeded in making White Survival into a grotesque caricature of bedsheets & burning crosses, next to niggers dangling from nooses. If that were my idea of racism, then I'd also reject "racism."

As you say, our salvation lies within ourselves, first as individuals, then as team members. The task is similar to an appeal for self-preservation: "Hey, Goy-boy! You see how the deck of The Titanic is tilting, & the sea water is at the 2nd deck. Why don't you go for the lifeboat?" Well, like, I mean, duuh. Of course, that assumes that the Goyim may hear you, above the rap-crap dinning into their ears from CD earplugs. Clearly, we lack an inspiration to act in our own self-defense as a race & as individuals.

Obviously, no movement can grow if it cannot attract 'mainstream' individuals. Of course, we are faced with The Law of the Contrary: blightwingers objected to The White Power Movement's graphics as being "too American," in America! Yup. They wanted 1860s KKK & 1930s German NS graphics. I suppose that I could adopt the haircut, beard & attire of my grandfather, & then speak from the podium, but how 'real' would I look to a contemporary audience, whom I wanted to convince? My own response to such an apparition would be, "What's with this guy?" I recall KKK-Canadian kooks who were infatuated with David Duke, before he dropped the KKK image, who'd seen "Birth of a Nation" too many times. They were beginning to look like Confederate war vets, & some had Confederate caps & coats. Land sakes, ah do declah! How credible would such Confederate impersonators appear to an uncommitted audience? Your guess is likely correct.

Why is it that movements based on lies & errors can command so many adherents? I believe that it is the old Singer & Song relationship. Sheeple follow leaders (Singers), first & foremost, so the potential leader must be a salesman. The job of any salesman is firstly to 'sell himself' to the prospective buyer/follower. If the salesman is likable & charismatic, the prospective buyer will likely believe in the worth of his merchandise, be it material or ideological. Next comes the intrinsic worth of his merchandise or Song: Does it make sense? Does it motivate? Can another Singer promote it? The power of Singers to mislead the masses is evident, so what we need is a Singer with a valid Song, just as a train needs a locomotive. That's why we are standing in the proverbial station.

All the best in the New Year. ORION!

*Eric*