

Free Speech Monitor

P.O. BOX 332, STN. B, ETOBICOKE, ONTARIO M9W 5L3

The Free Speech Monitor is published ten times a year by the Canadian Association for Free Expression, Inc.
Subscriptions to the Free Speech Monitor are \$15.00 per year.

TELEPHONE: (905) 274-3868

FAX: (905) 278-2431

Webpage: <http://cafe.canadafirst.net>

Number 133

November, 2005

Zundel Remanded to February

Just prior to her November speaking tour to promote her video Israel in Flagrante: Caught in the Act of Turnspeak, Lady Michele Renouf attended the trial in Germany of Ernst Zundel on charges under Sec. 130 of "defaming the memory of the dead": that is, challenging the Hollywood version of WW II.. The five day trial lasted less than one as the presiding judge dismissed all of Ernst Zundel's lawyers. Now, he must reconstruct his defence team, brief a new court-appointed lawyer and, while remaining in prison, await the resumption of the trial probably in February.

Lady Renouf reports: " The court was crowded. There were 150 inside, almost all Ernst's supporters and another 30 outside. The events were right out of Alice in Wonderland. There were three judges and two jurors. As soon as the hearing began, the chief judge Dr. Meinerszagen began picking off Ernst's lawyers one by one. For an hour, the judge criticized Horst Mahler, who was trained as a lawyer but was acting only as an advisor to court appointed or mandatory lawyer Sylvia Stolz. He was given the option of leaving the court, sitting with the spectators, or going down to the cells to be arrested. Next victim was Sylvia Stolz, who is a real Portia, with a musical voice and determined spirit. She was, said the judge, 'too involve' with her client. She was dismissed.. Up stood Jurgen Rieger, Mr. Zundel's lawyer of choice. He denounced what had been done to Miss Stolz as 'unacceptable. This is worse than the Gulag.' Rieger's turn was next. He's a revisionist, said the judge, and, therefore, unacceptable. The final lawyer was an Austrian attorney Dr. Herbert Schaller. He was dismissed as being "too old." What was happening, as Doug Christie has explained is the process of demonize, isolate and criminalize: Ernst Zundel's defence team was demonized; he, then, has been isolated, with the long range goal of criminalizing and jailing him.

The defence team then presented two motions. The first argued that the judge was not objective and invited him to fill out a questionnaire and take himself off the case. The second motion, crafted by team strategist Horst Mahler, made the daring proposal that the hearings be conducted in private; that is, with all parties present but the public excluded. Thus, the lawyers could present factual information that Ernst Zundel's views are correct, without running the risk of being charged themselves for adopting Mr. Zundel's views. The truth might be too traumatic for Jews and might corrupt the general public, or so the thinking goes in politically correct Germany. You cannot defend Ernst Zundel without, apparently, exposing the public to upsetting or corrupting facts. Mahler hoped that this motion will alert lawyers around the world to the insanity going on in Germany. On November 15, the judge rejected both motions, vowing to plough on with a more malleable defence team in February."

Brad Love Back in Jail

Canada is swiftly becoming a free speech Gulag for dissidents. Inveterate letter writer Brad Love, a bricklayer with a passion for writing letters protesting the immigration invasion of this Dominion, is back in prison. Canada's second most famous political prisoner was arrested November 4. He's being held at the provincial reformatory called Maplehurst in Milton and faces a trial date in March. The Crown is opposing bail for this non-violent man. What did Mr. Love

do: stage a drive-by shooting in Jamaican drug gang territory? join Vietnamese in running a multi-million dollar marijuana grow-up? None of these things! He's back in prison for "breach of probation" for writing 10 letters to his local newspaper, the Etoibicoke Guardian. The letters, sent between January 9 and October, 2005, were actually copies of other newspaper articles with Brad Love's comments on them. That the Etoibicoke Guardian kept the envelopes in which these letters were sent strongly suggests that it was in cahoots with the arrest of this dissident. So much for freedom of the press! One letter contained a copy of a National Post article on Black crime in Toronto to which Brad had added the comments: "100% of this is immigration" and "So what is a hate crime?" Another incident involved sending a copy of The Canadian Immigration Hotline. The charge states that this "flyer addresses recent gun violence in Toronto and goes to great lengths to link violent crime to Blacks. The article blames immigrants for the increase in violence. By sending material of this nature in the mail, the accused violated his probation order" by which he was "not to communicate ... or disseminate material in the nature of that which is the subject matter of these convictions; that is, scurrilous or hateful messages." So, apparently, Ontario's political police, who have a major hate on for Brad Love, believe that factual statements about Black immigrant crime in Toronto are "scurrilous or hateful." Another mailing contained a Toronto Star article of July 22 dealing with Canadian immigration problems and the potential for terrorism and featuring photos of Anne McLellan, Toronto Police Chief William Blair and Immigration Minister Joe Volpe. Brad's hand-written comments: "These ass-slurppers are the reason for the problem" and "Yes, and it's all thanks to Immigration Canada." Not nice, perhaps, but criminal? The police charge notes that the material arrived in an envelope with "Remaining silent about Black crime is a crime!" written on the outside. The Etoibicoke Guardian must have a wonderful filing system and plenty of staff to do it, if they can even file incoming envelopes

Rama Lawyer Shortens The Odds

Aboriginals have made it clear that when Justice John C. Major retires from the Supreme Court this February, they want to see a native to fill the vacancy. Sákéj Henderson, head of Saskatoon's Native Law Centre of Canada, explains why: "First Nations, Inuit and Métis jurisprudence puts an emphasis not on power or institutional violence, but on good relations, love, respect, sharing, harmony, and the development of talents and gifts. Canadian law needs these sensibilities to create a post-colonial Canada, and so that it can reach its greatness that is slowly unfolding." (Capitol News Online, March 18, 2005) This casual hauteur wouldn't be tolerated from most Canadians, but do read on: Former BC judge Allan McEachern -- one of nine experts hand-picked by Justice Minister Irwin Cotler to suggest replacements for [Justice Major] -- told Mr. Cotler's office he was stepping down for 'personal reasons' [hours after an aboriginal lawyer demanded he do so.] David Nahwegahbow, a Rama, Ont., lawyer and founding member of the Indigenous Bar Association, had called on Judge McEachern to step aside over a controversial 1991 ruling. In a landmark decision dismissing a BC Gitksan Wet'Su'wet'en land claim, Judge McEachern suggested the lives of native people were 'nasty, brutish and short' before the arrival of Europeans. He added that they 'had no written language, no horses or wheeled vehicles, slavery and starvation was not uncommon, wars with neighbouring peoples were common.' The wording of the decision (which was eventually overturned) was criticized two years later in a UN human rights

report, which argued that type of 'ethnocentric' approach should be 'destroyed systematically.' ... Told later of Judge McEachern's resignation, Mr. Nahwegahbow responded 'good.'" (**National Post**, October 18, 2005) Of course, the added value is a one in nine chance that McEachern's replacement will recommend an aboriginal for the vacancy. The judge's observations, however they might hurt sensitive feelings, are absolutely accurate!

Cops Under Investigation for "Racist" E-mails

The grim dogs of political correctness cannot stand any criticism of privileged minorities. The **Canadian Press** (November 23, 2005) reported: "The **RCMP** and an **Ontario Regional Police Force** have launched an internal investigation after some officers circulated a racist e-mail that refers to natives drinking, sniffing gasoline and breaking the law. **CBC TV** reported last night that the e-mail includes an audio file called *The Native Rap*, which includes the lyrics: 'Yes, I'm an Indian, that's right, hundred per cent Cree. I hang out downtown in a bus shack always drinking OV.' It's not known where the e-mail originated, but it was traced to a Peel Region police officer in Brampton, Ont., the **CBC** said." "Racist", of course, is the smear word attached to the e-mail. However, is the e-mail true? Is there, in fact, a problem with Indians sniffing substances and abusing alcohol. Anyone familiar with towns near Indian reservations or with the downtown area of such cities as Vancouver or Winnipeg know that the rap is essentially true. However, in the increasingly Stalinist dictatorship of political correctness in Canada, one cannot tell the truth about privileged minorities.

Free Speech Supporters Protest Austria's Jailing of British Historian

Under a grey, grim November sky, a spirited group of free speech supporters from Ottawa, Toronto and Mississauga braved icy winds and picketed the **Austrian Embassy** in Ottawa, November 22, to protest the November 11 arrest of WW II historian **David Irving** by Austrian authorities. Irving, 65, was arrested on a stale 1989 warrant for controversial statements he made about WW II nearly two decades ago. He could face up to 10 years in prison in Austria for challenging the state approved version of WW II history. "The arrest of David Irving is a disgrace," said **CAFÉ Director Paul Fromm**. "Austria is demeaning itself. The nation that gave us the music of Mozart, Wagner and Schubert and the thriving arts of Vienna should be ashamed of itself. Civilized countries don't throw historians and writers in jail." **David Irving** is renowned for over 30 best selling books on World War II, including **Hitler's War** and **Churchill's War** and **Dresden**, and biographies of **Hermann Goering** and **Field Marshall Erwin Rommel**. Irving distinguished himself by his search for memoirs and diaries of major participants and for his interviewing of many of the assistants and private secretaries of key WW II figures, including Adolf Hitler's surviving staff. "Healthy countries don't decree a particular version of history or interfere with ongoing historical inquiry," said Fromm. "By arresting **David Irving** for the conclusions he's drawn from a lifetime of research, Austria has lined itself up with the enemies of free speech and free thought. This behaviour is unworthy of its past and we hope the Austrian Government will reconsider and release Mr. Irving," added Fromm.

The protesters carried signs reading "Free David Irving"; "Civilized Countries Don't Jail Historians" and "Shame on Austria." Mr. Fromm delivered a letter of protest to the Ambassador which was politely received by embassy staff.

"I've known **David Irving** for many years and have found him to be an honest, insightful and objective historian dedicated to exploring and revealing the truth," said former Canadian diplomat **Ian V. Macdonald**, who joined the protest. "No one should be punished for

revealing the facts," he added. **John Morgan**, an Oxford graduate, said he doubted that most Austrians agree with their repressive government. Calling Mr. Irving a "political prisoner," Morgan, a protest organizer from Toronto, argued: "The hangover of World War II propaganda has lasted long enough. It's time for historians to be able to explore those events without fear of being imprisoned." Karl, a free speech supporter and law student from Upstate New York, tried to drive to Ottawa to attend the protest. Honest lad that he was, he was denied entry into Canada. "I told them that, and it was my intention [to join the protest], but they told me that I couldn't because somehow meeting you at a protest meant that I was protesting. I would have made up a sign if I was protesting, and planned to get there at the start of the event instead of after my other class. Apparently 20 year old White males in suits are always suspect when going to Canada." Had he been a Jamaican crack dealer or a self-styled homosexual "refugee" from Cuba, no problem!

Free speech supporters from other countries sent messages of support. **Lady Michele Renouf**, who has just completed an 8-city Canadian tour sponsored by **CAFÉ**, wrote from London, England: "Please add my support to your firm stand for free speech, open academic and democratic debate in calling for the immediate release by the Austrian authorities of British WW2 historian **David Irving**. Mr Irving's present and threatened 20 years' incarceration in an Austrian prison makes a mockery of the Fallen Soldier, especially during last week's World Wars Remembrance commemorations when, by twist-speak, school children everywhere were lied to that our soldiers, in giving their young lives, guaranteed us our democracy and freedom."

Mark Weber, Director of the **Institute for Historical Review** in California, wrote: "At one time it was against the law in north American and throughout Europe to deny God. Now -- in Israel, Germany, France, Austria, Belgium, Switzerland, and several other countries -- it is a crime publicly to dispute the official version of Holocaust history. While [**David Irving's**] arrest and continued incarceration have generated international attention, Irving's case is by no means unique. Three days earlier, the trial in Germany of 'Holocaust denier' **Ernst Zundel** began with a dramatic clash between his attorneys and the presiding judge. And a few days later, **Gerhard Rudolf**, a German citizen, was deported from Chicago to his homeland, where he likewise faces years of imprisonment for 'denying the Holocaust.' Over the years, many Europeans have been fined, imprisoned, and forced into exile for 'Holocaust denial,' including **Robert Faurisson** and **Roger Garaudy** in France, **Siegfried Verbeke** in Belgium, **Juergen Graf** and **Gaston-Armand Amaudruz** in Switzerland, and **Guenter Deckert**, **Hans Schmidt** and **Fredrick Toben** in Germany. 'Holocaust denial' laws violate ancient and universal standards of justice. They criminalize even factual or truthful statements that 'play down' or 'whitewash' the 'Holocaust' - often defined as the systematic killing of six million Jews in Europe during World War II. These laws are selective and one-sided. In the countries where they are on the books, 'the Holocaust' is the only chapter of history that may not be freely discussed. The governments that maintain such laws deserves the scorn and contempt of all those who treasure freedom of speech and freedom of historical inquiry. "

In another development, **George Kovac**, European correspondent for the **American Free Press** reports that he tried to see **David Irving**, having been told his visit was approved. On arriving in Vienna from Budapest, he was told by prison authorities that he could not see political prisoner Irving and that Irving is not allowed incoming or outgoing telephone calls. Irving was formally charged in an Austrian court, November 22, with "denying the holocaust." He appears Nov. 25 for a bail hearing.