

On race and the tea party

Seattle Times 28 FEB 2010

Leonard Pitts Jr.
Syndicated columnist

A few words on the meaning of tea.

They are occasioned by a recent commentary from Keith Olbermann of MSNBC. The commentary — you can find it on YouTube — scores the tea-party movement as the outcry of people who haven't yet made peace with the fact that their president is black.

Everything else, said Olbermann, is euphemism. Taxes? Socialism? Budget deficit? No, he argued, when you strip away the pretenses and rationalizations, "it's still racism," and they hate the president only because he is black.

One is reminded of the 2008 campaign in which many of Barack Obama's opponents insisted people only "supported" him because he was black.

It was an offensive claim, in that it assumed black was black was black and that people were so imbecilic that skin color — alone and of itself — was sufficient to win their votes. As if you could sub in rapper Flavor Flav and they would not care.

The truth, it always seemed to me, was more nuanced. People liked Obama's policies, his eloquence, and his fierce intelligence and the fact that he was black, that his election would turn history on its ear, was a desirable bonus, but only that — icing on the cake, but not the cake itself.



I submit that a rough inverse of that dynamic now helps define the tea-party movement.

Ask yourself: Would we even be having this discussion if Condoleezza Rice were president? If Rice, Republican stalwart, conservative icon, and black woman were chief executive, would the first pot of tea ever have been brewed?

One suspects the average tea-party participant would tell you emphatically, "no," and that this "no" serves as his personal shield against charges of racism. How can I be racist, he would demand, when I know in my heart that I would've supported Condi to the max?

If you concede him that, then you have to ask yourself what it does to Olbermann's contention that racism is the whole *raison d'être* of the movement.

The answer leads us back again to nuance, albeit in mirror image. The tea-party people distrust Obama's policies, his eloquence, his fierce intelligence and the fact that he is black then becomes the final straw, the difference maker and deal breaker. To put that another way: I doubt most of the tea-partiers hate Obama strictly because he is black, but it sure doesn't help.

My point is not that Olbermann's argument is wrong but, rather, that it is incomplete.

Yes, race is obviously a component, and a major component at that, of the reaction against the president. The recurring use of racist imagery and language, the attendance at tea party events of a racist group like the so-called

Council of Conservative Citizens, settles that definitively.

But ultimately, people seem moved by something even bigger than race. This is race, religion, sexual orientation, gender, "culture," and the fact that those who have always been on the right side, the "power-wielding" side, of one or more of those equations, now face the realization that their days of dominance are numbered.

There is a poignancy to their responsive fury because one senses that the nether side of it is a choking fear. We are witness to the birth cries of a new America and for every one of us who embraces and celebrates that, who looks forward to the opportunity and inclusiveness it promises, there is another who grapples with a crippling sense of dislocation and loss, who wonders who and what she will be in the nation now being born.

One hopes they will find answers that satisfy them because the change they fear will not be turned back. No one ever volunteers to return to the rear of the bus.

So for all the frustration the tea-party movement engenders among the rest of us, one also feels a certain pity for people like the woman last year who cried, plaintively, that she wanted her country back.

As if she didn't realize that it is already, irrevocably, gone.

© 2010, The Miami Herald

Miami Herald columnist Leonard Pitts Jr.'s column appears regularly on editorial pages of The Times. His e-mail address is: lpitts@miamiherald.com

White people are unconscious. They are not racially conscious, so Pitts slips.

12 APR 2010

Hail Stevie! Many thanks for your letter posted 5 APR 10. Yes, the War for White Survival continues, but too many Whites don't want to know that. I've seen it develop over decades. First, ZOG alias the jew banksters & their Freemasonic stooges had us kill our fellow Whites in major wars such as the U.S. Civil War & the two world wars of the 20th century. Now ZOG is exercising its genocide program within our countries, as is obvious in Zionist Ruled Europe, Britain, North America, Australia et al.

According to my information which comes from gulags across the country, a disproportionate number of Whites go into solitary. This serves several purposes in The War Against Whites, as you likely know: (1) It stops young Whites from breeding; (2) It isolates them from other Whites to prevent them from communicating; (3) It (solitary confinement) allows them to be targetted individually by ZOG for "special treatment." Sure, it's a conscious, premeditated ZOG program. I'll try to watch the TV feature on solitary confinement you mentioned. If you are one of the 'stars' in the program, I'll recommend you for an Oscar or whatever Hebrewwood awards TV personalities.

If White Goyim (Gentiles) can understand that they are in a war for their survival, on enemy occupied territory, they might wake up, but my impression from Ostensible White liberals is that they are too timid to contemplate the Possibility of such a situation. One such lied to me that we still have habeas corpus, for she denied that U.S. citizens like Padilla & the Liberty City 7 had been detained & tortured without trial & without being charged. Such useful idiots for ZOG might well deny that the third rail in a subway carried electricity! I also note how selective ZOG is in its application of 'jewstice.' Apparently, Matt Hale, Bill White & Hal Turner have been confined for allegedly advocating violence against ZOG officials, but Hasidic Harold Covington remains free to do his malicious mischief by blabbering the advocacy of things for which others like the abovementioned have been or are being punished.

In the Liberty City 7 Case, the Blacks who listened to an FBI informant & failed to report him to the FBI were punished, & may still be awaiting trial. Padilla mumbled something about a "dirty bomb," according to ZOG's jewsmedia, but this alleged statement was no longer the subject of his pending trial, if he is fit to stand trial after his confinement & torture. We can say that "this is a free country" all we like, but woe unto you if you get caught exercising your freedom! Let the citizen beware.

The latest jewsreports on the detention & confinement of a Christian Militia appear to implicate another Christian Militia in the case. Allegedly, the C.M. now detained was reported to ZOG by the other C.M. for expressing possibly violent thoughts. How idiotic it is to advertise one's intentions of committing illegal acts! Such sheeple must be numb & dumb to reality. That's why they are sheeple, dazed by the absurdity of their Christinsanity. Jesus did not fight for his life, so why should they? Don't they want to go to "heaven" a.s.a.p.? Do they fear to be with Jesus? If ZOG will help them to get rid of their hated bodies, why don't they see that as a favor? Jesus allegedly said that "My kingdom is not of this earth," so who wants to stay on earth if they believe what they claim? I smell the stench of hypocrisy, but I also think that ZOG will use such idiots for its own evil purposes. If not, then ZOG will be slacking on the job. At least I credit evildoers with evildoing, 24-7. I see ZOG as a diligent & competent enemy, which requires us to struggle for survival even more diligently & competently. Naturally, I live with the absurd hope that we may aspire to such standards of achievement... some day. If not, we die, & when we are dead we have no complaints. That's what some would call a "win-win" situation.

Commie kike Erich Fromm wrote "Escape from Freedom." He described the majority of sheeple as desiring "freedom from," but fearing "freedom to," since that would require thought on their parts. His thesis accurately described the behavior of soldiers on leave, when I was in the U.S. Army. They had no interests beyond consumption, & normally knocked themselves out with booze. Now they do it with other drugs. One local Gulf War vet did it at a party with a toxic cocktail of booze + meth + 'ecstasy.' Not only did he have a hangover from hell, but he became epileptic, barely in his twenties. Now he must live 24-7 with a "seizure dog" which can detect an oncoming grand mal seizure, so as to warn him. Imagine being subject to sudden attacks of unconsciousness at any moment, in the midst of any activity: You're cooking on the stove. Suddenly, it's "lights out." You wake up on the floor with your nose broken by the frying pan, & its contents covering your body. There is always the chance that your fall will be fatal, so you will never wake up. Otherwise, he's a nice, cheerful White boy who wouldn't hurt a fly, but Death sits on his shoulder, awaiting his next opportunity. This, to me, is tragic, to live with no foreseeable future. I'm glad to hear that things are improving on the home front. The Struggle Continues. ORION!

Eric