

Study says UK teens the worst, writes **Melanie Phillips**

# Britain leads the world – in anti-social behaviour

THIS is one accolade Britain could do without. A study of European teenagers has found that Britain is among the top achievers – for anti-social behaviour.

The research from the European School Survey Project on Alcohol and other Drugs shows British teenagers reported some of the highest levels of binge-drinking, drunkenness, alcohol-related problems and drug use in Europe.

Beyond this particular study, the landscape is even bleaker. Almost wherever one looks, British youth is out-performing its European counterparts in anti-social or self-destructive activities while under-performing in any real achievement.

For example, Britain boasts the highest pregnancy rate in Europe among the under-18s and the highest number of abortions – while the number of sexually transmitted infections increased to a record 705 954

last year. It also has the worst record of drug abuse, including the use of cocaine, amphetamines and Ecstasy.

At the same time, its education standards are foundering. The country with a school system that was once the envy of the world has slipped down the education league.

In 2000, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development ranked England eighth in maths and reading and fourth in science out of 40 countries. But in the past three years, the country has fallen to 18th place in maths, 12th in reading and 11th in science.

When all this is put together, it amounts to a horrifying litany of social, moral and intellectual disintegration among Britain's youth. British teenagers have become a

lost generation. How have they arrived at this lamentable state?

The immediate reasons are obvious. Britain inhabits a materialistic, consumer culture which has made a fetish of the self and worships at the shrine of instant gratification.

But these changes have taken place in other countries too. So why has Britain become a world leader in teenage disorder?

British children have been taught to expect there are likely to be only minimal consequences for anti-social behaviour.

In the US, the doctrine of "zero tolerance" on crime and drugs and the encouragement of self-restraint in premature sexual activity have all helped create a general expectation of the need to establish clear boundaries for behaviour. Britain has gone in the opposite direction. Instead of refusing to tolerate anti-social behaviour, it feebly flaps its hands at it.

In many American states, alcohol is forbidden under 21. Instead of similarly restricting drunkenness among the young, Britain seems to be encouraging it by introducing legislation that allows all-night drinking.

Instead of a war on drugs, Britain seems to have a war on the law on drugs. Cannabis has been downgraded as a dangerous drug, despite its often catastrophic effects.

Who can be surprised, therefore, that its use has accordingly gone up?

Instead of promoting sexual continence among the young, Britain has sex "education" which seems designed to encourage sex acts among pubescent children which would not be out of place in a brothel, along with the casual provision of abortion and the morning-after pill.

In education, the effect of the comprehensive school and the pursuit of the "all must have prizes" philosophy has down-graded all qualifications and eroded standards.

Britons are told it is not wrong for teenagers to have sex but it is wrong to tell them premature sex is a bad idea.

They are told it is not wrong to have a baby out of wedlock but it is wrong to disapprove of lone parents. And they are told it is not drugs that cause crime and social destruction but the law that makes them illegal.

The result is a mind-bending moral inversion in which the idea of responsibility has been twisted into its very opposite.

The question still remains why British society is so much more irresponsible than elsewhere.

The first reason is surely the decline of organised religion and the part played by the Church of England in embracing, rather than fighting, the erosion of moral standards.

The second is the effect of Britain's secular church, the Welfare State, which has created our "me society" of rights and entitlements and eroded the sense of duty and obligation that keeps a country civilised.

The third is the collapse of national self-belief and the loss of Britain's sense of purpose – so that the biggest selling point for regenerated cities is now all-night entertainment based on drinking and gambling.

With an adult culture drifting into this kind of decadence, it is hardly a surprise that it has produced a lost generation – the children it has abandoned. – Daily Mail

8 OCT 08. Dear Günter: Many thanks for your letter of 28 SEP 08, the CDN \$20 & the outstanding enclosures. Some terrorist cancelled your stamps with one line of ballpoint ink.

Thanks, also, for the news item in which a Coloured M.P., Pauline Cupido, says that apartheid cannot be blamed for the lights, &c. going out, nor for the defects in the ANC (mis)government. It sounds as if a non-White is showing signs of growing up. The question for non-Whites is: Can the lights be kept on without apartheid, i.e. White minority rule? I don't think so. Black values not only conflict with Whites', they destroy the creations of White values, when such things exist. South Africa's future is likely to resemble present-day Haiti or, at best, present-day Zimbabwe. Black values always seek the bottom of social behavior, as their societies show.

But under Zionist rule, White behavior has become Africanized into the "me" society. As intelligence declines, "me" becomes ever smaller & more immediate, from the next job to the next drink, next 'fix', next fuck & next bite of food. Unless we have intelligence, we literally have no future, for our White ancestors had to plan for winter hardships, or die. Africa, Haiti & today's British youth prove that it is easy to go downhill, just as it was easy for The Titanic to go to the bottom of the ocean.

Cupido is in a very uncomfortable position, not only from Black Marxist racists, but from the grim realization that Black rule cannot cope with modern requirements for maintaining the living standards enjoyed under White rule. The Blacks can blame Whitey for their misfortunes, even when Whitey is not around. Then they can blame us for not being there when Blacks need us!

The jews & other non-Whites seek to impose "The White Man's Burden" with endless guilt propaganda, by which they 'justify' taking our food, our land & our women, aided as always by stupidity, cupidity (greed), individualism (me-me-me) & Christinsanity (Marxism with a sky-jew). I shall think about a letter to Ms. Cupido, & send you a copy in due course.

I'm in a remarkably good position to write about colonial Africa, since I experienced it in the best of times & places, & I participated in White Rule. I could see that it could not work, for the Blacks could not be made into Whites; the Coloureds were inferior to both races, & we were playing nannies to a Black population explosion, into infinity, as long as we could feed it.

I agree that Whites should insist on the same exclusive rights enjoyed by jews, but we can't without White Nationalism, for we don't even think of ourselves as Whites, but as pale, non-niggers. Skinhead behavior is just Black behavior with Swastika tattoos. I call them "prison-preppies." In Black society, prison time is deemed a rite of passage into Black adulthood, so it appears to play the same role in "Skinhead Culture." The problem is that such people don't know how to behave out of prison, so they usually find themselves back in, if they don't get killed in the drug trade.

Douglas Reed wrote that Nations must be EXCLUSIVE as well as inclusive. The jews practice exclusivity, while denying us the same rights, so we will become extinct, just as they wish us to be. Like good sheeple, we go along with it.

I don't know what drugs the Barnes Review people are on, for their behavior & judgement seem to be impaired. De Nugent seems to drop out of sight, like a binge-drinker, or he neglects to inform us of his doings. Paul T. Angel, the "managing Editor" of The Barnes Review, may be a jew. Crazy Carto puts jews in places where they can do maximum damage, as he did with Mark Weber. Angel does not edit, as proved by the latest error in TBR of July/August 2008 in which the "historian," R.D. Willing, confuses "Moloch," the jew god of human sacrifice, with "Mammon," the jew god of greed & wealth. Dr. Oliver would call that a "howler." I call it sabotage, for it discredits anything of value in the publication, in the eyes of skeptical readers. I have tried to warn the Cartoids about such errors, but there has been no response. I shall not renew my TBR subscription when it expires in December. Another bunch of blighting blunderers. Keep up your good work & Strength through Joy to you. ORION!

*Eric*