

26 JAN 09

Dear Phil: Thanks for the I.O., & also for the I.F.! Chapman, who is probably jewish, omits the success of Nazi Germany, which achieved full employment in 1935, just 2 years after Hitler's election as Chancellor. Hitler did this, because he did not have to "borrow" money from the jew banksters, as did FDR. One month after Hitler's election, Samuel Untermyer of The World Jewish Congress declared "war" on Germany in the name of jewry, beginning with an economic boycott & blockade of German goods. In effect, Hitler became dependent on Zionist jews to smuggle German goods into international trade, for if jews wanted to take their money out of Germany, they had to do so in the form of goods, since the international jews had boycotted the reichsmark. Read "The Transfer Agreement" by Black.

The key to Hitler's success at ending The Depression in Germany was to print an initial supply of money, interest-free, that is, debt-free, as Lincoln did. This money was paid into circulation for public works, which put the unemployed to work. These were not wasteful 'make-work' projects, as under FDR, but sensible improvements to the German road system, to make food-hauling cheaper from farms to cities. This program gave farmers more money, since they paid less to ship their produce, & it reduced food prices to city-dwellers, who paid less, since food was cheaper to transport. Family housing put more Germans to work, but unlike Americans, German workers could afford to buy their houses. Every child added to a German family reduced the cost of the home by $\frac{1}{4}$, so if a family had 4 children, the house was fully paid for! In jew economies, prosperity = Inflation, but not in NS Germany. Inflation was avoided by gradually withdrawing the initial amount of government funding from the economy via the nationalized banking system. Chapman needs to know what an honest government can do! As I have mentioned many times, no system can function on dishonest people.

I think Chapman is aware of the fact that we have a criminal government, in which all its politicians are prostitutes & puppets of the jew-rich banksters. If these criminals are not brought to justice by a pro-American, rather than a pro-jew government, nothing can be done to bring the world economy out of its slump. Zionists, i.e. jews & their Goy stooges, have long complained about the 'limitations' of nationalism, as opposed to globalism. Nationalism strives to improve the lot of the people of the nation, even if that "nation" is a piece of real estate, rather than a people. Nations seek full employment for the people within their territorial jurisdictions, but globalists seek personal profit, as we see with the transfer of "expensive" U.S. industry to China's cheap labor market. Such a transfer of jobs results in a transfer of wealth, so the country which loses jobs lacks the income to buy what it previously made for itself, by paying its own workers. Chapman correctly points out the fallacy of replacing manufacturing jobs with 'service' jobs, which are basically administrative & not productive. As I say, a viable economy needs 3 sectors: Primary, as in mining & agriculture; Secondary, as in manufacturing, & Tertiary, i.e. services from truck-driving to brain surgery, including burger-flipping & road maintenance. The building & maintenance of economic infrastructure are examples of essential services, which make the economy a viable whole. Consider an economy without a power grid, roads, railroads, ports, communications, &c.

I've read about possible martial law in the worsening economic crisis, but in a money economy, how will the enforcers of martial law be paid? If there is no reason to trust the money, what will the ZOG's slave-thugs trust, as being worth the effort? Rothschild stooge J.P. Morgan declared that he'd hire $\frac{1}{2}$ the poor to shoot the other $\frac{1}{2}$, but in our situation, what would he pay them? I can imagine killer-zoggies willing to serve ZOG for food, clothing, shelter & lethal toys for use in slaying & herding mobs of starving Goyim, but that's about all. It is also possible that some zoggies would see that they had sufficient power to take over the Rockefeller-Rothschild racket, so there could be mutiny & a coup d'etat, for it is said that guns & money get together, in the end, as any bandit or pirate can say.

Deville's articles may be good, but he is not, with his dubious land business scheme & his connection with terrorism advocate Covington. If ZOG decides its list is adequate, it may move against their contacts, which is why I have broken all contact with them. You are welcome to take your chances with ZOG, as you might pet a pitbull, without being bitten at first, but I've had my ZOG-bites, so I don't need a repeat.

Our weather today has been just like winter in Spain or North Africa: Sunny & frigid. Keep warm. DONZ! ORION!

Eric