

Crime of the Month

Sign up for an eight-week course offered by the Israeli Defense Forces (for Jews only, from the US and Europe) and you could come home a hero. The program combines military training with classes on Zionism and Jewish identity. Participants are issued their own M16 assault rifles during the program.

If you are still looking for an ideologically-oriented military training opportunity in the Middle East, you can spend time in a madrasa (religious school) in Pakistan. Of course, you might be arrested by Homeland Security on your return.

How, you might ask, are these two training programs fundamentally different from each other? Isn't indoctrination, indoctrination?

aka Crimetoppers

Of course, once you complete the Israeli program, you could hire yourself out to the Burmese government. The brutal junta there recently killed an unknown number of unarmed demonstrators, including many Buddhist monks, who were walking through the streets calling for an end to Burma's dictatorship.

And guess who, along with several other western nations, has been supplying the Burmese government with weapons according to the British publication, *Jane's Intelligence Review*? Our old friend, Israel.

Our Crime of the Month is the continuing double standard in the US by which Israel's ideological militarism is supported by those in power in this country.

Europeans see what America cannot

16R, SUN, FEB 2, 10, 08

At this week's NATO conference in Vilnius, Lithuania, an angry U.S. Secretary of Defence Robert Gates accused some Europeans of not being prepared to "fight and die" in Afghanistan in the battle against the Taliban.

The undiplomatic Gates is quite right. Most Europeans regard the Afghan conflict as a. wrong and immoral; b. America's war; c. all about oil; or d. probably lost.

To many Europeans, the NATO alliance was created to deter the real threat of Soviet aggression, not to supply foot soldiers for George Bush's wars in the Muslim world.

While Gates and the Harper government were pleading for more troops, the commander of the 40,000 NATO troops in Afghanistan, U.S. Gen. Dan McNeill, landed a bombshell. If proper U.S. military coun-

ter-insurgency doctrine were followed, McNeill admitted, the U.S. and NATO would need 400,000 troops to defeat Pashtun tribal resistance in Afghanistan.

When the Soviets occupied Afghanistan, they deployed 160,000 troops and about 200,000 Afghan Communist troops — yet failed to crush the mostly Pashtun resistance. Now, the U.S. and NATO are trying the same mission with only 66,000 troops, backed by local mercenaries grandly styled the Afghan National Army.

Canada's calls for 1,000 more NATO troops, and the U.S. decision to send 3,200 marines, will not alter the course of this war, which is turning increasingly against the western occupiers. In fact, the war is spreading into neighbouring Pakistan, a nation of 165 million, stretching U.S. and NATO forces ever thinner.

A primary reason for Gates' recent call for U.S. troops to begin attacking pro-Taliban Pashtun tribesmen inside Pakistan is due to their growing attacks on allied supply lines to Afghanistan.

As this column has reported, over 70% of U.S./NATO supplies come in by truck through Pakistan's tribal belt known as FATA, including all of their oil and gas. Attacks by pro-Taliban tribesmen against these vulnerable supply lines are jeopardizing western military operations inside Afghanistan.

Hunters now hunted

The hunters are becoming the hunted. Cutting off invaders' supply lines is a time-honoured Pashtun military tactic. They used it against Alexander the Great, the British, and Soviets, and are at it again.

What angry Sec. Gates fails to see is that by pushing NATO into a distant Asian war without political purpose or seeming end, he is endan-

gering the very alliance that is the bedrock of U.S. power in Europe.

Europeans increasingly ask why they need the U.S.-dominated military alliance, a Cold War relic, in which they continue to play foot soldiers to America's atomic knights, to paraphrase the late German statesman, Franz Josef Strauss.

Why does the rich, powerful European Union even need NATO any more? The Soviet threat is gone — at least for now. Nuclear-armed France and Britain are quite capable of defending Europe against outside threats. Why can't the new European Defence Force take over NATO's role of defending Europe and protecting EU interests?

In short, most Europeans see no benefit in playing junior members in an alliance whose historic time has passed and that serves primarily as an instrument of U.S. power. Washington's sharpest geopolitical thinker, Zbigniew Brzezinski, calls NATO a "stepping stone" the U.S.

uses to project power into Europe. By pushing NATO towards a bridge too far, the Bush administration may end up fatally undermining the alliance and encouraging anti-American forces in Europe.


In fact, it's becoming evident that the cash-strapped U.S. needs the EU more than the EU needs the U.S.

Conscription

Final point. If impassioned claims by U.S. and Canadian politicians that the little Afghanistan war must be won at all costs, then why don't they stop orating, impose conscription, and send 400,000 soldiers, including their own sons, to fight in Afghanistan?

Of course they won't. They prefer to waste their own soldiers, and grind up Afghanistan, rather than admit this war against 40 million Pashtun tribesmen was a terrible mistake that will only get worse.

eric.margolis@sunmedia.ca



Eric Margolis

18 FEB 08. Dear Claude: I just received the FEB/MARCH 08 issue of IMPACT, with your cogent comment that Africa's chief plague is not AIDS, but over-population. This has largely been the achievement of the Whites, who made the Black population explosion possible. Without White rule, the present Black population would not exist. As I said previously, when the first Whites settled in the territory now called Zimbabwe, after its famous Arab ruins, there were some 300,000 Blacks chasing one another around the region, but when we handed over to Black Marxist Misrule in 1980, there were over 7 million Blacks, by actual census. White rule produced security & surplus food, adequate to sustain the increasing Black population, AND produce food for export. When I left Africa, I saw the Whites' job as playing nanny to the Blacks, on the basis of "the more we feed, the more they breed." That factor alone convinces me that Whites must only rule Whites, never non-Whites. Of course, rule of Whites by non-Whites = No Whites, as we are seeing ourselves under jew-genocidal rule.

How jewish is the "New Russia?" Wishful-thinkers refuse to log onto The Canadian Jewish News website to check on Putin's possible jewish background, so as not to jar their dreamworlds, like the blightwingers of the "Stalin" era, who claimed that the USSR was no longer under jew rule, just as Yockey & jews like Fred Farrel of "Common Sense" told us. I have a vague recollection of reading a CJA article which alleged that Putin had a jew background, similar to "jew-hater" Zhirinovskiy (sp?). As I say, it is a vague recollection, for attempting to read news in the Zündeljammerkammer was futile. I just advise revisionists et al. to log onto the CJA website & look up "Putin". Surely that is not too much to ask. I have no computer, just that vague recollection of the CJA news story of the late 1980s or very early 1990s. This recollection was refreshed by the radio appearance of an alleged Putin comrade by the name of "Schwartzman," which is jewish, not Russian, in the Russian context.

As simple-minded Goyim, are we to believe that we now have 'good' jews, who have our interests at heart? That's what we thought under jew Capitalism & jew Communism, just as we did under jew Christianity, but The Protocols of Zion reveal the real jew program of leading all points of view, on behalf of the jews. Jews have not changed, nor have gullible Goyim.

My suspicion is that jews never put all their eggs in one proverbial basket. Goyim focus their attention on Israel, the jews' "World Capital" to be, but most jews don't live in Israel. If "God is the jewish people," then it is logical that the "jewish capital" is where most jews are. I understand that more jews dwell in Europe & the former British Empire, including USA, than live in Israel. This fact was noted by Benjamin Franklin who said that "Vampires do not live off Vampires," so jews will have all sorts of reason NOT to move to Israel. It was true when Franklin said it in the 1700s, & it is true now. As Werner Sombart wrote at the beginning of the 20th century: "America is the distilled essence of Judaism." That statement is obviously true, from my own experience, as well as my reading of history.

The International Mafia, whose current manifestations are evident in Africa, is typically jewish: A co-ordinated effort to control natural resources & trade, according to the ancient jewish traditions. In effect, this Mafia is the Jew World Order, which Global Capitalism has enlarged to control symbolic wealth.

So why would jews abandon the land & resources of their Soviet Empire to a bunch of Goyim? I pointed out the fact that World War I had put the Romanovs into Rothschild hands, via debt, even if Tsarist Russia had 'won' that war. When the war grew so unpopular, Tsar Nicholas II was forced to abdicate, but the jew Menshevik, my former teacher, Kerensky alias Kurbis, who led the Provisional Government wished to continue the war; when he failed, "Lenin" & Company took over for the Rothschild interests. Whoever ruled Russia was a Rothschild employee. The Putin opposition to the jew rob & run oligarchs was no different from Meyer Lansky's feud with Mafia competitors, as I understand it. The bankster vampires have sucked the blood from the U.S. Dollar, so they hatched the Euro. As long as jews control, Goyim cannot win. God is Truth, whether we like it or not. ORION!

Eric