

25 APR 08

Dear Wayne: We are repeating ourselves, as your letter of 17 APR 08 reiterates. Your concept of entropy goes well with eugenics, since this gives a reason for reducing & then eliminating Problem-Makers in favor of Problem-solvers. I have been devoted to eugenics since I encountered the works of Paul Popenoe in the mid-1950s. The eugenic concept of upbreeding a population made perfectly good sense, since more resources should be provided to those who are fittest, rather than those least fit to become members of a productive & progressive society. I therefore recognized Christians & egalitarians as proponents of entropy, downbreeding & devolution. Somewhat later, I learned about the jews' criminal role in promoting entropy, worldwide, as well as cults favoring the same downward mobility, in which the productive are indoctrinated to believe that they owe the parasites a living.

So it is that I've been a eugenicist since I was in junior high school, & I have attempted to support this policy from then to the present. What have I achieved along this line? Nothing. I found no female ally, nor did I earn sufficient income to support a family; not ever. But I believe, whatever that's worth.

We are indeed animals. We need adrenaline to act, so we need emotion to produce that adrenaline. Hopefully, our emotion has basis in intelligent thought, but in most cases not. Humans are animals, but there are those who fit the 'subhuman' category, just as animal species produce defect^{ive} members--which usually don't survive to reproduce their kind. Humans, on the other hand, apply their intelligence to the multiplication of the defectives, at the expense of the productive & fit. With such 'intelligence' we cannot distinguish ourselves from the really stupid.

Indeed, we channel our energies into "creative works of the mind," as you say, apparently so we can feed more niggers. Were we more primitive & brutal, there would be no niggers around to bother us. My opinion is that intelligence in the service of stupidity is not intelligence at all.

So, where are all the bright people? A bright person is not just book-wise, he is reality-wise. Consider an intelligent, rational person: He is born into the judeo-status quo. Being rational, he wants to get as much as he can for less. He wants to increase his pleasure & avoid pain. His intelligence allows him to adapt & thrive in most any social system, so why buck the tide? That would be a moral decision, & morality requires emotion. One must have a strong preference for "A", rather than "B" or Aryan to Black. Rational, intelligent beings are largely self-sufficient in the full meaning of that term. Why would a rational being care about the future? Care is emotion, so why 'waste' energy emoting about a future in which one will not participate? Why not join the predators of humanity, rather than risk pain & deprivation by resisting them? I believe that there are indeed intelligent, rational humans, but they are in the service of those who would destroy them & their kind. The scenario of "They Live" was pretty accurate, in which humans served the jew-like aliens for their own personal profit, even knowing that the aliens intended to destroy human life on Earth. But that would be in the future, & the aliens would kill their servants last, or so the human traitors may have thought. They not only dressed like Freemasons, but the traitors served the aliens as the Freemasons serve their jew masters of mischief. In this case fiction was not too-embellished fact.

Can rational, intelligent people develop their instincts toward reproduction & defense? Without rational decision, as you point out, we cannot achieve survival & evolution. Our reason must be connected with our emotions, otherwise no adrenaline & no action. We should be angry at our enemies & moreso: We should hate them; just as we should love our best people, whoever they may be. A rational being must love his children, so he will nurture & protect them. Otherwise, there is no motivation to struggle on their behalves. In addition, he must love his own kind, which is hard to do if that love is not reciprocated in some concrete fashion. Does it PAY for one to be anti-entropy? Not to my knowledge, except as it concerns oneself. National Socialism was organized to reward those who built up, rather than those who tore down, since the NSDAP knew that men could never be angels. The Soviets demanded that men be angelic: That they sacrifice for a 'future' workers' paradise. How can we understand millions of Soviet slaves, who were taught to be materialists, slaving on behalf of an alleged future, which none of them would enjoy? Lenin explained it: The Soviet Goyim 'needed' The Red Terror to motivate them. Whatever works is the rule. Pendell proposed financial incentives for Problem-Makers NOT to reproduce & vice versa for Problem-Solvers. All the best.

Srie