

MAIL REJECTION APPEAL

FILE NUMBER: _____.

DATE REJECTED: _____.

My mail referenced above was just rejected for _____.
This determination appears to be what's called an "exaggerated response" to such concerns
and appears to be motivated by the personal prejudices of the staff issuing the
rejection. Other: _____.

GROUND FOR REVERSING THE REJECTION

RCW 72.09.010(2) states my punishment in the Department of Corrections is to be "limited
to the denial of liberty".

RCW 72.09.010(4) states: I'm to be treated "fairly and equitably without regard to race
[or] religion".

DOC POLICY 100.500, on NON-DISCRIMINATION, states: the Department "prohibits
discrimination or the unfair or illegal treatment [of offenders] on the basis of [...] race,
[...] religion, [or] political views".

DOC POLICY 850.030, Directive (C), states: staff decisions "must be professional and not
based upon favoritism, biases, stereotypes, or other subjective issues". "Grudge holding,
bias, or negativism toward" me, my beliefs, or the content of my mail is prohibited.

WAC 137-48-040, on 'Restriction of Incoming Mail', states at (3): "No mail is to be
restricted for the reason that it appeals to a particular ethnic, racial, or religious
group [...] unless the mail is also judged to be a threat to legitimate penological
objectives." More on this below.

CONCLUSION

The Mailroom is not following the law or policy when issuing these mail rejections.
The rejection forms, law, and policy each dictate that your staff give the specific
reasons for the rejection, not merely boilerplate quotes from the forms. If you don't
quote objectionable words or phrases, or objectively describe what it is you're basing
your decision on, you are violating your own policy, the law, and my rights.

I am entitled to NOTICE of the subject matter triggering the rejection so that I can
(1) effectively appeal the rejection if I contest your decision's validity, and (2) so I
can inform my correspondents not to send me such prohibited material if your concerns are
legitimate, which they sometimes are. That's right, I agree with you - sometimes.

DOC has no legitimate penological interest in changing or restricting my religious or
political views. This isn't a guessing game, these are my cherished First Amendment
rights! The prison library contains books showing Nazi propaganda posters, pictures of
Hitler, swastikas, and numerous other things mistakenly thought to pose threats to the
security of the prison. Because none of our library books have ever caused security
concerns, equally controversial material coming through the mail shouldn't be restricted
either!

Please review the rejected material and make a specific determination whether or not
the mail truly poses a threat to legitimate penological objectives. If it does,
objectively describe both the content of the material, and the legitimate objective -
that's fair, open and honest.

Copies on file

www.fromjail.com

Signature: _____
Name/DOC#/Housing: _____
Facility name: _____
Address: _____
State and Zip: _____

20 MAR 08

Hail Michael! Many thanks for your letter & enclosures of 16 MAR 08. I especially appreciate your Mail Rejection Appeal form which I shall use whenever an inmate's mail is rejected or stolen on dubious grounds. The latest example was one zoggie who objected to a photocopied newspaper clipping, a photocopied book page & a photocopied flier for an Australian publication on the grounds that I was not the publisher, so I had no right to send these items! The zoggie correctly returned these items to me, so I know what they are. Hence, I have sent the inmate ONLY items written by me. So far, no rejection! One WAZOGDOClng fixates on the allegation that any distinction = "inferiority" on the part of this writer. This exemplifies the "exaggerated response" you mention in your Appeal form. Congratulations! One WA zoggie alleged that I was promoting "White Supremacy." As I try to point out, White Nationalism is not "White Supremacy." As long as the ignorant & malicious are entitled to censor communications, we have no First Amendment rights.

Like you, I see prisons as entitled to censor items which incite to violence, urge criminal activity, seek to disrupt normal, lawful prison administration, &c. My message is on behalf of prisoner improvement aimed at freedom & responsible life outside the gulag. It therefore includes respect for oneself, one's race & one's culture. Naturally, a message intended to inform must include reference to current & historical events, which are often controversial. The First Amendment posits that free men should be free to disagree, without the intrusion of censorship based on someone's personal convictions, prejudices, biases &/or desire to create mischief. You are doing heroic work in correcting such abuses. Many thanks on behalf of Truth, Freedom & Justice!

I don't mind anyone writing to me, although I appreciate your concern. What I do mind is arbitrary interference with my communications, which I strive to keep within WAZOGDOC rules. This is impossible, of course, if zoggies are allowed to keep changing the rules to mean "exactly what they say they mean, neither more nor less," to quote Tweedledee or Tweedledum. I understand, & I am in full agreement with the rule that I may not send a prisoner a book, but when that rule is extended to prohibit sending him a copy of a page or two, it may also include any quote I might make therefrom. One gulag permitted me to send an entire book, which I photocopied, so where is the consistency? The book was the complete Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, but the gulag was not in WAZOGDOClnd. Other states seem to be more liberal in regard to thought, inquiry & expression, for no stated reason. Obviously, this indicates that we have a government of men, not of laws.

If we agree that the best teaching is by example, then I'd advise WAZOGDOC to make just rules & abide by them. When prisoners are subject to injustice & arbitrary decisions on the part of their custodians, they are learning all the wrong lessons for eventual life outside the gulag. "Might makes right" is not a moral compass, & is often the cause of an inmate's gulag residence in the first place, according to my correspondents.

Yesterday, Zionist War-Zombie alias The Manchurian Candidate McCain, who styles himself a "foreign affairs expert" pulled a Bushy-type blooper, which revealed his arrogant ignorance. McCain said at a public appearance featured on radio that "Iran was training Al Qaida." His jew handler, Lieberman, told him that "Al Qaida is Sunni. Iran is Shia." Israel wants war with Iran, so our ZOG will use any lie to 'justify' it, as with Iraq. Osama bin Laden also made a radio appearance to denounce anti-Moslem cartoons which originated with a Danish newspaper's jew editor. Hence, Al Qaida is threatening Western Europeans with dire revenge. It is interesting that "Al Qaida" was created by the CIA, for whom Osama bin Laden was an 'asset' during the USA alliance with the Taliban in Afghanistan, during the USSR occupation. "Al Qaida" means "The Base," which is short for the CIA's "Database" in Afghanistan. The USA is now allied with the former Afghan allies of the former USSR, "The Northern Alliance." The U.S. occupation is faring no better than that of the Soviets, it seems. Of course, the USSR was the former ally of the USA, which we betrayed with Nixon's switch to support of Red China. Are we the Flip-Flopper of History? Could be.

As usual, I return your originals, having made copies, which I shall send to all & sundry, including Liberty Rights Advocates. Again, congratulations on great work!

DOWZ! ORION!

Shir