

## PROFITS VERSUS PEOPLE by E. Thomson

I heard the news today, oh boy. It looks as if the USA will retain the illegal aliens & open the borders to more, ad infinitum. The USA, like the former Soviet Jewnion, was said to be "an experiment." As those with lab experience can report: some experiments can prove unpleasantly surprising, despite the prior theorizing & calculations. I wonder if most Americans would see themselves as experimental guinea pigs in this exercise of mad-bankster 'science'. Maybe if they knew their roles they'd object to their assignment. Most likely, they will bleat & writhe as the experiment goes dreadfully wrong for them. Cui bono?

As the jew, "Lenin," would say, "What's to be done?" Human problems are the outcomes of human existence, often in our pursuit of Utopia. If I recall correctly, one disillusioned anarchist opined that every regime requires police. Adam Smith warned in his "The Wealth of Nations" that businessmen often do not have the public welfare in mind, as the outcome of their enterprise. In brief, there are those who live within the community & off the community, but not FOR the community, like Scrooge. In America, we have seen businessmen who seek profit in the destruction of their communities. A major factor in wars is profit, rather than passion, with human slaughter deemed a by-product, beneficial to the new occupiers of the land. An economics professor mentioned casually that "the line between crime & business is often so fine as to be invisible." Did anyone say "Enron"?

Economics has long been called "The Dismal Science," because it deals with scarcity in its studies of supply & demand. Supply & demand for what? Basically for items of real wealth: the services to make & distribute goods; the means of production (land, labor & capital) & the goods themselves, such as food, clothing, shelter, transportation & energy. Real wealth is usually governed by symbolic wealth, i.e. money, which is the common medium of exchange, based on trust. Commodities like gold, silver, copper, woodpecker scalps, grain & cattle have also served as money. Symbolic wealth has long been subject to abuses, as with our present debt-based Federal Reserve I.O.U.s which sources claim are being dumped by our foremost creditor, China, in favor of real wealth, i.e. commodities.

All economic activities are not productive of real wealth. Some are predatory; others speculative. Casino gambling is described as "redistributive" of wealth, usually the normal losses of the speculators & the normal profit of the casino owners. One casino owner viewed his "industry" as "entertainment." I replied that watching hands of cards being dealt was a poor substitute for watching dancing girls. He said, "Oh, they're in the next room." If entertainment is to be deemed "an industry," then panhandling may also qualify.

Speculation in casinos & stock markets, regardless of motives, is certainly an economic activity, but cui bono? The drug trade is economic, as well as Murder Incorporated, so must we view all economic activities as 'equal'? Not if we value our real wealth: the item which provides all goods & services with value--our society! The simple rule for our survival is that economic activity should benefit society as a whole, which means the majority. Any activity which benefits the minority at the expense of the majority is a racket.

In his second book, Hitler warned that export of Aryan technology to peoples like the Chinese would profit a tiny minority of stockholders, & would leave the Aryan originators of such technology jobless & impoverished. We are seeing this prediction come true in Judeo-America, with the additional loss of jobs to alien invaders & a general decline in our standard of living, for the benefit of the few. The jew, Reich, our former Labor Secretary, giggled on the radio that "NAFTA would adversely affect 80% of the American people, but 20% should do O.K." Hence, our concern should be: the greatest good for the greatest number, rather than individual welfare at the expense of the general welfare. Clearly, infinite numbers do not represent the greatest good for the greatest number, otherwise we would go to the Turd World, & the Turd World would not be coming here.

Francis Parker Yockey likened economic activity to our digestive tracts, so it is our duty to decide if we live to eat, or if we eat to live. Apparently, the Romans decided in favor of the former: this way to the vomitorium, bloated consumers!

DOWZ! & ORION!

Inflation is theft. Deflation is sabotage. Usury is slavery. Wars are the jews' harvests.

12 MAY 08

Hail Jan! Many thanks for the download of my article on The Vampire Economy. I appreciate very much your posting of my articles, which Robert Frenz said were "timeless," meaning relevant regardless of when they were written. This was written around 10 years ago, but it is still up to date. "alex" appears to bring into question the entire market economy, which is venturing far afield, in my opinion. I would return the question: How would he replace supply & demand in his utopian economy, if that's what he wants? People have experimented with centralized 'command' economies under so-called Communism, with embarrassing results. My rule of thumb on the viability of an economy is simple: Can the regime feed its people? If it cannot do that, then the regime's economy is defective, no matter what it claims to call itself. Communism's "Achilles Heel" was in its agriculture, which was particularly embarrassing for the former Soviet Jewnion, as it had a great deal of arable land, which had produced food surpluses under the Tsars. We might look at Zimbabwe which was able to feed its people & export surplus food under White Rule, but not so under Black Mugabe-Marxism. The land is not at fault. The people & their 'leader' are.

During the height of The Spanish Empire, Spaniards were hungry. Gold & silver poured in, which caused inflation. The poor could not afford to buy bread, because prices were so high. The Roman Catholic Church decreed that the price of bread be fixed, seemingly so the poor could buy it. The result was that farmers could not live by growing wheat for sale at such low prices, so the farmers quit & there was no bread at all. The Franco regime had apparently learned from the experience of the 1500s, for it subsidized the growing of wheat & controlled the price of bread. Bread bakeries were run by the state when I lived in Spain. One paid a small price for so many grams of bread, which was weighed accordingly. I recall that a large loaf, say, of 500 grams cost 7 pesetas, the same as a streetcar ride, & it was very good, for it was baked fresh every day. So who subsidized the price of bread? The state, that is, the tax-payers. That's why it is said, "There is no free lunch."

National Socialist Germany excelled at running a regulated market economy in the public interest, for all market economies must be regulated to prevent anti-social enterprises, such as The Vampire Economy, which robs the many on behalf of the few, as we see now. Such a dishonest arrangement is also known as "a racket." Banking is a racket, for example.

"alex" wants "lengthy essays" on various aspects of the free market system. Were I to do this, few Goyim would care to read any of them. Perhaps "alex" could read such books on capitalism, joint stock companies & contemporary business practices & give us the benefits of his research. But why not check out Adam Smith, Karl Marx, Samuelson, Sombart et al. in his local library? Then he could see how my little essay fits into the greater scheme of things, for I've read those authors & a few more. Adam Smith warned that participants in a market economy may not have the best interests of the public in mind, if they ever do! So who can defend the public from the abuses of free enterprise? Generally, the State has this responsibility, which it often exercises less than adequately, due to corruption & deregulation. Since control of money is entirely in private hands, it stands to reason that private hands control the State. As I mentioned previously, Mussolini presided over The Corporate State on the national level, as did "Stalin." "State Capitalism" has not proved to be in the public interest, if we judge by its history, into the present, especially when it represents alien interests & not those of the governed, i.e. the tax-payers. If a capitalist state were run by its tax-payer shareholders, it might be a different story!

Much of today's business jargon, such as "derivatives," "subprime mortgages," &c. are euphemisms for swindles & rackets, just as they were around the 1929 Stock Market Crash. Such terms are designed to fool Goyim alias suckers. If I discount a loan to you, for example, you may think that you are benefitting in some way, as in a discount on a bar of soap. In this case, you are actually losing, for by discounting my loan to you, I take out my interest first, & short you on the principal! Such a deal! as Jews say.

"alex" is amusing to accuse me of being "a typical Anglo-Saxon," perhaps because I write in English. My family on both sides comes from the Orkneys & the Hebrides, which makes me a Scottish-Norwegian, neither of which are Anglo-Saxon. I chose to write from personal experience, rather than use an abstract approach, which "alex" seems to prefer. Abstractions must have examples, so as to be "concrete," as "Lenin" required. I therefore reasoned from the specific to the general, rather than vice versa. I call it "Iceberg Analysis." If the tip of the iceberg proves to be ice, then the body beneath the surface of the water is likewise. We can learn about vampirism by even one example, which casts light onto the behavior of other vampires. DOWZ! ORION! 88!

*End*