

TVO revisionism?

Feb. 16, 2000

Briston
Independent

Control of monetary policy was the impetus for American revolution

After watching six hours of TVO's recent airing of "Liberty" (an expensive American production purporting to explain the reasons and history of the American War of Independence), I am driven to ask if this TV fare was an indication of TVO's participation in the Revisionist Movement or simply a careless and uncritical acquisition?

Frankly, nobody gives a damn what nonsense the media promulgates in the US but for TVO (unwittingly or otherwise) to participate in this propaganda is, in the name of historical accuracy, shameful!

But, one might ask, what about all those History academics who lent their faces and names to the production? Courtesy demands that the obvious answer be set aside.

Canadian school children, or Canadians in general, were they to be asked to explain the origins of the War of Independence, (if they were listening to their teachers) would doubtlessly respond with, "It was a case of taxation without representation, brought to a head with the Boston Tea Party." Charming, of course, but essentially false, and should be filed along with that silly Cherry Tree incident.

To get to the nub of this historic event we must cite the first clue which can be found in the words of one Benjamin Franklin (1706-1790). Right, he was the guy

with the kite and the bolt of lightning; but he was also an American statesman, diplomat, author and inventor who helped draft the Declaration of Independence.

Around the time of the Treaty of Paris, 1763, Franklin, who was visiting England, was asked to explain why the colonies were so prosperous, to which he replied:

"That is simple. It is only because in the colonies we issue our own money. It is called 'Colonial Scrip' and we issue it in the proper proportion to the demands of trade and industry." (See Senate Document #23, Page 98, by Robert L. Owen, former Chairman, Committee on Banking and Currency, United States Senate.)

Owen writes: "It was not very long until this information was brought to the attention of the Rothchild's Bank, and they saw that here was a nation ready to be exploited; here was a nation setting up an example that they could issue their own money instead of the money coming through the Banks.

"The Rothchild's Bank caused a bill to be introduced in the English Parliament, therefore, which provided that no colony of England could issue its own money. They had to use English money. Consequently the colonies were compelled to discard their 'Scrip' and mortgage

themselves to the Bank of England (i.e., the Amsterdam Bullion Brokers!) to get money. For the first time in the history of the United States our money began to be based on debt.

"Benjamin Franklin stated in one year from that date the streets of the colonies were filled with the unemployed because when England exchanged with them, she gave them only half as many units in payment in borrowed money from the Rothchilds as they had in 'Scrip'. In other words, their circulating medium was reduced 50% and everyone became unemployed according to Benjamin Franklin's own statement."

Continuing the quote from Senate Document #23: "Mr. Franklin went further than that. He said that this was the original cause of the Revolutionary War. In his own language: The other matters had it not been that England took away from the colonies their money which created unemployment and dissatisfaction."

Finally, the six-episode document, along with a few intonations by the voice of American conservatism, George Will, ended with that seemingly irrepressible falsehood: "The Revolution (the creation of the U.S.A.) was caused by a tax dispute with the British."

Don Newlands
Colborne

31 MAY 08

Hail Jason! Many thanks for your letter of 27 MAY 08. No need to waste your time worrying about the U.S. presidential elections. The "Fix" has been in since 1776, at least, when the Rothschilds of the Bank of England took over the so-called American Revolution with their Freemasonic Founding Felons. After lots of blood, sweat & tears, we won independence from The King of England, but not from The Bank of England. When we tried to break free from the jew banksters, ½ jew Hamilton weaseled in another U.S. Bank. Andrew Jackson got rid of the 2nd U.S. Bank, & Burr got rid of Hamilton in a duel, but the jew banksters had their vampire fangs into the bodypolitic of this country. Banksters saw a Civil War to gain them both profit & power, so the Freemasons on both sides of the Mason-Dixon Line worked to have it. Lincoln was fine for the banksters, until he started printing United States Notes, or greenbacks, as John F. Kennedy had done in 1963. So Lincoln had to go, & so did JFK. The Civil War created numerous millionaires, as well as enriching previously wealthy criminals even more. But the banksters wanted total control over the U.S. currency, & hence the U.S. economy. This control they obtained in 1913, with the passage of The Federal Reserve Act & the Income Tax Act, for the tax-payers would lose their sovereignty & pay the banksters for the 'privilege.' Such a deal! With their initial theft of U.S. wealth, the jew banksters lent more money to fund World War I. As jew economist Werner Sombart noted: "Wars are the jews' harvests."

The Founding Felons, who turned out to be Rothschild agents, rejected democracy (majority rule) out of hand. They looked to Rome for their idea of a "republic of the rich." Most of our political institutions, such as Congress, the Presidency & The Supreme Court are designed to thwart the will of the people, as does The Electoral College. Since when does The Supreme Court decide an election? Isn't that the voters' decision? Not since the last presidential election. Rigged vote-counting allegations seem more frequent. If these allegations are even partially true, then we have achieved the election standards of a banana republic. But these ills & evils are not new. We have always had "The Best Government Money Can Buy."

The facts are that we have never been a nation, but always an empire (multi-national state), nor have we ever been a democracy, but always a plutocracy (rule by the rich). Thus, it matters very little who the officeholders are, for they are mere frontmen for their paymasters, who rule from behind the curtains, like The Wizard of Oz, who was himself the parody of the bankers who wanted tight money based on gold (oz. = ounce). Few Goyim know that "The Wizard of Oz" was a social satire, with the Tin Man (Workers) & the Scarecrow (Farmers) along with Dorothy, Toto & the Cowardly Lion, plus 2 nasty witches, war-monkeys, &c. Few Goyim know that Daddy Warbucks was originally a villain (Warburg), a jew bankster who helped push us into World War I, by means of the corrupt coward, Woodrow Wilson, whom World Jewish Congress leader, Samuel Untermyer, was blackmailing over Wilson's love letters to a fellow professor's wife, Mrs. Peck.

In our history, we've had 3 jew presidents: Theodore Roosevelt, Franklin Roosevelt & Harry S. (Schiff) Truman. We've had a part-negro president, Eisenhower, & we've had ostensibly White presidents, all of whom have sacrificed American lives & substance for the power & profit of a few. Marine Corps General Smedley Butler defined such an arrangement, in which the few profit at the cost of the many, as "a racket." Hence, the USA has been a racket from its establishment, & not a republic, unless the two words are synonymous. Funny why we have never been told that! As Orwell, who wrote "1984", warned: "Tyranny begins with the abuse of language." So much for "nation," "democracy" & "republic." In "1984" Big Brother's dictatorship declared: "War is Peace!" "Freedom is Slavery." "Ignorance is Strength!" When I read that book back in the 1950s, I thought such slogans were stupid: Who'd believe them? Yet, I see the sheeple behaving as if they were that stupid. How come "We are fighting for democracy," since we're not a democracy ourselves? Why are we waging war for "peace?" We seem like a parody of "1984," with its slogan: "Big Brother is watching you!"

Consider the "peace" presidents who were elected to keep us out of war: Wilson, FDR & Johnson come to mind immediately. They prated peace while they schemed for war, but who expects a candidate to keep his word? We knowingly elect liars to office. With our history, could Hillary or Obama be any worse than those who've gone before? One thing appears certain: Our situation is likely to get much worse, economically, demographically & politically, because of our system of government. As FDR declared: "In politics things don't just happen the way they do. They are MADE to happen the way they do!" With these words, he revealed conspiracy as the policy. The people are NOT to know. But we do have an ally: The Internet. It took me 50 years to learn the truth about Pearl Harbor, but only 5 weeks to learn the truth about 9-11. All the best. ORION!

Edie