

GLOBALISM

2. GLOBALISM PLANS TO ELIMINATE TWO BILLION PEOPLE BY 2000-

"ACCORDING TO MIKE KITCH OF ZERO POPULATION GROWTH, INC., A PRIVATE ORGANIZATION... WE HAVE TO MAKE PEOPLE ACCEPT SOME DIFFICULT CHOICES AS INEVITABLE. GLOBAL 2000 SAYS THAT IF THEY WANT THE GOOD LIFE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE FEWER PEOPLE ALIVE IN THE YEAR 2000 THAN ANYONE DREAMED POSSIBLE. (AND THEY MEAN IT!-ED.) (GLOBAL 2000)

HERE IS HOW THEY PLAN TO ACCOMPLISH THIS—"GLOBAL 2000 ADVOCATES SAY THEY WILL: USE PLANNED FAMINES, PESTILENCE (PLANNED EPIDEMICS), PLANNED CONVENTIONAL AND NUCLEAR WARS, PLANNED ENERGY AND WATER SHORTAGES, ABORTIONS, DRUGS, SUICIDES, EUTHENASIA, YOUTH TRAINED FOR TERRORISM AND MURDER, PROMOTE HOMOSEXUALITY (HOMOSEXUALS DO NOT REPRODUCE), DESTABILIZE GOVERNMENTS IN MANY COUNTRIES, CAUSING CIVIL WARS, RUIN THE AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE, TRANSPORTATION, SAVINGS AND LOAN, HOME BUILDING, AND FARMING INDUSTRIES, CUT OFF FUNDS FOR ADVANCED SCIENCE AND HIGH TECHNOLOGY, WELFARE AND MUCH, MUCH MORE." (IBID)

THIS WAS THEIR PLAN AT THE BEGINNING OF 1981. WE CAN SEE IT IN ACTION TODAY. READ CAREFULLY OVER THIS LIST AGAIN.

"THE GLOBAL 2000 HIT LIST COUNTRIES: BANGLADESH, BOLIVIA, BRAZIL, CENTRAL AMERICAN NATIONS, EGYPT, INDIA, INDONESIA, KENYA, MOROCCO, NIGERIA, PAKISTAN, PHILIPPINES SOMALIA, THAILAND, TURKEY, VENEZUELA, AND ZIMBABWE." (IBID)

HAVE THESE CONTRIES BEEN MANGLED BY THE THINGS THEY SAID THEY WOULD USE?

IN THE 1981 LIST OF THOSE WHO SUPPORT GLOBAL 2000 WE FIND GEORGE BUSH, WHO WAS THEN VICE PRESIDENT.

HARLAND CLEVELAND, INTERNATIONAL DIRECTOR OF ASPEN INSTITUTE FOR HUMANISTIC STUDIES SAID, "BREAKDOWN IN ABOUT 100 COUNTRIES COULD EASILY DEGENERATE INTO 100 CAMBODIAS, WHERE THEY HAUL OFF AND KILL ONE THIRD OF THE POPULATION. THIS WOULD BE DELIGHTFUL." (IBID) (1)

UN report says population is ruining planet

WASHINGTON — A UN agency said this week that the planet's problems can be put simply: people are just ruining the place.

The future, as seen through the report by the UN Population Fund, is not pretty. Cities will sink into squalor, water will be too dirty to drink, forests will be mowed down, land will be destroyed by bad farming, poverty will continue to rise.

The agency says in its report, issued at a news conference: "Population growth has a profoundly adverse effect on the environment."

This same agency reported last May that the world population was expected to reach 5.4 bn by the middle of this year and could rise to 10.2 bn by the year 2050, 25 years ahead of earlier estimates.

That report says the world fertility rate must be lowered from 3.8 births per woman to 3.3 and in line with that, the new report says world population growth must be balanced against the resources to handle it.

Referring to poorer countries, the report said: "If the 'million-plus' cities continue to mushroom at current rates, transport, communication, health and sanitation systems could be overwhelmed and political systems endangered."

"Nonsense," said US housing secretary Jack Kemp, when asked about the report, which he called "typical of at least that agency of the UN."

He said: "People are not a drain on the resources of the planet."

The United States has refused to give money to the UN Population Fund since the 1980s because the agency operates in China, which has population-control policies that have included forced sterilisation and forced abortion.

Report hailed

Environmental groups lined up this week to hail the UN report.

Nancy Wallace, Washington director of the Sierra Club's international population programme, said: "We call on the Bush administration and Congress to take aggressive leadership to address the population emergency today."

"We can't begin to talk about the environment, the economy or human suffering on this planet without addressing the population crisis." — Sapa-AP

Aids threatens world economy

By Robyn Chalmers
THE spread of Aids, estimated to affect about 1.1-billion people by 1992, could plunge the world into economic depression.

Aids economic research unit head Keith Edleston told a conference in Johannesburg this week current trends indicated that the number of victims of the disease was doubling roughly every 12 months in the West.

Breaking down the 1.1-billion figure — a World Health Organisation (WHO) projection — National Institute for Medical Research head Reuben Sher said Africa would be hardest hit by the disease.

"Africa will have 575 000 cases, 425 000 in America, 5 000 in Asia, 100 000 in Europe and 6 000 in Australia and New Zealand. But these figures are only a very rough estimate."

Mr Edleston said Aids would hurt the labour-intensive Third World economies by reducing the number of workers.

"Where there is substantial unemployment, the full effects will be postponed. But as trained staff are replaced by the formerly untrained, recruitment and training costs will rise and productivity fall."

As production and turnovers decline, profits fall even faster and a domino effect impacts right through all sectors of the economy.

Mr Edleston said less tax being paid to governments would hamper their ability to provide vital services, damaging the infrastructure.

In First World economies, demand would eventually fall along with numbers, but initially the big cost would be medical.

"Profits always drop more dramatically than turnover. Falling profitability means staff laid off. Unemployment will rise, interest rates will climb."

In a typical Western economy, he said 2% of the population needing Aids treatment would result in a fall in industrial production of about 10% to 11%, with consumer durable production down by 16%.

14 MAY 08

Dear Claude: Many thanks for your informative letter of 28 APR 08 & the great enclosures! The main news therein is that you are surviving the changes for the worse which presently afflict S.A. I also appreciate IMPACT, which I receive regularly. That also tells me your situation is not too bad, as yet. I note from your enclosures that not all the jews have fled S.A. for other lands, including Australia, so things must be o.k. for them, at least.

In some respects, the present state of Russia resembles the Greek Empire following the death of Race-Mixer Alexander (the Great?) who conquered much of the known world & thereby lost his race. Alexander's buddies ruled their own parts of the former empire, so you, too, may have a part of Siberia, to rule as you see fit, & Zeus help you if you screw up. Or, you could be President for Life of Haiti, if you prefer a warmer climate. Thanks, but no thanks. I do not envy anyone in Putin's position.

So my guess is that the former Soviet Empire is now ruled by former KGB Mafiosi, i.e. the Kosher Nostra. Mafia rule is basically feudal, so I'm not quibbling with that. My only questions are by whom & for whom Russia is now ruled. You have described 'good' jews & 'bad' jews who are likely participating in Russian political economics. The 'bad' jews engaged in the post-Soviet looting of Russian capital, as usual at the expense of Russian labor. But Russia's vast natural resources remained, to be exploited by Russia's current rulers. Cui bono? We'll just have to stay tuned.

As you observe, jews are concerned about jewish unity, as they have been for as long as jews have been around. As I have noted, the chief unifying factor for jews has been their international rackets & control of trade as middlemen. Their religion doesn't do the job, if it ever did, as a tribal myth, for Dr. Israel Shahak mentioned that some two thirds of Israeli jews are 'secular,' that is, not religious. I met several such jews who had no desire to dwell in Israel, & who saw Judaism as a "savage cult for uncivilized people." As former Soviet jews, they deemed their jewishness to be biological, not theological. Such jews were the founders of present-day Israel, for Jabotinsky had realized that jewish 'identity' was at risk with the erosion of the Khazars' adopted Judaism & their soon to be discarded Communism. Communism was, of course, universalism for the Goyim & tribalism for the jews, as are Christianity & Capitalism. If jewish identity reverts to being involved with jewish rackets, there is a risk that some individual or group will want to increase his income at the expense of his fellow racketeers. It is said that there is 'honor among thieves,' but there is also ego & folly, which must be checked, on behalf of the racketeers as a whole. After the jews' genocide of the best of Russian Goyim, the jews may be the only brains in town, but can they govern post-Soviet Russia, so as to defend it against the Zionist One-Worlders who want control of Russia's resources? This is the source of conflict between Putin Russia & Zionist-ruled Europe, which is really anti-European, as I see it. FEDZOGUSA is merely a puppet of Zionist Bankster Globalism, which is certainly not in the interests of USA's Goyim alias ZOG's zombies. That's why they volunteer to fight for Israel: because they are zombies.

Brian's experiences in Ireland are very educational for him & for those of us who will also learn. To me, it appears that he is casting his seeds, not upon fertile ground, but upon concrete pavement. We cannot expect instant success, but we can see what factors impede our progress toward our objectives, such as attempting to save Ireland for the Irish, despite their furious objections. Brian's strengths are in his mastery of modern communications, rather than his 'comrades' on the ground, so he should go where such comrades are to be found, even if they are not to be found in Ireland. As you say, we should seek support, not resistance. Leaders & activists must have priorities. Some self-styled leaders are really egotists, who subordinate their politics to recreational activities, even as they claim otherwise. Their real priorities are revealed in the results, rather than their rhetoric.

If the powers that be deem population-reduction to be in their interests, if not in everyone's interests, then we may look forward to such reductions, which may occur because of over-population + some assistance from those who seek to benefit from depopulation. I could see that the best way to reduce the Black population of Africa was to let them run the place, right into the ground, just as they have been doing. As I see it, China is the most likely repopulator of Africa, while Blacks are the most likely repopulators of Ireland. Strength through Joy to you! ORION! 88!

