

Presidential powers trump our freedom

You are not free. And chances are you never have been.

We here in the United States have many snappy phrases meant to boost the national ego — "Land of the Free," "Government by the People," just to quote a couple. However, what if I told you

the president, right now, could order the creation of military concentration camps, declare martial law, or dissolve the national legislature on so much as a whim, with absolutely no system to stop him?

It's possible, and legal, and he (or any other president, for that matter), could do it at any moment he pleases.

I'm not talking about the Patriot Act. That repugnant piece of legislation did almost nothing to expand executive power; in fact, the president essentially had all the powers found in the bill prior to its passage.

What I'm talking about here are the powers a president has during a state of "national emergency."

Those powers include some of the ones mentioned above, but also fun little things like the power to draft anyone the president pleases into the military against his or her will, the suspension of habeas corpus and warrantless surveillance.

Isn't this fun?

How about this one?:

U.S. Code, Title 33, Section

855, Navigation and Navigable Waters: The President is authorized, whenever in his judgment a sufficient national emergency exists, to transfer to the service and jurisdiction of a military department such



Drew Toop

vessels, equipment, stations, and commissioned officers of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, or NOAA, as he may deem to the best interest of the country.

And guess who gets to declare national emergencies?

The funny thing about national emergencies is, unless something undeniably catastrophic happens, such as an invasion, people's opinions about just what a national emergency is can vary widely.

For example, would you consider the Haitian uprisings of the early 1990s cause for the American president to declare a state of national emergency? Because that happened, you know.

The fact is that as long as there is a state of national

emergency, we essentially live under a dictatorship where we as citizens have little or no rights. Some of you are probably relieved at that, but wait, there's more.

A president could technically declare a national emergency for anything, seeing as it's in his power.

And, in reality, this country has been under a sequential, nonstop state of national emergency since the Great Depression. That's right. More than a half a century.

One state of emergency declared by Franklin D. Roosevelt did not end until 1977, more than 30 years since Roosevelt died and more than 40 years since the Great Depression, when the state was first declared.

To me, these facts say we are living, and have been living, under a farce. Checks and balances, separation of powers, they now exist in name only. We have been duped into believing in an illusion of freedom and liberty when really we only have what the president and the people behind him want us to have.

What do these facts say to you?

• Drew Toop attends Davis High School.

2 JAN 07



Don't miss another day's news. Subscribe today. CALL 577-7755



YAKIMA HERALD-REPUBLIC
A daily part of your life
yakima-herald.com

ROUTE MANAGEMENT OPPORTUNITIES

SALES ABILITY A PLUS ON ALL ROUTES!
Listings include route #, location, length of delivery time, # of subscribers and approx. monthly profit.

AVAILABLE THE 1ST OF THE MONTH

Yakima contact STEPHANIE 577-7636

30 APR 08

Hail Shappa! Many thanks for taking the time, which I know is scarce, to send me the copy of your great letter to John Gerhardt & the note thereon. Truly, you have a tough row to hoe, but you need to do it for yourself & for others who also seek justice, even in ZOG's gulags.

In my dumbing-down indoctrination known as edjwecation, I heard repeated: "We have a government of laws, not of men." (NOT!) In some respects your mistreatment at the "enforcers of the law's hands" resembles Iraqi-style 'justice' under our puppet, Saddam. Men always make the difference, so we cannot expect justice when bad men are in charge of enforcement.

In my experience, justice is racial/cultural. Jewish 'justice' is vengeance when their authorities are offended, no matter what the offense. Black 'justice' is democratic, with traditional trials run like popularity contests, in which the tribal chief has the deciding vote. Anglo-Saxon justice, in theory, at least, seeks to give the defendant 'a fair trial,' regardless of the outcome. The Common Law tradition of a statute of limitations deemed that, after 7 years, it was unlikely that anyone could get a fair trial, since stories change, witnesses die or depart & evidence decays or disappears with the passage of time. Of course, we are not Anglo-Saxons, but Judeo-Anglo-Saxons, so we seek to give the defendant a 'fair trial' & then hang him. It is said that "Justice must be seen to be done," but it depends entirely upon who sees it & who does it.

It appears that the authorities know they have been unjust to you, so they don't want the truth to come out. Their misbehavior in your case indicates mens rea on their part. Mens rea means "the guilty mind." It seems they wish to cover their tracks, like a gang of muggers.

The growing danger, as I see it, is that the letter of the law remains much the same, but the times have changed. Our present regime makes no attempt to hide the fact that it uses torture to obtain 'confessions.' If someone says "You're likely a terrorist," that statement permits him to turn you over to the torturers. It is equally likely that they will provide your accuser with the 'confession' they want, with which to persecute you. This is definitely oriental 'justice,' & it is strictly kosher. The joke is that no one is required to define what he means by "terrorism."

The Geneva Convention remains in print, in regard to mistreatment of civilians & of enemy prisoners in war, but the USA has a very long tradition of disregarding the law. We used poison gas in violation of The Convention in World War II, for it was in the hold of a U.S. cargo ship which was hit by a German 'smart' bomb in Bari, Italy. The Soviet Jewnion also disregarded the Geneva Convention, which was a general agreement amongst White nations to treat enemy soldiers & civilians as one would wish his soldiers & civilians to be treated by the enemy. The Japanese had different values, so they were accused of "violating the Geneva Convention," & such leaders as Tojo were tried & found guilty of "war crimes." But all the Japanese had done was what came naturally to them, since an enemy civilian was still the enemy & prisoners had disgraced themselves by surrendering, disgraced in the eyes of ^{their} ancestors.

Internationally, the USA has a criminal record in terms of The Convention, but its homefront civilians have no protections supposedly accorded enemy soldiers. I understand from the jewsmedia that Colorado cops are allowed to use .357 magnum dum-dum bullets. These are flat-nosed bullets which inflict severe damage on the bodies of those who get hit by them, much more so than the damage inflicted by pointed-nosed bullets approved by The Geneva Convention. Dum Dum was the British arsenal in colonial India, but I'm not sure if flat-nosed bullets were made there. Soft lead bullets or musket balls also inflict major damage, since they smash bones & tear up bodily organs.

In short, the crimes which our Zionist regime commits internationally are likely to occur domestically or in "the homeland," as Chertoff & Company now call it. Anti-White Ike starved German P.O.W.s to death in cattle pens AFTER the German surrender of 8 MAY 1945. Starving P.O.W.s was a clear violation of The Geneva Convention, but not if you call them "DEFs" or Disarmed Enemy Forces. You note how our ZOG has dropped the word, soldier, in favor of "enemy combatants." In the American Revolutionary War, the Brits had the 'soldiers' & we had the 'illegal combatants.' It has been noted that one man's patriot is another man's terrorist. We know, but we don't say so, for that might upset the sheeple or Goyim. In this land of men who twist laws, our jew president Teddy Roosevelt declared that "Might makes right." If one has a gun, his will be done. You need to check with Karl Hand in regard to his publication. All the best. ORION!

