PROFITS VERSUS PEOPLE by E. Thomson

I heard the news today, oh boy. It looks as if the USA will retain the illegal aliens & open the borders to more, ad infinitum. The USA, like the former Soviet Jewnion, was said to be "an experiment." As those with lab experience can report: some experiments can prove unpleasantly surprising, despite the prior theorizing & calculations. I wonder if most Americans would see themselves as experimental guinea pigs in this exercise of mad-bankster 'science'. Maybe if they knew their roles they'd object to their assignment. Most likely, they will bleat & writhe as the experiment goes dreadfully wrong for them. Cui bono?

As the jew, "Lenin," would say, "What's tobe done?" Human problems are the outcomes of human existence, often in our pursuit of Utopia. If I recall correctly, one disillusioned anarchist opined that every regime requires police. Adam Smith warned in his "The Wealth of Nations" that businessmen often do not have the public welfare in mind, as the outcome of their enterprise. In brief, there are those who live within the community & off the community, but not FOR the community, like Scrooge. In America, we have seen businessmen who seek profit in the destruction of their communities. A major factor in wars is profit, rather than passion, with human slaughter deemed a by-product, beneficial to the new occupiers of the land. An economics professor mentioned casually that "the line between crime & business is often so fine as to be invisible." Did anyone say "Enron"?

Economics has long been called "The Dismal Science," because it deals with scarcity in its studies of supply & demand. Supply & demand for what? Basically for items of real wealth: the services to make & distribute goods; the means of production (land, labor & capital) & the goods themselves, such as food, clothing, shelter, transportation & energy. Real wealth is usually governed by symbolic wealth, i.e. money, which is the common medium of exchange, based on trust. Commodities like gold, silver, copper, woodpecker scalps, grain & cattle have also served as money. Symbolic wealth has long been subject to abuses, as with our present debt-based Federal Reserve I.O.U.s which sources claim arebeing dumped by our foremost creditor, China, in favor of real wealth, i.e. commodities.

All economic activities are not productive of real wealth. Some are predatory; others speculative. Casino gambling is described as "redistributive" of wealth, usually the normal losses of the speculators & the normal profit of the casino owners. One casino owner viewed his "industry" as "entertainment." I replied that watching hands of cards being dealt was a poor substitute for watching dancing girls. He said, "Oh, they're in the next room." If entertainment is to be deemed "an industry," then panhandling may also qualify.

Speculation in casinos & stock markets, regardless of motives, is certainly an economic activity, but cui bono? The drug trade is economic, as well as Murder Incorporated, so must we view all economic activities as 'equal'? Not if we value our real wealth: the item which provides all goods & services with value—our society! The simple rule for our survival is that economic activity should benefit society as a whole, which means the majority. Any activity which benefits the minority at the expense of the majority is a racket.

In his second book, Hitler warned that export of Aryan technology to peoples like the Chinese would profit a tiny minority of stockholders, & would leave the Aryan originators of such technology jobless & impoverished. We are seeing this prediction come true in Judeo-America, with the additional loss of jobs to alien invaders & a general decline in our standard of living, for the benefit of the few. The jew, Reich, our former Labor Secretary, giggled on the radio that "NAFTA would adversely affect 80% of the American people, but 20% should do C.K." Hence, our concern should be: the greatest good for the greatest number, rather than individual welfare at the expense of the general welfare. Clearly, infinite numbers do not represent the greatest good for the greatest number, otherwise we would go to the Turd World, & the Turd World would not be coming here.

Francis Parker Yockey likened economic activity to our digestive tracts, so it is our duty to decide if we live to eat, or if we eat to live. Apparently, the Romans decided in favor of the former: this way to the vomitorium, bloated consumers!

DOWZ! & ORION!

Inflation is theft. Deflation is sabotage. Usury is slavery. Wars are the jews' harvests.