

TVO revisionism?

Feb. 16, 2000

Brishton
Independent

Control of monetary policy was the impetus for American revolution

After watching six hours of TVO's recent airing of "Liberty" (an expensive American production purporting to explain the reasons and history of the American War of Independence), I am driven to ask if this TV fare was an indication of TVO's participation in the Revisionist Movement or simply a careless and uncritical acquisition?

Frankly, nobody gives a damn what nonsense the media promulgates in the US but for TVO (unwittingly or otherwise) to participate in this propaganda is, in the name of historical accuracy, shameful!

But, one might ask, what about all those History academics who lent their faces and names to the production? Courtesy demands that the obvious answer be set aside.

Canadian school children, or Canadians in general, were they to be asked to explain the origins of the War of Independence, (if they were listening to their teachers) would doubtlessly respond with, "It was a case of taxation without representation, brought to a head with the Boston Tea Party." Charming, of course, but essentially false, and should be filed along with that silly Cherry Tree incident.

To get to the nub of this historic event we must cite the first clue which can be found in the words of one Benjamin Franklin (1706-1790). Right, he was the guy

with the kite and the bolt of lightning; but he was also an American statesman, diplomat, author and inventor who helped draft the Declaration of Independence.

Around the time of the Treaty of Paris, 1763, Franklin, who was visiting England, was asked to explain why the colonies were so prosperous, to which he replied:

"That is simple. It is only because in the colonies we issue our own money. It is called "Colonial Scrip" and we issue it in the proper proportion to the demands of trade and industry." (See Senate Document #23, Page 98, by Robert L. Owen, former Chairman, Committee on Banking and Currency, United States Senate.)

Owen writes: "It was not very long until this information was brought to the attention of the Rothchild's Bank, and they saw that here was a nation ready to be exploited; here was a nation setting up an example that they could issue their own money instead of the money coming through the Banks.

"The Rothchild's Bank caused a bill to be introduced in the English Parliament, therefore, which provided that no colony of England could issue its own money. They had to use English money. Consequently the colonies were compelled to discard their "Scrip" and mortgage

themselves to the Bank of England (i.e., the Bullion Brokers!) to get money. For the first time in the history of the United States our money began to be based on debt.

"Benjamin Franklin stated in one year from that date the streets of the colonies were filled with the unemployed because when England exchanged with them, she gave them only half as many units in payment in borrowed money from the Rothchilds as they had in 'Scrip'. In other words, their circulating medium was reduced 50% and everyone became unemployed according to Benjamin Franklin's own statement."

Continuing the quote from Senate Document #23: "Mr. Franklin went further than that. He said that this was the original cause of the Revolutionary War. In his own language: The other matters had it not been that England took away from the colonies their money which created unemployment and dissatisfaction."

Finally, the six-episode document, along with a few intonations by the voice of American conservatism, George Will, ended with that seemingly irrepressible falsehood: "The Revolution (the creation of the U.S.A.) was caused by a tax dispute with the British."

Don Newlands
Colborne

* SHOULD BE ROTHCHILD

14 JUNE 09.

Hail Jan! Many thanks for your letter of 8 June 09 & the romantic enclosures from Loompanics. Claire Wolfe falls very wide from the mark of social & economic relevancy. As we know, many aspects of productive work are demanding & often unpleasant. Being wakened by a baby from a sound sleep at all hours is such a job. Milking cows early in the mornings is an endless chore. Slaughtering animals for food is likewise, with the addition of gore & smells. Spending one's days at a computer can also be tedious, confining & uncreative.

The romantic view of work, or what it should be, is impossible & irrelevant to the human condition. As one Jew wrote: "If only Jews live in Israel, are we all going to psycho-analyze one another for a living?" He referred to Jews as "Luftmenschen," people with no visible means of support, especially as their useful work is done by "goyim" alias human cattle alias Gentiles.

Hunting & gathering societies produce insufficient surpluses to support large populations & civilizations. Fishermen must therefore catch more fish than the fisherman would need for himself. There are insufficient foods to gather & insufficient wild game to hunt, so as to feed people who are producing other goods & services in addition to food. Were the present world population made to support itself by hunting & gathering alone, it would quickly starve, so we must have farms & cattle ranches sufficient for us to eat. When hunting & gathering failed, people learned to raise their own game & tend to the herds; they learned to raise their own food crops. The food surpluses produced by this "Aryan" culture allowed people to live in one place, rather than trek endlessly as nomads. Of course, we see in Asia & Africa that this economy creates deserts, which are the result of over-logging, over-grazing & over-population. I saw such deserts which were created in North Africa & which were being created in Subsaharan Africa by "the 3 Os."

This teaches us that people must also control their numbers, unless they want endless wars & endless tyrannies. As I observe, wars are fuelled by over-population, because they are exported revolutions, which would otherwise occur in over-populated societies. We live on a finite planet, so creeds which urge infinite population & infinite productivity on behalf of infinite profit for the few are unrealistic at best & pernicious at worst in their pollution & depletion of our planet's resources, upon which our lives depend.

What Claire Wolfe missed was the obvious: We "owe our souls to the company store" because we don't receive the full reward of our productivity. This is due to the fact that we are charged interest on bankster-created money which we must print for ourselves. As my economics professor said: "Bankers do not print money & counterfeiters do not charge interest." When I said that banking sounded like a much better racket than counterfeiting, he quickly changed the subject. In brief, we are driven, oppressed & stressed by debt because we are feeding a number of increasingly greedy parasites alias banksters alias money-lenders alias Jews who are allowed to "monetize debt" & charge us interest therefor. Our onerous taxes are in payment of that totally unnecessary debt. In a money society, honest money is essential for life to be fulfilling & even enjoyable.

Even in the 19th century, people had free time in Judeo-America for such social activities as churches, lodges, volunteer fire-fighters & social clubs. Children were even raised & taught at home by mothers who didn't have to work outside. Now, under our bankster economy, people have no free time for such activities. Plus they are constantly bombarded by the intrusion of electronic media, including cellphones, at all hours of day & night, whatever they are doing or attempting to do. This communications bombardment is likely the cause of great stress, in which one has no peace, nor opportunity to think consecutively. In the 19th century, Henry David Thoreau described his fellow townspeople as "leading lives of quiet desperation." Now, he might say that they lived lives of noisy desperation, so the sources of stress have not only increased, they have intensified.

In my brief stay in Sweden, I noted that taxes were high, but people received a whole lot more than they did in the USA. Public resources were sufficient & even ample for the common folk, but in the USA of the 1960s taxes went mostly to buy more trouble, such as the Vietnam War, on behalf of the banksters & industrialists. Realistic studies have shown that fewer than 1 out of 20 people have leadership potential, that is, self-motivation, initiative & creativity. No, men were never "created equal," as sports contests reveal, but uncreative people can be productive, so our job is to work for good productivity, rather than bad, on behalf of Our Race, rather than aliens.

Many thanks for the postage. DOWZ! ORION! 88!

End