

Free Speech Monitor

P.O. BOX 332, STN. B, ETOBICOKE, ONTARIO M9W 5L3

The Free Speech Monitor is published ten times a year by the Canadian Association for Free Expression, Inc.
Subscriptions to the Free Speech Monitor are \$15.00 per year.

TELEPHONE: (905) 274-3868

FAX: (905) 278-2431

e-mail: cafe@canadafirst.net

Webpage: <http://cafe.canadafirst.net>

Number 134

December, 2005

Jewish Lobby Gripes Because Town Proclaims Christmas

"A motion proclaiming December the Christmas season in the town of Oxford, N.S., has drawn criticism from members of a Jewish group in Atlantic Canada. 'I'm somewhat taken aback that the town councillors felt they had to legislate in this manner,' **Jon Goldberg**, executive director of the **Atlantic Jewish Congress**, said in an interview with the **Halifax Chronicle Herald** on Thursday. 'I can't help wondering what is next. Are they going to legislate that everyone has to go to church on Christmas Eve?' Oxford town council also decreed earlier this week that Christmas would be the only name used to describe the holiday season because 'the holiday originated from the birth of **Jesus Christ**.' Deputy mayor **Leonard Allen**, who introduced the motion, said he hoped other towns would follow suit. He also said he'd like schools to go back to calling their holiday concerts Christmas concerts." (CBC News, Dec. 1, 2005)

Good for Oxford, NS! Why should we have to suppress OUR traditions to please minorities? Do they suppress theirs to please us? Do Sikhs not wear turbans because many of us are offended by their appearance? Do Moslem women -- some of them -- stop dressing head to toe in a black *burka* because the Majority in the land they've come to might be offended? Of course, not. Goldberg's comment that people might be legislated to go to church on Christmas Eve is just silly nonsense. The town council is merely talking about properly recognizing the feast/holiday of the Majority, not forcing anyone to do anything. Thanks, Mr. Goldberg, for clarifying the meaning of multicultural: "Passing such legislation in a community just because there is no apparent minority goes against the concept of multiculturalism that we have worked so hard for in this province. This type of thing will not encourage immigrants or newcomers who are not Christian to move to Oxford or Nova Scotia." "If immigrants want us to give up our traditions, who needs them? It's clear: multiculturalism means us downplaying our traditions. Merry Christmas and if Mr. Goldberg doesn't like it, too bloody bad! It's our culture! -- **Paul Fromm**

Christian Critic of Gay Agenda Remains Unrepentant

Vietnamese grow-ops abound in major cities. Jamaican youth gangs have left over 20 dead in shootings this year in Toronto and the police are flummoxed. Yet, let a Christian or any other person present a view in any way critical of some privileged minority and the political cops come huffing and puffing on the scene. "Two thousand leaflets attacking gays and lesbians have put a Christian activist in western Canada under investigation by Edmonton police for hate crimes. [*Hate crimes? You mean 'thought crimes,' surely?*] The flyers by **Bill Whatcott** of Regina refer to gay marriage as 'sodomite marriage' [*That's literally true, isn't it?*] and use graphic language to describe the alleged sex practices of homosexuals. [*alleged?*] The handouts also used derogatory terms to describe federal **Defence Minister Bill Graham**. [*Now criticizing a Liberal pooh bah is a 'hate crime'?*] Whatcott stuffed his pamphlets into mailboxes in the riding of **Deputy Prime Minister Anne McLellan**, and some recipients complained to police. 'The material is offensive and it's an affront on the basic tenets of our society, which is about multiculturalism, tolerance and peaceful co-existence,' Const. **Steve Camp**, of the Edmonton police hate crimes unit, said. [*It used to be about freedom, Gumshoe Camp.*] The **Pride Centre** of Edmonton said it would take the case to the **Alberta Human Rights and**

Citizenship Commission if no criminal charges arise from the police investigation. Whatcott has led protests across Saskatchewan and Alberta against abortion and gays. He says he was a gay prostitute until age 18 to pay for a drug habit, then became leader of a small group called the **Christian Truth Activists**. Last month, the **Saskatchewan Human Rights Tribunal** fined Whatcott \$17,500 for handing out similar material. But he has refused to pay the fine, calling the tribunal a 'kangaroo court.' Whatcott doesn't side-step responsibility -- he prints his name and telephone number on his material -- and he says he's had at least 50 angry callers. But he says he won't stop because he has a right to free speech. He told opponents: 'Tough, you live in a democracy.'" (CBC News, June 8, 2005) Well, let's hope so, Mr. Whatcott.

Call the Cops; Students Are Thinking for Themselves

Call the cops. Some students are thinking for themselves. The intellectual poverty and the atmosphere of ideological indoctrination sinking Canadian universities are demonstrated in the following story. "The **University of Toronto** is condemning the perpetrators of an anti-Semitic brochure campaign that has touched all three **U of T** campuses and is taking action to limit its spread. The brochure, entitled **Jewish Supremacism Unmasked**, was discovered this week at **U of T's** St. George, Scarborough and Mississauga campuses, as well as at **York and Ryerson Universities** ... 'The **University of Toronto** condemns hatred in all its guises,' said **Professor David Farrar**, deputy provost and vice-provost (students). 'U of T, with all its diversity, is a place of tolerance and a place where ideas are debated and discussed but when pure hatred rears its head we must work to counteract it. 'The university has notified the **University of Toronto Police Service** and **U of T** staff members are removing the brochures from all campus locations where they are spotted. University staff is also working closely with the **Wolfond Centre for Campus Jewish Life** to minimize the hurt caused by the propaganda. 'We take this matter very seriously,' said Farrar. 'The **University of Toronto Police Service** is aware of the matter and the Toronto police are investigating. We will co-operate with them fully. We also want to assure our students that there is support available to them in coping with the naked hatred these brochures displayed. We hope no member of our **U of T** community would stoop so low as to distribute anti-Semitic literature, but if that is the case, we will take swift and appropriate action,' he said. " (**The News**, University of Toronto, Nov. 4, 2005)

So, some students are handing out a leaflet discussing the very major control exercised by some Jews in North American society. Rather than discussing the issue or debating it, the authorities -- and, remember, this is an institute of supposed higher learning -- do what? They call the campus police; they call the **Metropolitan Toronto Police**. **Professor David Farrar**, vice-provost of the university and clearly a man with no sense of irony, proclaims the university as a stronghold of "diversity" and "tolerance" and then calls in the police to help suppress divergent ideas, and further threatens "swift and appropriate action" should the freethinkers be found. You'll note that the leaflets are condemned as "hatred" without any debate or discussion. So wimpy has even a university become that students are promised "support" should they be too upset by the ideas in the leaflets. What ever happened to a student forming his own ideas and being able to analyze a new point of view and get up on his own hind legs to argue, accept or rebut it? Not today, hand holders, crisis counsellors and grief advisors to the rescue! We understand at least

one campus library was shut down for a period of time while staff censors scoured library books for the pamphlets.

One of the students involved in the leafletting campaign sent out the following statement: "I and a small group of my fellow students have dared to distribute a little piece of paper that dares to expose Jewish supremacism. In it we dare to quote from outspoken, former American elected official, **Dr. David Duke**, who has written a book called **Jewish Supremacism**. ... **Jewish Supremacism** is not an anti-Semitic book. In fact, it is dedicated to a Jew, the late **Dr. Israel Shahak**, a survivor of the concentration camps during WWII and a leader of the **Israeli Peace Movement**. People can read parts of the book for themselves on Dr. Duke's website, www.davidduke.com, and see clearly that it is not anti-Semitic but simply opposes the extremist Jewish supremacism that drives the Israeli state and its supporters around the world. Merely calling someone anti-Semitic doesn't make it so. At the **University of Toronto** we are free. We have free speech. We are free to expose and condemn White people for mistreatment of Indians, for White Colonialism around the world. We are free to accuse White people and the White establishment of racism real and imagined. ... We can do these things without threat of being expelled from the university, without the possibility of the police coming and getting us in the middle of the night and throwing us into jail for months or years.

But, if we dare to expose elements of Jewish supremacism, for instance the Jewish supremacism that exists in Israel and that is being advanced by extremist Jews around the world who support the extremist Jewish state, we will find ourselves the criminal. Expose the open anti-Gentile, anti-Christian hatred of extremist Jews in Canada or the United States and we may well find ourselves charged with hatred and face expulsion and even years of imprisonment! Why is it that exposing elements of racial or religious hatred among extremist Christians deserves praise but exposing it among Jews deserves jail?" Interesting questions that should be debatable at a university!

"Repugnant" Views Are Banned From the Internet

In the latest Stalinist lunacy from the **Canadian Human Rights Tribunal**, **Dr. Paul Groarke**, the one-man Rights Tribunal which tried Calgary monetary reformer and de-taxer **Eldon Warman** for comments on his website, a Sec. 13.1 prosecution based on a complaint by web censor **Richard Warman**, has decided that "repugnant" ideas, not just comments that might lead to "hatred or contempt" toward privileged groups must be purged from the **Internet**. So, too must nasty remarks about religious texts or even criticism of the **Canadian Human Rights Act**. He ruled: " The primary objective of section 13 is to remove repugnant and I daresay dangerous material from the public discourse. ... The responsibilities of the Tribunal consist primarily in keeping the channels of free speech clear of messages that threaten the normative foundations of our society. The material before me undermines the principle that all people are equal. This is one of the axioms on which the legal and social order rests. Taken as a whole, the postings vilify the Jewish people. The theme is that Jews are part of an evil conspiracy. I think this feeds into a kind of racial, ethnic or religious enmity that presents dangers for society as a whole. There is another factor. The material before me makes it clear that the respondent does not accept the legitimacy of the legal and political system. This is a subtext in the postings, which attack the validity of the laws that protect the members of minorities from discrimination, harassment and the overt expression of hate. I think this is an aggravating circumstance, which makes the material more offensive. ... I would nevertheless add that the promulgation of views that promote a contemptuous attitude towards fundamental religious tracts is likely to subject the groups that hold these texts sacred to hatred or contempt."

However, the Tribunal was having doubts about assessing a hefty financial penalty. "The **Canadian Human Rights Tribunal** has reserved its decision on the question of penalty in *Warman v. Warman* (2005 CHRT 36). **Eldon Warman** had posted anti-Semitic messages on the Internet and was ordered to remove them. Warman refused to take part in the tribunal proceedings as he is a member of the de-tax or 'natural persons' movement and does not accept the authority of the courts and the other legal institutions in Canada. An order for substitutional service was made so that the hearing could proceed. The **Human Rights Commission** had asked that the maximum penalty of \$10,000 allowed by s. 54(1)(c) of the **Canadian Human Rights Act**, R.S. 1985, c. H-6 be enforced. After considering similar cases of hate messages, including *R. v. Ahenakew* (2005 SKPC 76), a case under the **Criminal Code** in which the fine was fixed at \$1,000, the tribunal ruled that the appropriate fine could not be determined at this time: 'Penalties awarded in the criminal law and the law of human rights should be consistent. This is a question of proportionality' (at para. 74). The commission has 30 days to respond to the tribunal's concerns.'" (**The Law Society of Saskatchewan**)

But Practise Your Religion & You Get Fined

The minority alliance and the powerful homosexual/lesbian lobby is making all out war on Christian believers. The right to affirm and practise your faith is very much under attack by the human rights mafia. Here's the latest from Lala Land. "The **B.C. Human Rights Tribunal** ruled yesterday that a Roman Catholic men's group, the **Knights of Columbus**, was entitled to turn away a lesbian couple who wanted to hold a wedding reception in their facility. But in a decision that upset both sides in the dispute, the three-member tribunal also decided that the Catholic group had affronted the same-sex couple's dignity, feelings and self-respect, and that it should pay \$2,000 to the two women to compensate for their injuries. The tribunal upheld the religious freedom of the **Knights of Columbus** while reinforcing protections against discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation. ... 'However, it is also clear that right is not absolute,' the tribunal decided. The Knights should have taken additional steps that would have recognized 'the inherent dignity of the complainants and their right to be free from discrimination,' the tribunal said. The Knights could have met with the couple to explain the situation, formally apologized, and immediately offered to reimburse the couple for the expenses incurred in finding an alternative place, and in notifying guests of the new location, the tribunal said. 'The fact is they gave no thought to any option other than cancelling the rental,' the tribunal wrote. The additional steps would have appropriately balanced the rights of both parties, the decision stated." (**Globe and Mail**, Nov. 30, 2005)

So, let's get this straight. The **Catholic Knights of Columbus** had the right to believe that same sex marriages are wrong and to refuse to rent their facilities for a lesbian marriage. However, having so practised their faith and, apparently offended the dignity of the lesbians, they must now pay a fine. We've tangled with the highly unusual and very hefty lesbian lawyer **barbara finlay** before - yes, she doesn't use capitals in her name. Odd, in every way. It somewhat boggles the mind that the two lesbians would not know that the **Knights of Columbus** were Catholics.

The implications of this ruling for believers is frightening. Suppose a woman shows up at a Catholic seminary and demands to be trained as a priest. They turn her down, at the R.C. Church does not ordain women priests. According to the bizarre logic of the human rights tribunal, the seminary has the right to express its religious belief, but, as "the right is not absolute," they could be fined for hurting the applicant's feelings. Freedom of religion has just gone up in smoke. Oh, sorry, smoking - except pot - is also forbidden by the fanatics of political correctness.