

Nelson to Sullivan, Wineinger, Glebe, Cedeno and Gonzalez
Re: Mail Rejections 18943 and 18944
29 April 2008
Page 2

LEAST RESTRICTIVE MEANS

The RLUIPA requires you use the "least restrictive means" in abridging my religious freedoms to accomplish your penological objectives. Complete rejection of the materials in question is not the "least restrictive means" of furthering your interest in preventing violence. Firstly, 18943's rejection was probably for material that this publisher asks that I proofread. I provide editing / emendation service for Mr. Emahiser. Chances are, it is requested I proofread it and send it immediately back out. Secondly, the least restrictive means of protecting your management concerns - with both rejections - would be to redact words or phrases you have good reason to believe will cause violence. This wouldn't take any more time than your initial review of the material and in fact could be done simultaneously.

EXAGGERATED RESPONSE

Given a long pattern of exaggerated fears about my mail causing violence, and Olympia's having frequently to overrule decisions to withhold my mail, it's much more than likely this is what courts are calling an "exaggerated response" to your concerns. If you've pulled up my above-referenced essay at fromjail.com, look at page 4's summary of the RLUIPA: "Inadequately formulated prison regulations and policies grounded on mere speculation, exaggerated fears, or post hoc rationalizations will not suffice to meet the act's requirements" (145 Congressional Record, S7774-75). Would you censor Mark Twain's Adventures of Tom Sawyer and Huckleberry Finn for its frequent use of the word "nigger"? Do you block out Carlos Mencia's frequent use of the terms 'nigger' or 'spic' on television? Of course not. I can speak or write these words with impunity. Accordingly, there's no reason why I can't have mail referencing them - especially religious mail.

THE LAW OR THE CONTRARY

'The Law of the Contrary,' which I cited in the above-referenced essay at its page 9, holds that your policies on race relations and censorship will have the opposite of the intended effect. Forced integration in its several manifestations in prison - whether in foolish cell assignments, or assigned seating in chowhalls - is the number one cause of violence in prison. SCCC's Diversity Committee, which 'celebrates' every race as a race except the White race, causes immense resentment among White prisoners while cultivating a sense of grievance and entitlement among non-Whites. And censorship like this creates a psychological affect of fascination among White prisoners with the 'forbidden' subject matter, and contributes to a climate of hypersensitivity to offense among non-White prisoners, who are the only ones 'protected' against the slightest insult. Bad ideas on all fronts - think about it!

I'm filing all the formal appeal and notice paperwork along with this letter. Please reconsider your position and reverse the rejections.

Very truly yours,

Michael Nelson

Michael Roger Nelson
copies: everywhere

8 MAY 08

Hail Michael! Many thanks for your outstanding letter of 4 MAY & the enclosures, which I shall copy & send to the people you indicated, as well as yourself. Congratulations on the progress you have made on behalf of Truth, Freedom & Justice!

The "Gunwall Factors" you mentioned seem like bureaucratic b.s. to me. If a state legislates laws which exceed the protections of the U.S. Constitution, why wouldn't the state's legislators be informed of what they were doing, at the time they were doing it? Why wait until a victim of 'law enforcement' is placed at risk by alleged contradictions between federal & state law? Maybe legislators should sober up.

It is indeed kafkaesque that the zoggies' grounds for determining that you were NOT a security threat are the same ones used subsequently to determine that you are. So much for the fiction that 'we are governed by laws, not men.' More hypocrisy. The choice of the term, "Gunwall," sounds quite Castroite, as when persons were to be executed. The Red mob would chant "Paredon!" which meant "To the gunwall"(of the firing squad).

I'm glad to learn that you have made some progress in the repair of the eye damage inflicted on you by the incompetent &/or malicious eye surgeon. On the Outside, you could sue him for malpractice & you'd collect quite a bit. According to my optometrist, cataract removal & lens replacement eventually requires laser treatment to banish cloudy fluid that collects within the lens capsule over time, which varies with the individual. It would be great if you could dispense with the contact lens. Good luck!

I'm also glad to learn that you have established a community in your gulag, in regard to sectarian conflicts on the Outside. In some respects, your circumstances resemble life on a ship, which is your world, for practical purposes. I agree that survival is the essential criterion for evaluating the worth of any people.

Many alleged W.N.s have hidden agendas which have higher priority for them than their race. This corruption factor is common to all alleged beliefs & loyalties. In fact, we may judge this as 'the human condition.' Since I have been W.N., I tend to note hypocrisy in our ranks more than I do hypocrisy in other alleged creeds & ideologies. Sometimes, the contradictions between the pretensions & the practices are glaring, oxymoronic; as with a drunken Prohibitionist.

Good news from Soviet Canuckistan alias China-duh: My colleagues of previous thought-crime trials have brought into question the whole basis of Canada's kosher conspiracy against freedom of inquiry, thought & expression. At long last, a real expert has unmasked the pseudo-scholarship of a hebe-for-hire, Harry Kaufmann, who opined that expressions which he & his tribe deemed "hate" should be banned under The Criminal Code. Critics noted that CANZOG never defined "hate propaganda," just as FEDZOGUSA never defines "Terrorism." The effect of Canada's "hate laws" has been to curtail freedom of inquiry, thought & expression, in favor of certain privileged groups, "The Nomenklatura," to use the kosher Soviet term from the former USSR.

Enter Dr. Michael A. Persinger, full professor of biology & psychology, who currently co-ordinates The Behavioral Neuroscience Program at Laurentian University, Ontario, Canada. Using actual tests, Dr. Persinger revealed how censorship & punishment cripple creativity in other fields than those offending privileged groups. The imposition of conformity of expression curtails diversity in thinking to a great, but subtle degree. He points out that the Zionist insistence on ignoring racial differences actually endangers the races' wellbeing, since real differences are not addressed in medicine & psychology.

"Verbal behaviors involve minimal energy, do not damage tissue, are not life-threatening, & only become determinants of behavior if group consensus allows the verbal behaviors to affect directly the exchange of rewards & punishments between the individuals within the group." "...Neurocognitive studies have clearly shown that hate behaviors are usually driven by social factors that are irrelevant to the statements with which they are correlated." Dr. Persinger's studies confirm the old childhood adage that "Sticks & stones can break my bones, but words can never hurt me."

I wonder how Harry Kaufmann would have confronted Theodore Kaufmann, author of "Germany Must Perish!" Theodore urged the total extermination of all Germans by means of starvation & sterilization. This proposal was received enthusiastically by major jewsmedia when it was published in 1941. General Patton denounced the beginnings of this genocide program after the German surrender of 8 MAY 1945. He was killed as a result.

Keep up the good work in your part of Zoglandia. DOWZ! ORION!

P.S.: You are better informed in the gulag than most sheeple choose to be Outside!

edp