

8 NOV 08. Dear Wayne: Thanks for your letter of 3 NOV 08 & the informative enclosures, & welcome to Planet Earth!

Once again, we must examine human breeding from 3 major aspects, rather than one, being transcendental beliefs, such as Sky Jews, afterlives, eternal rewards & punishments; glorious futures, &c. Once we get our feet on the ground, we see several factors which promote & determine birthrates, such as socio-economics & governments.

In tribal societies of Africa & The Middle East, children are seen as wealth, even when they are born to starvation, as we see in Africa. In India, children are the result of karma, or "the force of destiny," if the parents do not use birth control. In China, children are numerous, mainly to the extent that the Chinese population is so numerous. Hence, their alleged "one child policy," so derided in the Insane West, would still produce a huge population, even below replacement level.

Moslem countries produce a surplus population which is allowed to invade formerly White countries, since the formerly White countries' rulers are jew banksters, who are intent upon extinguishing the White Race. If Moslem populations were obliged to remain in their own lands, there would be civil war, as occurs in Latin America, when breeding exceeds the capacity of the land & the socio-economic system to sustain it.

Orthodox jews have large families for 3 reasons: (1) Their religion tells them "to be fruitful & multiply." (2) Their children are seen as warriors of world conquest. (3) Their financial rackets allow them to live off Goyim. If we look at ancient Rome, however, we see the opposite trend in the Roman ruling class, which did not reproduce itself, much to the annoyance of at least one ruling Roman who asked if his fellows expected their children to jump out of the ground. As Tacitus wrote, Rome enslaved with chains & with decadence. Rome existed for its rich citizens who wanted more wealth & more slaves, so they could have more & bigger orgies. Orgies were the sign of social status & fun, which would be spoiled by the rearing of children. Hence, it was fine if OTHER members of the Roman ruling class had lots of children, but not us party-goers, who'd made it to the top; the Roman version of heaven.

Agricultural societies deem children as wealth: Homegrown labor, hence, the bigger the farm, the more children were needed to work it. This is why The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion state their intention of removing labor from the land, so as to separate the Goyim from their primary source of sustenance. This, they have done. But let's consider the agricultural societies in practice.

In France, for example, there was no law of primogeniture, as in Britain, so a farm would be divided amongst the sons, into equal parcels. It was therefore necessary to limit the number of children, especially male children, to provide one's heirs with sufficient land on which to live. In Britain, on the other hand, younger sons were "bred away from the table," since the eldest son would inherit the land. This is why traditional British upper-class families would have one son in charge of the manor, one in the military, one in the church, &c. Amish surplus sons must fend for themselves, often ceasing to be Amish, for the land is insufficient.

Surplus children are desired by empires which seek expansion. This is why the Japanese multiplied before 1945: Their growing population & their concept of divine, manifest destiny. The American imperialists let them know that they must exist within the confines of their small islands OR be atom bombed into extinction. This dictate led the Japanese to exercise birth control, even before The Pill, via abortions. What transcendent belief would stimulate Japanese to have large families in such circumstances, in which every square inch of living space is precious?

National Socialist Germany's transcendent belief in large families was backed up by socio-economic incentives: If one wished to live in a suburban house, all one had to do was to have 4 children. The more children, the cheaper the house. The 4th one paid for the home! Homebuilding created jobs to employ more people, & so on. Hence, personal happiness was derived from active participation in the Great Cause of National Socialism: Racial increase & racial improvement.

In the Jewnited States, the post-WW II baby-boom resulted from the idea of the traditional family & cheap mortgages. It was actually cheaper to buy a house than it was to rent one, in my experience. Home ownership & children provided the rulers with hostages, which ensured loyalty, at least ostensibly, from the Zionist regime's subjects. The suburban working man could pretend that he was lord of his little manor, which he might own in 20 or 30 years. The baby boom produced the White contingent of consumers & cannon fodder, as well as

2)

their burden upon social services & tax money in the present. But, as usual, our money masters had other ideas for using their Goyim. As in the Soviet countries, women were to be inducted into the workforce. The Hungarians resisted what they saw as the destruction of their families by the jew rulers, but in the USA women gladly joined the workforce, to avoid the 'oppression' of children & husbands. Judeo-American propaganda lumped "women & Blacks" into the "oppressed" category, which resulted in White women seeking sex with Black males. Judeo-feminism inveighed against the alleged "oppression" of women, as "2nd class citizens," & the unthinking Goyim believed them, as Goyim usually do: "His bread I eat, his song I sing." The Pill greatly assisted in this 'liberation' of White females, who could be as traditionally promiscuous as their male counterparts, or so they thought. Colored females were paid baby bonuses via welfare.

It should be noted that most Semitic religions, which stem from tribal traditions, put women into lesser roles, as vessels for reproduction. Dr. Israel Shahak said that Orthodox jews deem women as property, without souls. Women have no say, & dare not protest their subservient role to males in traditional jewish & Moslem societies. Our Zionist rulers always do, or have us do, things for various reasons. One reason we meddle in The Middle East is to drive Moslems into our living space, by making theirs insufferable. Such a deal! We currently have 2 mosques in Brownest Yakima, & I met my first Iraqi here, rather than Arabia. My first Afghan I met in California, since I was never in Afghanistan, nor in Iraq, as was my father.

My Cause was knowledge. In the course of seeking knowledge, I discovered that my true wealth was to be found with my own kind. This fact I discovered only in Africa, where I became a White Nationalist. My persecutors were jews & their minions, which put my knowledge into a perspective of reality. I have sacrificed nothing for my cause, since I'd have suffered much more without my knowledge. My pro-White activism in Africa probably saved my life, because it resulted in my deportation from Rhodesia. Lesson: To be effective, a transcendent creed must have material rewards as well as hope for the future. Jewish death/slave cults like Christianity encourage sacrifice on behalf of a Pie in the Sky, but a Christinsane society imposes sanctions against those who don't conform, at least ostensibly, to such deadly values. Consider the war hysteria in the USA during the First War to Establish Judeo-Bolshevism: Young men were the subject of intense scrutiny by society, as well as our Zionist bankster regime. Those who did not enlist for sacrifice in the meat-grinder were deemed to be "cowards" & "slackers." No young man or very few young Goy males, could stand such social pressure, especially from young females, as occurred frequently, according to my sources. The military draft added pressure, for the young Goy male would incur imprisonment as well as shame for refusal to waste his youth at the battlefield.

Transcendent beliefs can thus kill & maim, as well as sustain one's "reason for being," but they cannot stand alone, without their socio-economic context. As a social Outsider, I benefit from the current lack of social cohesion. In the White society of World War I, I'd probably be killed by the Freemasons, but the colored, multi-cultural influx has them on the run, & our judeo-capitalist economy robs us of the spare time to belong to social groups such as Freemasons & their affiliates. As the Whites die off, so do their kosher communal groups. It's thus an ill wind which blows no good.

My voluntary departure from Judeo-America's talmudic treadmill to oblivion resulted in my becoming a foreigner, who could exist in other social contexts & cultures. This prepared me for present-day life in Judeo-America, so I feel no culture shock. One acquaintance^a asked me how it felt to be "back in the USA." I said that Yakima was much like Nogales, Mexico, so I didn't feel much different. In Mexico, I couldn't work legally, & in the USA, I'm legally prevented from working by "affirmative action" racial hiring policies, even though I am a U.S. citizen. Here, citizens have duties, & aliens have rights. That's the difference I see after my years of joyful exile.

The risks incurred in my pursuit of knowledge were no greater, & likely less so than my risks from 'blissful ignorance.' I know Vietnam vets who never had a thought in their Goy heads, other than what their sergeants told them. They are at least 10 years my juniors, but they are mental & physical wrecks. This is my reward for refusing to "eat the cake of convention," in the words of Bertrand Russell. My mind is at peace, although active, & my body is not dying from Agent Orange. My Cause has been rich in rewards in exchange for my time spent in reaping those rewards. I therefore do not see myself as an idealist, but as a practical profiteer. I cannot answer your questions as to where & how, for we can only work toward our goals with the means available. ORION!

Eric