

4-4-08

Dear Wayne: Thanks for your letter & enclosures of 29 MAR 08. In the first paragraphs of your letter, you are apparently arguing on points with which we agree. My message, such as it is, does not stipulate that "only fit Whites need apply." It ostensibly appeals to all Whites, from which we shall determine who is 'fit,' for they will determine that fitness themselves. During the Korean War, the Chinese determined that fewer than 1 out of 20 U.S. P.O.W.s were potential leaders. That proportion may be 1 out of 100 or worse, due to downbreeding, & the proportion is likely to worsen as our devolution progresses. Obviously, some Whites are "more equal" than others. This is why I am a White Nationalist, not a White Supremacist. Inferior is inferior, regardless of color.

Does Cosmos Theology have the power to defeat White Guilt & Liberal Wishful-Thinking? We shall see. Once more, I must remind you that we must address the anti-Whites who are foremost in striving for our extinction: the jews. We are not living in a vacuum of White sheeple, only. The jews are working actively to destroy us, so we must take our Zionist Occupation Governments into account. These agents of evil will grab our technology & take it to Mars, if they see that as in their interests. Remember: Bernie Schwartz of Loral gave the Chinese the latest U.S. missile guidance system, with which the Chinese promptly shot down one of their own obsolete satellites.

On NPR jewsradio I heard a discussion of the growing demand for creative high-tech brains. It was mentioned that India & China are training multitudes of people in high-tech design fields, but the question was, "How creative will they be, in view of their concentration on theory, rather than experimentation, & their traditions of social conformity?" High-tech theory is one thing, but hands-on tinkering is required to realize creativity. That's how the technology came into existence in the first place. Am I hearing another way of saying: "White man invent; Yellow man copy?"

As you say, a bit of chaos may be required for creativity, but how do we draw the line between creative chaos & destructive chaos? I look around, & I see that most of our present creativity is destructive, for it all seems to destroy our collective health & our sanity. I recently got a haircut. Nowadays, one doesn't walk into a barber shop & wait his turn for the barber. Now, we must make an appointment by phone or by visit. As the barber attempted to cut my hair, he was frequently interrupted by the telephone, but it was not customers phoning for appointments, it was telephone solicitors wishing to sell him something. This means will defeat the ends, unless the barber hires a secretary!

Our technology is presently aimed at depleting essential natural resources & polluting them as well. Thus our present lifestyle seeks eventual death, by rendering our planet uninhabitable.

Let us imagine that we became a race of perfect machines. This life-form would have to cope with natural obstacles to survival, hence Nature would be our eternal chaos factor. I should think that would be enough! Could man co-exist with machines to achieve a lifestyle in harmony with Nature? Not as long as Judaized humanity believe that "Man conquers Nature." When Man understands natural laws, he achieves whatever he has so far achieved, but when he works to defeat Nature, Man defeats himself. Consider Judeo-Communist schemes which dried up inland seas in Russia, & which advanced the Gobi Desert to Beijing. Consider jew-dazed White colonial governments which caused the population explosion in Africa, & now contributes to massive desert-formation. Consider Judeo-Capitalist 'development' of The Amazon, with its destruction & endangerment to our air & climate. Creativity in the wrong hands, & with the wrong purpose is definitely destructive, to Man himself.

Good luck on "opening eyes to a new strategy for the future." Your audience may have some open eyes, but it seems their minds are closed & locked shut. The mere thought that they don't or may not have all the answers is too frightening for them to contemplate. Most sheeple prefer to anticipate "the end of the world," so "they can meet JEEZEUS in the afterlife." As you say, neither of us are interested in such self-deceivers, but where are those who are not afraid to confront reality? You are far better prepared to find them than I am, since you are on the Internet, however, your success in finding 'converts' may be less than adequate, if I may guess from our correspondence. Is our presentation at fault, or is our message unattractive to those whom we wish to recruit? If so, why so? Could we say the same thing in different words? Will circumstances work in our favor by making people more receptive to our message? In other words, do we keep 'soldiering on?' This is my intention, for I've seen the negative results of changing a message to pander to prospective or imaginary supporters. All the best,

*End*

2)

P.3.: In view of the extreme variations in humanity, it seems likely that you will find at least one specimen who agrees with you, perhaps whole-heartedly, so your task is to find that person. Chances are, that person will be predominantly White, since racial improvement is usually a topic which has only concerned Whites. The Blacks in some tribes, such as Zulus, do not tolerate deformed or otherwise defective infants, which they throw to the crocodiles, as the Spartans did with their defective infants, whom they killed by exposure. I understand that British doctors did likewise to such by "setting them aside" so they would die from neglect, since deformed, defective workingclass infants would be a terrible burden for workingclass families. Apparently, the upperclass were free to downbreed according to the standards of Christinsanity. Such defectives were usually secluded for the duration of their often short lives, for a defective relative was normally a mark of shame, unless he were "the village idiot," which was something of an institution in Britain. The "idiot" may have been more of a jester, a clown, who was no idiot.

English satirist Jonathan Swift had no particular love for mankind, as he illustrated in "Gulliver's Travels." The Lilliputians were tiny folk who fought wars over trivia. The huge people of Brobdingnag, as I recall, were hugely uncouth, with no greatness in thought nor behavior. In a third society, the most civilized & gentle beings were the Whynyns (sp?) who had horselike bodies. They were wise & thoughtful, whereas the humanoids were called Yahoos, which is used in the Swiftian sense to this day. They were obnoxious, noisy, apelike creatures with sufficient intelligence only for chaos & mischief. You can find them everywhere. Their noise-making is enhanced by boomboxes with which they assault entire neighborhoods. Most Yahoos are non-Whites & White Supremacists in my experience. Elmer Pendell called them Problem-Makers, as opposed to Problem-Solvers.

The saying that "Many are called, but few are chosen" comes to mind in your quest to find White people of quality, by which I mean GOOD quality. The overall impression I have of "Cosmos Theology" is its appeal to intelligent machines, rather than carnal, mammal mankind, with its penchant for emotions, fantasies & self-indulgence, including suicidal sentimentality, & inconsistency. Mankind is slow to learn & quick to forget, so The One Purpose is apt to be forgotten in the pursuit of thoughtless pleasure, especially under the influence of drugs & alcohol. I suppose that your ideal man would be intelligent & temperate, emotionally & behaviorally, but often, such behavior indicates a passive, indolent nature which avoids struggle, including intellectual struggle & physical adversity. One man noted: "If they don't fuck, they don't fight."

The Marquis de Sade believed that, without the threat of hell, human behavior becomes "Do what you will." Liberty from the dogma & tyranny of a church means liberty & libertinage. De Sade thought himself rational, for in his terms, man seeks pleasure & avoids pain. Contemporary liberals use their reason, such as it may be, in pursuit of hedonistic solipsism: me-me-me & gimme-gimme-gimme. They appear to assume that others are likewise liberal. Our Zionist bankster rulers encourage such thinking (sic) for they profit from consumers, rather than thinking citizens. Liberals are often found in the upper part of the consumption chain than are us bottom-feeders who survive on ZOG's largesse. I am currently a member of the Roman-style bread & circus set, ZOG willing, but this is likely to change if ZOG decides to dump its welfare class, including its pensioners.

It came somewhat as a surprise to me that I'm not afraid of death, which is certain as taxes. I prefer to avoid pain, but death need not be painful. Individuals die, for they must, sooner or later. Races can die, but there is no necessity for that. How much do I love our race? Only as much as it loves itself. Jews claim that "God is the Jewish people." This view has been approached by the Klassenite Church of the Creator, which is comprised of felons & fumlbers who fight over copyrights. I understand that Klassen & Pierce both thought of establishing a tax-dodge church, but I guess that idea failed, so Pierce dropped his Cosmotheism & Klassen committed suicide, not over Weltschmerz, but over Geldschmerz, as I understand. Apparently your difference with the former Pierceite pope is one of ego, rather than theology, which is par for the course in the blightwing. As you say, even if history would repeat, ZOG's North American Empire is not Weimar Germany. Crises are not solutions. They require solutions, & the blightwing sorely lacks both solutions & people capable of participating therein. The blightwing courts crisis as a moth courts a flame. We shall learn in due course how fantasy fares in the face of grim reality. I do not look forward to the outcome, for I'm not a blightwinger who lusts to prove himself right. In fact, I hate to be right about most things I see oncoming.